# West Burton Solar Project

## The Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations

Prepared by: Lanpro Services November 2023

PINS reference: EN010132 Document reference: EX1/WB8.1.2

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 Rules 8(1)(c)





#### Contents

| <u>1</u>   | INTRODUCTION   | 3            |
|------------|--|--------------|
| 1.1        | Purpose of the Document  | 3            |
| 1.2        | STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT  | 3            |
| 1.3        | TABLES OF ORGANISATIONS SUBMITTING RELEVANT REPRESENTATION   | 5            |
| <u>2</u>   | THE APPLICANT'S RESPONSES TO RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS  | <u>15</u>    |
| 2.1<br>2.2 | The Applicant's Responses to the Host and Neighbouring Local Authorities<br>The Applicant's Responses to Statutory Consultees, International Agencies, | 15           |
|            | UNDERTAKERS, AND ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES WITH WHOM THE APPLICANT HAS UNDERT.<br>STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND   | AKEN A<br>75 |
| 2.3        | The Applicant's Responses to Other Statutory Consultees, International Agenc<br>Undertakers, and Elected Representatives                               | TES,<br>133  |
| 2.4        | The Applicant's Responses to Parish Councils, Parish Meetings, or Neighbourhc<br>Community Groups  | 00D<br>313   |
| 2.5        | The Applicant's Responses to Persons Whose Interests would be Affected by the<br>Order   | =<br>472     |
| <u>3</u>   | APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS   | <u>543</u>   |
| 3.1        | AIR QUALITY  | 543          |
| 3.2        | Alternatives and Design Evolution  | 550          |
| 3.3        | CLIMATE CHANGE   | 564          |
| 3.4        | Cultural Heritage  | 565          |
| 3.5        | Ecology and Biodiversity   | 569          |
| 3.6        | ENERGY NEED  | 594          |
| 3.7        | GENERAL COMMENTS   | 598          |
| 3.8        | GLINT AND GLARE  | 617          |
| 3.9        | Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage   | 620          |
| 3.10       | LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT  | 625          |
| 3.11       | Other Environmental Matters  | 642          |
| 3.12       | Principle of Development   | 655          |
| 3.13       | Socio-economics, Tourism and Recreation  | 688          |
| 3.14       | Soils and Agriculture  | 703          |
| 3.15       | TRANSPORT AND ACCESS   | 710          |
| 3.16       | WASTE  | 722          |



#### **Issue Sheet**

Report Prepared for: West Burton Solar Project Ltd. Examination Deadline 1

#### The Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations

Prepared by:

Name: Stephen Flynn

Title: Senior Planner

Approved by:

Name: Tara Sethi

Title: Director of EIA

| Revision | Date                | Prepared by: | Approved by: |
|----------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 0        | 24 November<br>2023 | SF           | TS           |



#### **1** Introduction

#### **1.1 Purpose of the Document**

- 1.1.1 This document provides West Burton Solar Project Limited (the 'Applicant's') response to the Relevant Representations (RRs) which were published by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) on 4 August 2023, relating to the Development Consent Order Application (the 'Application') for West Burton Solar Project (the 'Scheme').
- 1.1.2 The period for registering as an Interested Party through the submission of a relevant representation ran from 27 April to 8 June 2023. The Applicant confirmed that it has complied with sections 56 and 51 of the Planning Act 2008 and Regulation 16 of the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017. The Application and accompanying documents and information and publishing it in the required manner.
- 1.1.3 A total of 351 RRs were submitted to the Examining Authority by Interested Parties in response to the Scheme DCO Application and were published on the PINS website on 4 August 2023.

#### **1.2 Structure of the report**

- 1.2.1 This document provides a response from the Applicant to the matters raised in the Relevant Representations and is structured as follows:
  - **Table 1.1** lists the RRs received from the host and neighbouring local authorities (Lincolnshire County Council, North Kesteven District Council, West Lindsey District Council). The Applicant notes that Nottinghamshire County Council and Bassetlaw District Council, both host local authorities, have not made a representation at this stage. These responses are analysed and responded to in full in **Section 2.1** of this document.
  - **Table 1.2** lists all statutory consultees, international agencies, undertakers, and elected representatives with whom the Applicant has undertaken a Statement of Common Ground. These responses are analysed and responded to in full in **Section 2.2** of this document.
  - **Table 1.3** lists all other statutory consultees, international agencies, undertakers, and elected representatives. These responses are analysed and responded to in full in **Section 2.3** of this document.
  - **Table 1.4** lists the RRs received from parish councils, parish meetings, or neighbourhood community groups. These responses are analysed and responded to in full in **Section 2.4** of this document.
  - **Table 1.5** lists those whose interests would be affected by the Order (as listed within **WB4.3\_A Book of Reference Revision A [EN010132/APP/WB4.3\_A]**). These responses are analysed and responded to in full in **Section 2.5** of this document.



- **Table 1.6** lists the Theme Options in which Relevant Representations from members of the public and all remaining organisations and businesses are categorised into and responded to in **Section 3** of this document.
- 1.2.2 References to the Application documentation are provided in accordance with the referencing system set out in the Planning Inspectorate's West Burton Solar Farm Examination Library.
- 1.2.3 Revision suffixes have also been attached to documents which, since submission, have been revised for and resubmitted by Deadline 1 to the Planning Inspectorate.
- 1.2.4 Additionally, submissions to the Planning Inspectorate post 12 January 2023 carry revised indications to identify by which deadline the submission had been made to the Planning Inspectorate. All documents submitted to the Planning Inspectorate by 21 March 2023 carry '/APP/' within their document reference. Those new submissions made to the Planning Inspectorate post-submission and during the pre-examination stage carry '/PEX/' instead of '/APP/' within the document reference, to reflect the submission being made during the pre-examination stage. Those new submissions, such as this document, being submitted for and by Deadline 1 carry '/EX1/' within the document reference.



#### **1.3 Tables of Organisations Submitting Relevant Representation**

Table 1.1: List of Organisations whose Relevant Representations areResponded to in Section 2.1.

| PINS Reference | Acronym | Relevant Representation Received from |
|----------------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| RR-188         | LCC-XX  | Lincolnshire County Council           |
| RR-350         | WLDC-XX | West Lindsey District Council         |
| RR-243         | NKDC-XX | North Kesteven District Council       |

### Table 1.2: List of Organisations whose Relevant Representations areResponded to in Section 2.2.

| PINS Reference | Acronym | Relevant Representation Received from |
|----------------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| RR-123         | HE-XX   | Historic England                      |
| RR-232         | NH-XX   | National Highways                     |
| RR-090         | EA-XX   | Environment Agency                    |
| RR-236         | NRIL-XX | Network Rail Infrastructure Limited   |
| RR-233         | NE-XX   | Natural England                       |



| Table | 1.3: | List  | of   | Organisations | whose | Relevant | Representations | are |
|-------|------|-------|------|---------------|-------|----------|-----------------|-----|
| Respo | nded | to in | Sect | ion 2.3.      |       |          |                 |     |

| PINS Reference | Acronym  | Relevant Representation Received from                          |
|----------------|----------|--|
| RR-001         | 7A-XX    | 7000 Acres   |
| RR-018         | AWSL-XX  | Anglain Water Services Limited                                 |
| RR-032         | CGL-XX   | Cadent Gas Limited   |
| RR-033         | CRT-XX   | Canal & River Trust  |
| RR-055         | CRB-XX   | Cllr Richard Butroid   |
| RR-077         | EDF-XX   | EDF Energy (Thermal Genertaion) Limited                        |
| RR-093         | FC-XX    | Forestry Commission  |
| RR-230         | BGED-XX  | National Grid Electricity Distribution (East<br>Midlands)      |
| RR-231         | NGET-XX  | National Grid Electricity Transmiussion                        |
| RR-245         | PCC-XX   | Parochial Church Council of the Parish of<br>Stow-with-Sturton |
| RR-297         | SNP-XX   | Saxilby Nature Project   |
| RR-298         | SPRGC-XX | Saxilby Public Recreation Ground Charity                       |
| RR-310         | SCA-XX   | Solar Campaign Alliance  |
| RR-321         | SSNPG-XX | Sturton and Stow Neighbourhood Planning<br>Group               |
| RR-331         | TBHS-XX  | The British Horse Society                                      |
| RR-341         | UKHSA-XX | UK Health Security Agency                                      |
| RR-342         | UKAEA-XX | United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority                         |
| RR-347         | NP-XX    | Weightmans LLP (on behalf of Northern<br>Powergrid)            |
| RR-351         | WHIDB-XX | Witham & Humber IDB  |



| Table | 1.4: | List  | of   | Organisations | whose | Relevant | Representations | are |
|-------|------|-------|------|---------------|-------|----------|-----------------|-----|
| Respo | nded | to in | Sect | ion 2.4.      |       |          |                 |     |

| PINS Reference | Acronym | Relevant Representation Received from |
|----------------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| RR-029         | BVPM    | Brampton Village Parish Meeting       |
| RR-030         | BPC     | Brattleby Parish Council              |
| RR-031         | BPM     | Broxholme Parish Meeting              |
| RR-091         | FPM     | Fillingham Parish Meeting             |
| RR-178         | КеРС    | Kexby Parish Council                  |
| RR-179         | KnPC    | Knaith Parish Council                 |
| RR-213         | MGBPC   | Marton & Gate Burton Parish Council   |
| RR-299         | SIPC    | Saxilby with Ingleby Parish Council   |
| RR-300         | ScPC    | Scampton Parish Council               |
| RR-319         | StPC    | Stow Parish Council                   |
| RR-322         | SSPC    | Sturton by Stow Parish Council        |



| Table | 1.5: | List  | of   | Organisations | whose | Relevant | Representations | are |
|-------|------|-------|------|---------------|-------|----------|-----------------|-----|
| Respo | nded | to in | Sect | ion 2.5.      |       |          |                 |     |

| PINS Reference | Acronym | Relevant Representation Received from                  |
|----------------|---------|--|
| RR-038         | CF      | Catrin Fieldson  |
| RR-046         | CW      | Christine Warren                                       |
| RR-076         | ECM     | E C Morgan   |
| RR-087         | EH      | Emma Hill  |
| RR-147         | JC      | Jill Cowley  |
| RR-172         | KS      | Kate Skelton   |
| RR-181         | CSP     | Lanpro Services (on behalf of Cottam Solar<br>Project) |
| RR-238         | NH      | Nicholas Hill  |
| RR-259         | РВ      | Philip Bartle  |
| RR-281         | RB      | Rodger Brownlow  |
| RR-289         | SE      | Sally Elliott  |
| RR-308         | SNSE    | SNSE Ltd   |
| RR-309         | SNSED   | SNSED Ltd  |
| RR-333         | TSL     | Tillbridge Solar Limited                               |



Table 1.6: List of Theme Options in which Relevant Representations from Members of the Public and All Remaining Organisations and Businesses are Categorised into and Responded to in Section 3.

|  | ne Option  |
|--|--|
|  | 019; RR-021; RR-022; RR-034;                                 |
|  | 044; RR-053; RR-054; RR-062;<br>067; RR-071; RR-075; RR-079; |
|  | 097; RR-102; RR-106; RR-108;                                 |
|  | 118; RR-121; RR-124; RR-137;                                 |
|  | 153; RR-154; RR-156; RR-157;                                 |
|  | 194; RR-202; RR-211; RR-220;                                 |
|  | 235; RR-246; RR-247; RR-252;                                 |
|  | 273; RR-274; RR-275; RR-276;                                 |
|  | 294; RR-301; RR-312; RR-316;                                 |
| RR-319; RR-325; RR-3                         |  |
| Alternatives and ALT-xx RR-003; RR-005; RR-0 | 006; RR-007; RR-008; RR-010;                                 |
| Design Evolution RR-011; RR-013; RR-0        | 015; RR-019; RR-020; RR-021;                                 |
| RR-022; RR-026; RR-0                         | 027; RR-034; RR-036; RR-040;                                 |
| RR-045; RR-049; RR-0                         | 052; RR-053; RR-054; RR-057;                                 |
| RR-061; RR-062; RR-0                         | 063; RR-064; RR-066; RR-067;                                 |
| RR-069; RR-070; RR-0                         | 072; RR-074; RR-078; RR-079;                                 |
| RR-080; RR-082; RR-0                         | 083; RR-085; RR-088; RR-095;                                 |
| RR-096; RR-097; RR-                          | 100; RR-102; RR-103; RR-104;                                 |
| RR-105; RR-106; RR-                          | 107; RR-108; RR-110; RR-111;                                 |
| RR-112; RR-115; RR-2                         | 116; RR-119; RR-121; RR-126;                                 |
| RR-129; RR-131; RR-7                         | 132; RR-134; RR-135; RR-136;                                 |
| RR-138; RR-139; RR-                          | 142; RR-143; RR-145; RR-150;                                 |
| RR-152; RR-153; RR-1                         | 155; RR-156; RR-157; RR-159;                                 |
| RR-160; RR-161; RR-1                         | 162; RR-163; RR-164; RR-166;                                 |
| RR-168; RR-169; RR-                          | 170; RR-171; RR-173; RR-174;                                 |
| RR-175; RR-180; RR-1                         | 183; RR-185; RR-187; RR-190;                                 |
| RR-191; RR-192; RR-1                         | 194; RR-196; RR-198; RR-199;                                 |
| RR-202; RR-203; RR-2                         | 205; RR-206; RR-209; RR-210;                                 |
| RR-214; RR-217; RR-2                         | 220; RR-221; RR-222; RR-223;                                 |
| RR-224; RR-225; RR-2                         | 226; RR-227; RR-228; RR-229;                                 |
| RR-239; RR-241; RR-2                         | 247; RR-251; RR-252; RR-254;                                 |
| RR-257; RR-258; RR-2                         | 264; RR-267; RR-269; RR-271;                                 |
| RR-272; RR-273; RR-2                         | 276; RR-277; RR-278; RR-284;                                 |
| RR-290; RR-292; RR-2                         | 295; RR-301; RR-303; RR-304;                                 |
| RR-305; RR-306; RR-3                         | 307; RR-311; RR-312; RR-318;                                 |
| RR-325; RR-330; RR-3                         | 332; RR-334; RR-336; RR-338;                                 |
| RR-339; RR-343; RR-3                         | 348  |



| Theme Options               | Acronym | Relevant Representations responded to through the Theme Option   |
|-----------------------------|---------|--|
| Climate Change              | CLI-xx  | RR-340   |
| Cultural Heritage           | CUL-xx  | RR-019; RR-021; RR-075; RR-082; RR-130; RR-133;<br>RR-156; RR-165; RR-202; RR-244; RR-247; RR-306  |
| Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | ECO-xx  | <ul> <li>RR-004; RR-005; RR-007; RR-010; RR-015; RR-017;</li> <li>RR-019; RR-021; RR-022; RR-023; RR-024; RR-025;</li> <li>RR-027; RR-040; RR-044; RR-053; RR-055; RR-056;</li> <li>RR-059; RR-061; RR-063; RR-064; RR-067; RR-068;</li> <li>RR-069; RR-070; RR-071; RR-072; RR-075; RR-079;</li> <li>RR-081; RR-082; RR-083; RR-084; RR-088; RR-095;</li> <li>RR-097; RR-100; RR-102; RR-103; RR-106; RR-113;</li> <li>RR-114; RR-115; RR-116; RR-117; RR-118; RR-120;</li> <li>RR-121; RR-124; RR-125; RR-128; RR-131; RR-132;</li> <li>RR-139; RR-141; RR-142; RR-148; RR-150; RR-153;</li> <li>RR-156; RR-158; RR-159; RR-160; RR-162; RR-163;</li> <li>RR-166; RR-169; RR-171; RR-174; RR-175; RR-176;</li> <li>RR-182; RR-183; RR-184; RR-186; RR-187; RR-189;</li> <li>RR-190; RR-193; RR-194; RR-195; RR-196; RR-197;</li> <li>RR-208; RR-209; RR-210; RR-205; RR-206; RR-207;</li> <li>RR-208; RR-209; RR-210; RR-211; RR-214; RR-217;</li> <li>RR-218; RR-220; RR-222; RR-227; RR-229; RR-235;</li> <li>RR-237; RR-239; RR-240; RR-246; RR-247; RR-248;</li> <li>RR-252; RR-253; RR-254; RR-255; RR-256; RR-257;</li> <li>RR-252; RR-262; RR-263; RR-264; RR-268; RR-269;</li> <li>RR-270; RR-271; RR-274; RR-275; RR-277; RR-278;</li> <li>RR-280; RR-302; RR-303; RR-304; RR-305; RR-306;</li> <li>RR-310; RR-302; RR-303; RR-304; RR-305; RR-306;</li> <li>RR-312; RR-314; RR-315; RR-316; RR-337; RR-339;</li> <li>RR-340; RR-343; RR-345</li> </ul> |
| Energy Need                 | ENE-xx  | RR-003; RR-005; RR-021; RR-039; RR-062; RR-073;  |
|                             |         | RR-075; RR-078; RR-080; RR-082; RR-095; RR-106;<br>RR-107; RR-115; RR-119; RR-121; RR-126; RR-156;<br>RR-160; RR-168; RR-186; RR-191; RR-205; RR-220;<br>RR-257; RR-271; RR-272; RR-279; RR-285; RR-293;<br>RR-303; RR-307; RR-334; RR-338; RR-339   |
| General                     | GEN-xx  | RR-005; RR-010; RR-021; RR-022; RR-037; RR-053;<br>RR-058; RR-063; RR-066; RR-082; RR-088; RR-092;<br>RR-095; RR-098; RR-099; RR-106; RR-114; RR-120;<br>RR-122; RR-132; RR-152; RR-156; RR-161; RR-167;<br>RR-169; RR-175; RR-184; RR-194; RR-205; RR-210;  |





| Theme Options                         | Acronym | Relevant Representations responded to through the Theme Option  |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---|
|                                       |         | RR-222; RR-247; RR-257; RR-267; RR-278; RR-279;<br>RR-284; RR-320; RR-324; RR-336   |
| Glint and Glare                       | GLI-xx  | RR-021; RR-053; RR-067; RR-106; RR-154; RR-156;<br>RR-312   |
| Hydrology, Flood<br>Risk and Drainage | HFD-xx  | RR-010; RR-020; RR-022; RR-054; RR-106; RR-139;<br>RR-154; RR-222; RR-251; RR-262; RR-284; RR-312   |
| Landscape and<br>Visual Impact        | LAN-xx  | RR-002; RR-004; RR-005; RR-007; RR-010; RR-013;<br>RR-015; RR-019; RR-021; RR-022; RR-024; RR-026;<br>RR-034; RR-040; RR-042; RR-045; RR-047; RR-048;<br>RR-053; RR-055; RR-056; RR-058; RR-060; RR-061;<br>RR-063; RR-066; RR-067; RR-070; RR-071; RR-072;<br>RR-075; RR-078; RR-079; RR-082; RR-088; RR-095;<br>RR-097; RR-098; RR-100; RR-101; RR-102; RR-103;<br>RR-104; RR-106; RR-107; RR-110; RR-111; RR-113;<br>RR-114; RR-116; RR-117; RR-110; RR-111; RR-113;<br>RR-126; RR-130; RR-138; RR-151; RR-152; RR-153;<br>RR-126; RR-130; RR-138; RR-151; RR-152; RR-153;<br>RR-155; RR-156; RR-157; RR-158; RR-164; RR-165;<br>RR-168; RR-170; RR-171; RR-174; RR-175; RR-176;<br>RR-180; RR-183; RR-186; RR-187; RR-190; RR-191;<br>RR-194; RR-195; RR-196; RR-200; RR-201; RR-202;<br>RR-203; RR-205; RR-206; RR-210; RR-211; RR-214;<br>RR-215; RR-217; RR-222; RR-223; RR-225; RR-226;<br>RR-227; RR-235; RR-240; RR-247; RR-248; RR-252;<br>RR-255; RR-256; RR-257; RR-258; RR-260; RR-261;<br>RR-262; RR-263; RR-264; RR-267; RR-268; RR-269;<br>RR-271; RR-272; RR-273; RR-274; RR-275; RR-276;<br>RR-278; RR-279; RR-283; RR-284; RR-287; RR-288;<br>RR-290; RR-292; RR-293; RR-296; RR-301; RR-302;<br>RR-305; RR-306; RR-307; RR-312; RR-313; RR-316;<br>RR-325; RR-326; RR-328; RR-340; RR-343; RR-336;<br>RR-336; RR-338; RR-340; RR-343; RR-346 |
| Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters     | OEM-xx  | <ul> <li>RR-013; RR-021; RR-022; RR-025; RR-028; RR-034;</li> <li>RR-036; RR-037; RR-040; RR-042; RR-054; RR-055;</li> <li>RR-061; RR-063; RR-067; RR-079; RR-089; RR-102;</li> <li>RR-103; RR-116; RR-121; RR-124; RR-131; RR-137;</li> <li>RR-139; RR-142; RR-149; RR-151; RR-153; RR-156;</li> <li>RR-157; RR-168; RR-170; RR-175; RR-180; RR-182;</li> <li>RR-191; RR-193; RR-194; RR-196; RR-202; RR-205;</li> <li>RR-220; RR-222; RR-235; RR-239; RR-240; RR-246;</li> <li>RR-247; RR-252; RR-253; RR-257; RR-262; RR-263;</li> <li>RR-267; RR-268; RR-271; RR-273; RR-275; RR-278;</li> </ul>  |



| Theme Options    | Acronym | Relevant Representations responded to           |
|------------------|---------|---|
|                  |         | through the Theme Option                        |
|                  |         | RR-284; RR-301; RR-312; RR-316; RR-323; RR-327; |
|                  |         | RR-329; RR-338; RR-340                          |
| Principle of     | PRI-xx  | RR-002; RR-003; RR-004; RR-005; RR-010; RR-011; |
| Development      |         | RR-015; RR-016; RR-017; RR-019; RR-020; RR-021; |
|                  |         | RR-022; RR-028; RR-034; RR-037; RR-040; RR-041; |
|                  |         | RR-042; RR-045; RR-053; RR-054; RR-055; RR-058; |
|                  |         | RR-059; RR-060; RR-062; RR-063; RR-065; RR-066; |
|                  |         | RR-067; RR-070; RR-071; RR-072; RR-075; RR-078; |
|                  |         | RR-079; RR-082; RR-083; RR-086; RR-088; RR-095; |
|                  |         | RR-097; RR-098; RR-100; RR-102; RR-103; RR-106; |
|                  |         | RR-107; RR-108; RR-109; RR-110; RR-112; RR-115; |
|                  |         | RR-116; RR-119; RR-121; RR-124; RR-125; RR-129; |
|                  |         | RR-130; RR-131; RR-132; RR-133; RR-134; RR-136; |
|                  |         | RR-138; RR-139; RR-142; RR-146; RR-148; RR-151; |
|                  |         | RR-152; RR-153; RR-154; RR-155; RR-156; RR-157; |
|                  |         | RR-158; RR-161; RR-164; RR-165; RR-167; RR-168; |
|                  |         | RR-174; RR-175; RR-183; RR-185; RR-186; RR-187; |
|                  |         | RR-189; RR-191; RR-192; RR-193; RR-194; RR-199; |
|                  |         | RR-200; RR-202; RR-205; RR-208; RR-210; RR-211; |
|                  |         | RR-212; RR-215; RR-217; RR-220; RR-222; RR-223; |
|                  |         | RR-225; RR-226; RR-235; RR-239; RR-242; RR-244; |
|                  |         | RR-247; RR-248; RR-250; RR-251; RR-252; RR-254; |
|                  |         | RR-255; RR-257; RR-260; RR-261; RR-262; RR-264; |
|                  |         | RR-265; RR-267; RR-268; RR-269; RR-270; RR-271; |
|                  |         | RR-272; RR-273; RR-274; RR-276; RR-278; RR-279; |
|                  |         | RR-280; RR-282; RR-284; RR-285; RR-286; RR-287; |
|                  |         | RR-290; RR-293; RR-294; RR-296; RR-301; RR-302; |
|                  |         | RR-303; RR-305; RR-306; RR-307; RR-311; RR-312; |
|                  |         | RR-313; RR-314; RR-315; RR-316; RR-317; RR-323; |
|                  |         | RR-325; RR-327; RR-334; RR-335; RR-338; RR-339; |
|                  |         | RR-343; RR-345; RR-346                          |
| Socio-economics, | STR-xx  | RR-005; RR-007; RR-008; RR-012; RR-015; RR-019; |
| Tourism and      |         | RR-020; RR-021; RR-022; RR-025; RR-037; RR-040; |
| Recreation       |         | RR-044; RR-053; RR-055; RR-059; RR-060; RR-062; |
|                  |         | RR-063; RR-067; RR-079; RR-083; RR-088; RR-095; |
|                  |         | RR-102; RR-103; RR-104; RR-113; RR-115; RR-118; |
|                  |         | RR-119; RR-124; RR-126; RR-133; RR-139; RR-140; |
|                  |         | RR-142; RR-146; RR-154; RR-156; RR-160; RR-168; |
|                  |         | RR-170; RR-171; RR-173; RR-175; RR-176; RR-191; |
|                  |         | RR-193; RR-194; RR-198; RR-199; RR-202; RR-205; |
|                  |         | RR-220; RR-222; RR-234; RR-235; RR-240; RR-246; |
|                  |         | RR-247; RR-257; RR-262; RR-263; RR-265; RR-267; |



| Theme Options            | Acronym | Relevant Representations responded to through the Theme Option   |  |  |
|--------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
|                          |         | RR-268; RR-272; RR-275; RR-280; RR-284; RR-303;<br>RR-307; RR-312; RR-316; RR-334; RR-335; RR-337;<br>RR-343; RR-344   |  |  |
| Soils and<br>Agriculture | SOI-xx  | RR-003; RR-004; RR-006; RR-007; RR-008; RR-009;<br>RR-010; RR-013; RR-014; RR-015; RR-019; RR-020;<br>RR-021; RR-022; RR-024; RR-025; RR-026; RR-028;<br>RR-034; RR-035; RR-036; RR-039; RR-040; RR-041;<br>RR-042; RR-043; RR-045; RR-047; RR-049; RR-050;<br>RR-051; RR-052; RR-053; RR-054; RR-056; RR-056; |  |  |
|                          |         | RR-051; RR-052; RR-053; RR-054; RR-055; RR-056;<br>RR-057; RR-058; RR-059; RR-060; RR-061; RR-062;<br>RR-063; RR-065; RR-066; RR-067; RR-069; RR-070;<br>RR-073; RR-074; RR-075; RR-078; RR-079; RR-080;<br>RR-081; RR-082; RR-083; RR-085; RR-086; RR-088;<br>RR-096; RR-097; RR-099; RR-100; RR-101; RR-102; |  |  |
|                          |         | RR-103; RR-104; RR-105; RR-106; RR-107; RR-109;<br>RR-110; RR-111; RR-112; RR-114; RR-115; RR-116;<br>RR-117; RR-118; RR-119; RR-121; RR-124; RR-125;<br>RR-126; RR-127; RR-128; RR-129; RR-130; RR-131;<br>RR-132; RR-133; RR-136; RR-138; RR-139; RR-140;<br>RR-141; RR-142; RR-143; RR-144; RR-145; RR-148; |  |  |
|                          |         | RR-150; RR-151; RR-152; RR-153; RR-154; RR-155;<br>RR-156; RR-157; RR-159; RR-160; RR-161; RR-162;<br>RR-163; RR-164; RR-165; RR-166; RR-168; RR-169;<br>RR-170; RR-171; RR-173; RR-174; RR-176; RR-177;<br>RR-182; RR-183; RR-184; RR-185; RR-187; RR-189;  |  |  |
|                          |         | RR-191; RR-192; RR-193; RR-194; RR-196; RR-197;<br>RR-198; RR-199; RR-200; RR-202; RR-203; RR-205;<br>RR-206; RR-207; RR-209; RR-211; RR-212; RR-214;<br>RR-215; RR-216; RR-217; RR-219; RR-220; RR-221;<br>RR-222; RR-223; RR-224; RR-225; RR-226; RR-227;  |  |  |
|                          |         | RR-228; RR-229; RR-234; RR-237; RR-239; RR-246;<br>RR-247; RR-248; RR-249; RR-251; RR-252; RR-253;<br>RR-254; RR-255; RR-256; RR-257; RR-258; RR-262;<br>RR-263; RR-264; RR-265; RR-266; RR-268; RR-271;<br>RR-272; RR-273; RR-274; RR-275; RR-276; RR-277;  |  |  |
|                          |         | RR-278; RR-280; RR-284; RR-287; RR-288; RR-290;<br>RR-291; RR-292; RR-293; RR-294; RR-295; RR-296;<br>RR-301; RR-302; RR-303; RR-304; RR-305; RR-306;<br>RR-307; RR-311; RR-312; RR-313; RR-314; RR-315;<br>RR-316; RR-318; RR-323; RR-325; RR-326; RR-327;<br>RR-328; RR-329; RR-330; RR-332; RR-335; RR-336; |  |  |



| Theme Options           | Acronym | Relevant Representations responded to through the Theme Option   |  |  |
|-------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
|                         |         | RR-337; RR-338; RR-339; RR-343; RR-346; RR-348;<br>RR-349  |  |  |
| Transport and<br>Access | TRA-xx  | RR-004; RR-005; RR-007; RR-010; RR-019; RR-028;<br>RR-034; RR-054; RR-055; RR-061; RR-062; RR-068;<br>RR-071; RR-075; RR-080; RR-081; RR-082; RR-088;<br>RR-094; RR-095; RR-098; RR-100; RR-101; RR-102;<br>RR-106; RR-110; RR-115; RR-121; RR-127; RR-131;<br>RR-139; RR-142; RR-149; RR-153; RR-154; RR-158;<br>RR-168; RR-169; RR-173; RR-183; RR-187; RR-190;<br>RR-193; RR-194; RR-196; RR-217; RR-219; RR-220;<br>RR-222; RR-247; RR-252; RR-256; RR-263; RR-274;<br>RR-280; RR-284; RR-292; RR-303; RR-305; RR-325;<br>RR-329; RR-335; RR-343 |  |  |
| Waste                   | WAS-xx  | RR-011; RR-021; RR-037; RR-044; RR-053; RR-070;<br>RR-129; RR-131; RR-156; RR-157; RR-193; RR-205;<br>RR-257; RR-272; RR-327   |  |  |



#### 2 The Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations

#### 2.1 The Applicant's Responses to the Host and Neighbouring Local Authorities

 Table 2.1.1: Applicant's Response to Lincolnshire County Council [RR-188]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------|--|---|---|
| LCC-01    | General | Examination and<br>Structure of<br>Relevant<br>Representation. | "Following the Planning Inspectorate<br>confirmation that the above project has been<br>accepted as an application for a Development<br>Consent Order (DCO) to construct a solar energy<br>park, Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) request<br>to be registered as an Interested Party at the<br>Examination.  | The Applicant notes this comment and has<br>provided responses to each of the matters raised<br>in the Relevant Representation below. |
|           |         |  | This letter provides a summary of the issues<br>which LCC currently agrees/and or disagrees with<br>together with an appropriate explanation in<br>accordance with Planning Inspectorate note 8.3.<br>In summary an outline of the principal topics<br>which LCC intends to address in relation to the<br>application during the examination will cover the<br>following: |   |
|           |         |  | • Minerals and waste – as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority;  |   |
|           |         |  | • Highways and Transportation - as Local Highway Authority for Lincolnshire;  |   |
|           |         |  | Cultural Heritage;  |   |
|           |         |  | • Landscape and Visual Impact;  |   |



| Reference | Theme             | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
|           |                   |                         | <ul><li>Fire Safety;</li><li>Public Rights of Way;</li></ul>  |                                   |
|           |                   |                         | <ul> <li>Surface Water, Flooding and Drainage – as<br/>Lead Local flood Authority for Drainage;</li> </ul>  |                                   |
|           |                   |                         | • Carbon Reduction;   |                                   |
|           |                   |                         | Agricultural Land use;  |                                   |
|           |                   |                         | • Growth;   |                                   |
|           |                   |                         | • Public Health."   |                                   |
| LCC-02    | Minerals<br>Waste | Mineral<br>Safeguarding | "For the solar array locations, only a very small<br>part of just one of the sites affects safeguarded<br>mineral resources, and due to the nature of the<br>proposals the Council remain satisfied that<br>sterilisation would be negligible. As before, there<br>are no existing/allocated mineral sites in<br>proximity to any of the PV sites so again, no<br>safeguarding implications.  | The Applicant notes this comment. |
|           |                   |                         | Regarding the cable route corridors, these have<br>been refined since the PEIR has been produced,<br>and it is noted that, as set out in the ES, "the<br>Cable Route Corridor has been designed so that<br>wherever possible cable routes follow existing<br>infrastructure corridors or alternatively follow the<br>edge of significant landscape features rather than<br>directly crossing open fields. Such an approach<br>avoids creating a further obstruction to the future<br>exploitation of the mineral resource" this |                                   |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
|           |                         |                                | approach aligns with the Councils previous<br>discussions with the developer. It is also noted<br>that the proposed cable route in the vicinity of<br>the River Trent overlaps with those of other<br>proposed solar projects in the area, therefore<br>minimising cumulative impact on the<br>safeguarded mineral resources in this area.<br>The Council therefore have no mineral<br>safeguarding objections to the application."   |  |
| LCC-03    | Transport and<br>Access | ES Chapter                     | "Consider that the assessment within the<br>Transport and Access Chapter is appropriate and<br>provides a reasonable estimate of HGV and car<br>traffic associated with the development during<br>construction. For most of the construction routes<br>the impact will be within acceptable levels on the<br>highway network."  | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| LCC-04    | Transport and<br>Access | HGV Access to<br>West Burton 1 | "However, concern is raised regarding the access<br>route proposed for West Burton 1. This is<br>proposed to use around 1.2km of the unclassified<br>road south of A1500 (Figure 6.1 of TA). The<br>number of daily vehicles using this, associated<br>with the development, would be five HGVs and 23<br>cars. This is in addition to the surveyed flows of<br>around 200 existing daily vehicles on this route.<br>This road is a single track road around 3m in<br>width, passing cars need to use the verge and for<br>cars passing HGVs it is problematic. The road is | The Applicant acknowledges this comment. As set<br>out in Table 2.1 of the <b>6.3.14.1_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.1 Transport</b><br><b>Assessment Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]</b> , 183 vehicle<br>movements were recorded using this road during<br>an average weekday (24 hour). Adding the<br>construction traffic flow to the 2025 traffic flows<br>equates to 249 vehicle movements. Over a 24-<br>hour period, this represents a very low volume of<br>traffic. |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
|           |                         |                                | also not straight with several sharp bends over this short length."  | The raw survey data is included in Appendix B of the Transport Assessment.  |
| LCC-05    | Transport and<br>Access | AlL Access to<br>West Burton 1 | "Section 7 of the Transport Assessment (TA)<br>proposes this same route for abnormal loads,<br>with vehicles of 100 tonnes and 36m in length<br>using this route.<br>The TA suggest in Para 8.6 that temporary pass-<br>by bays will be created on narrower sections of<br>the highway and the DCO would allow powers to<br>make adjustments in the highway verge."  | The Applicant acknowledges this comment. As<br>stated, powers to make adjustments in the<br>highway verge for pass-by bays will be granted<br>through the updated <b>DCO</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| LCC-06    | Transport and<br>Access | HGV Access to<br>West Burton 1 | "Recommend that for construction traffic, the<br>applicant needs at this stage to identify where<br>passing bays will be located on this route, there<br>should be at least one bay on each straight<br>section of the route, making around three bays<br>over the 1.2km section. The proposed access<br>points (Access 1 and 2) are to be at existing field<br>accesses which are located on the bends. Layouts<br>of the access junctions need preparing with<br>swept paths for HGVs to show that two way<br>movements can occur and the extent of the<br>junction improvements necessary." | As stated, powers to make adjustments in the<br>highway verge for pass-by bays will be granted<br>through the <b>DCO [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .<br>To provide reassurances to LCC that passing bays<br>can be delivered, indicative passing locations are<br>shown in Drawing SK18, Drawing SK19 and<br>Drawing SK20, contained in <b>6.3.14.1_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1</b><br><b>Transport Assessment Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]</b> . One passing<br>location is shown per straight segment. All<br>passing locations are deliverable within the<br>highway boundary, but will use the verge in<br>places. In order to protect existing hedgerows<br>and tree roots, no dig construction methods will<br>be applied. |



| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue                              | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| LCC-07    | Transport and<br>Access                  | AIL Access to<br>West Burton 1     | "Do not consider this route is suitable for<br>abnormal loads of 100 tonnes and 36m in length.<br>The road is a rural lane which is not constructed<br>for these loads and the width and alignment<br>would prohibit such a large vehicle using this<br>route. The Wynn Report included in the Appendix<br>to the TA shows the route in Appendix 1 and<br>drawing number 22-1062.SPA04 shows road<br>widening necessary on first bend – this involves<br>land outside the highway boundary and the<br>widening required on the next bend (about 450m<br>to the west) has not been shown although the<br>abnormal load would need to go further west to<br>reach the first access into the site. There is no<br>evidence provided that the road construction is<br>capable of taking this abnormal load." | As set out in Table 7.1 of the <b>6.3.14.1_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1</b><br><b>Transport Assessment Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]</b> , there will be just<br>a single abnormal delivery to West Burton 1. This<br>will be undertaken by a five-axle bed with five<br>axel draw bar trailer, which is approximately 36m<br>in length. The swept path analysis of this vehicle<br>is shown in Appendix B. This shows that the<br>vehicle can manoeuvre along the unnamed road<br>to the West Burton 1 access, within the highway<br>land, or land within the red line.<br>Prior to the abnormal load movement, all<br>appropriate notifications will be made, including<br>to LCC, National Highways and the Police. This will<br>include a full route assessment and identification<br>of where widening of carriageway or the use of<br>protective matting is required. |
| LCC-08    | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Surface Water<br>Drainage Strategy | "The surface water drainage strategy is<br>appropriate for the development and an<br>appropriate worded requirement can be included<br>within the DCO for the full details."   | The Applicant notes this comment and that<br>requirement 11 'Surface and foul water drainage<br>'in <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> secures<br>provision of a detailed surface water drainage<br>scheme.   |
| LCC-09    | Transport and<br>Access                  | DCO<br>Requirements                | "The DCO includes appropriate requirements for<br>detailed design approval of access, parking,<br>construction traffic management, drainage to be<br>approved by the LPA prior to commencement."   | The Applicant notes this comment and that<br>requirement 11 'Surface and foul water drainage<br>'in <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> secures  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
|           | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage          |   |  | provision of a detailed surface water drainage scheme.  |
|           | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)              |   |  |   |
| LCC-10    | Transport and<br>Access                           | Public Rights of<br>Way                     | "The Council will make comments in relation to<br>Public Rights of Way in the Local Impact Report."  | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
|           | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation |   |  |   |
| LCC-11    | Cultural<br>Heritage                              | Adequacy of<br>Archaeological<br>Evaluation | "Inadequate field evaluation has been<br>undertaken with 342 trenches across 886ha, less<br>than 0.34% of the red line boundary. Informed<br>appropriate mitigation measures therefore<br>cannot exist for nearly 80% of the site, and<br>proposed 'preservation in situ' mitigation for<br>those archaeologically sensitive areas which have<br>been identified would cause damage and<br>destruction to uninvestigated and unrecorded<br>significant archaeology. Neither evaluation nor<br>proposed mitigation has been competently<br>undertaken and the submitted documents are<br>not robust." | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with<br>Lincolnshire Historic Place Team (LHPT) and<br>considers that sufficient evaluation, which is<br>proportionate and in scope for the stage at which<br>the Scheme has reached, has been undertaken to<br>inform the DCO Application. The evaluation works<br>are also sufficient to inform any required post-<br>consent works as detailed and secured through<br><b>6.3.13.7 Environmental Statement - Appendix</b><br><b>13.7 Archaeological Mitigation WSI</b> (Written<br>Scheme of Investigation) <b>[APP-122]</b> .<br>Implementation of the Scheme in accordance<br>with the WSI is secured by Requirement 12 of<br>Schedule 2 in <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant considers that they have taken a<br>reasonable, proportionate and consistent<br>approach supported by national and local<br>guidance and best practice, which has enabled<br>the collection of high-quality reliable data. This<br>has provided an adequate understanding of the<br>archaeological potential and developmental<br>impacts as set out in <b>6.2.13 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 13_Cultural Heritage</b><br>[ <b>APP-051</b> ] and has been used to formulate an<br>appropriate mitigation strategy as set out in<br><b>6.3.13.7 Environmental Statement - Appendix</b><br><b>13.7 Archaeological Mitigation WSI [APP-122].</b> |
|           |       |       |                         | In the first instance the archaeological<br>assessment comprised: 6.3.13.1 Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 13.1 Archaeological<br>Desk-Based Assessments [APP-105 to APP-108],<br>6.3.13.2 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br>13.2 Archaeological Geophysical Survey<br>Reports [APP-109 to APP-114], 6.3.13.3<br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.3<br>Geoarchaeological DBA (Desk-Based<br>Assessment) [APP-115] and 6.3.13.4   |
|           |       |       |                         | <b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.4 AP</b><br>(Air Photo) <b>and LiDAR Reports [APP-116]</b> , which<br>successfully identified the absence/ presence/<br>extent of archaeological sites within the Order<br>Limits of the Scheme. An informed programmed<br>of archaeological evaluation trial trenching was  |



| Reference Them | e Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|----------------|---------|-------------------------|---|
|                |         |                         | undertaken as part of the West Burton Scheme,<br>which comprised 358 trenches (overall sample of<br>0.36% of the whole Scheme area). The results of<br>which, as detailed in <b>6.3.13.6 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 13.6 Archaeological</b><br><b>Evaluation Trenching Reports [APP-120</b> to <b>APP-<br/>121]</b> , both verified the results of the non-intrusive<br>assessments, and where archaeological deposits<br>have been identified, provided further<br>information regarding their extent, character,<br>preservation and archaeological significance.   |
|                |         |                         | The Applicant considers that, in accordance with<br>the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan (Paragraph<br>10.0.16, and Policy S57) and the Overarching<br>National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1)<br>(revised March 2023; Paragraph 5.9.26), there<br>should be a preference to preserving<br>archaeological remains. As identified in the<br>National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy<br>Infrastructure (EN-3) (March 2023; Paragraph<br>3.10.101) as a potential benefit of solar PV<br>developments, the Applicant would like to<br>highlight the positive effect the Scheme will have<br>on the archaeological features identified within<br>the Scheme's Order Limits, which are currently at<br>risk from the impacts of ploughing (Paragraphs<br>13.7.43 and 13.7.44 of <b>6.2.13 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 13_Cultural Heritage</b> |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------------|---|--|---|
|           |                      |   |  | Applicant has proposed "preservation in-situ"<br>either in the form of 'no development' areas, non-<br>intrusive concrete anchors or directional drilling<br>(cable route), to minimise harm to buried<br>archaeological remains and where possible allow<br>the archaeological resource within the site to be<br>conserved in-situ.  |
|           |                      |   |  | The Applicant considers that this approach<br>provides a sufficient level of baseline information,<br>as captured within Section 13.5 of <b>6.2.13</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter</b><br><b>13_Cultural Heritage [APP-051]</b> , on which the<br>Examining Authority can issue a recommendation<br>and the Secretary of State to determine the DCO<br>Application, allowing for suitable archaeological<br>mitigation to be carried out pursuant to the<br>implementation of <b>6.3.13.7 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 13.7 Archaeological</b><br><b>Mitigation WSI [APP-122]</b> which is secured by<br>Requirement 12 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
| LCC-12    | Cultural<br>Heritage | Adequacy of<br>Archaeological<br>Evaluation | "Significant concerns were raised regarding the<br>applicant's proposed approach during the pre-<br>application stage, particularly regarding the<br>extremely limited amount of proposed trenching.<br>To find a way forward a meeting was held with<br>LCC archaeologists, the applicant, their<br>archaeological consultants (Lanpro) and the | The Applicant acknowledges that there was<br>difficulty agreeing an appropriate sample for<br>evaluation trial trenching. In May 2022 LHPT<br>requested 3% of the Scheme area to be sampled<br>with a 1% contingency. The Applicant considered<br>this request to be unreasonable and<br>disproportionate taking into account the nature  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | Planning Inspectorate. The applicant agreed in<br>the meeting to a programme of around 2%<br>evaluation trenching (with a 2% contingency) of<br>the entire redline boundary in order to provide<br>sufficient data to adequately inform the EIA, ES<br>Chapter and provide a basis for an outline<br>mitigation strategy to be submitted with the DCO<br>application.<br>It has become clear that 2% trenching has taken<br>place only in certain parts of the redline<br>boundary totalling 21% of the site. Despite this<br>the submitted documents present the Cultural<br>Heritage section is presented as completely<br>assessed and evaluated with a full and complete<br>understanding of the archaeological resource<br>across the site. This is not the case.<br>All the areas within the redline boundary and the<br>grid connection corridor which have not been<br>subject to evaluation trenching remain unknown<br>in terms of archaeological potential, therefore<br>impact cannot be determined nor can there be<br>informed effective reasonable mitigation." | and limited ground impact of the majority of the<br>Scheme. As agreement could not be reached, a<br>meeting was held between the Applicant, LHPT<br>and the Planning Inspectorate on 09.06.2022, in<br>which LHPT reiterated their requirement for a 3%<br>area trenching sample (with a 1% contingency). In<br>the meeting, both parties agreed a staged<br>approach to trenching, commencing on sensitive<br>locations identified by the geophysical survey.<br>At no point during the meeting did the Applicant<br>agree to carry out a 2% sample with a 1%<br>contingency for the entire Scheme area.<br>The WSI detailed methodology for pre-application<br>evaluation trial trenching, and its accompanying<br>initial trench plans, focused on areas where<br>geophysical survey and other non-intrusive<br>survey had identified buried archaeological<br>deposits and was agreed by email between the<br>Applicant and LHPT on the 14.07.2022. The<br>sample of trenching used for the agreed trench<br>plans was in line with the coverage agreed with<br>LHPT on the nearby Cottam Solar Project (2%<br>sample with a 2% contingency; email pertaining<br>to the Cottam Scheme dated the 17.06.2022). No<br>agreement was reached between the Applicant<br>and LHPT regarding 'blank' areas where<br>geophysical and other non-intrusive surveys had<br>not identified buried archaeological remains, as<br>evidenced by the meeting notes produced by the |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | Planning Inspectorate for the meeting between<br>the Planning Inspectorate, LHPT and the<br>Applicant on the 09.06.2022 (6.3.13.9<br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.9<br>Consultation Response Tables [APP-124]).  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant does not consider that an<br>uninformed high sample (i.e. 2% area) of<br>evaluation trenching across the entire Order<br>Limits of the Scheme is reasonable or<br>proportionate to understand the likely significant<br>effects of the Scheme on archaeological assets.<br>The approach proposed by LHPT does not have<br>regard to baseline and survey data, which has<br>proven to be reliable.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant also considers that the LHPT<br>requirements are inconsistent with other NSIP<br>solar schemes in Lincolnshire currently going<br>through the DCO Application process. For<br>example, the sample of evaluation trenching<br>agreed to by LHPT for the nearby Gate Burton<br>Scheme, estimated by the Applicant to be 1.16%,<br>was considered by LHPT to be sufficient to inform<br>the Gate Burton DCO Application and mitigation<br>strategy. When asked directly, LHPT were unable<br>to provide a reasonable justification for the |
|           |       |       |                         | different trenching samples (for example, LHPT<br>did not refer to the difference in approach being<br>based on differences in the historic environment<br>between the two schemes, such as a major   |



| Reference | Theme | lssue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | difference in the cultural heritage background of<br>the two sites.). The Applicant therefore considers<br>that LHPT has not adequately justified their<br>request for a programme of trenching with a high<br>2% (plus contingency) sample.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The applicant also highlights that the sample of<br>evaluation trial trenching greatly exceeds the<br>coverage deemed appropriate on other solar-<br>based NSIPs that have been approved by the<br>Planning Inspectorate, for example the applicant<br>has calculated the consented Little Crow Solar<br>Park (EN010101) in North Lincolnshire undertook<br>an evaluation trial trenching sample of c.0.47%<br>and the recently-consented Longfield Solar<br>Project (EN010118) in Essex undertook c.0.08%<br>sample.   |
|           |       |       |                         | If further informative trenching across the<br>Scheme is assessed to be necessary, the<br>Applicant considers that, in accordance with<br>correspondence with the Planning Inspectorate<br>(6.3.13.9 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br>13.9 Consultation Response Tables [APP-124]),<br>and guided by numerous case studies in the East<br>Midlands, Yorkshire and the Humber and North<br>East Regions, this should be undertaken post-<br>consent of the DCO Application at a 1% area<br>sample. If this was to be undertaken in this case,<br>it is estimated that the overall sample of |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|----------------------|---|---|--|
|           |                      |   |   | (which equates to 18% of the Order Limits<br>undertaken at a 2% sample completed pre-<br>determination and 82% of the Order Limits at a<br>1% sample undertaken pre-construction) and<br>would be in line with what was considered<br>sufficient by LHPT for the nearby Gate Burton<br>Solar Project.  |
|           |                      |   |   | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with LHPT's<br>comments on the adequacy of the approach<br>adopted and considers that the level of work<br>undertaken has provided sufficient information<br>and enabled the production of a robust<br>Environmental Statement chapter (6.2.13<br>Environmental Statement - Chapter<br>13_Cultural Heritage [APP-051] on which to<br>determine the DCO Application and inform<br>suitable archaeological mitigation to be carried<br>out pursuant to the implementation of 6.3.13.7<br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.7<br>Archaeological Mitigation WSI [APP-122] which<br>is secured by Requirement 12 of Schedule 2 to<br>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
| LCC-13    | Cultural<br>Heritage | Adequacy of<br>Management and<br>Mitigation<br>Measures | "This is a landscape where archaeology has been<br>revealed within 20cm below the existing ground<br>surface: in the neighbouring Cottam application<br>area this has included fragile Saxon human<br>remains which were entirely unexpected. | As referenced by LHPT, burials of an early<br>medieval date were identified as part of the<br>archaeological evaluation works for the nearby<br>Cottam Solar Project (EN010133). The burials<br>were located adjacent to contemporaneous<br>ditches that were recorded by a geophysical  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | As well as completely inadequate evaluation the<br>proposed mitigation shows little attempt at<br>reasonable measures which adequately deal with<br>development impact. The applicants 'Preservation<br>in situ' section 7.2 of Appendix 13.7: Written<br>Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological<br>Mitigation states they will use concrete ground<br>anchors. This proposed mitigation is entirely<br>inappropriate and unacceptable and would cause<br>any surviving archaeology, especially in areas of<br>shallow deposits which encompasses much of<br>this agricultural landscape, to be damaged or<br>destroyed without investigation and recording.<br>There would be compaction when the ground<br>anchors are installed, settling and readjustment<br>during the decades of operational life and ground<br>disturbance when the ground anchors are ripped<br>out in decommissioning as the land will need to<br>be restored 'to its preconstruction condition at<br>the end of the operation.' (Outline<br>Decommissioning Statement section 2.1.6) There<br>is no mention of archaeology in the Outline<br>Decommissioning Mitigation and Management<br>Measures." | survey undertaken as part of the field evaluation<br>for the Scheme, and so archaeological features in<br>this area were not unexpected. The burials were<br>located at depths of between 30 and 40cm and<br>had been heavily disturbed by plough damage,<br>hence their 'fragile nature'. Consequently, the<br>Cottam Solar Project has proposed a mechanism<br>to record and preserve the inhumations in<br>advance of the proposed development and<br>protect them from impact by agricultural activity<br>(as detailed in Table 6.1.1 of <b>Cottam Solar</b><br><b>Project EN010133: C6.3.13.7 ES Appendix 13.7</b><br><b>Archaeological Mitigation WSI [APP-131]</b> ). No<br>burials were encountered during archaeological<br>evaluation works for the West Burton Scheme.<br>In line with the Central LincoInshire Local Plan<br>(Paragraph 10.0.16, and Policy S57) and the<br>Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy<br>(EN-1) (revised March 2023; Paragraph 5.9.26),<br>the Applicant considers that there should be a<br>preference for conserving heritage assets.<br>Consequently, where possible the Applicant has<br>recommended that archaeological remains are<br>safeguarded by mitigation through in-situ<br>preservation either in the form of 'no<br>development' areas, non-intrusive concrete<br>anchors or directional drilling (cable route).<br>Concrete anchors are a nationally recognised<br>method for archaeological mitigation by design. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | This is demonstrated by guidance provided by<br>Cornwall Council (BRE National Solar Centre,<br>2013, P.13), and the numerous examples of solar<br>schemes where LPAs have agreed the use of<br>concrete anchors to safeguard buried<br>archaeological remains. For example, schemes<br>where concrete anchors have been considered<br>appropriate archaeological mitigation include:<br>The Grange (19/01408/FULM) in Nottinghamshire,<br>Land south-east Of A6108 Darlington Road<br>(21/00931/FULL) in North Yorkshire, Eastfield<br>Farm (19/04321/STPLF) in East Riding of<br>Yorkshire, Conesby Solar Park (PA/2018/2140) in<br>North Lincolnshire, Vine Farm, Shingay-cum-<br>Wendy (S/1067/14/FL) in Cambridgeshire.     |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant believes that careful consideration<br>should be given to the appropriateness of<br>intrusive archaeological mitigation works (i.e.<br>mitigation by record) for the majority of the<br>Scheme where mitigation by record has the<br>potential to cause greater impact than that<br>caused by the Scheme. For example, the solar<br>panel ground anchors are estimated to cause<br>minimal ground impact (estimated to impact<br>c.0.07% of the area denoted by Works Nos. 1A (i,<br>ii, iii), 1B (i, ii, iii) and 1C (i, ii, iii) on <b>2.3_B Works</b><br><b>Plan Revision B [EN010132/EX1/WB2.3_B]</b> ). The<br>Applicant considers that concrete anchors will<br>provide an opportunity to prevent any impact |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
|           |                                |  |   | within areas of the Scheme in which<br>concentrations of archaeological remains have<br>been identified.  |
|           |                                |  |   | The Applicant would also like to highlight that<br>during the field evaluation, it was identified that<br>ploughing was causing a high level of destruction<br>to archaeological deposits. Consequently, the<br>Applicant considers that the Scheme will provide<br>an opportunity to protect archaeological remains<br>that are currently at risk of destruction from<br>agricultural activity (Paragraphs 13.7.43 and<br>13.7.44, <b>6.2.13 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 13_Cultural Heritage [APP-051]</b> ). |
|           |                                |  |   | As stated in Paragraph 13.7.54 <b>[APP-051]</b> , a<br>Decommissioning Environmental Management<br>Plan will be prepared prior to decommissioning<br>and agreed with relevant stake holders. This is<br>secured by Requirement 12 of Schedule 2 to<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |
| LCC-14    | Cultural<br>Heritage           | Impacts to<br>Archaeology from         | "Looking through the submission documents there are also extensive further ground impacts   | Landscape and ecological mitigation is proposed across the Scheme to enhance existing habitats  |
|           | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity    | Ecology and<br>Landscape<br>Mitigation | from other proposed mitigations such as wildlife<br>ponds, miscanthus planting, woodland and<br>shelterbelt planting, and bird habitat scrapes up | and green infrastructure, as detailed in 7.3_A<br>Outline Landscape and Ecological<br>Management Plan Revision A  |
|           | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Measures                               | to 0.5m deep. All these proposed mitigations<br>have significant below ground impacts yet the<br>potential impact on surviving archaeological     | [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A], 6.4.8.18.1-3<br>Environmental Statement - Figure 8.18.1-3 -<br>Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | remains is not known, and again no<br>archaeological mitigation is proposed." | Enhancement Measures Plans (Figures 8.18.1 to<br>8.18.3) [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A].<br>These documents are secured through<br>Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 to 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |       |       |   | The landscape and ecological mitigation have<br>been informed by cultural heritage, see <b>6.2.13</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 13<br>Cultural Heritage [APP-051].   |
|           |       |       |   | Additional views suggested by Lincolnshire<br>County Council and Nottinghamshire County<br>Council, that include locations where heritage<br>assets may be affected, are also taken into<br>account within the Landscape and Visual Impact<br>Assessment, see <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual</b> |
|           |       |       |   | <b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') at<br>Section 8.2. Detailed overlap and consultation<br>with the Heritage topic areas has also been<br>undertaken when developing the landscape and<br>visual baseline and in identifying landscape and<br>visual effects for the LVIA Chapter.   |
|           |       |       |   | Where the evaluation has identified a potential<br>for archaeological remains to be present<br>mitigation in the form of 'strip, map and record'<br>has been proposed (for example: WB1/03,<br>WB2/07, WBCR/02 – see <b>6.3.13.7 Environmental</b>  |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                            | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                      |                                  |  | <b>Statement - Appendix 13.7 Archaeological</b><br><b>Mitigation WSI [APP-122]</b> ). Where non-intrusive<br>survey and assessment, for example geophysical<br>survey and evaluation trenching, has not<br>identified archaeological remains (for example<br>within West Burton 1 Field M3, West Burton 2<br>Field N1 and West Burton 3 Fields Q1, Q5, Q6, Q7,<br>Q8), the Applicant considers that no<br>archaeological mitigation is required.                                     |
|           |                      |                                  |  | If further archaeological mitigation is required in<br>advance of the implementation of specific<br>landscape and ecological mitigation, the Applicant<br>considered an archaeological watching brief<br>during topsoil stripping as part of the<br>construction process would be sufficient<br>mitigation (6.3.13.7 Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 13.7 Archaeological Mitigation WSI<br>[APP-122]).   |
| LCC-15    | Cultural<br>Heritage | Adequacy of<br>Baseline Evidence | "The applicant has failed to provide a reasonable<br>baseline assessment of the archaeological<br>resource and the development's impact upon it.<br>This is contrary to relevant guidance and policy<br>and to professional standards and it means that<br>at this stage any proposed mitigation is<br>uninformed and therefore cannot be fit for<br>purpose. Further archaeological evaluation within<br>the red line boundary is necessary to understand<br>the extent, nature and significance of surviving | The Applicant respectfully disagrees that they<br>"have failed to provide a reasonable baseline<br>assessment of the archaeological resource and<br>the development's impact upon it". The Applicant<br>also respectfully disagrees that the assessment is<br>"contrary to relevant guidance and policy and to<br>professional standards".<br>The Applicant considers that the phased<br>programme of archaeological evaluation was<br>completed to a high standard and has produced |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
|           |       |       | archaeology so that appropriate mitigation can be determined." | high quality data that has sufficiently informed<br>the Environmental Statement submitted as part<br>of the DCO Application, as well as the need for<br>any pre-construction archaeological works.   |
|           |       |       |  | The first phase of assessment and field<br>evaluation comprising: <b>6.3.13.1 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 13.1 Archaeological</b><br><b>Desk-Based Assessments [APP-105</b> to <b>APP-108]</b> ,<br><b>6.3.13.2 Environmental Statement - Appendix</b><br><b>13.2 Archaeological Geophysical Survey</b><br><b>Reports [APP-109</b> to <b>APP-114]</b> , <b>6.3.13.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.3</b><br><b>Geoarchaeological DBA [APP-115]</b> and <b>6.3.13.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.4 AP</b><br><b>and LiDAR Reports [APP-116]</b> , successfully<br>identified numerous previously unrecorded sites.<br>In particular, the geophysical survey, which was<br>undertaken across all accessible areas within the<br>Scheme, identified numerous concentrations of<br>archaeological features, and was used to inform<br>the Scheme Design (for example the removal of<br>fields from the order limits next to the Deserted<br>Village of North Ingleby Scheduled Monument<br>(NHLE: 1003570). |
|           |       |       |  | Geophysical survey is an internationally<br>recognised evaluation methodology for<br>identifying the absence/presence of buried<br>archaeological remains. The Chartered Institute<br>for Archaeology (CIfA) Standards and Guidance  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | for Field Evaluation (2020) defines a field<br>evaluation as "a limited programme of non-<br>intrusive and/or intrusive fieldwork which<br>determines the presence or absence of<br>archaeological features, structures, deposits,<br>artefacts or ecofacts and their research potential,<br>within a specified area or site on land".   |
|           |       |       |                         | There are numerous examples of geophysical<br>survey being used as an evaluation technique<br>either in isolation or with a low sample of<br>targeted evaluation trial trenching to evaluate the<br>archaeological potential of land within solar<br>schemes in the east and north-east of England.<br>Examples of solar schemes approved in the last<br>five years taking this approach include: Land<br>south-east Of A6108 Darlington Road<br>(21/00931/FULL) in North Yorkshire, Conesby<br>House Farm (PA/2018/2140) in North<br>Lincolnshire, Eastfield Farm (19/04321/STPLF) in<br>East Riding of Yorkshire, Chestnut Farm<br>(P/21/2661/2) in Leicestershire and Vine Farm<br>(S/1067/14/FL) in Cambridgeshire), as well as the<br>recently consented NSIPs Longfield Solar Farm<br>(EN010118) and Little Crow Solar Park<br>(EN010101). |
|           |       |       |                         | The results of <b>6.3.13.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 13.2 Archaeological</b><br><b>Geophysical Survey Reports [APP-109</b> to <b>APP-</b><br><b>114]</b> were verified by a programme of evaluation   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | trial trenching (6.3.13.6 Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 13.6 Archaeological<br>Evaluation Trenching Reports [APP-120 to APP-<br>121]), which targeted both concentrations of<br>geophysical anomalies interpreted as being of an<br>archaeological origin and 'blank' areas where no<br>archaeological anomalies were identified. Where<br>archaeological features were encountered there<br>was an excellent correlation between the results<br>of the geophysical survey and evaluation trial<br>trenching, and the evaluation trial trenching was<br>considered to be sufficient to enhance<br>information regarding the extent, character,<br>preservation and significance of the<br>archaeological features. |
|           |       |       |                         | The combined programme of non-intrusive and<br>intrusive evaluation is considered by the<br>Applicant to have met the objectives of a field<br>evaluation as set out by ClfA (2020) and so is<br>sufficient to inform the DCO Application. Any<br>further archaeological works required will be<br>carried out pursuant to the implementation of<br><b>6.3.13.7 Environmental Statement - Appendix</b><br><b>13.7 Archaeological Mitigation WSI [APP-122]</b><br>which is secured by Requirement 12 of Schedule<br>2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b>  |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| LCC-16    | Cultural<br>Heritage | Archaeological<br>Potential | "Insufficient evaluation has been undertaken to<br>allow for an understanding of the archaeological<br>potential or to provide the basis for reasonable<br>mitigation to deal with the impacts of this<br>development." | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with LHPT<br>and considers that the results of the field<br>evaluation (geophysical survey, as well as those of<br>other non-intrusive techniques, coupled with<br>targeted and informed evaluation trial trenching)<br>are sufficient to identify the archaeological<br>potential within the Scheme's Order Limits, and<br>inform the DCO Application.  |
|           |                      |                             |   | The results of the evaluation trial trenching were<br>found by the Applicant to correspond with those<br>of the geophysical survey. No unexpected<br>archaeological sites were identified during the<br>evaluation trial trenching. Several 'blank' areas,<br>where geophysical survey had not identified<br>archaeological deposits, were tested and found<br>not to contain archaeological remains. For<br>example, the east of Fields M2 and M3 in West<br>Burton 1, west of Field N1 and east of Field N1 in<br>West Burton 2 and west of Field Q1, north-west of<br>Field Q7, and east of Fields Q15 and Q16 in West<br>Burton 3 <b>6.3.13.6 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 13.6 Archaeological Evaluation</b><br><b>Trenching Reports [APP-120</b> to <b>APP-121]</b> . |
|           |                      |                             |   | Therefore, the Applicant considers that the range<br>of research, non-intrusive surveys and evaluation<br>trenching has provided sufficient information as  |
|           |                      |                             |   | per ClfA guidelines (2020) to inform a robust mitigation strategy, as set out in <b>6.3.13.7</b>  |
|           |                      |                             |   | Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.7   |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------------|---|---|---|
|           |                      |   |   | Archaeological Mitigation WSI [APP-122] which<br>is secured by Requirement 12 of Schedule 2 to<br>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| LCC-17    | Cultural<br>Heritage | Policy and<br>Legislative<br>Requirements | "Sufficient baseline information on the<br>archaeology to be impacted across the site is<br>required by NPPF, EIA Regulations and National<br>Policy Statement EN-1 which states "The applicant<br>should ensure that the extent of the impact of the<br>proposed development on the significance of any<br>heritage assets affected can be adequately<br>understood from the application and supporting<br>documents (5.8.10)."" | The Applicant agrees that "sufficient baseline<br>information on the archaeology to be impacted<br>across the site is required by NPPF, EIA<br>Regulations and National Policy Statement EN-1".<br>The Applicant considers the approach taken and<br>conclusions reached in assessing the impacts of<br>the Scheme on cultural heritage assets (including<br>archaeology) within the Order Limits and study<br>areas as set out in <b>6.2.13 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 13 Cultural Heritage</b><br><b>[APP-051]</b> are appropriate and in line with<br>relevant policy and guidance including<br>Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact<br>Assessment) Regulations 2017 (Regulation 5 (2d)),<br>National Planning Statement Policy EN1 (2011;<br>Section 5.8) and the National Planning Policy<br>Framework.<br>In accordance with the draft Overarching National<br>Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1, revised March<br>2023; Paragraphs 5.9.10 and 5.9.11) and draft<br>National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy<br>Infrastructure (EN-3, revised March 2023;<br>Paragraphs 3.10.104 and 3.10.105) and NPPF<br>(Paragraph 194), the Applicant considers the level |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response                             |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | of detail provided in 6.2.13 Environmental       |
|           |       |       |                         | Statement - Chapter 13 Cultural Heritage         |
|           |       |       |                         | [APP-051] is proportionate to the assets'        |
|           |       |       |                         | importance and sufficient to understand the      |
|           |       |       |                         | potential impact of the proposed Scheme on their |
|           |       |       |                         | significance. The historic environmental record, |
|           |       |       |                         | as well as other data sources (i.e NHLE, NRHE,   |
|           |       |       |                         | PAS and historic maps) was consulted as part of  |
|           |       |       |                         | the assessment undertaken. Information           |
|           |       |       |                         | obtained is set out in 6.3.13.1 Environmental    |
|           |       |       |                         | Statement - Appendix 13.1 Archaeological         |
|           |       |       |                         | Desk-Based Assessments [APP-105 to APP-108].     |
|           |       |       |                         | As the area within the Order Limits had the      |
|           |       |       |                         | potential to contain heritage assets with an     |
|           |       |       |                         | archaeological interest, a field evaluation was  |
|           |       |       |                         | undertaken in accordance with The Chartered      |
|           |       |       |                         | Institute for Archaeology (CIfA) Standards and   |
|           |       |       |                         | Guidance for Field Evaluation (2020), and        |
|           |       |       |                         | comprised archaeological geophysical survey      |
|           |       |       |                         | reports (6.3.13.2 Environmental Statement -      |
|           |       |       |                         | Appendix 13.2 Archaeological Geophysical         |
|           |       |       |                         | Survey Reports [APP-109 to APP-114]) and a       |
|           |       |       |                         | programme of informed archaeological             |
|           |       |       |                         | evaluation trial trenching reports (6.3.13.6     |
|           |       |       |                         | Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.6          |
|           |       |       |                         | Archaeological Evaluation Trenching Reports      |
|           |       |       |                         | [APP-120 to APP-121]).                           |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant considers that the level of        |
|           |       |       |                         | assessment and evaluation has provided           |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
|           |                                |                                     |   | sufficient information to enable the production of<br>a robust ES Chapter on which the Secretary of<br>State can determine the DCO Application. The<br>data collected has informed suitable<br>archaeological mitigation, set out in <b>6.3.13.7</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.7</b><br><b>Archaeological Mitigation WSI [APP-122]</b> , which<br>is secured by Requirement 12 of Schedule 2 to<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order<br/>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |
| LCC-18    | Cultural<br>Heritage           | Further<br>Comments                 | "A fuller and detailed explanation of the Councils<br>concerns will be provided as part of the<br>subsequent Local Impact Report."  | The applicant notes this comment.  |
| LCC-19    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Accessibility of<br>Key Information | "The Council draw attention to the volume of<br>information provided within the LVIA and<br>associated appendices, which while very detailed,<br>makes the identification and clear understanding<br>of key landscape and visual issues (as well as<br>providing succinct review comments) difficult at<br>this stage." | The Applicant has submitted a summary and<br>narrative of effects at Deadline 1 set out in the<br>Supplementary ES Landscape Information:<br>Landscape Effects Tables<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB8.2.1] and in Supplementary<br>ES Landscape Information: Visual Effects Tables<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB8.2.2] which summarises the<br>main findings of the LVIA. This non-technical<br>summary is to assist readers understand the<br>conclusions of the LVIA and contains a list of<br>potentially affected receptors with summarising<br>narrative to provide context and identify what the<br>key issues are. |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|
| LCC-20    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact                    | ES Chapter: Non-<br>Technical<br>Summary        | "The main LVIA chapter is some 252 pages with<br>no clear summary or analysis of effects to<br>communicate to the reader the main findings of<br>the assessment, relying in cross referencing<br>numerous appendices. The Environmental<br>Statement: Non-Technical Summary [ <b>[APP-308]</b> ]<br>would particularly benefit from this for non-<br>technical readers as the landscape and visual<br>section contains a list of potentially affected<br>receptors with limited summarising narrative<br>provided to provide context or identify what the<br>key issues are.<br>However, it is understood that the volume of<br>information is in part due to the fragmented<br>nature of the development and cable routes<br>covering a wide area, creating additional<br>elements to consider beyond a simple, or singular<br>redline boundary. A lot of the supporting<br>information relating to the assessment.<br>Therefore, suggest the examination process is<br>utilised to provide a clearer and more succinct<br>identification and summary of the key landscape<br>and visual issues and effects." | The Applicant has submitted a summary and<br>narrative of effects at Deadline [1] set out in the<br>Supplementary ES Landscape Information:<br>Landscape Effects Tables<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB8.2.1] and in Supplementary<br>ES Landscape Information: Visual Effects Tables<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB8.2.2] which summarises the<br>main findings of the LVIA. This non-technical<br>summary is to assist readers understand the<br>conclusions of the LVIA and contains a list of<br>potentially affected receptors with summarising<br>narrative to provide context and identify what the<br>key issues are. |
| LCC-21    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Glint and Glare | Significant<br>Adverse Effects of<br>the Scheme | "By reason of its mass and scale, the proposed<br>development would lead to significant effects<br>upon landscape character and visual amenity.<br>The development has the potential to transform  | <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') takes into account the<br>effects on the landscape character in detail, from  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
|           |       |       | the local landscape by altering the character on a<br>large scale, which is likely to be exacerbated by<br>the fragmented nature of the separate<br>development plots connected by cable routes<br>spread over an extensive area." | the national scale (see paragraphs 8.5.11, 8.5.59<br>and 8.10.13), through regional (see paragraphs<br>8.5.17, 8.7.12 and 8.10.14), county district and<br>local scales (see paragraphs 8.5.26 and 8.5.35) to<br>the landscape character areas within the<br>identified 5km Study Area.  |
|           |       |       |  | Within the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> , it is acknowledged that<br>there will be a minor adverse change to the<br>character of the landscape at Site level and within<br>parts of the Regional Scale Landscape Character<br>Area – Profile 4a: Unwooded Vales (defined within<br>the East Midlands Regional Landscape Character<br>Assessment) during the construction and<br>operational (Year 1) phases of the Scheme. With<br>the Local Scale Landscape Character Area –<br>Profile 3: The Till Vale (defined within the West<br>Lindsey Landscape Character Assessment), it is<br>also acknowledged that there will be a minor<br>adverse change at Site level during the<br>construction and operational (Year 1) phases of<br>the Scheme. For further information, please refer<br>to <b>6.3.8.2 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br/>8.2 Assessment of Potential Landscape Effects</b><br><b>[APP-073]</b> . These associated appendices provide<br>a detailed assessment of the effects on each |
|           |       |       |  | landscape receptor including the character areas<br>from the East Midlands Regional Landscape<br>Character Assessment and the West Lindsey<br>District Landscape Character Assessment.   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> has concluded for the 5km Study Area<br>and the Site, there areno likely significant adverse<br>effects for the construction stages of the Scheme<br>on land use. Taking into account the impacts of<br>embedded and additional mitigation there are<br>also no likely significant effects for the operation<br>(Year 1 and Year 15) stages of the Scheme and<br>these effects would be beneficial (see paragraphs<br>8.7.14 to 8.7.18). Parts of the LVIA Chapter of the<br>Environmental Statement has also concluded that<br>there are no likely-significant adverse effects for<br>the construction, operation (Year 1 and Year 15)<br>and decommissioning stages of the Scheme on<br>topography and watercourses and that<br>enhancing the visibility of streams, dykes and<br>other watercourses in the landscape would bring<br>forward some positive benefits. The landscape<br>proposals for the Site protect belts of waterside<br>trees and riparian habitats to distinguish these<br>watercourses in the landscape. The planting of<br>trees and replacing lost hedgerows in flood plains<br>to improve landscape character and attenuate<br>flood flows is also an important element of the<br>secondary landscape mitigation (See paragraphs<br>8.7.19 to 8.7.22). |
|           |       |       |                         | The Scheme comprises a series of separate areas of land or Sites (see Sections 3.3 to 3.6 of <b>6.2.3 ES</b>   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | <b>Chapter 3_The Order Limits [APP-041]</b> ) which<br>are set within an extensive agricultural landscape.<br>With large areas of land between each of the<br>Sites, each is set apart by their associated<br>features such as robust hedgerows, woodland<br>and tree cover, intervening settlements and road<br>and rail infrastructure (see paragraphs 8.5.115,<br>8.5.132 and 8.5.148 of 6.2.8 ES Chapter<br>8_Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-<br>046]).   |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> draws out the benefits of the<br>Scheme being spread over a large area with<br>separation between sites reducing intervisibility<br>both in combination and cumulatively with other<br>solar projects. For example, (para. 8.10.26) with<br>the Gate Burton Energy Park, this is to the north<br>of Willingham Road where woodland associated<br>with Gate Burton and mature roadside woodland<br>along the east west Willingham Road and the<br>A1500 provides separation between Gate Burton<br>Energy Park and the WB3 Site. This woodland is<br>ensuring that these developments occupy<br>separate landscape compartments and maintain<br>spatial separation. |
|           |       |       |                         | With separation and cumulate effects, for<br>example with the Cottam proposal, this is<br>illustrated on 6.4.8.17.1 Environmental Statement<br>- Figure 8.17.1 - Cumulative Development<br>Augmented ZTV - Cottam <b>[APP-277]</b> , as being  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | located to the north east of the settlements of<br>Stow and Willingham. This is showing that the<br>cumulative effects of these projects would<br>therefore not occur due to the significant<br>distance between them. The LVIA concludes that<br>with Regional Character Areas and Individual<br>Contributors to Landscape Character, there is<br>potential for cumulative effects, but that these<br>would be <b>Not Significant</b> . The LVIA sets out<br>(para. 8.10.86) for example, with regard to<br>Viewpoint LCC-A-Middle Street that <i>"There may be<br/>opportunities (depending upon weather and<br/>atmospheric visibility) for successional glimpses of<br/>the West Burton and Cottam Sites. However, if<br/>available, this would be very glimpsed, transient and<br/>filtered by vegetation across the landscape and<br/>would be regarded as two detached solar schemes in<br/>two separate land parcels."</i> |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA Methodology <b>[APP-072]</b> that underpins<br>the assessment places a reliance on planting to<br>mitigate adverse effects setting out the three<br>ways in which this mitigation has been<br>approached (para. 1.1.34) being 'primary',<br>'secondary' and 'tertiary' mitigation. With regard<br>to 'secondary mitigation', the methodology<br>considers these measures to be established for<br>Year 15 of the Scheme and that "Assessing the<br>impacts of the Scheme at Year 15 is considered to<br>be appropriate in the context of landscape  |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
|           |                                |   |  | character and visual amenity, since it is judged to<br>be the most effective in terms of effectiveness of<br>maturation of planting and the 'time depth' of the<br>receiving landscape". These 'secondary' measures<br>look to add inherent value to the landscape<br>character and reduce visual impacts of the<br>Scheme and its environs and to exceed planning<br>policy expectations. |
| LCC-22    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Changes to Land<br>Use Across a<br>Large Area   | "The Council are particularly concerned about the<br>effects upon the landscape character through<br>changes to the land use, which would be spread<br>throughout a wide area, rather than a more<br>focussed development plot being read as a solar<br>development occupying a single site in a wider<br>landscape.<br>However, the development has been identified in<br>the LVIA as resulting in no residual adverse<br>significant effects to any landscape receptors,<br>assessing the residual effects as being beneficial<br>to landscape receptors, which appears overly | Refer to LCC-21 above as this comment is dealing<br>with the same issues.  |
| LCC-23    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Significant<br>Adverse Effects of<br>the Scheme | reliant upon mitigation planting"<br>"The scale and extent of development would also<br>lead to significant adverse effects on views from<br>receptors, changing from views within an<br>agricultural or rural landscape to that of a<br>landscape containing extensive areas of large<br>scale solar. Also, while landscape mitigation may<br>screen elements once established, it also has the   | <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') includes a full and detailed<br>assessment that deals with both effects (see<br>paragraph 8.4.23) on the landscape itself and<br>effects on the visual amenity of people, as well as<br>changing views. The LVIA has been prepared                      |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
|           |       |       | potential to change the character and extent of<br>existing views by shortening or enclosing<br>currently open views across the landscape.<br>Despite this, the development has been identified<br>in the LVIA as resulting in no residual adverse<br>significant changes to any receptors." | following an iterative processes (see paragraphs<br>8.4.5, 8.6.1, 8.8.2, 8.8.8.3 and 8.11.1) and as a<br>result, the design of the Scheme changed to<br>respond to the findings of the assessment to<br>ensure that landscape mitigation is fully<br>considered as part of the process. The<br>assessment has been undertaken in accordance<br>with the methodology set out in <b>6.3.8.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.1 LVIA</b><br><b>Methodology [APP-072]</b> .  |
|           |       |       |  | With regard to open views across the landscape,<br>the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> has taken into account and<br>assessed viewpoints, residential, transport and<br>PRoW receptors. For example with WB1, this<br>includes viewpoint VP01 <b>[APP-194]</b> where in<br>facing north east there are distant and wide open<br>views towards the far horizon at Brattleby and<br>Scampton and with viewpoint VP03 <b>[APP-196]</b><br>there is open visibility facing west across the River<br>Till. For WB2, this includes viewpoint LCC-C-E<br><b>[APP-255]</b> where in facing north east, open views<br>are framed by woodland cover in the foreground.<br>For WB3, this includes LCC-C-K <b>[APP-261]</b> where<br>in facing both north east and south east, there<br>are open views across the River Trent and its<br>associated floodplain towards the wider<br>landscape. |
|           |       |       |  | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | <b>[APP-046]</b> includes a suite of 57 viewpoints (see<br>paragraph 8.5.179 and Table 16) that cover a<br>wide range of visual receptors, including public<br>locations such as transport routes, PRoW and<br>residential properties. There are also an<br>additional 15 viewpoints that have been included<br>in the assessment at the request of Lincolnshire<br>County Council (see paragraph 8.5.182 and Table<br>8.17) following agreement at the LVIA Workshops<br>held prior to submission .   |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> considers that for some<br>aspects of the Scheme the findings of the<br>assessment has resulted in an adverse effect. For<br>example, of the 57 initial viewpoints and the 15<br>LCC viewpoints, significant adverse effects have<br>been identified within a representative sample<br>(and is not exhaustive) at the construction and<br>operation (year 1) assessment years, resulting<br>from the proximity of the viewpoint receptors to<br>the Scheme and the predicted nature of the<br>effects. Adverse effects have been assessed to<br>viewpoints VP1, VP2, VP7, VP9, VP10 and LCC-C:<br>the LVIA (Table 8.57) has concluded there would<br>be moderate significant adverse effects. In<br>respect of viewpoint VP8, significant adverse<br>effects have been assessed for the construction<br>and operation (year 1 and year 15) phases. For |
|           |       |       |                         | further information, please refer to <b>6.3.8.3</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.3  |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue                                 | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                                |                                       |  | <b>Assessment of Potential Visual Effects [APP-<br/>074].</b> These associated appendices provide a<br>detailed assessment of visual effects on each<br>visual receptor including PRoW, transport and<br>residential receptors.  |
|           |                                |                                       |  | Where effects have been identified, then strategic<br>landscape mitigation measures have been<br>identified within <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> (see paragraphs<br>8.6.11 to 8.6.16) Please also refer to <b>6.3.8.5</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.5</b><br><b>Policy Commentary [APP-076]</b> for further<br>information on how these mitigation measures<br>relate to the design (to ensure that the LVIA is<br>compliant with the relevant policy ) and how they<br>are applied to offset or remedy any adverse<br>effects. |
| LCC-24    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Assessment of<br>Close-Range<br>Views | "The only significant effects to views have been<br>assessed as being beneficial, above the baseline<br>view, at the Operation (15 Year) phase and the<br>justification for this should be investigated and<br>clarified at the examination as it is currently<br>unclear as to why these visual benefits would be<br>gained with benefits more aligned with landscape<br>elements than visual." | The conclusions on the likely significant effects<br>for the visual receptors are set out within Section<br>8.7 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter<br/>8 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br>[APP-046] and <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.3 Assessment of</b><br><b>Potential Visual Effects [APP-074]</b> . The<br>justification for the conclusions reached are set<br>out within this appendix to make clear as to why<br>these visual benefits would be gained.  |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue                             | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| LCC-25    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Assessment of<br>Long-Range Views | "Long range, open and panoramic views across<br>the low lying Till Vale from elevated land to the<br>east, including from within The Ridge Area of<br>Great Landscape Value (AGLV) are also a concern,<br>which while of a longer range, would potentially<br>include views down onto large areas of solar<br>development, with larger elements, such as sub-<br>stations, being particularly conspicuous in this<br>low lying landscape." | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] considers both the landscape and<br>visual effects of the Scheme on the local<br>environment, including taking account of views<br>across the low-lying Till Vale from Gainsborough<br>as the baseline situation. The LVIA (see paragraph<br>8.5.13 and 8.9.45) recognises the importance of<br>these long views out to the west and how the<br>combination of tree cover and an undulating<br>landform can also provide a sense of enclosure<br>(paragraph 8.5.29) and also notes that long views<br>are typically contained, particularly to the east of<br>the A156 and A1133 spine roads. The LVIA<br>includes a suite of 57 initial viewpoints with some<br>that cover long range views, for example<br>viewpoints VP3, VP4, VP13, VP16, VP19, VP20,<br>VP30, VP32, VP35, VP36, VP43 and VP47. There<br>are also an additional 15 viewpoints included in<br>the assessment at the request of Lincolnshire<br>County Council that were agreed at the LVIA<br>Workshops held prior to submission that also<br>include long range views, for example LCC_F, LCC-<br>G, LCC-J, LCC-K and LCC-L. The visual effects for<br>the long-range views are set out in <b>6.3.8.3</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.3<br>Assessment of Potential Visual Effects [APP-<br>074]. |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| LCC-26    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Cumulative<br>Effects | "The cumulative landscape and visual effects of<br>the proposed development are also of concern,<br>particularly when assessed alongside the<br>proposed Gate Burton, Cottam and Tillbridge<br>Solar application sites. The mass and scale of<br>these projects combined and cumulatively would<br>lead to adverse effects upon landscape character<br>and visual amenity over an extensive area. The<br>landscape character of the area may be<br>completely altered, albeit over a temporary<br>period, particularly when experienced<br>sequentially." | The conclusions on the likely significant<br>cumulative effects on the landscape and visual<br>receptors are set out within Section 8.10 of <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter</b><br><b>8_Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046], 6.3.8.2 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 8.2 Assessment of Potential</b><br><b>Landscape Effects [APP-073]</b> and <b>6.3.8.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.3</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Visual Effects [APP-<br/>074]</b> .<br>With regard to the cumulative effects, <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter</b><br><b>8_Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> assesses the impacts of the Scheme<br>alongside the proposed Gate Burton, Cottam and<br>Tillbridge Solar proposals and concludes that<br>significant adverse effects would not occur on<br>landscape character and visual amenity over an<br>extensive area. |
|           |                                |                       |   | The cumulative effects with the Cottam proposals<br>are illustrated on <b>6.4.8.17.1 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Figure 8.17.1 - Cumulative</b><br><b>Development Augmented ZTV - Cottam [APP-<br/>277]</b> The Cottam proposals are located to the<br>northeast of the settlements of Stow and<br>Willingham. The conclusion in the LVIA is that<br>cumulative effects arising from the West Burton<br>and Cottam projects would not occur due to the   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | significant distance between the projects. The<br>LVIA concludes that in respect of Regional<br>Character Areas and Individual Contributors to<br>Landscape Character, there is potential for<br>cumulative effects, but that these would be 'Not<br>Significant' in EIA terms. The LVIA sets out for<br>example, with regard to Viewpoint LCC-A-Middle<br>Street (para. 8.10.86) that "There may be<br>opportunities (depending upon weather and<br>atmospheric visibility) for successional glimpses of<br>the West Burton and Cottam Sites. However, if<br>available, this would be very glimpsed, transient and<br>filtered by vegetation across the landscape and<br>would be regarded as two detached solar schemes in<br>two separate land parcels." |
|           |       |       |                         | The cumulative effects with the Gate Burton<br>proposals are illustrated on <b>6.4.8.17.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Figure 8.17.2 -</b><br><b>Cumulative Development Augmented ZTV -</b><br><b>Gate Burton [APP-278]</b> . The Gate Burton<br>proposals are located to the west of the<br>settlements of Willingham by Stow, Kexby and<br>Upton. The conclusion in the LVIA is that<br>cumulative effects arising from the West Burton<br>and Gate Burton projects would not occur due to<br>the significant distance between the projects. The<br>LVIA concludes that in respect of Regional<br>Character Areas and Individual Contributors to<br>Landscape Character, there is potential for  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | cumulative effects, but that these would be 'Not<br>Significant' in EIA terms. The LVIA sets out for<br>example, with regard to transport receptor<br>T058/Northern Railway – Saxilby to Gainsborough<br>(para. 8.10.88) that "The route continues north<br>through the Gate Burton Energy Park development,<br>with users having views of the surrounding array as<br>they pass through", but that the effects would be Not<br>Significant."   |
|           |       |       |                         | The cumulative effects with the Tillbridge<br>proposals are illustrated on <b>6.4.8.17.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Figure 8.17.3 -</b><br><b>Cumulative Development Augmented ZTV -</b><br><b>Tillbridge [APP-279]</b> . The Tillbridge proposals are<br>located to the west and east of the settlement of<br>Springthorpe and situated between the<br>settlements of Heapham, Hemswell Cliff and<br>Glentworth. The conclusion of the LVIA is that<br>cumulative effects between the Tillbridge and<br>West Burton proposals would not occur due to<br>the significant distance between the projects. The<br>LVIA concludes that in respect of Regional<br>Character Areas and Individual Contributors to<br>Landscape Character, there is potential for<br>cumulative effects, but that these would be 'Not |
|           |       |       |                         | <b>Significant'</b> in EIA terms The LVIA sets out for example, with regard to location and proximity (para. 8.10.22) that the distance between West Burton and the Tillbridge project is such that no  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
|           |  |   |  | significant cumulative effects are possible "The<br>Tillbridge Solar Project continues from the northern<br>extent of the Cottam 1 Site north towards the A631.<br>The Cottam Solar Project is approximately 1.5km<br>north of the West Burton 1 Site. The Tillbridge Solar<br>Project is approximately 7.25km north of the West<br>Burton 1 Site."   |
| LCC-27    | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Transport and<br>Access | Impact on<br>/Removal of<br>Existing Trees,<br>Hedgerows and<br>Other Important<br>Vegetation | "The application has not provided sufficient<br>information in regards to the impact upon, or<br>protection of, existing trees, hedgerows and<br>other important vegetation in order for comment<br>to be made at this stage. These impacts are not<br>limited to the solar development areas, but<br>associated with access and highways works to<br>facilitate the development, such as construction<br>access, particularly from large plant, or access<br>points and associated visibility splays. It is unclear<br>on the landscape and ecology plans as to the<br>extent of vegetation removal proposed, and the<br>LVIA implies little or no vegetation removal is<br>proposed. Appendix 14.1: Transport Assessment<br>also identifies some localised areas of hedge<br>trimming. The extent of this needs clarifying at<br>the examination stage." | The Applicant will make clear as an update<br>document (Hedgerow Removal Plans<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]) to the Landscape and<br>Ecology Mitigation and Enhancement Plans<br>(Environmental Statement Figures 8.18.1 to<br>8.18.3) [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A] to be<br>submitted at at Deadline [1], the extent of<br>vegetation removal in sufficient detail to enable<br>this matter to be clarified during the examination.<br>6.3.14.1_A Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 14.1 Transport Assessment Revision<br>A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A] identifies some<br>localised areas of hedge removal and instances of<br>trimming back. The Applicant will verify within<br>Hedgerow Removal Plans<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A], which will be<br>submitted at Deadline 1, as to the extent of<br>vegetation removal and the correlation with<br>6.3.14.1_A Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 14.1 Transport Assessment Revision<br>A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A], which will be |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | A comprehensive package of mitigation has been<br>provided, in tandem with embedded mitigation<br>established through the ecologically sensitive<br>design of the Scheme (such as the wide buffering<br>of all field boundaries and the use of existing<br>hedgerow gaps for accesses).   |
|           |       |       |                         | These measures are further detailed within 7.17<br>Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation<br>Strategy [APP-326] (as secured by Requirement 8<br>of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]) and 7.3_A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] (as secured<br>by Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]) which will ensure that<br>all identified impacts are minimised as far as<br>possible on existing trees, hedgerows and other<br>habitats. |
|           |       |       |                         | In many cases, the reversion from intensive<br>agriculture to pasture or meadow grassland with<br>additional hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland<br>habitat creation will bring about positive effects<br>for wildlife. In particular, terrestrial and aquatic<br>invertebrates, botanical diversity, small mammals<br>and many species of bird all stand to benefit.   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
|           |   |   |  | In this way, a substantive net gain for biodiversity<br>will be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 ES Appendix 9.12</b><br><b>Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-088]</b> ),<br>predominantly through the creation of extensive<br>low-input grassland resulting in a net gain of<br>86.80% in habitat units, but also several new<br>ponds and wetland habitat parcels resulting in a<br>net gain of 33.25% in river units, and the planting<br>of several kilometres of species-rich hedgerow<br>resulting in a net gain of 54.71% in hedgerow<br>units.  |
| LCC-28    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Transport and<br>Access | Assessment of<br>Highway Impacts<br>in LVIA | "The wider highways elements of the scheme do<br>not appear to be fully considered in the LVIA<br>beyond increased traffic during construction<br>phases, despite the potential adverse effects on<br>the rural landscape these may have, including<br>vegetation loss, urbanisation or visual amenity<br>through any required improvements" | The conclusions on the likely significant impacts<br>and effects for the landscape receptors are set<br>out within Section 8.7 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 8_Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> . Wherever<br>feasible, construction vehicle access to the Site<br>utilises existing highways and associated access<br>points. In certain instances, minor vegetation<br>removal may be necessary to accommodate the<br>vehicle's swept path and ensure visibility. With<br>abnormal loads, there is no vegetation removal<br>required only minor pruning.<br>In certain locations where existing accesses do<br>not exist, some very minor hedgerow removal will<br>be necessary to accommodate the access roads<br>between fields, land parcels and solar panel |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
|           |  |   |  | areas. Hedgerows to be removed are set out in<br>Hedgerow Removal Plans<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]. This removal will<br>involve only very short sections of hedgerow to<br>accommodate internal access roads and will not<br>involve loss of trees, in particular trees protected<br>under any Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs).   |
| LCC-29    | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Transport and<br>Access | Landscape and<br>Ecological<br>Management<br>Strategy | "The proposal would evidently deliver landscape<br>and ecological improvements through mitigation<br>areas and planting. However, this will be<br>dependent upon the information set out in the<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>Outline Plan and Landscape and Ecology<br>Mitigation & Enhancement Plans (Figures 8.18.1<br>to 8.18.3), which should be further explored, and<br>assume would be refined at the detailed design<br>stages." | The proposals for Embedded Mitigation are set<br>out within Section 8.6 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 8_Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046], 6.3.8.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.2</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Landscape Effects</b><br><b>[APP-073]</b> and <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.3 Assessment of</b><br><b>Potential Visual Effects [APP-074]</b> The delivery<br>of landscape and ecology improvements through<br>mitigation areas and planting is set out within<br><b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> . Requirement 7 of<br>Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> secures the<br>production of a detailed Landscape and<br>Ecological Management Plan. Mitigation,<br>including offsets and planting, has been proposed<br>to address and minimise adverse effects on the<br>character of the landscape. This is in accordance |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue               | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                     |  | with the methodology agreed in consultation<br>with LCC at LVIA Workshops as part of pre-<br>application consultation and engagement on the<br>LVIA, see Section 8.2 (paras. 8.2.1 to 8.2.11 <b>[APP-<br/>046]</b> . The mitigation is also in line with the<br>hierarchy of approach advocated by the<br>Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact<br>Assessment, 3rd Edition and matters agreed with<br>LCC at the series of workshops set out in <b>6.3.8.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.4</b><br><b>Consultation [APP-075]</b> .   |
| LCC-30    | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Public<br>Health / Major<br>Accidents and<br>Disasters) | Battery Fire Safety | "Having reviewed the Outline Battery Storage<br>Safety Management Plan the Council is satisfied<br>that the details meet the requirements the<br>Council set out in Fire Safety Position statement<br>issued at the pre-application stage of the process.<br>However, without further specific details, e.g.<br>detailed plans etc, the response is based very<br>much on the details within the document and the<br>Fire Safety Officer reserves the right to add or<br>amend these initial observations as more specific<br>details of the proposed strategy to tackle a<br>battery storage fire and detailed layout plans<br>become available. This includes any requirement<br>for Hazardous Substance Consent for the battery<br>storage facility if this is considered necessary to<br>be included in the Development Consent Order<br>process." | The Applicant acknowledges the Council's<br>position and is currently negotiating a Statement<br>of Common Ground with Lincolnshire County<br>Council (on behalf of Lincolnshire Fire and Rescue<br>Service) <b>[EN010132/EX1/WB8.3.1]</b> , the aim being<br>to reach agreement that the level of detailed<br>information provided during the Examination<br>stage, is sufficient for the Fire Safety Officer to<br>make suitable recommendations.<br>The Applicant has submitted <b>7.9 Outline Battery</b><br><b>Storage Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b><br>and, through <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , has<br>secured in Requirement 6 of Schedule 2 that<br>"Work No. 2 [the battery energy storage facility]<br>must not commence until a battery storage safety |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
|           |  |   |  | management plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority."  |
| LCC-31    | Climate Change   | Sustainability<br>Benefits and<br>Greenhouse Gas<br>Emissions | "The Council will make comments on the<br>conclusions reached in respect of sustainability<br>benefits and contribution this makes to reducing<br>greenhouse gas emissions in the Council's Local<br>Impact Report [LIR] and written submissions."   | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| LCC-32    | Soils and<br>Agriculture   | Agricultural Land<br>Use                                      | The potential impacts on agricultural land both in<br>respect of this scheme and cumulatively with<br>other NSIP solar projects that are<br>emerging/known about in Lincolnshire will be<br>raised in the LIR and written submissions."  | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| LCC-33    | Socio-<br>Economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation                                | Mitigation<br>Measures  | "Based on the Economic impacts section of the<br>Socio Economic chapter, from a Growth<br>perspective, what is assessed, and the mitigation<br>measures proposed appear reasonable."   | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| LCC-34    | Socio-<br>Economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Energy Need<br>Principle of | Benefits to Local<br>Community                                | "Although what is included in the Environmental<br>Statement looks reasonable, the Council would<br>expect appropriate energy related benefits to the<br>local communities and economy provided and<br>the Council would welcome the opportunity to<br>explore these through the examination." | Whilst not a direct local benefit, there is benefit to<br>all UK citizens from the UK producing more clean,<br>renewable electricity, in terms of affordability,<br>decarbonisation of the domestic energy supply<br>and energy security. This is examined and<br>demonstrated further in <b>7.11 Statement of</b><br><b>Need [APP-320].</b> |
|           | Development  |   |  | <b>6.2.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10</b><br><b>Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048]</b><br>sets out the economic benefits of solar energy.<br>Section 10.2 shows how increasing capacities of  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | solar generation will reduce the price of power<br>for UK consumers. Figure 10.3 draws on UK<br>Government analysis which shows that large<br>scale solar, already being highly competitive<br>against current conventional and renewable<br>generation costs, is predicted to retain a cost<br>advantage for the decades ahead.  |
|           |       |       |                         | In terms of the Scheme's benefits to the local<br>community, section 5 of <b>7.10 Outline Skills</b><br><b>Supply Chain and Employment Plan [APP-319]</b><br>demonstrates what additional measures are<br>being pursued as part of the Scheme to provide<br>local economic benefits. These include providing<br>additional skills training (see paragraphs 5.2.1 to<br>5.2.12), maximising local recruitment and<br>enhancing opportunities for local procurement<br>(see paragraphs 5.3.1 to 5.4.6). A Skills, Supply<br>Chain and Employment Plan is secured through<br>Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant is committed to providing a<br>Community Benefit Fund – see paragraph 4.8.1 of<br><b>C7.5 Planning Statement [APP-341]</b> . This fund<br>will be available for community-based benefits<br>such as (but not limited to) community-led energy<br>related projects.  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| LCC-35    | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Public<br>Health)         | Public Health                                    | "The Council will make any relevant public health<br>comments through the LIR."   | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| LCC-36    | Principle of<br>Development                                  | Draft DCO  | "At this stage the Council reserves its position on<br>the relevant parts of the draft DCO including the<br>proposed requirements which are likely to be<br>needed to be amended or added to at the<br>examination progresses. The Council wishes to<br>participate in any Issue Specific Hearing in<br>relation to the drafting of the DCO."   | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| LCC-37    | General<br>Socio-<br>Economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Cumulative<br>Effects of RAF<br>Scampton         | "Whilst details are still emerging, the Council<br>wishes to draw attention to the anticipated use of<br>RAF Scampton as a detention/dispersal facility for<br>asylum seekers. RAF Scampton is relatively close<br>to the West Burton site and therefore<br>consideration needs to be given to the<br>cumulative impacts associated with the use of the<br>base for asylum seekers. The base is expected to<br>be used from August 2023 for this purpose and<br>so by the time the examination commences<br>much more detail will be available to enable a<br>cumulative assessment to take into account this<br>emerging development." | As a result of the lack of available information at<br>the time of the DCO Application being made,<br>cumulative effects from the use of RAF Scampton<br>to accommodate asylum seekers have not been<br>included in the assessment of cumulative effects<br>in Section 18.10 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The<br>Applicant nonetheless notes this comment and<br>will continue to work proactively to ensure<br>cumulative effects from the development at RAF<br>Scampton are captured in the relevant sections of<br>the Environmental Statement as and when<br>suitable information is published. |
| LCC-38    | General  | Procedural<br>Matters Relating<br>to Overlapping | "The Council wishes to draw to the attention of<br>the Planning Inspectorate and the Examining<br>Authority the unprecedented number of DCO   | The Applicant notes this comment and will continue to work positively and proactively with the Council.  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                              | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|--|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
|           |  | DCO<br>Examinations                | projects that are currently on-going in<br>Lincolnshire which may result in six other<br>examinations taking place in the County at the<br>same time as this one. In addition a second wave<br>of potential DCO projects are now commencing<br>their pre-application stage. The Council wishes to<br>be fully involved in all these examinations but has<br>only limited resources and personnel and<br>therefore requests that careful and sensitive<br>attention is given to the examination timetables<br>to ensure that hearings and deadline dates take<br>into account those of other project that will be<br>under examination at the same time."  |                                   |
| LCC-39    | General<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Transport and<br>Access | Cumulative or<br>Joint Examination | "Related to this is the expectation that three<br>examinations will be taking place simultaneously<br>for other solar projects in this same geographical<br>area as West Burton. The Council's initial<br>landscape observations, set out above, already<br>notes the significant cumulative impact of these<br>projects in the landscape. The Council has<br>repeatedly flagged up to the Planning<br>Inspectorate that it would be beneficial for a<br>method of hearing evidence related to<br>cumulative impacts from these projects, which<br>includes landscape and highway impacts at the<br>very least, to be identified in advance of the first<br>examination. However, to date this has not<br>occurred. Therefore, unless such a mechanism is<br>set out in advance of the preliminary meeting, the | The Applicant notes this comment. |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------|-----------------------|---|---|
|           |         |                       | Council will make submissions at the meeting of<br>its position on this. The Council's preferred<br>approach is to ensure that the cumulative<br>impacts are heard in a holistic way rather than<br>individually through single examinations."                  |   |
| LCC-40    | General | Examination<br>Period | "In conclusion the Council looks forward to<br>working with the applicant and the Planning<br>Inspectorate as the project progresses through<br>the DCO process and welcomes the opportunity<br>to comment on matters of detail throughout the<br>examination". | The Applicant notes this comment and will<br>continue to work positively and proactively with<br>the Council. |

## Table 2.1.2: Applicant's Response to Lincolnshire County Council [RR-188]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue                              | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------|------------------------------------|--|---|
| WLDC-01   | General | Scope of<br>Representation<br>Made | WLDC will provide a detailed case on the impact<br>of the applications within its Local Impact Report<br>(LIR). The LIR will set out the views of WLDC<br>following an opportunity to appraise the<br>application in detail, particularly with regard to<br>cumulative impacts with other NSIP applications<br>nearby. | The Applicant notes this comment and awaits the<br>detailed case that is to be presented within the<br>Council's Local Impact Report (LIR). |
|           |         |                                    | A full response setting out the technical<br>assessment of the application, include policy<br>compliance and planning balance, will be<br>reported within WLDC's Written Representation<br>(WR). The WR will include assessments on the  |   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                              | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------|------------------------------------|--|--|
|           |         |                                    | individual impacts of the West Burton Solar<br>Project, along with the cumulative impacts with<br>Cottam Solar Project and Gate Burton Energy<br>Park applications. WLDC considers that the<br>cumulative impacts of all three projects will have<br>significant impacts upon communities and these<br>impacts should be a central focus of the<br>examination of all the applications.                                |  |
|           |         |                                    | This RR therefore sets out the key issues that<br>WLDC consider to be important and relevant for<br>the examination phase of the application to<br>consider."  |  |
| WLDC-02   | General | Cumulative or<br>Joint Examination | "Whilst this RR relates to the West Burton Solar<br>Project, applications for development consent<br>under the PA2008 have also been submitted<br>nearby for the Cottam Solar Project and Gate<br>Burton Energy Park solar photovoltaic generating<br>stations.  | The Applicant notes this comment. This response<br>will be updated following the preliminary meeting<br>where these matters will be discussed. |
|           |         |                                    | West Burton Energy Project was 'accepted' for<br>examination on 18th April 2023, following the<br>'acceptance' of the Cottam Solar Project on 10th<br>February 2023 and Gate Burton on 22nd<br>February 2023. Whilst the Cottam Solar Project<br>application remains in its 'Pre-Examination'<br>phase, Gate Burton has been subject to a Rule 6<br>letter, with a Preliminary Meeting scheduled for<br>4th July 2023. |  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
|           |         |  | Whilst Gate Burton will commence its<br>examination phase ahead of Cottam Solar Project<br>and West Burton Energy Project, it is inherent<br>that all three DCO application will be examined in<br>parallel, with recommendations being made to<br>the Secretary of State for determination on<br>similar timescales. The consequences of this<br>parallel examination are that cumulative impacts<br>between each project will be considered in<br>isolation through separate processes. WLDC has<br>expressed in its Relevant Representations to the<br>other two applications that consideration should<br>be given to holding joint hearings on cumulative<br>matters to avoid duplication across the three<br>examinations. With Gate Burton Energy Park<br>commencing examination prior to the other two<br>applications, it appears that each application will<br>be considered in isolation and an efficient way of<br>considering cumulative effects is not being<br>considered." |  |
| WLDC-03   | General | Concurrent or<br>Joint Examination<br>Hearings | "On a practical level, facilities for hearings within<br>the West Lindsey District will be required for all<br>three applications with overlapping examination<br>programmes. Logistically this is very likely to<br>prove problematic, especially if the examination<br>programmes are not aligned to avoid hearing<br>clashes. The holding of concurrent hearings and<br>deadlines for three applications will also result in<br>a significant challenge to ensure that members of   | The Applicant notes this comment. This response<br>will be updated following the preliminary meeting<br>where these matters will be discussed. |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                      | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------|--|---|--|
|           |         |  | the public are able to understand and engage<br>effectively. There is scope for misunderstanding if<br>this examination phase is not managed in a clear<br>and fair manner, potentially depriving members<br>of the public of engaging and ensuring their<br>specific views on each project are understood.   |  |
|           |         |  | Furthermore, as the host authority and an IP for<br>all three applications, WLDC welcome an<br>approach that enables it to carry out its duties in<br>as effective and efficient manner as possible. An<br>unmanaged overlap of examination programmes<br>will result in WLDC likely to be placed in a position<br>where hearing will be staggered, resulting is<br>significant resource issues to meet deadlines<br>whilst preparing for, and attending, hearings. |  |
|           |         |  | WLDC therefore requests that the Planning<br>Inspectorate works closely with WLDC to ensure<br>that it has the ability to engage effectively in the<br>logistical approach the examination of all three<br>applications. WLDC strongly encourage the<br>Examining Authority to utilise the use of virtual<br>platforms when holding hearings to reduce the<br>resourcing demands and enable flexibility."   |  |
| WLDC-04   | General | Policy framework<br>and decision<br>making | "WLDC will set out its position on the compliance<br>of the application with of the PA2008 for the<br>purpose of decision making. The assessment and<br>planning balance will be carried out with regard<br>to inter alia:  | The Applicant notes this comment and awaits a full response. |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------|-----------------------|---|--|
|           |         |                       | Relevant National Policy Statement(s);  |  |
|           |         |                       | • Statutory development plan framework (inc. emerging development plans);   |  |
|           |         |                       | <ul> <li>Published guidance and advice notes;</li> </ul>  |  |
|           |         |                       | Representations from other parties.   |  |
|           |         |                       | WLDC reserve the right to raise any further<br>matter relating to compliance with any primary<br>and secondary legislation following a detailed<br>assessment of the application, and in response to<br>matters that may arise during the examination<br>process."  |  |
| WLDC-05   | General | Cumulative<br>impacts | "The cumulative impacts of West Burton Energy<br>Park, with the Cottam Solar Project, Gate Burton<br>Energy Park and the emerging Tillbridge Solar<br>Project will be a key concern for WLDC. The scale<br>of the projects, in isolation as well as<br>cumulatively, will give rise to significant<br>environmental impacts that will require<br>significant scrutiny and assessment by WLDC and<br>should be a key focus of the examination phase.<br>The cumulative impacts of West Burton Energy<br>Park with Cottam Solar Project and Gate Burton<br>Energy Park are of particular importance as these<br>projects are likely to be examined under the<br>PA2008 concurrently. It is therefore essential that<br>consistent information and evidence is presented<br>at all three examinations to enable a fair and | The Applicant notes this comment and seeks to<br>assure WLDC that a cumulative effects<br>assessment has been prepared for the<br>Application within <b>6.2.1-6.2.23 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> . Cumulative<br>effects assessments for each topic are set out in<br>each of the ES Chapters and include the<br>assessment of the impacts of the Scheme<br>cumulatively with the NSIPs identified by WLDC<br>(Gate Burton Energy Park, West Burton Solar<br>Project and Tillbridge Solar Project) (see<br>paragraph 2.5.9 of <b>6.2.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 2 EIA Process and</b><br><b>Methodology [APP-040]</b> . The assessment has<br>been undertaken in accordance with Schedule 4<br>of the 2017 EIA Regulations and PINS Advice Note |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
|           |         |                                     | consistent recommendation (and decision) to be<br>made.<br>Tillbridge Solar Project is expected to be<br>submitted in Q4 2023, with more detailed project<br>information becoming public prior to the West<br>Burton Energy Park project being determined,<br>including its 'acceptance' for examination. WLDCs<br>view is that all current environmental information<br>must be before a decision maker at the point a<br>decision is made, and the emergence of the<br>Tillbridge Solar Project should be accounted for in<br>cumulative assessments.<br>Cumulative impacts of concern will relate to<br>construction, operational and decommissioning<br>impacts across a range of matters including, inter<br>alia, landscape and visual effects, land use (loss of<br>agricultural land), public access and recreation,<br>noise, traffic and transport, cultural heritage and<br>ecology." | 17. The mitigation measures set out across the ES therefore account for anticipated cumulative effects. |
| WLDC-06   | General | Scope of Relevant<br>Representation | "WLDC will fully explore the impacts of the West<br>Burton Energy Park in its LIR and WR following a<br>detailed appraisal of the application. Without<br>prejudice to matters that are identified following<br>a detailed assessment, WLDC expect the<br>following matters to be scrutinised in detail<br>through the examination phase:<br>• Compliance with relevant legislation;   | The Applicant notes this comment and awaits a full response.  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|----------------------|
|           |       |       | Policy compliance and planning balance;   |                      |
|           |       |       | Project design principles   |                      |
|           |       |       | • Landscape and visual effects (including lighting impacts and glint and glare);  |                      |
|           |       |       | • Effects on residential amenity;   |                      |
|           |       |       | • Effects on public amenity and recreation;   |                      |
|           |       |       | • Ecology and biodiversity impacts (including Biodiversity Net Gain);   |                      |
|           |       |       | Cultural heritage;  |                      |
|           |       |       | • Traffic and transport;  |                      |
|           |       |       | Noise impacts;  |                      |
|           |       |       | • Air Quality impacts;  |                      |
|           |       |       | <ul> <li>Land use and loss of agricultural land<br/>(including soils) impacts;</li> </ul>   |                      |
|           |       |       | Hydrology and flood risk; and   |                      |
|           |       |       | • Safety and human health.  |                      |
|           |       |       | WLDC will also express its judgement on the<br>'planning balance', assessing all of the schemes<br>benefits and disbenefits against the relevant<br>policy framework to provide an overall conclusion<br>on the acceptability of the application. In addition<br>to the submission of a WR and LIR, WLDC<br>understands its role in the examination process<br>to respond to written questions directed to them |                      |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                                   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
|           |                             |   | and the requirement to participate in Hearings as scheduled by the Examining Authority."  |   |
| WLDC-07   | Principle of<br>Development | Mitigation and<br>Control<br>Mechanisms | "A key concern for WLDC will be, should the West<br>Burton Energy Park be consented, the<br>mechanisms that will mitigate and control the<br>impacts of the scheme. These concerns will<br>extend beyond mitigation for the application<br>itself, to the cumulative impacts with other<br>projects. Such impacts will be significant and<br>experienced during the construction, operation<br>and decommissioning stages.<br>WLDC will also seek to ensure that all impacts on<br>the environment and communities are mitigated<br>and controlled and not solely those deemed<br>significant in terms of the Environmental Impact<br>Assessment. WLDC expect the applicant to deliver<br>measures that mitigate all impacts to ensure that<br>the overarching impact of the project is mitigated<br>as far as possible." | The Applicant notes this comment and seeks to<br>assure WLDC that a cumulative effects<br>assessment has been prepared for the<br>Application within <b>6.2.1-6.2.23 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> . Cumulative<br>effects assessments for each topic are set out in<br>each of the ES Chapters and include the<br>assessment of the impacts of the Scheme<br>cumulatively with the NSIPs identified by WLDC<br>(Gate Burton Energy Park, West Burton Solar<br>Project and Tillbridge Solar Project) (see<br>paragraph 2.5.9 of <b>6.2.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 2 EIA Process and</b><br><b>Methodology [APP-040]</b> . The assessment has<br>been undertaken in accordance with Schedule 4<br>of the 2017 EIA Regulations and PINS Advice Note<br>17. The mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects. |
|           |                             |   |   | <ul> <li>6.2.22 Environmental Statement – Chapter 22</li> <li>Summary of Mitigation [APP-060] provides a summarised table of the mitigation and enhancement measures proposed in the ES, including those that seek to mitigate or enhance effects that are not significant. The table [APP-060] furthermore sets out which control document, and under which requirement in</li> </ul>  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------|--|---|---|
|           |         |  |   | Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , the proposed<br>mitigation and enhancement measure are<br>secured by.  |
| WLDC-08   | General | Control<br>Documents and<br>Project<br>Collaboration | "WLDC will expect to see well developed codes of<br>practice and control documents prior to the<br>determination of the DCO applications to ensure<br>that the impacts in solus and cumulatively with<br>other projects is controlled at that decision stage.<br>Due to the determination of the three project on<br>broadly the same timeline, the acceptability of<br>each one will be dependent on achieving effective<br>and co-ordinated controls for each one.<br>WLDC will also seek clarification on the<br>mechanisms in place to ensure the retention and<br>maintenance of mitigation post-<br>decommissioning." | The Applicant notes this comment and seeks to<br>assure WLDC that the codes of practice and<br>control documents submitted in support of the<br>DCO Application to manage and mitigate the<br>effects of the Scheme (including but not limited<br>to: <b>7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]; <b>7.14_A Outline</b><br><b>Operational Environmental Management Plan</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A]</b> ; and <b>7.2</b><br><b>Outline Decommissioning Statement [APP-<br/>310]</b> ) are secured through their respective<br>Requirements in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
|           |         |  |   | The Applicant is also further committed to<br>contributing to a <b>Statement of Common</b><br><b>Ground [EN01032/EX1/WB8.3.2]</b> , and <b>WB8.1.9_A</b><br><b>Joint Interrelationships with other Nationally</b><br><b>Significant Infrastructure Projects</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB8.1.9_A]</b> report with the<br>applicants of the Cottam Solar Project, Gate<br>Burton Energy Park, and Tillbridge Solar Park.<br>These documents will help to provide the  |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue         | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
|           |                                      |               |   | Examining Authorities across these projects to<br>assess the level of cumulative effect and the<br>measures to which the respective applicants are<br>controlling these impacts in solus and<br>cooperatively.   |
| WLDC-09   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO     | "WLDC will provide detailed comments on the draft DCO. The key issues for focus will include:   | The Applicant notes this comment and awaits the Council's detailed comments.   |
|           |                                      |               | • The scope of the authorised development;  |  |
|           |                                      |               | • The schedule and drafting of 'requirements'.  |  |
|           |                                      |               | WLDC expect their views on the drafting and<br>approvals process for DCO requirements in<br>particular to be given significant weight in their<br>role of ensuring that the impacts upon local<br>environment and communities are minimised,<br>and as an approving and enforcement authority."   |  |
| WLDC-10   | General                              | Summary of RR | As the host authority and IP for the project WLDC<br>will be taking a full and active role in the<br>examination of DCO application.<br>Due to the unique circumstances of three DCO<br>applications for solar photovoltaic generation<br>stations likely to be examined concurrently,<br>WLDCs initial key concern relates to the logistics<br>as to how the Planning Inspectorate will approach<br>the examination phase. The projects represent<br>large scale development that will have significant<br>impacts on the environment and local<br>communities both individually and cumulatively. | The Applicant notes this comment and awaits a<br>full response.<br>The Applicant is cognisant of the need to ensure<br>concurrent examinations of the Scheme and<br>nearby NSIPs are held in a manner than is<br>conducive to the suitable examination of<br>independent and cumulative matters. The<br>Applicant has therefore made comments on the<br>draft examination timetable for this Scheme<br>through the <b>Applicant's Procedural Deadline A</b><br><b>Cover Letter [AS-XXX]</b> , and through oral and |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | WLDC considers that the approach to the examination of the applications must allow for   | written representations made to the Preliminary<br>Meeting held 7 <sup>th</sup> September 2023.                                       |
|           |       |       | efficiency of resources, transparency and<br>fairness. This also extends to the examination<br>programme for each application in terms of the<br>scheduling of hearings aligning, not only to allow<br>for effective engagement by WLDC but also in<br>terms of Hearing venue locations.                       | The Applicant furthermore will continue to work<br>positively and proactively with the Council<br>throughout the examination process. |
|           |       |       | WLDC will undertake a thorough review and<br>assessment of the application document and<br>provide a full response in a WR and LIR. Without<br>prejudice to this assessment, the key matters<br>identified by WLDC that it considers should be<br>subject of specific focus during the examination<br>include: |   |
|           |       |       | <ul> <li>Policy framework and decision making;</li> </ul>  |   |
|           |       |       | Cumulative impacts;  |   |
|           |       |       | <ul> <li>Project specific impacts;</li> </ul>  |   |
|           |       |       | Mitigation and control mechanisms; and   |   |
|           |       |       | <ul> <li>DCO scope, provisions and requirements<br/>(including enforcement).</li> </ul>  |   |
|           |       |       | WLDC looks forward to engaging positively in the<br>examination of the West Burton Energy Park<br>project and will continue to work with the<br>applicants to ensure the process is robust and<br>efficient  |   |



The Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations November 2023



### Table 2.1.3: Applicant's Response to North Kesteven District Council [RR-243]

| Reference | Theme                    | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| NKDC-01   | Soils and<br>Agriculture | Cumulative<br>Effects | "The District Council wishes to provide comments<br>in relation to Chapter 19: Soils and Agriculture of<br>the ES, noting that the detailed ALC survey of the<br>agricultural soil survey area found agricultural<br>land in Grades 1, 2, 3a and 3b. This will include a<br>review of the cumulative effects of loss of BMV<br>land alongside other registered solar NSIP<br>schemes in Central Lincolnshire including those<br>within North Kesteven District." | The Applicant notes this comment and awaits<br>NKDC's detailed representations.<br>A cumulative effects assessment has been<br>prepared for the Application within <b>6.2.1-6.2.23</b><br><b>Environmental Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> . Cumulative effects assessments for each<br>topic are set out in each of the ES Chapters and<br>include the assessment of the impacts of the<br>Scheme cumulatively. Those identified NSIPs<br>relevant to loss of BMV are set out in paragraph<br>19.11.2 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> .<br>The assessment has been undertaken in<br>accordance with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA<br>Regulations and PINS Advice Note 17. The<br>mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects. |



## 2.2 The Applicant's Responses to Statutory Consultees, International Agencies, Undertakers, and Elected Representatives with whom the Applicant has Undertaken a Statement of Common Ground

| Reference | Theme                | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|----------------------|---|---|--|
| HE-01     | Cultural<br>Heritage | Introduction                                    | "Historic England The Historic Buildings and<br>Monuments Commission for England (HBMCE) is<br>better known as Historic England, and we are the<br>Government's adviser on all aspects of the<br>historic environment in England, including<br>historic buildings and areas, archaeology and<br>historic landscapes. We have a duty to promote<br>conservation, public understanding and<br>enjoyment of the historic environment. We are an<br>executive Non-Departmental public body and we<br>answer to Parliament through the Secretary of<br>State for Culture, Media and Sport (DCMS).<br>Proposal Cottam Solar Project. Solar photovoltaic<br>array and electrical storage and connection<br>infrastructure, with a generation capacity of<br>greater than 50 MW." | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| HE-02     | Cultural<br>Heritage | Designated<br>heritage assets<br>and earthworks | "Historic England is minded to oppose the grant<br>of DCO for this scheme on the basis of avoidable<br>harm to the significance of a scheduled<br>monument designated by the Secretary of State<br>under S1 of the 1979 Ancient Monuments and<br>Archaeological Areas Act (as amended) viz<br>Medieval bishop's palace and deer park, Stow  | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the<br>Historic England's conclusion that the impact of<br>the proposed installation within the former deer<br>park represents substantial harm (in NPS/SPPF<br>terms) to the significance of the monument<br>through loss of its character as a bounded<br>architectural space. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
|           |       |       | Park (NHLE 1019229). The impact of the proposed<br>installation within the former deer park<br>represents substantial harm (in NPS/SPPF terms)<br>to the significance of the monument through loss<br>of its character as a bounded architectural space.<br>This represents a significant environmental<br>impact (major harmful) in EIA terms." | The Medieval bishop's palace and deer park, Stow<br>Park Scheduled Monument (1019229) is<br>composed of three physically separate elements<br>of the former medieval deer park. Although the<br>applicant acknowledges HE's view that the deer<br>park forms an architectural space and that there<br>is an associated historical spatial relationship<br>between the three sections of the Scheduled<br>Monument, the Applicant considers that the<br>various Scheduled areas can only be experienced<br>individually. Post-medieval and modern<br>interventions have significantly altered the<br>character of the former medieval park preventing<br>it from being experienced as a continuous<br>enclosed space. Consequently, without the aid of<br>aerial imagery or historical documentation it is<br>difficult to collectively experience the surviving<br>vestiges of the deer park in the modern<br>landscape, and get a sense of a bounded space. |
|           |       |       |  | Furthermore, as stated in Paragraph 3.3.39 of the<br>6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br>13.5 Heritage Statement [APP-117 to APP-119],<br>the Applicant considers that the reversible nature<br>of the Scheme, which will allow existing landscape<br>features to remain in situ, means that the<br>legibility of the former deer park as interpreted<br>from cartographic and other documentary<br>sources, will still remain unaffected in terms of<br>their contribution to the understanding of the   |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                            | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
|           |                      |                                  |   | Scheduled Monument's historical and functional association in consideration of setting.   |
|           |                      |                                  |   | Consequently, the Applicant considers that the<br>Scheme would cause less than substantial harm<br>(at the upper end) to the designated heritage<br>assets and that use of fixed shorter panels, as<br>incorporated into the design of the Scheme, is<br>sufficient mitigation (Paragraph 3.4.9 <b>[APP-117</b> to<br><b>APP-119]</b> ).  |
| HE-03     | Cultural<br>Heritage | Scheduled assets                 | "In EIA scoping advice and PEIR comments we<br>highlighted the setting of the following assets<br>(without prejudice to other issues that might<br>emerge through assessment), viz the scheduled<br>Broxholme medieval settlement and cultivation<br>remains (NHLE 1016797), the scheduled Deserted<br>village of North Ingleby (NHLE 1003570) and the<br>scheduled Medieval bishop's palace and deer<br>park, Stow Park (NHLE 1019229)." | The Applicant considers that they have<br>undertaken sufficient engagement and<br>consultation with Historic England enabling a<br>clear identification of potential heritage<br>sensitivities and designated heritage assets that<br>have the potential to be impacted by the Scheme.<br>A detailed assessment of the impact of the<br>Scheme on designated heritage assets—including<br>Broxholme medieval settlement and cultivation<br>remains (NHLE 1016797) , the scheduled<br>Deserted village of North Ingleby (NHLE 1003570)<br>and the scheduled Medieval bishop's palace and<br>deer park, Stow Park (NHLE 1019229), is provided<br>in <b>6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 13.5 Heritage Statement [APP-117</b> to<br><b>APP-119]</b> . |
| HE-04     | Cultural<br>Heritage | Significance of scheduled assets | "Stow Park, the Medieval Bishop's Palace site and<br>deer park is set on the Roman road from Lincoln<br>to Doncaster a key line of communication  | Paragraphs 3.3.35 and 3.3.36 of <b>6.3.13.5</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.5<br>Heritage Statement [APP-117 to APP-119]   |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
|           |                      |                       | between the Episcopal sees of Lincoln and York.<br>Deer parks and palace / lodges offered a place for<br>retreat, rest and entertainment of social and<br>political peers, clients and Royal guests and were<br>hence key spaces for the performance of the elite<br>status of Bishops in the medieval landscape. The<br>deer park is an architectural space, a place cut<br>out from the overlapping and complex the<br>medieval landscape, a place where rights were<br>monopolised - in this instance the Bishop. At the<br>heart of the significance of a medieval deer park<br>is not just the functional containment and<br>protection of deer and other resources but also<br>their articulation as a space apart – a space<br>imparked. This central aspect of significance<br>would be profoundly compromised by the loss<br>both of its rural character through the installation<br>of panels and by it being subsumed into a new<br>landscape of solar generation. | highlight the negative effect that has been caused<br>by post-medieval and early modern agricultural<br>activity. Land within the deer park has been<br>transformed from a compartmentalised high<br>status medieval parkland containing areas of<br>managed woodland and grassland to a landscape<br>characterised by enclosed fields used for<br>agricultural purposes. The character and<br>appearance of the land within the historical<br>boundaries of the deer park is indistinguishable<br>from the agricultural land outside of its<br>boundaries and does not contribute to the<br>understanding or appreciation of its former<br>medieval deer park function. The site of the<br>Bishop's Palace presently contains the derelict<br>remains of Moat Farm. Consequently, the general<br>character of the landscape within the former deer<br>park relates to a post-medieval or later landscape<br>and fails to embody a sense of the earlier<br>medieval deer park. |
| HE-05     | Cultural<br>Heritage | Scheduled<br>Monument | "The railway and associate ex MOD petroleum<br>storage facility represented significant change to<br>the former deer park by bisecting the site, but<br>they have not fundamentally compromised the<br>ability to experience the park as a space defined<br>in the landscape. As one walks from the moated<br>site at the north to the raised ground occupied by<br>the farm buildings at the south of the park and<br>then crosses the railway past the fuel depot to  | As stated in Paragraph 3.3.34 of the Heritage<br>Statement (6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 13.5 Heritage Statement [APP-117 to<br>APP-119]), the Applicant acknowledges that the<br>Scheme has the potential to physically and<br>visually isolate the three Scheduled areas that<br>make up the medieval bishop's palace and deer<br>park Scheduled Monument. However as<br>identified in Paragraph 3.3.35 of the Heritage  |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
|           |                      |                       | the farmstead and the south western part of the<br>park one can still gain a sense of this as a<br>bounded space."   | Statement <b>[APP-117</b> to <b>APP-119]</b> , the Applicant<br>considers that the relationship between the three<br>surviving components of the deer park has<br>already been adversely compromised. Modern<br>activity including the ex MOD petroleum storage<br>facility and a railway line completely bisect the<br>deer park, resulting in there being no<br>intervisibility between the west park pale, and the<br>Bishop's Palace and east park pale. While<br>intervisibility exists between the Bishop's Palace<br>and the east park pale, their historical<br>relationship can only be experienced through the<br>fossilisation of the parkland boundary by later<br>mature trees and hedgerow. Conversely,<br>although this intervisibility exists, the Applicant<br>highlights that the overall legibility of the<br>northern section of the deer park is problematic.<br>Desk-based research has demonstrated that<br>there are several possibilities for the locations of<br>the pales in the north of the deer park, which<br>would have each joined the east and west park<br>pales to the Bishop's Palace (Paragraphs 3.2.27-<br>3.2.48 <b>[APP-117</b> to <b>APP-119]</b> ). |
| HE-06     | Cultural<br>Heritage | Scheduled<br>Monument | "We made a site visit with the applicants'<br>consultants on 13th May 2022 to West Burton 1, 2<br>and 3 to initially assess impacts upon the Stow<br>Park, Ingleby and Broxholme Scheduled<br>Monuments. With regard to impacts upon those<br>specific assets Historic England would have no | The Applicant notes this comment.  |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue           | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|--|---|
|           |                      |                 | objection to the proposals within West Burton 1<br>and 2 and noted that the design proposals at<br>West Burton 2 had taken into account the setting<br>of the Ingleby Scheduled Monument, by<br>removing areas adjacent to the Scheduled<br>Monument from any proposed development."   |   |
| HE-07     | Cultural<br>Heritage | Setting impacts | "On the basis of the indicative layout plans for<br>panels within the pale (park boundary) of Stow<br>Park we are as noted in the PEIR minded to object<br>to installation of any part of the development<br>within the former deer park (as defined by the<br>lines of the scheduled Park Pale and its former<br>course). Our concerns are focussed upon setting<br>impacts upon the significance of the medieval<br>bishop's palace and deer park SM 1019229 and<br>we consider that the proposed sections of solar<br>array sited within the medieval deer park at Stow<br>would constitute substantial harm to the<br>significance of the scheduled monument. We<br>recommend that part of the scheme within the<br>historic extent of Stow Park be deleted from the<br>scheme as it presents avoidable and unjustified<br>harm to the significance of a nationally important<br>designated heritage asset." | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with Historic<br>England's belief that the solar array within the<br>medieval deer park would constitute substantial<br>harm to the significance of the three areas of<br>scheduled monument.<br>The Applicant considers that post-medieval and<br>modern land use has already substantially altered<br>the setting of the Scheduled Monument, so that it<br>no longer can be experienced as an imparked<br>high status medieval space. Instead, the three<br>surviving components of the deer park lie<br>isolated within the modern landscape. The<br>character and appearance of land within the<br>former deer park is homogenous to agricultural<br>land that historically lay outside the former deer<br>park boundaries, being defined by post medieval<br>land enclosure.<br>The Applicant also highlights that the temporary<br>and reversable nature of the Scheme will enable<br>the preservation in situ of existing landscape<br>features. This means the legibility of the former<br>deer park, as interpreted from cartographic and |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
|           |                      |                                     |   | other documentary sources, will be unaffected by<br>the Scheme, which the Applicant considers plays<br>an important contribution to the understanding<br>of the Scheduled Monument's historical and<br>functional association in consideration of setting.  |
|           |                      |                                     |   | Consequently, the Applicant considers that the<br>Scheme will cause less than substantial harm (at<br>the upper end) to the setting of the Scheduled<br>Monument, and that Historic England's request to<br>remove all panels from within the historical<br>boundary of the deer park would be<br>disproportionately detrimental to the Scheme<br>proposals. As stated in Paragraph 3.4.9 of<br><b>6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement - Appendix</b><br><b>13.5 Heritage Statement [APP-117</b> to <b>APP-119</b> ],<br>the Applicant considers that the harm to the<br>setting of the Scheduled Monument is an<br>environmental effect that the Planning<br>Inspectorate should weigh against the substantial<br>benefits of the Scheme. |
| HE-08     | Cultural<br>Heritage | Buried<br>Archaeological<br>Remains | "With regards to buried archaeological remains it<br>is important that risk of avoidable / unmitigated<br>damage to sensitive remains is well managed in<br>proportion to their importance. This can be<br>achieved through layout, deployment of green<br>space and construction options for cabling and<br>panel mounting etc. Archaeological risks can thus<br>be well addressed, but only if there is a sound | The proposed mitigation strategy (see <b>6.3.13.7</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.7</b><br><b>Archaeological Mitigation WSI [APP-122]</b> ) aims<br>to remove risk to buried archaeological remains<br>where possible through mitigation by design in<br>the form of non-intrusive concrete ground<br>anchors (see paragraph 7.2 [ <b>APP-122</b> ]). Where<br>intrusive works are unavoidable that could impact<br>upon identified archaeological remains,   |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
|           |                      |                                | understanding of where archaeological sensitivity<br>and importance lies across the site."   | mitigation by record is proposed in the form of<br>'strip, map and sample' (see paragraphs 7.5 <b>[APP-<br/>122]</b> ). The type of proposed mitigation has been<br>informed by the extensive previous<br>survey/excavation which has provided crucial<br>information regarding the character of buried<br>archaeological remains and their archaeological<br>importance with consideration to the impact<br>caused by various elements of the Scheme (see<br>paragraph 1.1.2 <b>[APP-122]</b> ). The WSI is secured by<br>Requirement 12 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |                      |                                |  | Furthermore, <b>7.6 Design and Access Statement</b><br>[APP-314 to APP-315] demonstrates how cultural<br>heritage has influenced the design of the Scheme,<br>with heritage-based design measures set out in<br>Section 3.7 therein. Design Objective 5 (para.<br>4.2.9 [APP-314]) is specific to ensuring the<br>Scheme is developed sensitively with regard to<br>heritage assets and their settings.   |
| HE-09     | Cultural<br>Heritage | Acceptability of<br>evaluation | "Sufficiency of field evaluation is vital because<br>some features (such as for instance early<br>medieval burial grounds or Roman high-status<br>buildings) would be both of high importance and<br>high sensitivity to the insertion of panel mounting<br>piles. Discussion is continuing as regards the<br>extent of archaeological evaluation and<br>deployment of intrusive and non-intrusive | The Applicant confirms that discussions have<br>taken place with the host local authorities and are<br>ongoing regarding the extent of archaeological<br>evaluation and related matters. The Applicant<br>welcomes pragmatic and reasonable<br>collaboration with Lincolnshire County Council's<br>Historic Places Team (LHPT) and considers they<br>have worked closely with LHPT to deliver   |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
|           |                      |                       | techniques, the reliance upon / complimentary<br>nature of such techniques, and the timing there-<br>of; all in the context of concerns around the<br>management of archaeological and project risk.<br>In the context of sufficiency of evaluation work<br>we refer you in the first instance to the expertise<br>of local authority archaeological advisors. It is<br>they who will (should DCO be granted with<br>appropriate requirements) advise upon the<br>acceptability of written schemes of investigation<br>(WSI) and their accordance with a robust overall<br>archaeological strategy secured through DCO<br>submission." | fieldwork campaigns for the Scheme (through the<br>agreement of WSIs and the various site visits and<br>online meetings throughout 2022 and 2023).<br>The Applicant is of the opinion that the extensive<br>archaeological investigation works undertaken as<br>part of the DCO application have been sufficient<br>to inform the EIA process and to formulate an<br>appropriate mitigation strategy, as set out in<br><b>6.3.13.7 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br/>13.7 Archaeological Mitigation WSI [APP-122]</b> .<br>The Applicant is keen to agree a proportionate<br>strategy with all parties. If further trenching<br>across the Scheme is deemed necessary, the<br>Applicant considers that this should be<br>undertaken post-determination of the DCO. |
| HE-10     | Cultural<br>Heritage | Cumulative<br>impacts | "Combined cable connection corridors with other<br>Solar NSIP have the potential to minimise<br>cumulative impacts in archaeologically sensitive<br>areas, which we would welcome."  | The Applicant notes this comment and confirms<br>that a shared cable corridor (specifically for the<br>crossing of the River Trent) is an integral part of<br>the Scheme's design.  |

### Table 2.2.2: Applicant's Response to National Highways [RR-232]

| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| NH-01     | Transport and<br>Access | Safeguarding<br>Roads |                         | The Applicant notes this comment. A Statement of Common Ground is being negotiated with National Highways. |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                           | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
|           |                         |                                 | A160, and A180 trunk roads; and the M180 motorway."  |   |
| NH-02     | Transport and<br>Access | Strategic Road<br>Network (SRN) | "Although the SRN is outside the Order Limits, it is<br>understood that construction traffic will be<br>routed via the A46, A160, and A180 trunk roads<br>and the M180 motorway. As such, we reserve the<br>right to make written representations if an impact<br>of construction traffic on the SRN is identified, or<br>if changes to the application are made which<br>result in impacts to the SRN." | of Common Ground is being negotiated with<br>National Highways. |

# Table 2.2.3: Applicant's Response to Environment Agency [RR-090]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue        | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|---------|--------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| EA-01     | General | Introduction | "Below is a summary of our relevant representations.<br>We have sent an email with our detailed comments to<br>WestBurtonSolarProject@planninginspectorate.gov.uk<br>which should be published alongside this outline. We<br>object to any acquisition of land or rights in relation to<br>the Environment Agency's land interests until we have<br>had a proper opportunity to assess the potential<br>effects of the acquisitions sought by the applicant on<br>our ability to carry out our operations. We would not<br>agree to disapply the Environmental Permitting<br>(England and Wales) Regulations 2016 in their<br>entirety, we would only accept disapplication of the<br>requirement for a flood risk activity permit." | The Applicant notes this comment. |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
| EA-02     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)                                    | Draft DCO:<br>Protective<br>Provisions | "We have reviewed the proposed protective<br>provisions (Schedule 19, Part 9) for the protection of<br>the Environment Agency. We do not accept the<br>current wording."  | The Applicant notes this comment and is<br>working with the EA in order to agree the<br>wording of protective provisions for the<br>benefit of the EA.  |
| EA-03     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Request for<br>Further<br>Assessment   | "Further assessment and/or information will be<br>required on the following to enable the examining<br>authority to make an informed decision: On ecology<br>and biodiversity, we require additional information on<br>filamentous algae in ditches so that we may comment<br>on the target Water Framework Directive waterbody<br>status." | The Applicant confirms that <b>7.19_A Water</b><br><b>Framework Directive Assessment Revision</b><br><b>A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.19_A]</b> will be updated<br>to consider potential physical impacts to the<br>river that could detrimentally affect riverbank<br>structure and substrate of the riverbed. |
| EA-04     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage                                | River Till Flood<br>Storage Area       | "On hydrology, flood risk and drainage we have asked<br>for a map of the River Till Flood Storage Area outline<br>overlain onto the illustrative site layout plan and<br>further clarity on the percentage of flood zone 3 that<br>will contain photovoltaic panels."   | The Applicant confirms that the illustrative<br>site layout plan <b>6.3.10.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 10.5 FRA DS West</b><br><b>Burton 3 [APP-093]</b> will be updated to include<br>the River Till Flood Storage Area (FSA).  |
| EA-05     | Ground<br>Conditions  | CEMP                                   | "On ground condition and contamination, we have<br>outlined the detail we would expect to see in the<br>Construction Environment Management Plan."  | This comment has been addressed in the response to EA-25.   |
| EA-06     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)                                    | Requirements                           | "We have concerns on consultation timescales and<br>would ask these are extended in line with our<br>comments in 7.5-7.7."  | The Applicant notes this comment and has responded to the detailed comments set out at EA-33.   |
| EA-07     | General   | The EA's Role                          | "The Environment Agency is an executive non-<br>departmental public body, established under the<br>Environment Act 1995.<br>We are an adviser to Government with principal aims<br>to protect and improve the environment, and to   | The Applicant notes this comment.   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|----------------------|
|           |       |       | promote sustainable development. We play a central<br>role in delivering the environmental priorities of<br>central government through our functions and roles.  |                      |
|           |       |       | We are also an adviser to local decision makers in our<br>role as a statutory consultee in respect of particular<br>types of development, as listed in Schedule 4 of the<br>Development Management Procedure Order 2015.   |                      |
|           |       |       | For the purposes of this Development Consent Order (DCO), we are a statutory interested party.   |                      |
|           |       |       | We take action to conserve and secure proper use of<br>water resources, preserve and improve the quality of<br>rivers, estuaries and coastal waters and groundwaters<br>through pollution control powers and regulating<br>discharge consents. We have a duty to implement the<br>Water Framework Directive (WFD).   |                      |
|           |       |       | We have regulatory powers in respect of waste<br>management and remediation of contaminated land<br>designated as special sites. We also encourage<br>remediation of land contamination through the<br>planning process.   |                      |
|           |       |       | We are the principal flood risk management operating<br>authority. We have the power (but not the legal<br>obligation) to manage flood risk from designated<br>main rivers and the sea. We are also responsible for<br>increasing public awareness of flood risk, flood<br>forecasting and warning and have a general<br>supervisory duty for flood risk management. We also |                      |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                           | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------------|---|---|
|           |         |                                 | have a strategic overview role for all flood and coastal erosion risk management.   |   |
|           |         |                                 | We have three main roles:   |   |
|           |         |                                 | <ul> <li>We are an environmental regulator – we<br/>take a risk-based approach and target our<br/>effort to maintain and improve environmental<br/>standards and to minimise unnecessary<br/>burdens on businesses. We issue a range of<br/>permits and consents.</li> </ul>  |   |
|           |         |                                 | <ul> <li>We are an environmental operator – we are<br/>a national organisation that operates locally.<br/>We work with people and communities across<br/>England to protect and improve the<br/>environment in an integrated way. We provide<br/>a vital incident response capability.</li> </ul>   |   |
|           |         |                                 | <ul> <li>We are an environmental adviser – we<br/>compile and assess the best available<br/>evidence and use this to report on the state of<br/>the environment. We use our own monitoring<br/>information and that of others to inform this<br/>activity. We provide technical information and<br/>advice to national and local governments to<br/>support their roles in policy and decision-<br/>making."</li> </ul> |   |
| EA-08     | General | The Scope of the Representation | "These relevant representations contain an overview<br>of the project issues, which fall within our remit. They<br>are given without prejudice to any future detailed   | The Applicant notes this comment and has addressed each of the EA's comments in the rows below. |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                             |                                | representations that we may make throughout the<br>examination process. We may also have further<br>representations to make if supplementary<br>information becomes available in relation to the<br>project.<br>We have reviewed the DCO, Environmental Statement<br>(ES) and supporting documents submitted as part of<br>the above-mentioned application, which we received<br>on the 18 April 2023. Our comments are presented<br>below"  |  |
| EA-09     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Otter and Water<br>Vole Survey | <ul> <li>"We would like to make the following comments in relation to the protection of ecology and biodiversity having reviewed the documents listed below: <ul> <li>Environmental Statement: Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity (ref);</li> <li>Otter and Water Vole Survey (ref:);</li> <li>Biodiversity Net Gain Report (ref:);</li> </ul> </li> <li>We welcome the recommendations in the Otter and Water Vole Survey. However, we would expect to see a best practice water vole survey completed for those watercourses directly impacted by culverting to get a better picture of local water vole populations."</li> </ul> | The Applicant confirms that <b>7.17 Outline</b><br>Ecological Protection and Mitigation<br>Strategy [APP-326] sets out the requirement<br>for detailed survey of watercourses that are<br>to be directly affected by the Scheme. This is<br>secured through Requirement 8 of Schedule 2<br>to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. The<br>OEPMS [APP-326] states under Section 5.6:<br>'Particular attention will be paid to any habitat<br>removal works affecting or within 30m of a<br>watercourse for the potential presence of otters<br>and water voles.<br>All applicable habitat removal works will be<br>preceded by an inspection of habitat at least<br>50m upstream and 50m downstream of the<br>clearance extent to look for signs of these |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue         | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------------|--|--|
|           |   |               |  | will be carried out one month in advance of<br>works commencing by a suitably qualified<br>ecologist.'   |
|           |   |               |  | The surveys will follow the methodologies<br>outlined in the Water Vole Mitigation<br>Handbook (Dean, M. et. Al., 2016) which are<br>considered to be best practice. The above<br>targeted surveys will enable appropriate<br>mitigation measures to be implemented as<br>set out in the OEPMS <b>[APP-326]</b> .  |
| EA-10     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Water Quality | "We require more information on the remedial<br>actions suggested for filamentous algae in ditches to<br>be able to comment on whether the predicted target<br>of 'moderate' for WFD waterbody status can be<br>obtained. We would like to see the actions that would<br>be undertaken at year 4 should it fail to reach<br>moderate status. If the applicant shares the data used<br>to make the ditch assessment, we will be able to<br>comment further.<br>The applicant should consider off-site impacts that<br>may alter the water quality of on-site ditches, for<br>example, the use of fertilisers or maintenance<br>requirements." | The possibilities for enhancement of ditches<br>and watercourses within the Order Limits are<br>being investigated and will be incorporated<br>into a revised <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and</b><br><b>Ecological Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> in due course.<br>Enhancements already proposed relate to the<br>sensitive management of newly created<br>grassland habitats within field boundaries<br>and buffer zones, as well as the cessation of<br>pesticide and fertiliser application which can<br>be expected to improve water quality and<br>improve the connectivity, quantity and<br>robustness of natural green infrastructure.<br>Furthermore, the OLEMP<br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> currently states, in<br>paragraph 4.9.9: |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | "Ditch management will be carefully considered,<br>with works being undertaken on a rotational<br>basis so that undisturbed areas remain<br>annually. Ditch management can be carried out<br>every 2-5 years with cutting being undertaken in<br>autumn/winter and only one side of the bank cut<br>each time."  |
|           |       |       |                         | It is proposed to amend the above passage to<br>commit to incorporating rotational ditch<br>management, as described, into the regular<br>habitat management practices during the<br>operational phase of the Scheme. The 2-5yr<br>rotation will ensure a mosaic of habitat<br>maturity across the ditches and watercourses<br>within the Order Limits at all times, including<br>a proportion of bare ground and tussocky<br>grassland. Management practices would not<br>take place between March and August<br>inclusive. Ditch cleaning will be undertaken<br>using excavators equipped with weed-<br>clearing buckets to remove choking<br>vegetation. An ecologist will work with the<br>management contractor to prepare a plan |
|           |       |       |                         | and timetable of ditch management which<br>will take into account the presence of newly-<br>created valuable habitat and other seasonal  |
|           |       |       |                         | and protected species constraints. The<br>efficacy of the ditch management regime will<br>be monitored periodically and this will be   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                             | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                                   |  | incorporated into the LEMP's monitoring<br>timetable as set out in para. 4.10.9 of <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> . This is secured<br>through Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
| EA-11     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity  | Natural Flood<br>Management       | "We welcome the creation and enhancement of<br>hedgerows, woodland, wetland and native wildflower<br>meadows which would add multiple benefits in terms<br>of improved water quality and natural flood<br>management (NFM)."   | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
| EA-12     | Landscape and<br>Visual<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Improvements to<br>the River Till | We appreciate that a specialist Modular River Physical<br>(MoRPh) survey of the River Till was not carried out,<br>however, we believe it would be worthwhile exploring<br>improvements on the Till and its tributaries as the site<br>boundary for West Burton 2 runs perpendicular to the<br>river. We would welcome consideration for smaller<br>scale habitat improvements to tributaries of the River<br>Till within the scheme boundary. | No physical enhancements are proposed<br>beyond periodic ditch management to include<br>the removal of choking vegetation.<br>Targeted and period ditch management is<br>part of <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and</b><br><b>Ecological Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> , (see para. 4.9.9).   |
|           |  |                                   |  | The Applicant considers that any commitment<br>to physical modifications to the River Till or its<br>tributaries is beyond the remit of the<br>Application.   |
|           |  |                                   |  | It has been proposed to create a linear cluster<br>of scrapes close to the River Till, with a feeder<br>ditch connecting these scrapes and supplying  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | a source of water, in order to provide habitat<br>for breeding and overwintering birds. The<br>scrapes may be connected on a ditch line to<br>ensure they remain wet into June. Where<br>necessary, this may be connected into the<br>River Till, which will be discussed with the<br>Environment Agency and other experts as<br>necessary. The scrapes and other wetland<br>and pond creation are set out within the<br>OLEMP <b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> .   |
|           |       |       |                         | <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') takes into consideration<br>the smaller drainage network, ditches and<br>watercourses (see paras. 8.5.115, 8.5.118 and<br>8.5.150) at all stages of the Scheme including<br>construction (para. 8.6.4). The LVIA notes<br>(para. 8.9.37) that the intensive farming has<br>diminished the 'sense of place' in parts<br>including the drainage of flood plains and<br>impact on the riparian vegetation and other<br>habitats. Where watercourses survive, their<br>associated vegetation helps curtail visibility<br>across the area. The LVIA notes that public<br>access is also limited to these features, but<br>that the aesthetic would not be changed. |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA also looks to provide landscape<br>mitigation to take account of the existing<br>views from any public footpaths along the  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|
|           |   |   |   | banks of the River Till and within the local<br>landscape to the watercourse. These<br>viewpoints include VP09, VP10, VP11, VP19,<br>VP20 and LCC-C-C. The assessment of these<br>viewpoints is set out at <b>6.3.8.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.3</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Visual Effects</b><br><b>[APP-074]</b> . For example, for VP11, the<br>assessment notes that at operation (Year 15)<br>"as the trees and hedgerows mature, views of<br>the landscape would be more vegetated, and<br>the denser tree cover would break up the flat<br>arable fields". For VP20 at operation (Year 15),<br>the assessment concludes that "Over time, as<br>the mitigation planting establishes, views of<br>the solar array would be screened. The large<br>ecological mitigation planting would form an<br>attractive swathe of land alongside the Till<br>that provides year-round visual interest and<br>excitement where visible." |
| EA-13     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Enhancement to<br>habitat quality of<br>ditches and<br>watercourses | "Enhancements to habitat quality within ditches and<br>watercourses not only benefits otters and water voles<br>but can achieve an improvement to water quality in<br>the rivers from a WFD perspective." | Enhancements incorporated into <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> will provide<br>multiple benefits for ecology and also water<br>quality and natural flood management. A<br>commitment is made within the OLEMP at<br>para. 4.10.9 to conduct periodic rotational<br>ditch management (including removal of  |



| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
|           |  |  |  | choking vegetation) observing sensitive<br>seasonal timing in order to maintain a<br>diversity of vegetation structure within ditch<br>channels across the Order Limits. Please also<br>refer to the response to EA-10 previous for<br>further information.  |
| EA-14     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Cumulative<br>impact on water<br>quality | "It is important that the applicant considers the<br>cumulative risk from the construction, operational<br>and decommissioning phases to water quality. Has<br>the applicant considered whether chemicals such as<br>weed killers will be used during the operation, and if<br>so, what will be done to prevent run-off into nearby<br>ditches." | Water quality is considered for all stages of<br>the development within <b>6.3.10.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 10.1:</b><br><b>Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage</b><br><b>Strategy Report [APP-089]</b> and will be<br>managed / mitigated through the proposed<br>surface water drainage scheme and<br>Construction Environmental Management<br>Plan (CEMP).  |
|           |  |  |  | The use of herbicides for weed killing will be<br>avoided where possible in favour of hand<br>pulling weeds. Where this is not possible,<br>such as for widespread injurious weeds, these<br>will be treated with spot herbicide treatment.<br>This is detailed further in Section 4 of <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> , measures within<br>which are secured by Requirement 7 of<br>Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue         | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---------------|--|---|
| EA-15     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage          | Water Quality | "In Chapter 9 of the ES (9.7.68) it says that water<br>quality in field boundary ditches is expected to<br>significantly increase as a result of the change of use<br>from agriculture use to placement of solar panels and<br>the resultant removal of fertilisers/herbicides from<br>the fields. However, as it cannot categorically be said<br>that other fields in the vicinity wouldn't supply run-off<br>we do not think it can be assumed that water quality<br>would be better as a result of the change of use<br>alone."                         | Considering the large scale of the proposed<br>Scheme, which is up to 60 years in duration,<br>and the area over which chemical inputs will<br>be reduced, it is considered highly likely that<br>at least local (I.e. within-Site and immediately<br>downstream) improvements to water quality<br>will result. The likelihood of this is increased<br>when factoring in the proposed ditch<br>management regime detailed in EA-10 above.<br>The Applicant accepts that ongoing<br>agricultural activities within land beyond the<br>Order Limits are likely to continue to<br>counteract this, but this is beyond the<br>influence of the Scheme or the Applicant. The<br>net level of inputs within the catchments<br>affected is still likely to be reduced. |
| EA-16     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Draft DCO     | <ul> <li>"We have reviewed the documents listed below:</li> <li>Environmental Statement Chapter 10: Hydrology,<br/>Flood Risk and Drainage (ref: [REDACTED]</li> <li>Environmental Statement Appendix 10.1: Flood<br/>Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy Report<br/>(ref: [REDACTED]</li> <li>Crossing Schedule [REDACTED]</li> <li>We note that the applicant wishes to disapply the<br/>requirement for an environmental permit under<br/>Regulation 12 of the Environmental Permitting<br/>(England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (EPR) and</li> </ul> | The Applicant notes this comment and has<br>agreed with the EA in order to define the<br>approach to, and scope of, the disapplication<br>of Regulation 12 of the Environmental<br>Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations<br>2016 (EPR). Resultantly, Article 6 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> has<br>been updated to limit the disapplication to<br>only permits in respect of flood risk activities.<br>The Applicant hopes this matter can be<br>suitably recorded through a Statement of   |



| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue                            | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                                  | includes this in the DCO (Part 2 Principal Powers) in<br>Article 6(1)(h). As currently drafted this Article seeks to<br>disapply Regulation 12 in its entirety, meaning that<br>the requirement for all types of environmental permit<br>is disapplied. We will not agree to this. We will only<br>agree to disapply the requirement for a flood risk<br>activity permit and only if we can reach an agreement<br>regarding the Protective Provisions for the<br>Environment Agency in Schedule 16 Part 9. We are<br>unlikely to agree to the disapplication of other<br>environmental permits under the 2016 Regulations,<br>including a water discharge activity. Accordingly, we<br>request that Article 6(1)(h) is amended to read:<br>"regulation 12 (requirement for environmental<br>permit) of the Environmental Permitting (England and<br>Wales) Regulations 2016, in respect of a flood risk<br>activity permit only"." | Common Ground with the Environment<br>Agency.  |
| EA-17     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)     | Draft DCO                        | "We have reviewed the proposed protective<br>provisions (Schedule 16, Part 9) for the protection of<br>the Environment Agency. We do not accept the<br>current wording and will work with the applicant to<br>agree the wording."  | The Applicant notes this comment and is<br>working with the EA in order to agree the<br>wording of protective provisions for the<br>benefit of the EA.   |
| EA-18     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | River Till Flood<br>Storage Area | "It is understood that no development will be situated<br>within the River Till Flood Storage Area (FSA). For the<br>avoidance of doubt we would ask that the applicant<br>overlay the River Till FSA outline onto the illustrative<br>site layout plan and include it within Appendix 10.5 of<br>the ES."   | <ul> <li>The Applicant confirms that the following illustrative site layout plans have been updated to show the River Till Flood Storage Area:</li> <li>WB6.4.4.1_A ES Figure 4.1 - Illustrative Site Layout Plan West Burton</li> </ul> |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue           | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|---|
|           |                             |                 |   | 1_Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.4.4.1_A];   |
|           |                             |                 |   | <ul> <li>WB6.4.4.2_A ES Figure 4.2 - Illustrative<br/>Site Layout Plan West Burton<br/>2_Revision A<br/>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.4.4.2_A];</li> </ul>  |
|           |                             |                 |   | <ul> <li>WB6.3.10.3_A ES Appendix 10.3 FRA DS<br/>West Burton 1_Revision A<br/>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.10.3_A]; and</li> </ul>  |
|           |                             |                 |   | • WB6.3.10.4_A ES Appendix 10.4 FRA DS<br>West Burton 2_Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.10.4_A].  |
| EA-19     | Principle of<br>Development | River Crossings | "We welcome confirmation that where river crossings<br>are proposed on the River Till and River Trent these<br>will be carried out using trenchless techniques" | The Applicant, as detailed within paragraph<br>4.5.51 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-042]</b> ,<br>proposes to lay the cables across the River Till<br>and Trent using trenchless techniques.            |
|           |                             |                 |   | Works with the potential to adversely affect<br>the rivers have been considered in a<br>precautionary manner, as detailed in<br>paragraphs 7.1.1-7.2.3 of <b>7.17 Outline</b><br><b>Ecological Protection and Mitigation</b><br><b>Strategy [APP-326]</b> . |
|           |                             |                 |   | The Outline Strategy is secured through<br>Requirement 8 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. It provides that   |



|   | "No part of the authorised development may<br>commence until a written ecological protection<br>and mitigation strategy has been submitted to<br>and approved by the relevant planning authority<br>for that part or, where the phase falls within the<br>administrative areas of multiple relevant<br>planning authorities, each of the relevant<br>planning authorities." |
|---|---|
| EA-20       Principle of Development       River Crossings       "The applicant is advised to methodology for the proporties works out in accordance within FRA3 will ensure works and ard in terms | osed river crossing. Carryingconfirms that works will be carried out in<br>accordance with the methodology within<br>'FRA3' as referred to by the EA.   |



| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue                         | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                               |  | sediments with the operatives and engineers<br>overseeing HDD works to ensure an adequate<br>depth is used. In these locations, the ECoW<br>will monitor the water column for sediment<br>release during all stages of HDD work"  |
|           |  |                               |  | The Applicant confirms that all works<br>involving the crossing of a main river will be<br>done in accordance with 'Service crossing<br>below the bed of a main river not involving an<br>open cut technique (FRA3)' methodology.   |
| EA-21     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Climate change<br>flood event | "As noted within the ES (Appendix 10.5, paragraph<br>2.2.9) the scheme has been designed so that in the<br>event of a 0.1% Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) +<br>20% Climate Change flood event it would be possible<br>to electrically isolate damaged infrastructure and<br>replace it without affecting the operation of the rest of<br>the scheme. Whilst we accept this approach, it would<br>be up to the Secretary of State to determine whether<br>this is acceptable in line with the development's<br>classification as essential infrastructure and the<br>National Policy Statement's requirement that new<br>energy infrastructure "should also be designed and<br>constructed to remain operational in times of flood"<br>(EN-1 Overarching National Policy Statement for<br>Energy, paragraph 5.8.5)." | The Applicant notes this comment.<br>The flood risk mitigation for sensitive<br>infrastructure is detailed at paragraphs 3.2.2-<br>3.2.3 in <b>6.3.10.1 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 10.1 Flood Risk Assessment and</b><br><b>Drainage Strategy Report [APP-089]</b> . The<br>Applicant has initiated engagement with EA<br>through a Statement of Common Ground,<br>and confirms specific minimum heights for<br>sensitive infrastructure will be developed and<br>provided to the EA during the detailed design<br>process.<br>Furthermore, the Applicant seeks to assure<br>the EA that the mitigation measures set out in<br>the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage<br>Strategy [APP-089 to APP-094] are secured<br>through Requirement 11 of Schedule 2 to<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b> |



| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue                              | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                                    |  | <b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .<br>Consideration of NPS EN-1 paragraph 5.7.3<br>and Draft NPS EN-1 paragraphs 5.8.6 to 5.8.8<br>have informed the site selection process for<br>the Scheme and the Scheme's design, as set<br>out in paragraph 6.3.38 of <b>7.5 Planning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-313]</b> .                            |
| EA-22     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Embedded<br>mitigation             | "We welcome the embedded mitigation to be adopted<br>within the scheme design as outlined in the ES (10.7),<br>especially the inclusion of an 8-metre easement<br>around all watercourses and the sequential<br>placement of critical infrastructure."   | The Applicant notes this comment and notes<br>that this embedded mitigation measure is set<br>out in <b>7.13_A Concept Design Parameters</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.13_A]</b> , which<br>is secured by Requirement 5(2) of schedule 2<br>of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
| EA-23     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Floodplain<br>cumulative<br>impact | "Whilst the photovoltaic panels will be sequentially<br>located in flood zone 1, our interpretation is that<br>some will be located in flood zone 3 for West Burton<br>1, 2 and 3 (please see Appendix 1 for a visual<br>representation of the area affected). Whilst likely to be<br>negligible, there should be some consideration and<br>calculation of the cumulative loss of floodplain<br>volume from the posts supporting the photovoltaic<br>panels and whether this loss needs to be reasonably<br>compensated for as part of the proposals." | The Applicant confirms that <b>6.3.10.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 10.1</b><br><b>Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage</b><br><b>Strategy Report [APP-089]</b> will be updated<br>following analysis of the loss of flood storage<br>from supporting posts within flood Zone 3.  |
| EA-24     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)     | Draft DCO                          | "The Book of Reference refers to Environment Agency<br>rights and easements within the land that the cable<br>route will pass through (land plan references: 07-106<br>and 07-107). These are highlighted as 'New rights   | The Applicant notes this comment and<br>objection, and confirms that it will work with<br>the EA during the Examination to resolve this<br>issue, in particular through negotiating a  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|---|---|
|           |  |                         | (including restrictions) to be compulsorily acquired<br>and temporary use of land and in relation which it is<br>proposed to suspend or extinguish easements,<br>servitudes and other private rights'. It is currently<br>unclear how such proposed acquisitions would affect<br>the Environment Agency's operations, in particular in<br>relation to its flood risk management role. At this<br>stage therefore the Environment Agency must object<br>to any acquisition of land or rights in relation to its<br>land interests until it has had a proper opportunity to<br>assess the potential effects of the acquisitions sought<br>by the applicant on its ability to carry out its<br>operations. However, we will continue to work with<br>the applicant to resolve this matter during the<br>Examination period." | Statement of Common Ground and through<br>seeking agreement on the protective<br>provisions to be included in <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> for the benefit of<br>the EA. The Applicant is not seeking to<br>extinguish or interfere with any rights<br>belonging to the EA that would affect the EA's<br>ability to carry out its operations and is<br>therefore confident that agreement can be<br>reached.  |
| EA-25     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Ground<br>Conditions and<br>Contamination | Pollution<br>prevention | "We would like to make the following comments in<br>relation to ground condition and contamination<br>having reviewed Chapter 11: Ground Conditions and<br>Contamination of the ES (ref:<br>In the ES Chapter 4, Scheme Description, paragraph<br>4.5.23, piles are mentioned as possible foundation<br>solutions for some elements of the scheme.<br>Reference is made to piles up to 12 metres deep for<br>the energy storage facility. We would expect to see<br>pollution prevention best practice detailed in the<br>Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP)<br>to cover this scenario in order to avoid creating<br>pathways for the rapid transmission of pollutants."   | 7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental<br>Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A] provides outline<br>detail regarding the mitigation measures to<br>be implemented during the construction<br>phase to reduce the risk to human health and<br>the environment. Whilst no explicit reference<br>is made to piling works and pollution<br>prevention guidance at this stage, this can be<br>secured as required through the final CEMP,<br>which itself is secured by Requirement 13 of<br>Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |



| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|--|---|
| EA-26     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Pollution<br>prevention | "The CEMP should detail the pollution prevention<br>practices that will be employed to avoid<br>contamination of the underlying aquifer by possible<br>fire fighting water, which may contain pollutants.<br>Bunding of the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)<br>is detailed in Chapter 4, section 4.5.33 of the ES." | The Applicant seeks to assure the EA that<br>measures to mitigate the risk of ground<br>contamination from pollutant-carrying fire<br>water are set out in Table 3.11 in <b>7.1_A</b><br><b>Outline Construction Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> which states "Bulk<br>fuels and any chemicals used on the Sites will<br>be stored appropriately, within an impervious<br>bund of 110% of the volume of the container<br>to reduce the potential for any contamination<br>source in the event of a container failure /<br>leak of battery fire and associated fire<br>waters". The provisions in the Outline CEMP<br>are secured Requirement 13 of Schedule 2 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . Should<br>the EA seek further agreement on the<br>wording or further clarity, this can be agreed<br>through the Statement of Common Ground<br>between the Applicant and the EA.<br>As stated in paragraphs 3.10.1 to 3.10.5 of<br><b>6.3.10.5 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 10.5 FRA DS West Burton 3 [APP-<br/>093]</b> , fire water provision in line with<br>Lincolnshire Fire and Rescue requirements |
| EA-27     | Waste                                    | Waste and CEMP          | "Section 4.5.47 of the ES states that, "excavated soil will then be backfilled on top of the installed cables."  | <ul><li>has been accommodated within the Scheme.</li><li>7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental<br/>Management Plan Revision A</li></ul>  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue          | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|----------------|--|---|
|           | Ground<br>Conditions and<br>Contamination          |                | Soil and spoil management is also discussed in this<br>chapter. The CEMP should include information about<br>adhering to waste management legislation if the<br>excavated material is contaminated. It is possible that<br>the CL:AIRE Definition of Waste: Code of Practice will<br>apply. Excavated materials that are recovered via a<br>treatment operation can be reused on-site under the<br>CL:AIRE. This voluntary Code of Practice provides a<br>framework for determining whether or not excavated<br>material arising from site during remediation and/or<br>land development works are waste." | [EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A] provides outline<br>detail regarding the identification, storage,<br>management, and remediation of<br>contamination from onsite excavation. The<br>Applicant will comply with all other applicable<br>legislation when constructing the authorised<br>development, including waste management<br>legislation. Whilst no explicit reference is<br>made to waste management legislation at this<br>stage, this can be secured as required<br>through the final CEMP, which itself is secured<br>by Requirement 13 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A<br>Draft Development Consent Order<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
| EA-28     | Waste<br>Ground<br>Conditions and<br>Contamination | Waste and CEMP | "Chapter 11, section 11.7.3 of the ES states that a<br>discovery strategy for the identification and<br>management of unsuspected contamination will be<br>included in the CEMP, which we welcome. We agree<br>with the conclusion of Chapter 11 of the ES that<br>cumulative effects to human health and controlled<br>waters are deemed to be negligible with the<br>implementation of mitigation measures as detailed in<br>the CEMP."  | The Applicant notes this comment and<br>confirms that a discovery strategy for the<br>identification and management of<br>unsuspected contamination in included in<br>Table 3.11 of <b>7.1_A Outline Construction</b><br><b>Environmental Management Plan Revision</b><br><b>A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> , which is secured<br>by means of Requirement 13 of Schedule 2 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
| EA-29     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)               | Draft DCO      | "Under The Environmental Permitting (England and<br>Wales) Regulations 2016 a permit is required for<br>installations, medium combustion plant, specified<br>generator, waste or mining waste operations, water  | The Applicant notes this comment and confirms that any required permits will be applied for at the appropriate time.  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-----------|--|--|
|           | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage   |           | discharge or groundwater activities, or work on or near a main river or sea defence."  |  |
| EA-30     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Draft DCO | "As referred to in paragraph 4.2 above, the<br>disapplication of The Environmental Permitting<br>(England and Wales) Regulations 2016 for work on or<br>near a main river or sea defence (flood risk activity) is<br>the only activity we will agree to disapply (subject to<br>agreement regarding Protective Provisions). The<br>applicant should make it clear that any reference<br>made to The Environmental Permitting (England and<br>Wales) Regulations 2016 within the DCO text is related<br>to flood risk activities only and that any additional<br>permits for water abstraction or discharge would still<br>need to be applied for." | The Applicant notes this comment and has<br>agreed with the EA in order to define the<br>approach to, and scope of, the disapplication<br>of Regulation 12 of the Environmental<br>Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations<br>2016 (EPR). Resultantly, Article 6 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> has<br>been updated to limit the disapplication to<br>only permits in respect of flood risk activities.<br>The Applicant hopes this matter can be<br>suitably recorded through a Statement of<br>Common Ground with the Environment<br>Agency. |
| EA-31     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Draft DCO | "We do not agree to the disapplication of sections 24<br>(restrictions on abstraction) and 25 (restrictions on<br>impounding) of the Water Resources Act 1991.<br>As referred to in paragraphs 4.2 we will not agree to<br>the disapplication of the requirement for any<br>environmental permit, other than a flood risk activity<br>permit in exchange for agreed protective provisions.<br>We are considering the disapplication of local<br>legislation listed in Schedule 3 of the DCO. If we have  | The Applicant notes this comment and<br>intends to work with the EA in order to agree<br>a position regarding the disapplication of the<br>sections 24 and 25 of the Water Resources Act<br>1991; the Environmental Permitting<br>Regulations and the wording of the protective<br>provisions for the protection of the EA, to be<br>included in the draft DCO.<br>The Applicant furthermore notes the EA's<br>comments about reviewing Schedule 3 of  |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
|           |                                      |                       | any concerns about this, we will endeavour to include comments in our written representations."   | 3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| EA-32     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO<br>Revision | "The Environment Agency wishes to be a specific<br>named consultee in respect of Schedule 2,<br>Requirement 7 (landscape and ecological<br>management plan); and Requirement 21<br>(decommissioning and restoration). We welcome our<br>inclusion as a consultee to Requirement 6 (battery<br>safety management plan); Requirement 13<br>(construction environment management plan); and<br>Requirement 14 (operational environmental<br>management plan). We would request that for the<br>avoidance of doubt the words "following consultation<br>with the Environment Agency" are inserted after<br>"relevant planning authority". This will give us an<br>opportunity to comment on the detailed mitigation<br>and management schemes, secured post consent, to<br>ensure adequate protection and enhancement of the<br>environment." | The Applicant confirms that the EA will be a specific named consultee in respect of the Requirements as requested by EA.  |
| EA-33     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO<br>Revision | "Schedule 17: Procedure for Discharge of<br>Requirements – We have concerns that the procedure<br>outlined in this section of the DCO will not provide<br>sufficient time for adequate consultation to take place<br>for the discharge of Requirements. Paragraph 3(3)<br>states that where "consultation with a requirement<br>consultee is required, the relevant planning authority<br>must issue the consultation to the requirement<br>consultee within five working days of receipt of the<br>application, and must notify the undertaker in writing  | The Applicant confirms that paragraph 3(3) in<br>Schedule 17 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> has been amended<br>to align with the EA's request. However, the<br>reference to 10 days in paragraph 4(2)(c) will<br>remain the same, as the Applicant considers<br>that this is a suitable time period to enable<br>any appeals to be managed efficiently. The |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
|           |                             |                             | specifying any further information the relevant<br>planning authority considers necessary or that is<br>requested by the requirement consultee within five<br>working days of receipt of such a request and in any<br>event within 15 working days of receipt of the<br>application". If the relevant planning authority does<br>not issue the consultation until day 5, this would only<br>provide the consultee with 10 working days to<br>respond. The Environment Agency requests that this<br>is amended to 20 working days to provide sufficient<br>consultation timescales that align with those in the<br>Development Management Procedure Order 2015, i.e.<br>21 days (equivalent to 15 working days) in addition to<br>the 5 working days allocated for the relevant planning<br>authority to issue the consultation. | definition of "working day" will also be<br>updated.  |
|           |                             |                             | Similarly with Paragraph 4 appeals, (2)(c) should be amended to allow representations to be submitted within 20 working days. 7.7.  |   |
|           |                             |                             | We would also request that for the avoidance of<br>doubt 'working day' is included in Paragraph 1<br>'Interpretation' as 'any day other than a Saturday,<br>Sunday or English bank or public holiday"   |   |
| EA-34     | Principle of<br>Development | Principle of<br>Development | "In summary, we can confirm that we have no<br>objection to the principle of the proposed<br>development, as submitted. The holding objection<br>and issues outlined above are all capable of<br>resolution and we look forward to receiving additional<br>information to resolve our outstanding concerns. We  | The Applicant notes this comment and looks<br>forward to working with the Environment<br>Agency throughout the Examination on the<br>matters referred to above, through the<br>parties' Statement of Common Ground and<br>other engagement. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|----------------------|
|           |       |       | will also continue to work with the applicant to agree<br>the wording of the protective provisions.   |                      |
|           |       |       | We reserve the right to add or amend these<br>representations, including requests for DCO<br>Requirements and protective provisions should<br>further information be forthcoming during the course<br>of the examination on issues within our remit." |                      |

# Table 2.2.4: Applicant's Response to Network Rail Infrastructure Limited [RR-236]

| Reference | Theme                                     | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
| NRIL-01   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO / CA) | Draft DCO<br>Compulsory<br>Acquisition<br>Powers | <ul> <li>"This is the section 56 representation of Network<br/>Rail Infrastructure Limited (Network Rail)<br/>provided in respect of West Burton Solar Project<br/>Limited's (Applicant) application for a<br/>Development Consent Order (Order) which seeks<br/>powers to enable the construction, operation,<br/>maintenance and decommissioning of the West<br/>Burton Solar Project, being a ground mounted<br/>solar photovoltaic panel array, energy generating<br/>facility, a Battery Energy Storage System, and<br/>supporting infrastructure (Scheme).</li> <li>The Book of Reference (BoR) identifies plots 06-<br/>068, 06-069, 06-070, 06-071, 06-072, 06-073, 06-<br/>074 and 06-083 (Plots) as land owned by Network<br/>Rail in respect of which compulsory acquisition<br/>powers to acquire new rights are sought. The</li> </ul> | The Applicant notes this comment and objection,<br>and confirms that Network Rail's understanding<br>of the compulsory powers sought in the<br>application is correct.<br>The Applicant notes that business and technical<br>clearances have been issued for the Scheme by<br>Network Rail.<br>The Applicant has been negotiating protective<br>provisions with Network Rail ensure that its<br>statutory undertaking is not subject to serious<br>detriment as a result of the Scheme. Draft<br>protective provisions are included in Part 10 to<br>Schedule 16 of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[ <b>EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A</b> ], and these contain<br>protections relating to "railway property". The |



| Reference | Theme                                     | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
|           |   |  | <ul> <li>compulsory acquisition powers sought are described in the BoR and on the Land Plans as being:</li> <li>new rights (including restrictions) to be compulsorily acquired and temporary use of land and in relation to which it is proposed to suspend or extinguish easements, servitudes and other private rights; and</li> </ul>                        | Applicant is in the process of negotiating the<br>necessary property rights required for the<br>Scheme on Network Rail's land. The Applicant is<br>confident that agreement can be reached with<br>Network Rail prior to the close of the<br>Examination.  |
|           |   |  | • temporary use of land and in relation to<br>which it is proposed to temporarily<br>suspend easements, servitudes and other<br>private rights (together Compulsory<br>Powers).  |  |
|           |   |  | Network Rail notes that the compulsory powers<br>are sought in relation to operational railway land<br>forming part of the operational railway being the<br>Sheffield to Lincoln line. Network Rail objects to<br>the inclusion of the Plots in the Order and to the<br>acquisition of Compulsory Powers in respect of<br>them."                                 |  |
| NRIL-02   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO / CA) | Draft DCO<br>Compulsory<br>Acquisition<br>Powers | "The Plots constitute land acquired by Network<br>Rail for the purpose of its statutory undertaking<br>and, accordingly, this representation is made<br>under section 56 and sections 127 and 138 of the<br>Planning Act 2008. Network Rail considers that<br>there is no compelling case in the public interest<br>for the acquisition of Compulsory Powers and | The Applicant notes this comment, and<br>respectfully disagrees with Network Rail's<br>statement that there is no compelling case in the<br>public interest for seeking compulsory acquisition<br>powers. Section 10.3 of <b>4.1 Statement of</b><br><b>Reasons</b> (Compulsory Acquisition Information)<br>[ <b>APP-019</b> ], sets out the case for why the powers |



| Reference | Theme                                     | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
|           |   |  | Network Rail considers that the Secretary of<br>State, in applying section 127 of the Planning Act<br>2008, cannot conclude that new rights and<br>restrictions over the railway land can be created<br>without serious detriment to Network Rail's<br>undertaking; no other land is available to<br>Network Rail which means that the detriment can<br>be made good by them." | sought over land are necessary and<br>proportionate to deliver the Scheme.<br>Draft protective provisions are included in Part 10<br>to Schedule 16 of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A], and these require the<br>Applicant to obtain Network Rail's consent prior<br>to carrying out any works thereby ensuring there<br>is no serious detriment to Network Rail's<br>undertaking. |
| NRIL-03   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO / CA) | Draft DCO<br>Compulsory<br>Acquisition<br>Powers | "Network Rail also objects to all other compulsory<br>powers in the Order to the extent that they affect,<br>and may be exercised in relation to, Network<br>Rail's property and interests. In order for Network<br>Rail to be in a position to withdraw its objection<br>Network Rail requires:<br>(a) agreements with the Applicant that<br>regulate:                        | As set out in the response to NRIL-01, discussions<br>relating to voluntary property agreements are<br>ongoing and the Applicant is confident that<br>agreement can be reached prior to the close of<br>the Examination.   |
|           |   |  | (i) the manner in which rights over the<br>Plots and any other railway property are<br>acquired and the relevant works are<br>carried out including terms which protect<br>Network Rail's statutory undertaking and<br>agreement that compulsory acquisition<br>powers will not be exercised in relation to<br>such land; and  |  |



| Reference | Theme                                     | Issue                                 | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                                       | (ii) the carrying out of works in the vicinity<br>of the operational railway network to<br>safeguard Network Rail's statutory<br>undertaking;  |  |
|           |   |                                       | (b) Network Rail welcomes the fact that<br>there are protective provisions for its<br>benefit in the Order and, if necessary, will<br>provide detailed comments on, and<br>amendments to, the protective provisions<br>when it submits its detailed Written<br>Representation."  |  |
| NRIL-04   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO / CA) | Draft DCO<br>Protective<br>Provisions | "To safeguard Network Rail's interests and the<br>safety and integrity of the operational railway,<br>Network Rail objects to the inclusion of the<br>Compulsory Powers and any other powers<br>affecting Network Rail in the Order. Network Rail<br>requests that the Examining Authority treat<br>Network Rail as an Interested Party for the<br>purposes of Examination." | The Applicant notes this comment and refers<br>Network Rail to the responses to NRIL-01, 02 and<br>03 above which respond to these concerns. |

## Table 2.2.5: Applicant's Response to Natural England [RR-233]

| Reference | Theme | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|--|--|--|
| NE-01     | 0,    | Soils and Best and<br>Most Versatile Land<br>(BMV) | proposals address the majority of potential impacts to the natural environment. The only | A table will be provided showing areas of<br>Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) grade<br>against elements of the Scheme. Suggested<br>elements would be the Solar Arrays area, |



| Reference | Theme                    | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------|---|---|
|           | Soils and<br>Agriculture |       | <ul> <li>assessment and or information to enable the examining authority to make an informed decision are: Soils and Best and Most Versatile (BMV) Agricultural Land and Protected Species.</li> <li>The key concerns we have regarding Soils and BMV agricultural land are: <ul> <li>The omission of assessment of the loss of BMV land to each element of the proposal, including biodiversity opportunity areas;</li> <li>The requirement for additional commitments for the content of the detailed Soil Management Plan;</li> <li>The restoration of the site following decommissioning</li> </ul> </li> <li>The key concerns we have regarding Protected Species are: <ul> <li>The possible need for protected species licences"</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | access tracks, Substation and BESS (including<br>compounds). It should be reiterated that no<br>agricultural land is permanently lost to the<br>proposed development. All elements can be<br>restored without loss of agricultural land<br>resource extent or ALC grade. Furthermore,<br>the Solar Arrays area can continue in<br>agricultural production during operation of the<br>Scheme<br>The detailed Soil Management Plan (which<br>must be substantially in accordance with<br><b>6.3.19.2 Environmental Statement Appendix</b><br><b>19.2 Outline Soil Management Plan [APP-<br/>138]</b> ) will be approved by the relevant planning<br>authority post consent as secured through<br>Requirement 19 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . It will ensure the<br>aims of conserving the soil resource and<br>maintaining the current ALC baseline are<br>achieved. |
|           |                          |       |   | We note the Defra "Construction Code of<br>Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on<br>Construction Sites" and "BSSS Benefitting from<br>Soil Management in Development and<br>Construction" documents both give advice<br>pertinent to achieving these aims. We would<br>also add that the Institute of Quarrying<br>document "Good Practice Guide for Handling  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | Soils in Mineral Workings" provides more<br>detailed advice on plant selection and soil<br>conditions appropriate to the soil stripping,<br>storage and reinstatement that will take over a<br>limited extent within the Sites (temporary<br>tracks, cable laying and switchgear housing<br>locations.   |
|           |       |       |                         | Restoration of agricultural land taking place as<br>part of the decommissioning works will follow<br>the Soil Management Plan (SMP) measures<br>within <b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-310]</b> as secured by<br>Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . This will ensure<br>that where stripped and stored soils are<br>reinstated, there is no loss of extent or quality<br>(as measured by ALC Grade) of agricultural<br>land. |
|           |       |       |                         | Precautionary methods and contingency<br>measures are set out in <b>7.17 Outline</b><br><b>Ecological Protection and Mitigation</b><br><b>Strategy [APP-326]</b> to ensure that, in the<br>unlikely event of protected species being found<br>in advance of or during construction works<br>(e.g. by ECoWs), any necessary licences can be<br>applied for and/or work programmes altered<br>to proceed in a lawful manner.   |



| Reference | Theme                       | lssue                                | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| NE-02     | General                     | Statement of Common<br>Ground (SoCG) | "We have not been engaged regarding the<br>development of a Statement of Common<br>Ground (SoCG) or any other supporting<br>documentation, such as a Letter of No<br>Impediment (LoNI). If the applicant wishes to<br>develop any such documentation, further<br>engagement should be sought in due course. In<br>the absence of a SoCG, Natural England advises<br>that the matters set out in these<br>representations will require consideration by<br>the Examining Authority as part of the<br>examination process."   | The Applicant notes this comment and since<br>Natural England submitted this representation<br>has initiated engagement with Natural England<br>through a Statement of Common Ground.<br>Further engagement with Natural England will<br>be initiated if and when it is required. |
| NE-03     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Internationally<br>Designated Sites  | "Impacts to internationally designated sites are<br>discussed within ES Chapter 9 (Ecology and<br>Biodiversity) and the 'Information to Support a<br>Habitats Regulations Assessment' contains a<br>more detailed assessment of potential impacts<br>to these sites. Natural England have worked<br>with the applicant through our Discretionary<br>Advice Service, including providing advice<br>regarding potential impacts to Internationally<br>Designated Sites. The 'Information to Support a<br>Habitats Regulation Assessment' concludes that<br>no significant effects are likely to occur. Natural<br>England concur with this conclusion." | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
| NE-04     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Protected Species                    | "Natural England have provided advice via our<br>Discretionary Advice Service regarding Great<br>Crested Newts, Bats, Water Voles and Otters.   | The Applicant notes this comment.<br>As a result of baseline surveys and sensitive<br>development design, the potential for impacts   |



| Reference | Theme | lssue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | <ul> <li>This advice has been provided within the application at Appendix 9.1.</li> <li>As it stands, ES Chapter 9 indicates that no protected species licences are required from Natural England, although it is noted that a number of licences may be required where avoidance is not possible during construction.</li> <li>Section 6.3.2 of the oEPMS states that where a bat roost is discovered, a licence may be required from Natural England.</li> <li>Section 6.6.4 of the oEPMS states that where impacts to holts, burrows or sheltering sites for Otter/Water Vole are unavoidable, a licence from Natural England may be required.</li> <li>Section 9.4.2 of the oEPMS states that where an active badger sett is to be unavoidably impacted by construction activities, a licence from Natural England may be required.</li> <li>Natural England welcome the approach taken to avoid any impacts to protected species before resorting to the need for a licence to undertake potentially damaging works. This approach should be maintained within the detailed EPMS, which is a requirement within</li> </ul> | on the listed protected species is low and that<br>no clear need for protected species licensing is<br>identified. As such, it is not possible at this<br>stage to prepare any draft licences to inform a<br>Letter of No Impediment given an absence of<br>need.<br>Precautionary methods and contingency<br>measures are set out in <b>7.17 Outline</b><br>Ecological Protection and Mitigation<br>Strategy [APP-326] to ensure that, in the<br>unlikely event of protected species being found<br>in advance of or during construction works<br>(e.g. by ECoWs), any necessary licences can be<br>applied for and/or work programmes altered<br>to proceed in a lawful manner. These<br>measures are secured through Requirement 8<br>of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br>Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
|           |                             |   | the draft DCO. Nonetheless, as there may be a<br>requirement for protected species licences<br>from Natural England, we would like to flag this<br>within our representations, but acknowledge<br>that there may be a circumstance where no<br>licences are required; as such this would not<br>pose a significant obstacle. At this stage,<br>Natural England have not been engaged<br>regarding the production of a Letter of No<br>Impediment (LoNI) for protected Species<br>Licences; should this be required, submission of<br>draft protected species licence applications<br>would be required for review. Information<br>relating to wildlife licencing and NSIPs is<br>provided within the Planning Inspectorate's<br>Advice Note 11, Annex C – Natural England and<br>the Planning Inspectorate. Specifically, at the<br>bottom of page 6 and within Appendix I." |                                   |
| NE-05     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Biodiversity Net Gain<br>(BNG) and Biodiversity<br>Enhancements | "Biodiversity Net Gain is a demonstrable gain in<br>biodiversity assets as a result of a development<br>project that may or may not cause biodiversity<br>loss, but where the final output is an overall net<br>gain. The Environment Act has set out that<br>Biodiversity Net Gain will be mandatory for the<br>majority of new development from November<br>2023 and mandatory for NSIPs in 2025. Whilst<br>Biodiversity Net Gain is not yet mandatory, it is<br>considered best practise to deliver a   | The Applicant notes this comment. |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
|           |                             |   | measurable net gain through any new development.   |                                   |
|           |                             |   | Natural England acknowledge the production of<br>Appendix 9.12 (Biodiversity Net Gain Report),<br>which illustrates via use of the Biodiversity<br>Metric 3.1 that the proposal will give rise to<br>gains for biodiversity in the magnitude of<br>86.80% for habitat units, 54.71% for hedgerow<br>units and 33.25% for river units. This is in<br>exceedance of the intended 10% mandatory<br>gain and is welcomed. It is noted that the<br>trading rules have not been satisfied within the<br>calculations, however, rationale for this is set<br>out within sections 6.1.7 – 6.1.12. Natural<br>England acknowledge this rationale, and concur<br>that the proposed enhancements to the arable<br>field margins are likely to enhance overall<br>biodiversity." |                                   |
| NE-06     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Biodiversity Net Gain<br>(BNG) and Biodiversity<br>Enhancements | "Overall, Natural England welcome the<br>biodiversity enhancement proposals, illustrated<br>in the Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br>Enhancement Plans (ES figures 8.18.1 to 8.18.3).  | The Applicant notes this comment. |
|           |                             |   | The provisions of the oLEMP are also noted,<br>which outline appropriate management<br>measures to ensure the maximum benefit for<br>biodiversity is realised during the operational<br>phase of the scheme. We note section 4.11 of<br>the oLEMP which sets out the outline   |                                   |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
|           |                         |                                     | monitoring methods for the site. Monitoring of<br>the habitats created and enhanced through the<br>scheme is essential to ensure their successful<br>establishment and ongoing success. We would,<br>however, encourage further detail to be<br>provided within the detailed LEMP to cover<br>specific management actions to be taken where<br>a specific habitat fails to establish."  |  |
| NE-07     | Landscape and<br>Visual | Nationally Designated<br>Landscapes | "The proposed development is not located<br>within, or within the setting of, any nationally<br>designated landscapes. As a result, Natural   | The Applicant recognises that the Scheme is<br>not located within, or within the setting of, any<br>nationally designated landscapes.  |
|           |                         |                                     | England has no specific comments to make on<br>the landscape implications of this development.<br>The examining authority should have regard for<br>the landscape character of the area; we<br>welcome the reference to Natural England's<br>National Character Areas and other Local<br>Landscape Character Assessments within ES<br>Chapter 8 (Landscape and Visual Impact<br>Assessment). We would also like to stress the<br>importance of cumulative landscape impacts<br>from the development." | <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') assesses the effects of<br>the Scheme on landscape and visual receptors,<br>including on the AGLV designation, in particular<br>the Ridge AGLV or Laughton Wood AGLV (as<br>identified in paragraphs 8.4.11, 8.5.125,<br>8.5.126, 8.5.142, 8.5.161, 8.5.162, 8.7.36, 8.7.38,<br>8.7.86, 8.7.88, 8.7.145, 8.7.147, 8.9.47, 8.9.48,<br>8.9.49) noting there will be positive changes to<br>the wider setting of the AGLVs due to the<br>additional vegetation enhancing the local<br>landscape character. |
|           |                         |                                     |   | The LVIA also considers the impacts of the<br>Scheme on the AGLV designation alongside<br>other cumulatively assessed NSIPs (see<br>paragraphs 8.10.74 to 8.10.79) and has   |



| Reference | Theme                    | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
|           |                          |   |  | concluded that there will be no significant<br>adverse effects on landscape character and<br>visual amenity over an extensive area as a<br>result of the cumulative impacts of the<br>schemes.   |
| NE-08     | Soils and<br>Agriculture | Best and Most<br>Versatile (BMV)<br>Agricultural Land | "Based on the information provided within the<br>Environmental Statement (ES) (Chapter 19: Soils<br>and Agriculture and Appendix 19.1 Agricultural<br>Land Quality, Soil Resources & Farming<br>Circumstances), it appears that the proposed<br>development will result in the temporary<br>development of 886.4ha (19.3.5), of which<br>199.5ha is BMV agricultural land (Grades 1, 2<br>and 3a land in the Agricultural Land<br>Classification (ALC) system), as determined from<br>detailed ALC surveys. It is acknowledged that<br>some of the order limits will remain in arable<br>use (i.e. N24, N26, N28, N29, N30 & N31 as<br>illustrated in figure 8.18.1)." | The Applicant notes this comment.<br>The fields remaining in arable use form part of<br>the Bird Mitigation Area which is described<br>within the <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and</b><br><b>Ecological Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> and will be<br>managed on a 3 year rotation in order to<br>provide optimal nesting habitat and foraging<br>resources for skylarks of greater value than at<br>present. Spring sown cereal crops will be<br>established in a third of the area and will be<br>rotated with two thirds of the area that will<br>remain fallow. |
| NE-09     | Soils and<br>Agriculture | Best and Most<br>Versatile (BMV)<br>Agricultural Land | "During the life of the proposed development, it<br>is likely that there will be a reduction in<br>agricultural production over the whole<br>development area. Furthermore, if not time<br>limited as described, the proposed<br>development has the potential to lead to the<br>permanent reduction in agricultural production.<br>This should be considered whether this is an<br>effective use of land in line with the National<br>Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1) and  | The Applicant notes this comment and<br>acknowledges that there will be a reduction in<br>agricultural production during the Scheme's<br>lifetime. However, the land will be fully<br>restored to agricultural use upon completion<br>of the decommissioning of the Scheme. During<br>the Scheme's operational life, there is potential<br>for some agricultural practices (such as sheep<br>grazing) to continue, and this will be<br>considered where feasible and appropriate, as   |



| Reference | Theme                    | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------|---|--|---|
|           |                          |   | Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3), which<br>encourages the Applicant to seek to 'minimise<br>impacts on the best and most versatile<br>agricultural land (defined as land in grades 1, 2<br>and 3a of the Agricultural Land Classification)<br>and preferably use land in areas of poorer<br>quality (grades 3b, 4 and 5) except where this<br>would be inconsistent with other sustainability<br>considerations'." | <ul> <li>set out in the 7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A].</li> <li>That notwithstanding, farmers are under no obligation to keep land in food production or maintain a minimum intensity of agricultural production. Farmers are offered a range of payments for targeted reduction in intensity of land use, such as reverting arable land to low input pasture or the establishment of woodland. Furthermore, the UK Food Security report published by Defra shows that the major risks to UK food security include climate change and soil degradation, but not land use change.</li> <li>The agricultural land resource is not lost to or degraded by a solar farm development. The impact on the agricultural land resource has therefore been assessed to be minimal in 6.2.19 Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-057].</li> </ul> |
| NE-10     | Soils and<br>Agriculture | Best and Most<br>Versatile (BMV)<br>Agricultural Land | "We would also draw to your attention to<br>Planning Practice Guidance for Renewable and<br>Low Carbon Energy (March 2015) (in particular<br>paragraph 013) and advise you to fully consider<br>BMV land issues in accordance with that<br>guidance."  | PPG for Renewable and Low Carbon Energy<br>(March 2015) paragraph 013 is explicitly<br>referred to in paragraph 19.2.14 of <b>6.2.19</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils</b><br><b>and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> and as such has<br>been fully considered. Furthermore, NE<br>guidance given in TIN049 is referenced in  |



| Reference | Theme                    | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
|           |                          |                             |   | paragraph 19.2.21 <b>[APP-057]</b> , which has been<br>considered in preparing the<br>assessment. <b>6.3.19.1 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 19.1 Agricultural Land</b><br><b>Quality, Soil Resources and Farming</b><br><b>Circumstances Report [APP-137]</b> provides<br>results of the detailed ALC assessment of the<br>Sites.   |
| NE-11     | Soils and<br>Agriculture | Soil resources              | It is considered that as the solar panels would<br>be secured to the ground by steel piles with<br>limited soil disturbance, they could be removed<br>in the future with no permanent loss of<br>agricultural land quality likely to occur, provided<br>the appropriate soil management is employed<br>and the development is undertaken to high<br>standards. Consequently, Natural England<br>would advise that any grant of planning<br>permission should be made subject to<br>requirements to safeguard soil resources and<br>agricultural land. | The Applicant notes this comment and refers<br>to the response to NE-01 previous.  |
| NE-12     | Soils and<br>Agriculture | Permanent/Temporary<br>loss | "It is assumed within the ES that the<br>development will not lead to any permanent<br>loss of agricultural land. The area proposed to<br>be occupied by the Substation, Battery Storage<br>(BESS) and access tracks will be lost for the<br>lifetime of the development, however, 9.9.22<br>states that all hardstanding will be removed<br>and restored to agricultural land. Natural<br>England would like to note that this assessment  | The Applicant confirms that the measures set<br>out in <b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-310]</b> together with <b>6.3.19.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement Appendix 19.2</b><br><b>Outline Soil Management Plan [APP-138]</b> (as<br>secured by Requirements 21 and 19 of<br>Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> respectively) require |



| Reference | Theme                    | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
|           |                          |                             | of no permanent loss of agricultural land differs<br>from the assessment provided in support of the<br>Cottam Solar project, proposed by the same<br>applicant and consultant. At the Cottam Solar<br>Project, 29ha are proposed to be occupied by<br>the substations and BESS (Cottam Solar Project<br>ES 19.9.3); this area is considered to be<br>permanently lost, despite the intention to<br>restore it to agriculture. As a result, Natural<br>England advise that for the West Burton Project,<br>a commitment should be made to return the<br>substation, BESS and access tracks to their<br>original ALC grade following decommissioning,<br>otherwise the land should be considered<br>permanently lost, as they are within the Cottam | agricultural land to be restored to the standard<br>of the existing baseline ALC Grade.<br>The Cottam application assessed the impact of<br>loss of agricultural land to elements such as<br>the BESS and substation. This has been<br>subsequently clarified to this being a worst<br>case scenario, and that restoration of all<br>elements to agricultural land of the existing<br>baseline ALC Grade, can be achieved.<br>Furthermore, the Applicant clarifies that there<br>is no permenant loss of agricultural land as a<br>result of the Scheme.    |
| NE-13     | Soils and<br>Agriculture | Permanent/Temporary<br>loss | Solar Project assessment."<br>"Natural England welcome the summary<br>provided within the oSMP 8.7.2 regarding the<br>return of hardstanding areas to agriculture, but<br>advise that a commitment should be made to<br>including the full details of this process within<br>the detailed SMP. This should include: soil<br>handling methodology, soil moisture criteria,<br>(top)soil storage (soil volume, location, etc),<br>remediation methodology (i.e. decompaction),<br>restoration (including restoration criteria) and<br>aftercare.  | <ul> <li>6ha is the approximate area of the combined extent of BESS and substation. The 4.27ha area given in para. 19.3.5 of 6.2.19</li> <li>Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-057] is the area of substation alone so will be corrected for clarity. The difference of under 2ha does not affect the impact assessment.</li> <li>Paragraph 19.9.12 [APP-057] confirms that there will be no loss of agricultural land resource during the operational phase of the Scheme. For the land areas that will house the</li> </ul> |
|           |                          |                             | The area proposed to be occupied by the Substations, Battery Storage (BESS) is noted to   | Scheme. For the land areas that will house the substation and BESS, agricultural land use will  |



| Reference | Theme                    | Issue         | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------|---|--|
|           |                          |               | be 4.27ha at 19.3.5. However, at 19.9.2 the<br>same is noted to be approximately 6ha (19.9.2).<br>Clarity is required as to the amount of land this<br>infrastructure will occupy. Additionally, ES<br>section 19.9.12 states there will be no loss of<br>agricultural land resource during operation,<br>however, with the Substations, BESS and access<br>tracks, we would query that this resource is lost<br>during operation."   | not be possible during the operation phase,<br>but given the commitments to fully restore the<br>land as part of decommissioning, there is not<br>anticipated to be any permanent loss of the<br>agricultural land resource. It is further noted<br>that the areas concerned are a small fraction of<br>the Order Limits, and, as noted in response NE-<br>09 above, during the Scheme's operational life,<br>there is potential for some agricultural<br>practices (such as sheep grazing) to continue,<br>and this will be considered where feasible and<br>appropriate, as set out in the <b>7.3_A Outline</b><br><b>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> . |
| NE-14     | Soils and<br>Agriculture | ALC breakdown | "No breakdown of agricultural land quality has<br>been provided for each element of the<br>development. The discussion within chapter 19<br>is limited to the ALC grade of the whole site,<br>and the area to be impacted by the substations<br>and BESS. The ES should include additional<br>information to clearly show the amounts and<br>proportions of agricultural land, including the<br>amount of BMV land, impacted by each element<br>of the Proposed Development, including all<br>infrastructure, solar PV arrays; retained arable<br>fields and other mitigation and enhancement<br>options (i.e. Biodiversity Opportunity Areas) to<br>properly inform an assessment of impacts." | A breakdown of ALC Grade area by elements of<br>the Scheme will be provided.   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| NE-15     | Alternatives<br>and Design<br>Evolution<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Best and Most<br>Versatile (BMV)<br>Agricultural Land | "It is acknowledged that the detailed ALC survey<br>has been used to influence the Proposed<br>Development lay out, with the panels placed<br>away from the highest quality agricultural land.<br>Whilst there continue to be areas of BMV land<br>within the order limits, large areas of high<br>quality land have been excluded from the order<br>limits altogether."  | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
| NE-16     | Soils and<br>Agriculture  | Soil Resource Benefits                                | "ES section 19.9.13 sets out the possible soil<br>resource benefits from the scheme. We<br>acknowledge the supporting evidence for these<br>benefits, especially to Soil Organic Matter,<br>however, there remain uncertainties with<br>regard to the impact solar panels may have on<br>other soil properties such as carbon storage,<br>structure and biodiversity. For example, as a<br>result of changes in shading; temperature<br>changes; preferential flow pathways; micro-<br>climate; and vegetation growth caused by the<br>panels. Therefore, it is unknown what the<br>overall impact of a temporary solar<br>development will have on soil health." | As referenced in paragraph 19.9.14 of <b>6.2.19</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils</b><br><b>and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> , Defra R&D project<br>SP08016 gives confidence that for all soil types,<br>the reversion of arable land to a grassland<br>delivers a recovery in soil organic matter with<br>attendant environmental benefits such as a<br>reduction in diffuse pollution from agriculture<br>and improvement in biodiversity. There is no<br>known aspect of a solar farm that would<br>significantly inhibit or reverse these benefits<br>from the reversion of arable land to grassland.<br>No detrimental effects of UK solar farms on<br>soil health have been observed. |
| NE-17     | Soils and<br>Agriculture  | ALC Cable Route                                       | "ES Section 19.3.7 states that no soil survey has<br>been undertaken along the cable route<br>corridor. Section 4.1.1 of the oSMP states that a<br>detailed survey of the cable route corridor will<br>be made a condition of the DCO. Natural<br>England recognise that a deviation from the<br>standard soil survey methodology will be   | The Applicant notes this comment.<br>Provision of the measures set out in <b>6.3.19.2</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 19.2<br>Outline Soil Management Plan [APP-138] are<br>secured through Requirement 19 in Schedule 2  |



| Reference | Theme                    | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------|---|--|---|
|           |                          |   | required due to the linear nature of the cable<br>trench. Natural England advise that this further<br>survey work should be made a requirement of<br>the DCO, to ensure the appropriate soil<br>management can be implemented along the<br>cable corridor. Restoration of the cable<br>trenches to their current ALC grade should also<br>be secured to ensure the impacts along the<br>cable route are only temporary as described."  | of WB3.1_A Draft Development Consent<br>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
| NE-18     | Soils and<br>Agriculture | In-combination<br>impacts of shared<br>cable corridor | "ES section 4.5.53 to 4.3.56 discusses the<br>construction of the 'Shared Cable Route<br>Corridor' which is proposed to be shared with<br>Gate Burton Energy Park and Cottam Solar<br>Project. Two scenarios are presented, one<br>whereby the cables are laid at the same time<br>(scenario 1), and another where the cables are<br>laid separately (scenario 2). The possible<br>impacts of this element of the proposal on soils<br>have not been assessed within chapter 9.<br>Natural England advise that an assessment of<br>potential cumulative impacts of works at the<br>shared cable route should be included within<br>chapter 9. We note the potential for significant<br>soil damage where three sequential cable laying<br>events occur in the same area (scenario 2)." | The Applicant infers that this comment is in<br>relation to <b>6.2.19 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> .<br>Paragraph 19.3.6 <b>[APP-057]</b> notes that sections<br>of cable may be laid within ducting where there<br>is potential for a shared grid connection with<br>other facilities. Such ducts will enable<br>additional services to be drawn through the<br>duct without re- excavation. Where no duct is<br>used, additional services will be laid in a<br>parallel corridor. Re-excavating an existing<br>cable trench to lay additional services would<br>present an unnecessary risk both to workers<br>and the existing buried service. |
| NE-19     | Soils and<br>Agriculture | Outline Soil<br>Management Plan<br>(OSMP)             | Natural England welcomes the preparation of<br>an Outline Soil Management Plan (oSMP) which<br>has been prepared and submitted with the<br>application. We note the outline nature of the  | The detailed Soil Management Plan (which<br>must be substantially in accordance with<br>6.3.19.2 Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 19.2 Outline Soil Management   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
|           |       |       | <ul> <li>plan; understand that the detailed SMP will include the full suite of information outlined in the oSMP. We have set out our advice on the oSMP below:</li> <li>The proposed requirements in oSMP section 8 should make reference to the Defra Construction Code of Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites. The British Society of Soil Science has published the Benefitting from Soil Management in Development and Construction which sets out measures for the protection of soils within the planning system and the development of individual sites, which we also recommend is followed.</li> <li>oSMP section 4.1.1 sets out the requirement for soil sampling along the cable route. As discussed previously, soil sampling along the cable route should be made a requirement of the DCO, to ensure operations and restoration are correctly informed and the cable route is restored to it's current ALC grade.</li> <li>oSMP section 7.1.2 states 'A map of topsoil units will be prepared as a requirement of the SMP and retained to</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Plan [APP-138]) will be approved by the relevant planning authority post consent. It will ensure the aims of conserving the soil resource and maintaining the current ALC baseline are achieved. This is secured by Requirement 19 of Schedule 2 in 3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</li> <li>We note the Defra "Construction Code of Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites" and "BSSS Benefitting from Soil Management in Development and Construction" documents both give advice pertinent to achieving these aims. We would also add that the Institute of Quarrying document "Good Practice Guide for Handling Soils in Mineral Workings" provides more detailed advice on plant selection and soil conditions appropriate to the soil stripping, storage and reinstatement that will take over a limited extent within the Sites (temporary tracks, cable laying and switchgear housing locations.</li> <li>We agree that appropriate soil sampling along the cable route corridor to inform the SMP should be a requirement of the DCO.</li> <li>We agree that stockpiled soils should be labelled and protected from trafficking and</li> </ul> |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | <ul> <li>ensure topsoil units are restored to their original location', which is welcomed. The stockpiled soils should be labelled and protected from trafficking and damage. Any soil stockpiles in place for more than 6 months need to be seeded.</li> <li>The restoration criteria need to be set out in the detailed SMP, including the restored ALC grade for all land within the Order Limits. This could be set out similarly to the proposals for mapping stored soils in section 7.1.2.</li> <li>Section 8.7 of the oSMP sets out the details of the decommissioning requirements, however, Natural England consider that specific requirement for restoration of arable land to its former ALC grade, should be secured through the SMP. This would comprise an example of implementing good practice to assure restoration of the land to the baseline ALC grade, minimising the potential loss of soil functions.</li> </ul> | damage, with bunds that are planned to be<br>retained for over six months being seeded.<br>The majority of soil storage bunds will be<br>retained for the operational phase of the solar<br>farm.<br>We note that the SMP should include retention<br>of the existing baseline ALC Grade as a<br>restoration criterion.<br>We agree that areas of land that are not to<br>have any soil stripping should be demarcated<br>to prevent any unnecessary trafficking of<br>vehicles or plant.<br>The SMP will include measures to prevent<br>damage to or loss of soil resource in the<br>operational period, for instance the use of<br>appropriate low ground pressure vehicles and<br>monitoring of soil conditions. |
|           |       |       | <ul> <li>Areas of the site which are not to be<br/>stripped or used for stockpiling, haul<br/>routes or compounds must be clearly</li> </ul>  |   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|
|           |   |   | marked by signs and barrier tape and protected from trafficking and construction.   |  |
|           |   |   | <ul> <li>The Scope of the oSMP should also be<br/>expanded to include the soil<br/>management of the soil which has<br/>remained in situ. Although there is no<br/>soil movement proposed in these areas,<br/>soil trafficking will occur during<br/>decommissioning and therefore<br/>mitigation measures need to be in place<br/>to minimise the potential impact on the<br/>soil resource, most notably soil<br/>compaction, which can have a major<br/>detrimental impact on the soil structure.<br/>This needs to be checked and<br/>monitored via aftercare.</li> </ul> |  |
| NE-20     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity   | Ancient Woodland and<br>Ancient/Veteran Trees | "We note that there is no Ancient Woodland or<br>ancient/veteran trees within the order limits or<br>within close proximity; as such, have no<br>detailed comments to make."  | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| NE-21     | Site Description<br>Transport and<br>Access<br>Socio-<br>economics, | Connecting People<br>with Nature              | "There are no National Trails, Open Access Land<br>or Coast paths within the order limits; as such,<br>no impacts to these features are likely.<br>Public Rights of Way are discussed in depth<br>within ES Chapter 8. We welcome the retention   | The Applicant notes this comment and<br>confirms that there are no National Trails,<br>Open Access Land or Coast paths within the<br>Order Limits.<br>The Scheme will also provide extensive areas |
|           | cconomics,  |   | of all PRoW within the order limits and the intention to keep them open throughout all  | of mitigation along the existing sections of footpaths and bridleways to enhance their   |



| Reference | Theme                     | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------|---|---|
|           | Tourism and<br>Recreation |       | phases of the development. The poor existing<br>provision of access routes around the sites is<br>acknowledged, and thus we also welcome<br>provision of an additional permissive footpath<br>looping through West Burton 2. Nonetheless,<br>we note that further provision for access across<br>the site could be achieved through the<br>development; in particular, additional access<br>around areas proposed for Biodiversity<br>Enhancement could provide and promote<br>access to nature. ES section 8.9.44 notes the<br>benefit the scheme will have to the existing<br>PRoWs on the site due to the addition of<br>vegetation and boundary features. However it<br>also notes the possible detrimental impact<br>associated with the presence of the panels and<br>infrastructure. The addition of interpretation<br>boards and/or other features to improve public<br>interpretation of the scheme and the<br>biodiversity it supports would help to improve<br>the overall effect on the PRoW network.<br>We also note the commitment to provide a<br>Public Rights of Way Management Plan where<br>any temporary closure is required during<br>construction." | amenity value and benefit the public as a<br>whole as demonstrated in <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') (see paragraphs 8.8.22 to<br>8.9.29). The proposed mitigation would apply<br>to PRoW receptors PR006 (Brox/198/1), PR007<br>(Brox/197/1), PR008 (Brox/196/1) and PR038<br>(Mton/68/1).<br>Whilst it is acknowledged that the Scheme<br>offers only a single additional permissive<br>footpath, this is anticipated to provide a<br>localised moderate-minor beneficial effect to<br>recreational walking, and thus resultantly have<br>a beneficial effect on health and wellbeing<br>(para. 18.7.110 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> ), as a<br>result of improved access to the countryside<br>and semi-natural spaces. This beneficial effect<br>will be experienced throughout the operational<br>lifetime of the Scheme. Furthermore, the<br>provision of the permissive path is secured<br>through Requirement 17 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision</b><br><b>A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------------|--|--|
| NE-22     | General   | Examination | "Natural England does not intend to make oral<br>representations regarding this examination but<br>is happy to work with the applicant and<br>examining authority to ensure the development<br>will not have adverse impacts on the natural<br>environment."   | The Applicant notes this comment and has<br>initiated engagement with Natural England<br>through a Statement of Common Ground.   |
| NE-23     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Draft DCO   | "Requirement 7 – Landscape and Ecological<br>Management Plan:<br>Natural England welcomes the inclusion of a<br>requirement for the LEMP; consider the<br>measures as set out in the oLEMP to be<br>satisfactory in protecting the elements of the<br>natural environment which represent the key<br>areas of our remit."      | The Applicant notes this comment   |
| NE-24     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity                                   | Draft DCO   | "Requirement 8 – Ecological Protection and<br>Mitigation Strategy:<br>Natural England welcomes the inclusion of a<br>requirement for the EPMS; consider the<br>measures as set out in the oEPMS to be<br>satisfactory in protecting the elements of the<br>natural environment which represent the key<br>areas of our remit." | The Applicant notes this comment   |
| NE-25     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)  | Draft DCO   | "Requirement 9 – Biodiversity Net Gain:<br>Natural England welcome the inclusion of a<br>requirement for a Biodiversity Net Gain strategy<br>to be produced, however, would recommend<br>that this requirement makes it a necessity for a  | As per Requirement 9 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision</b><br><b>A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , "No part of the<br>authorised development may commence until<br>a biodiversity net gain strategy has been |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue          | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------|--|--|
|           | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity |                | minimum of 10% Net Gains in habitat,<br>hedgerow and river units to be delivered."   | submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, in consultation with the relevant statutory nature conservation body."                         |
|           |                             |                |  | The Applicant confirms that Requirement 9 in<br>Schedule 2 will be amended to reflect a<br>minimum of 10% Net Gains in habitat,<br>hedgerow and river units. |
| NE-26     | Principle of<br>Development | Draft DCO      | "Requirement 13 – Construction Environment<br>Management Plan:   | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
|           | (DCO)                       |                | Natural England welcomes the inclusion of a<br>requirement for the CEMP; consider the<br>measures as set out in the oCEMP to be<br>satisfactory in protecting the elements of the<br>natural environment which represent the key<br>areas of our remit." |  |
| NE-27     | Principle of<br>Development | Draft DCO      | "Requirement 14 – Operational Environment<br>Management Plan:  | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
|           | (DCO)                       |                | Natural England welcome the inclusion of a requirement for the OEMP."  |  |
| NE-28     | Principle of                | e of Draft DCO | "Requirement 17 - Permissive Paths:  | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
|           | Development<br>(DCO)        |                | Natural England welcome the specific<br>requirement for the proposed permissive<br>footpath; timing of it's opening"   |  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-----------|---|---|
| NE-29     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)                             | Draft DCO | "Requirement 18 – Public Rights of Way:<br>Natural England welcome the requirement for a<br>Public Rights of Way Management plan to retain<br>access throughout all development phases."  | The Applicant notes this comment  |
| NE-30     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Draft DCO | Requirement 19 - Soils Management:<br>Natural England welcome the requirement for<br>production of a detailed soil management plan,<br>however, we consider additional information<br>must be included in the plan that is not<br>currently outlined in the oSMP. Our specific<br>comments on the contents of the SMP can be<br>found in the section of this letter entitled 'Soils<br>and best and most versatile agricultural land'<br>(pages 7- 10). | A Soils Resource Management Plan,<br>substantially in accordance with <b>6.3.19.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement Appendix 19.2</b><br><b>Outline Soil Management Plan [APP-138]</b> will<br>be submitted and approved prior to the<br>commencement of development as secured by<br>Requirement 19 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b><br>Please see the Applicant's response to NE-14<br>(above) which addresses the matters raised by<br>NE in detail. |
| NE-31     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)                             | Draft DCO | "Requirement 21 - Decommissioning and<br>Restoration:<br>Natural England welcome the requirement for a<br>decommissioning plan. As noted within our<br>above comments on Soils and best and most<br>versatile agricultural land, we consider the<br>implementation of a time limit within the DCO<br>would reduce the potential long-term impact on<br>agricultural land and BMV land."   | The decommissioning mitigation and site<br>restoration measures set out in <b>7.2 Outline</b><br><b>Decommissioning Statement [APP-310]</b> are<br>secured by Requirement 21 in Schedule 2 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .<br>The Applicant considers that the Draft DCO<br>satisfactorily provides for the protection and<br>restoration of agricultural land and BMV land<br>post-decommissioning and that it would not be   |



| Reference | Theme | lssue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response                                |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | appropriate to include a time limit within the DCO. |



## 2.3 The Applicant's Responses to Other Statutory Consultees, International Agencies, Undertakers, and Elected Representatives

## Table 2.3.1: Applicant's Response to 7000 Acres [RR-001]

| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| 7A-01     | Principle of<br>Development | Acceptability of<br>the Scheme | "Overall, the limited energy security and<br>decarbonisation benefits the West Burton Solar<br>Project claims to achieve are outweighed by the<br>significant adverse impacts it would have on the<br>region (its communities, ways of life, landscape<br>and its wildlife) and on the nation (in particular<br>pressure on land use and food security)." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".  |
|           |                             |                                |   | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.  |
|           |                             |                                |   | Section 7 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>concludes with a consideration of the Planning<br>Balance and justifies how the overwhelming<br>national need, as demonstrated in the Statement<br>of Need, outweighs any potential significant<br>adverse impacts which, as the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039 to APP-061]</b> sets out, are<br>limited, and will be considered by the Secretary of<br>State in making a decision on the application. |



| Reference | Theme                                     | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| 7A-02     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(Location) | Cumulative<br>Development | "The West Burton Solar Project (WBSP) is one of<br>four NSIP proposals in West Lindsey, Lincolnshire,<br>which fall within a 6 mile radius which together<br>would cover 10,0000 [sic] acres of farmland and<br>become the largest solar complex in Europe, and<br>one of the top few globally." | Paragraph 12.1.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b><br>[ <b>APP-320</b> ] concludes that "Large-scale solar<br>generation is essential to support the urgent<br>decarbonisation of the GB electricity sector" and<br>paragraph 4.4.11 describes that the location of<br>the Scheme presents a "highly suitable solution<br>for the efficient delivery of solar at scale over<br>timeframe which will provide significant<br>decarbonisation benefits". It concludes that this<br>Scheme and others located near it will all be<br>essential for the decarbonisation of the UK<br>electricity sector. |
|           |   |                           |  | Paragraph 8.5.10 and Section 8.5 more generally<br>[ <b>APP-320</b> ] describe and express agreement with<br>the Government's view that decentralised and<br>community energy systems are unlikely to lead to<br>the significant replacement of large-scale<br>infrastructure. The Applicant therefore supports<br>the Government's view that large scale solar must<br>be deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |   |                           |  | Paragraphs 3.3.17 and 3.3.18 <b>[APP-320]</b> set out<br>the Government's view that irradiance, site<br>topography and proximity to suitable connection<br>points to the transmission network are likely to<br>be key inputs to site selection. Section 7.5 <b>[APP-<br/>320]</b> describes the site selection process for large-<br>scale solar more fully, and Section 7.7 <b>[APP-320]</b><br>sets out how the design of the Scheme seeks to  |



| Reference | Theme                     | Issue                                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------------------------|---|--|---|
|           |                           |   |  | maximise utilisation of the grid connection capacity available at West Burton Substation.   |
|           |                           |   |  | Chapter 9 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes the suitability of<br>the proposed location as a point of connection<br>for the Scheme, thus enabling it to contribute to<br>the urgent need for increased energy security<br>and a low-carbon electricity supply. The Applicant<br>has secured an agreement to connect to the grid<br>at West Burton substation as demonstrated in <b>7.7</b><br><b>Grid Connection Statement [APP-316]</b> . |
| 7A-03     | General<br>(Procedural)   | Examination of<br>Cumulative<br>Development | "Due to the unprecedented nature of this<br>development and the significant impact on the<br>area and communities, the four NSIP solar<br>projects need to be considered together by the<br>Planning Inspectorate, i.e. Cottam Solar Project,<br>West Burton Solar Project, Gate Burton Energy<br>and Tillbridge Solar." | The Applicant notes this comment and seeks to<br>assure the Interested Party that a cumulative<br>effects assessment has been prepared for the<br>Application within the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> .<br>n  |
| 7A-04     | General<br>(Consultation) | Public<br>Consultation                      | "The Public Consultation was insufficient/<br>inadequate. Information was lacking and<br>misleading. Access to and comprehension of<br>information for all was limited. Therefore, those<br>affected were unable to gain understanding of<br>the proposals."   | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but is<br>confident that the level of consultation<br>undertaken, and information presented<br>throughout the pre-application stage met the<br>legislative requirements of the Planning Act 2008<br>and associated guidance. This has been<br>evidenced in <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> ,<br>which was submitted to the Planning<br>Inspectorate and accepted for examination.  |
|           |                           |   |  | For example, as described in Chapter 2 <b>[APP-022]</b> , the Applicant undertook two phases of   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | community consultation to share information and<br>invite feedback at different stages of Scheme<br>development.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 7 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes the Applicant's<br>approach to statutory consultation, including<br>consulting with relevant authorities on a draft<br>Statement of Community Consultation. Table 7.1<br>sets out the comments received from authorities<br>on the Applicant's approach to consultation and<br>how the Applicant has had regard to these in<br>developing the Scheme. Table 7.3 in Chapter 7<br>describes how the Applicant complied with<br>commitments made in the Statement of<br>Community Consultation when undertaking<br>statutory consultation.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 8 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes how the Applicant<br>undertook a six-week statutory phase two<br>consultation on the Scheme, during which the<br>Applicant presented consultees with<br>environmental information sufficient for<br>consultees to understand the potential likely<br>significant effects of the Scheme in a Preliminary<br>Environmental Impact Report (PEIR). A non-<br>technical summary was published to accompany<br>the PEIR, with public information events and free-<br>to-use communications channels open to help aid<br>accessibility and understanding of the Scheme. A<br>Consultation Summary Report for this phase of<br>statutory consultation was published on the |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
|           |   |   |   | dedicated Scheme website, shared with elected<br>representatives and stakeholders and issued to<br>over 9,000 properties within the vicinity of the<br>Scheme, to help consultees understand how their<br>feedback was being considered. A copy of the<br>Phase Two Consultation Summary Report is<br>provided at pp.36-43 of <b>5.7 Consultation Report</b><br>- Appendix 5.7 Phase Two Community<br>Consultation Materials - Part 3 of 3 [APP-031]. |
|           |   |   |   | Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> describes the significant volume of<br>responses received to Section 47 consultation<br>(local community), including the issues raised and<br>how the Applicant has had regard to these in<br>developing the Scheme. This is further evidenced<br>by <b>5.12 Consultation Report - Appendix 5.12 -</b><br><b>Section 47 Applicant Response [APP-036]</b> .                                |
|           |   |   |   | The host authorities have confirmed that the statutory consultation process was adequate <b>[AoC-001 to AoC-013]</b> .  |
| 7A-05     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Glint and Glare | Cumulative<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Effects | "The proposed West Burton Solar Project would<br>have a significant impact on visual amenity in its<br>own right. The combined effect of four large solar<br>farms in one area of Lincolnshire would be<br>overwhelming; solar arrays would become a<br>devastating, dominating feature of our<br>landscape." | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the<br>Interested Party's comment and considers the<br>approach taken and subsequent conclusions<br>regarding assessing the impacts of the Scheme<br>alongside the proposed Cottam, Gate Burton and<br>Tillbridge Solar proposals would not result in<br>significant adverse effects on landscape character<br>and visual amenity over an extensive area.   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | The judgements on the likely significant<br>cumulative effects and conclusions for the<br>landscape and visual receptors are set out within<br>Section 8.10 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual Impact</b><br><b>Assessment [APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA'), <b>6.3.8.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.2</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Landscape Effects</b><br><b>[APP-073]</b> and <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.3 Assessment of</b><br><b>Potential Visual Effects [APP-074]</b> .Summary I<br>tables will be produced and submitted into the<br>examination at Deadline 1 which will summarise<br>the landscape and visual effects as concluded in<br>the Environmental Statement. |
|           |       |       |                         | The cumulative effects with the Cottam proposals<br>are illustrated on <b>6.4.8.17.1 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Figure 8.17.1 - Cumulative</b><br><b>Development Augmented ZTV - Cottam [APP-<br/>277]</b> Cottam is shown as being located to the<br>northeast of the settlements of Stow and<br>Willingham. This demonstrates that cumulative<br>effects between these projects would therefore<br>not occur due to the significant distance between<br>them. The LVIA [APP-046] concludes that with<br>Regional Character Areas and Individual<br>Contributors to Landscape Character, there is<br>potential for cumulative effects, but that these<br>would be <b>Not Significant</b> . The LVIA sets out   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | (para. 8.10.86) for example, with regard to<br>Viewpoint LCC-A-Middle Street that "There may be<br>opportunities (depending upon weather and<br>atmospheric visibility) for successional glimpses of<br>the West Burton and Cottam Sites. However, if<br>available, this would be very glimpsed, transient and<br>filtered by vegetation across the landscape and<br>would be regarded as two detached solar schemes<br>in two separate land parcels."  |
|           |       |       |                         | The cumulative effects with the Gate Burton<br>proposals are illustrated on <b>6.4.8.17.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Figure 8.17.2 -</b><br><b>Cumulative Development Augmented ZTV -</b><br><b>Gate Burton [APP-278]</b> . Gate Burton is shown as<br>being located to the west of the settlements of<br>Willingham by Stow, Kexby and Upton. This<br>demonstrates that the cumulative effects<br>between these projects would not occur due to<br>the significant distance between them. The LVIA<br><b>[APP-046]</b> concludes that with Regional Character<br>Areas and Individual Contributors to Landscape<br>Character, there is potential for cumulative |
|           |       |       |                         | effects, but that these would be <b>Not Significant</b> .<br>The LVIA sets out (para. 8.10.88) for example,<br>with regard to transport receptor T058/Northern<br>Railway – Saxilby to Gainsborough that "The route<br>continues north through the Gate Burton Energy<br>Park development, with users having views of the   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | <i>surrounding array as they pass through</i> ", but that the effects would be <b>Not Significant</b> .   |
|           |       |       |                         | The cumulative effects with the Tillbridge<br>proposals are illustrated on <b>6.4.8.17.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Figure 8.17.3 -</b><br><b>Cumulative Development Augmented ZTV -</b><br><b>Tillbridge [APP-279]</b> . Tillbridge is shown as being<br>located to the west and east of the settlement of<br>Springthorpe and situated between the<br>settlements of Heapham, Hemswell Cliff and<br>Glentworth. demonstrates that cumulative effects<br>between these projects would therefore not<br>occur due to the significant distance between<br>them. The LVIA [APP-046] concludes that with<br>Regional Character Areas and Individual<br>Contributors to Landscape Character, there is<br>potential for cumulative effects, but that these<br>would be <b>Not Significant</b> . The LVIA sets out<br>(para. 8.10.22) for example, with regard to<br>location and proximity that "The Tillbridge Solar<br>Project continues from the northern extent of the<br>Cottam 1 Site north towards the A631. The Cottam<br>Solar Project is approximately 1.5km north of the<br>West Burton 1 Site. The Tillbridge Solar Project is<br>approximately 7.25km north of the West Burton 1<br>Site." |
|           |       |       |                         | All sites and development included within the cumulative assessment have been discussed and agreed with the host authorities. This is set out   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>within parts 8.4.1 to 8.4.3 of 6.3.8.4</li> <li>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.4</li> <li>Consultation [APP-075]. ES Appendix 8.4.1</li> <li>documents engagement at the Scoping Stage</li> <li>with The Planning Inspectorate, Bassetlaw District</li> <li>Council, Canal &amp; River Trust, Clayworth Parish</li> <li>Council, Forestry Commission, Gringley on the Hill</li> <li>Parish Council, Lincolnshire County Council, West</li> <li>Lindsey District Council and Natural England (see</li> <li>pages 1 to 26). ES Appendix 8.4.2 documents</li> <li>Section 42 Consultation engagement with</li> <li>Lincolnshire County Council, Bassetlaw District</li> <li>Council, West Lindsey District Council, National</li> <li>Farmers Union, Natural England, Fillingham</li> <li>Parish Council, Stow Parish Council, Canal and</li> <li>River Trust, Clayworth Parish Council, Marton and</li> <li>Gate Burton Parish Council and the Forestry</li> <li>Commission (see pages 1 to 44). ES Appendix</li> <li>8.4.3 documents engagement with other ES</li> <li>Topics such as cultural heritage (see pages 1 to 5).</li> </ul> |
|           |       |       |                         | The mitigation proposals associated with the<br>landscape and visual receptors for the Scheme<br>are included in <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and</b><br><b>Ecological Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> and within <b>6.4.8.18.1</b><br>to <b>6.4.8.18.3 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation</b><br><b>and Enhancement Plans (Figures 8.18.1</b> to<br><b>8.18.3) [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A]</b> . This<br>mitigation takes into account the findings of the   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
|           |  |  |  | cumulative assessment, and therefore the<br>proposed mitigation has been designed to<br>mitigate the cumulative effects identified. This<br>mitigation is aimed at benefitting the community<br>as a whole as well as tourists, visiting walkers,<br>local residents, ornithologists and cyclists. The<br>landscape mitigation measures will provide new<br>planting, which will include new native hedgerows<br>and tree cover, and this will also include their<br>management and maintenance.  |
|           |  |  |  | Measures set out in the 7.3_A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] are<br>secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of<br>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| 7A-06     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Socio-<br>Economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health) | Health and<br>Wellbeing and<br>Cumulative Socio-<br>Economic Effects | "The WBSP has the potential to have a significant<br>detrimental impact on the general health and<br>wellbeing of residents (rural mental health is a<br>particularly important issue locally), depriving<br>access to visual amenity, changing views,<br>destroying agricultural jobs and livelihoods. There<br>is the possibility of socioeconomic decline from<br>the cumulative effect and size of these<br>developments, which would then affect people's<br>health and wellbeing, which then has the long-<br>term potential to impact on health inequality. | <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') includes a full and detailed<br>assessment that deals with both effects on the<br>landscape itself and effects on the visual amenity<br>of people, as well as interrelationships of these<br>with other related topics in the ES. The LVIA<br>process is iterative and as a result, the design of<br>the Scheme has changed to respond to the<br>findings of the assessment to ensure that<br>landscape mitigation is fully incorporated into the<br>Scheme. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
|           |       |       | Such impacts have not been appropriately<br>considered by Island Green Power." | For example, the LVIA mitigation proposed has<br>had regard to the need to consider the landscape<br>character and visual amenity for the users of<br>PRoW. This is set out in <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.3 Assessment of</b><br><b>Potential Visual Effects [APP-074]</b> . Public Rights<br>of Way Receptor PR006 (Brox/198/1) on pp.907-<br>909 [ <b>APP-074</b> ] shows in this instance that the<br>Embedded Mitigation would include panels set a<br>minimum of 15m from the adjacent PROW. Native<br>hedgerows within and on the boundaries of the<br>WB1 Site will be retained and reinforced with new<br>native trees, as secondary mitigation. Hedgerows<br>would also be maintained at a taller height (c5m).<br>The landscape proposals include provision for a<br>new native woodland shelterbelt and scattered<br>trees along the southern boundary of the WB1<br>Site. Once established, these measures,<br>combined with the additional tree planting across<br>the Site, would help break up the visual extent of<br>the array, substation and associated<br>infrastructure. During the spring and summer,<br>when the vegetation is out in leaf, the hedgerows<br>and trees would soften and filter views. Available<br>views would be limited to transient views through<br>gate entrances and over low hedgerows. |
|           |       |       |  | In addition to the enhancement and retention of<br>native hedgerows, other mitigation includes<br>native shelter belts and woodland planting within  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | the wider WB1 Site. These mitigation measures<br>will help improve the landscape fabric. Newly<br>planted trees and joined up intact hedgerows in<br>the landscape would also help break up the flat<br>arable fields.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest<br>level of effect to access, desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities is limited to short- to<br>medium-term moderate adverse effects on long<br>distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way<br>and National Byways) during construction (see<br>Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a<br><b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the<br>only significant effect anticipated, with no greater<br>than moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any<br>other recreational receptor during construction<br>(see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any<br>recreational receptor during operation (see<br>paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to<br>18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be |
|           |       |       |                         | significant.  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].   |
|           |       |       |                         | The cumulative effects of the identified schemes<br>(including the four identified by 7000 Acres) are<br>assessed in Section 18.10 of <b>6.2.18</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio</b><br><b>Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> .<br>The greatest level of cumulative effect to access,<br>desirability and use of recreational facilities is<br>anticipated during construction (see paras.<br>18.10.28 to 18.10.32). These effects are<br>anticipated to be significant and adverse, albeit<br>short-term for the cumulative construction phase<br>only. |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant has assessed the level of impact on<br>employment and the local economy from the<br>Scheme in Section 18.7 <b>[APP-056]</b> , and the<br>cumulative impacts from the identified projects<br>(including those identified by 7000 Acres) in<br>Section 18.10.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum<br>loss of approximately 13 full-time equivalent<br>agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15<br><b>[APP-056]</b> . The Scheme is estimated to employ 10<br>full-time equivalent employees from the local<br>area during operation; see Table 18.16. The net<br>change in employment and in economic   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | performance as measured by Gross Value Added<br>(GVA) in the local area (defined as West Lindsey<br>and Bassetlaw Districts) is:  |
|           |       |       |                         | For construction: +432 FTE jobs (para. 18.7.21),<br>+£20.0 million per year (para. 18.7.52);  |
|           |       |       |                         | For operation: -2 FTE jobs(para. 18.7.81),<br>+£1.5million per year (para. 18.7.99);  |
|           |       |       |                         | For decommissioning: +324 FTE jobs (para.<br>18.7.129), minor beneficial impact to GVA (para.<br>18.7.139).   |
|           |       |       |                         | The net peak cumulative changes to employment,<br>and to economic Gross Value Added in the local<br>area (defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw<br>districts) are:  |
|           |       |       |                         | For construction: +3,263 FTE jobs (para. 18.10.14),<br>+£161.4 million per year (para. 18.10.26);   |
|           |       |       |                         | For operation: -63 FTE jobs (para. 18.10.39),<br>+£6.3million per year (para. 18.10.49);  |
|           |       |       |                         | For decommissioning: +1,322 FTE jobs (para.<br>18.10.65), +£70.1 million per year (para. 18.10.74).<br><b>[APP-056]</b>   |
|           |       |       |                         | <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18</b><br><b>Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-<br/>056]</b> assesses impacts on socio-demographic and<br>health receptors both for West Burton Solar<br>Project in isolation (Section 18.7), and |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
|           |   |   |   | cumulatively with other schemes (Section 18.10).<br>The full list of effects from the Scheme set out in<br>Table 18.29 <b>[APP-056]</b> demonstrates no<br>significant adverse effects to socio-demographic<br>and human health indicators.   |
| 7A-07     | Socio-<br>Economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Mitigation of<br>Harm to<br>Employment and<br>Livelihoods | "The West Burton Solar Project fails to describe<br>how proposed development could mitigate the<br>harm through loss of employment and<br>livelihoods caused by the development or<br>contribute to local planning policies and actions<br>to remedy the underlying socio-economic<br>situation." | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this<br>statement.The Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum<br>loss of approximately 13 full-time equivalent<br>agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15 of<br>document <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b><br><b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The Scheme is estimated<br>to employ 8 full-time equivalent employees from<br>the local area during operation; see Table 18.16.<br>The net change in employment in the local area<br>(defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts)<br>during the Scheme's operational life is a loss of<br>approximately 2 full-time jobs, once<br>consideration of direct, indirect and induced<br>employment, and impacts on the tourism and<br>recreation industry are considered (see para.<br>18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to the<br>local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per year<br>(see para. 18.7.99).Section 18.6 [APP-056] describes the embedded |
|           |   |   |   | mitigation measures ensuring adverse socio-   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------|---|--|---|
|           |         |   |  | economic impacts from construction of the<br>Scheme design are adequately mitigated.<br>Furthermore, Section 18.8 <b>[APP-056]</b> describes<br>further mitigation and enhancement measures,<br>such as those set out in <b>7.10 Outline Skills</b><br><b>Supply Chain and Employment Plan [APP-319]</b><br>which will be implemented during the operational<br>lifetime and decommissioning phase of the<br>Scheme as secured by Requirement 20 in<br>Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
| 7A-08     | General | Parish Council<br>Opposition to the<br>Scheme | "All local Parish Councils and Meetings that have<br>expressed a view to date are opposed to the<br>proposed developments. Development at this<br>scale, against the express wishes of local councils<br>and their communities is undemocratic." | The Applicant notes this comment. As a result of<br>the scale of the project, the Scheme is designated<br>as a nationally significant infrastructure project,<br>and the application is being made for a<br>Development Consent Order. As such, the<br>application and decision making processes are<br>legislated for by the Planning Act 2008 (as<br>amended). Section 103 of the Planning Act 2008<br>states "The Secretary of State has the function of<br>deciding an application for an order granting<br>development consent".                                     |
|           |         |   |  | The Applicant has a duty to consult the host local<br>authorities (Section 42) and local communities<br>(Section 47) prior to the application for a DCO.  |
|           |         |   |  | Local authorities have a statutory role in the DCO application process. As per Section 60 (2) of the  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | Planning Act 2008, the Secretary of State must<br>give notice in writing to the host local authorities<br>to invite them to submit a local impact report,<br>where an application for an order granting<br>development consent has been accepted.   |
|           |       |       |                         | Furthermore, the Examining Authority has a duty<br>to ensure that local authorities and interested<br>parties are invited to attend the preliminary<br>examination meeting (Section 88), hearings<br>(Section 91-96), and to make written<br>representations (Section 90) to be considered<br>within the examination of the DCO application.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant has undertaken two main<br>consultation events, held in tandem with the<br>Cottam Solar Project. The first, a six-week non-<br>statutory consultation held from November to<br>December 2021, received 525 feedback form<br>responses, indicating 48% of those responding<br>were in support of the proposals. The second was<br>a six-week statutory consultation period in which<br>approximately 700 responses were received by<br>the Applicant team. |
|           |       |       |                         | The significant volume of feedback received<br>through consultations, and how the Applicant has<br>had regard to these responses, is presented in<br><b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> . The<br>Applicant shared the results of consultation with<br>consultees and communities following each   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|
|           |  |   |   | phase of consultation by publishing interim<br>Consultation Summary Reports. The Applicant<br>has taken an issue-led approach to considering<br>comments, in order to incorporate feedback and<br>address concerns where practicable.   |
|           |  |   |   | The Applicant is confident that the methods used,<br>level of consultation undertaken and information<br>presented throughout the pre-application stage<br>met the legislative requirements of the Planning<br>Act 2008 and associated guidance, which makes<br>clear the importance of consulting local<br>communities and parish councils. This is in <b>5.1</b><br><b>Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which was<br>submitted to the Planning Inspectorate and<br>accepted for examination. |
| 7A-09     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Socio-<br>Economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Benefits of the<br>Scheme to the<br>Community | "The West Burton Solar Project will provide power<br>to the National Grid rather than local homes. It<br>will displace agricultural jobs, provide few<br>employment opportunities, and reduce local<br>amenity, providing little or nothing in return." | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this statement.<br>Whilst not a direct and targeted local energy supply benefit, there is benefit to all UK citizens – including local communities – from the UK producing more clean, renewable electricity, in terms of affordability and energy security and resilience. This is considered further in detail in Sections 7.4, 8.7, 8.8, 8.10, 10.2, 10.3 and 11.5 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> .                                      |
|           |  |   |   | Section 4.6 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> details the 'Other Benefits of the Scheme', beyond   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | the national benefits as described through Sections 4.2 to 4.5 <b>[APP-313].</b>  |
|           |       |       |                         | Paragraph 4.6.1 <b>[APP-313]</b> states that the Scheme<br>will result in a significant Net Gain for biodiversity<br>(86.80% in habitat units, 54.71% in hedgerow<br>units and 33.25% in river units). This is secured<br>through Requirement 9 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , which states that "No<br>part of the authorised development may<br>commence until a biodiversity net gain strategy<br>has been submitted to and approved by the<br>relevant planning authority, in consultation with<br>the relevant statutory nature conservation body." |
|           |       |       |                         | Paragraph 4.6.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement</b><br>[ <b>APP-313</b> ] goes on to explain that a new<br>permissive path from Track off Sykes Lane along<br>the Codder Lane Belt and then south and west to<br>rejoin Sykes Lane opposite Hardwick Scrub will be<br>in place during the operational phase of the<br>Scheme, thus improving local amenity.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Paragraph 4.6.1 <b>[APP-313]</b> goes on to explain that<br>a Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan, as<br>secured by Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , will be in<br>place prior to construction and will set out the<br>measures that the Applicant will implement to  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | advertise and promote employment and training<br>opportunities associated with the Scheme in<br>construction and operation locally.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Whilst not a part of the DCO Application,<br>paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement</b><br>[ <b>APP-313</b> ] explains that the Applicant is<br>committed to providing a Community Benefit<br>Fund.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum<br>loss of approximately 13 full-time equivalent<br>agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15 of<br>document <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b><br><b>Recreation [APP-056].</b> The Scheme is estimated<br>to employ 8 full-time equivalent employees from<br>the local area during operation; see Table 18.16.<br>The net change in employment in the local area<br>(defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts)<br>during the Scheme's operational life is a loss of<br>approximately 2 full-time jobs, once<br>consideration of direct, indirect and induced<br>employment, and impacts on the tourism and<br>recreation industry are considered (see para.<br>18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to the<br>local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per year<br>(see para. 18.7.99). |
|           |       |       |                         | The overall employment and economic benefit to the local area from the two-year construction   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                            | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                                  |  | period is anticipated to be 432 full-time<br>equivalent jobs (see para. 18.7.21), generating<br>£20.0 million per year (see para. 18.7.52) <b>[APP-<br/>056]</b> .   |
| 7A-10     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Socio-<br>Economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health) | Impact on the<br>Local Community | "Many small villages surrounded by the West<br>Burton Solar Project have few opportunities for<br>employment and very few amenities other than<br>the open countryside landscape that it sits in. The<br>scale of the WBSP would rob villages of this key<br>attribute and erode the attractiveness of villages,<br>driving some people away and serving to deter<br>people from moving in, therefore reducing their<br>capacity to sustain communities and<br>populations." | The Scheme comprises a series of separate areas<br>of land or Sites (see Sections 3.3 to 3.5 of <b>6.2.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 3 The</b><br><b>Order Limits [APP-041]</b> ) which are set within an<br>extensive agricultural landscape. With large areas<br>of land between each of the Sites, each is set<br>apart by their associated features such as robust<br>hedgerows, woodland and tree cover, intervening<br>settlements and road and rail infrastructure (see<br>paragraphs 8.5.115, 8.5.132 and 8.5.148 of <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> ) (the 'LVIA'). |
|           |  |                                  |  | The layout of the Sites has been informed by a<br>series of design parameters and include offset<br>distances as a result of needing to balance the<br>functionality of the Scheme against<br>environmental considerations (see paragraph<br>8.6.21 of the LVIA).  |
|           |  |                                  |  | Paragraph 8.3.10 of the LVIA notes the [Secretary of State's] need to "judge whether the visual effects on sensitive receptors, such as local residents, and other receptors, such as visitors to the local area, outweigh the benefits of the project". The LVIA also   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | sets out details of the offsets that are proposed<br>around sensitive receptors such as settlement<br>edges, individual residential properties, PRoW<br>and transport routes (see section 8.11) which aim<br>to assist in the integration and dispersion of the<br>Scheme across the landscape.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest |
|           |       |       |                         | level of effect to access, desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities is limited to short- to<br>medium-term moderate adverse effects on long<br>distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way<br>and National Byways) during construction (see<br>Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a   |
|           |       |       |                         | <b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the<br>only significant effect anticipated, with no greater<br>than moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any<br>other recreational receptor during construction<br>(see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any<br>recreational receptor during operation (see<br>paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and  |
|           |       |       |                         | decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
|           |   |   |  | 18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be significant.   |
|           |   |   |  | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].  |
| 7A-11     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | The Scheme and<br>Cumulative<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Effects | "The large islands of development proposed for<br>the West Burton Solar Project are, in terms of<br>size, an order of magnitude larger than many of<br>the villages they surround, i.e. Marton, Brampton,<br>Sturton-by-Stow and Saxilby. This is compounded<br>by there being 4 schemes within a close area. The<br>partitioning of the countryside in this way<br>effectively segregates rural villages and places<br>them in an industrialised landscape."                                      | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the<br>Interested Party's comment and considers the<br>approach taken and subsequent conclusions<br>regarding assessing the impacts of the Scheme<br>alongside the proposed Cottam, Gate Burton and<br>Tillbridge Solar proposals would not result in<br>significant adverse effects on landscape character<br>and visual amenity over an extensive area.<br>Please see the response to 7A-05 for further<br>details on the cumulative effects assessment.  |
| 7A-12     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact                                | Screening of the<br>Scheme                                      | "The West Burton Solar Project proposes solar<br>panels which would have a height of 4.5m as well<br>as extensive security fencing. At that height, the<br>character of the land would undoubtedly be<br>dominated by solar panels, which could not be<br>adequately screened by hedgerows (at all) or by<br>trees (for many years), Island Green Power<br>propose to re-evaluate landscape and visual<br>effects after 15 years, which represents a<br>significant proportion of people's lives." | The assessment of both the landscape and visual<br>effects of the 4.5m high solar panels is set out<br>within Section 8.6 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') and<br>within the detailed receptor sheets at <b>6.3.8.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.2</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Landscape Effects</b><br>[APP-073] and <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.3 Assessment of</b><br><b>Potential Visual Effects [APP-074]</b> . |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> sets out proposals landscape<br>mitigation which seek to enhance the landscape<br>character of the Study Area and to reduce the<br>visibility of the Scheme from residential<br>properties and other public vantage points<br>including transport routes, public footpaths,<br>permissive footpaths and green lane network.<br>This mitigation is aimed to benefit the community<br>as a whole to enhance their way of life as well as<br>green infrastructure (see paras. 8.4.44, 8.6.1,<br>8.6.3 and 8.8.3). Public consultation has also<br>taken account of landscape and visual matters<br>(see paras. 8.2.8 and 8.4.20). |
|           |       |       |                         | The visual effects are set out in <b>6.3.8.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.3</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Visual Effects [APP-<br/>074]</b> , which shows that some effects on visual<br>receptors would be significant at construction<br>and year 1 of operation, but with mitigation this is<br>reduced across the majority of the landscape<br>receptors to <b>Not Significant</b> at year 15 of<br>operation.   |
|           |       |       |                         | Year 15 is an acceptable year of assessment for<br>setting the standard for mitigation measures and<br>for predicting the findings of the assessment<br>within the LVIA process. This is set out in<br>recognised guidance' Guidelines for Landscape<br>and Visual Impact Assessment, Third Edition<br>(GLVIA3) by the Landscape Institute and Institute   |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                                      | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------------|--|--|---|
|           |                      |  |  | of Environmental Management & Assessment.<br>This guidance states at paragraph 4.31 that<br>"Mitigation measures, especially planting schemes,<br>are not always immediately effective. Advance<br>planting can help reduce the time between the<br>development commencing and the planting<br>becoming established. If such planting forms part of<br>the scheme design it should be included in the<br>design and access statement and in the project<br>description. Where planting is intended to provide a<br>visual screen for the development it may be<br>appropriate to assess the effects for different<br>seasons and periods of time (for example, at year 0,<br>representing the start of the operational stage, year<br>5 and year 15) in order to demonstrate the<br>contribution to reducing the adverse effects of the<br>scheme at different stages. In such projections the<br>assumptions made about growth rates of planting<br>should be clearly stated." |
| 7A-13     | Cultural<br>Heritage | ES Chapter:<br>Baseline and<br>Methodology | "The area in which the West Burton Solar<br>Development is proposed is dotted with rural<br>historic parishes, within which many historic<br>buildings remain, including several dating as far<br>back as the Domesday Book. The impact of the<br>proposed scheme to heritage and such cultural<br>assets has not been adequately explored or<br>mitigated." | The Heritage Statement within <b>6.3.13.5</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.5</b><br><b>[APP-117</b> to <b>APP-119]</b> , provides a detailed<br>assessment of all Grade II Listed Buildings and<br>Conservation Areas within 2km of the Scheme,<br>and all Grade I and II* Listed Buildings and<br>Scheduled Monuments with a 5km study area<br>surrounding the Scheme. <b>6.2.13 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 13 Cultural Heritage</b><br><b>[APP-051]</b> (see paragraphs. 13.5.11 to 13.5.22,  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | 13.7.23 to 13.7.26, 13.7.45 to 13.7.46 and 13.8.5<br>to 13.8.8) provides further detailed assessment of<br>the predicted impacts upon the historic built<br>environment. The assessment identifies that<br>there would be impacts to the settings of nine<br>Listed Buildings during the construction phase,<br>which are anticipated to be of <i>Negligible Adverse</i><br>magnitude in eight cases, and <i>Minor Adverse</i><br>magnitude in a single case, resulting in <i>Slight</i><br><i>Adverse</i> effects in all nine cases, i.e., 'not<br>significant'. During the operational phase, there<br>would be impacts to the settings of nine Listed<br>Buildings, two of which were scored as effects of<br><i>Neutral</i> significance, whilst three were scored as<br><i>Slight Adverse</i> . In addition to this, it was concluded<br>that there would be impacts of <i>Minor Adverse</i><br>magnitude at four Grade II Listed Buildings and<br>one Grade II* Listed Building, all of which would<br>result in effects of <i>Slight Adverse</i> significance, i.e.,<br>'not significant' in EIA terms. |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA has identified the need for extensive<br>landscape mitigation that is set out in <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> (as secured by<br>Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ).   |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
|           |                         |  |  | 6.2.13 Environmental Statement - Chapter 13<br>Cultural Heritage [APP-051] (see paragraph<br>13.8.8) identifies that the landscape mitigation<br>proposals (e.g., planting of shelter belts and<br>scattered trees, planting of new hedgerows,<br>existing hedgerow reinforcement) which should<br>reach maturity by Year 15 would reduce <i>Slight</i><br><i>Adverse</i> effects to <i>Neutral</i> at seven Listed<br>Buildings (NHLE 1359490, NHLE 1064067, NHLE<br>1064084, NHLE1064096, NHLE 1047027, NHLE<br>1147032, and NHLE1359464), and six non-<br>designated historic buildings (HB11, HB12, HB15,<br>HB16, HB18, and HB19).                              |
| 7A-14     | Transport and<br>Access | The Scheme's and<br>Cumulative<br>Development's<br>Impact upon the<br>Local Road<br>Infrastructure | "The volume of road movements and size of<br>vehicles, particularly during construction,<br>maintenance and decommissioning are not<br>compatible with the local, inadequate road<br>infrastructure. Again, there is a cumulative affect<br>with the potential for 4 major solar developments<br>in the same region. The West Burton Solar Project<br>does not adequately consider the impact of traffic<br>through rural routes and villages and the<br>potential for disruption, damage, and noise." | Impacts of the temporary construction traffic<br>noise have been included and the likely impacts<br>of noise and vibration have been assessed in<br>Section 15.7 of <b>6.2.15 Environmental Statement</b><br>- Chapter 15 Noise and Vibration [APP-053]<br>(See paragraphs 15.7.34 to 15.7.53)<br>The Transport Assessment within <b>6.3.14.1_A</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1<br>Transport Assessment Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A] provides an<br>assessment of the transport effects of the<br>Scheme and concludes, through paragraphs 11.1<br>to 11.11, that the Scheme is acceptable from the<br>perspective of transport effects. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the<br>application within .3.14.2_A Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction<br>Traffic Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] which is secured<br>through Requirement 15 in Schedule 2 of the<br>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |       |       |                         | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the<br>management of construction vehicle movements<br>to and from the Scheme, to ensure that the<br>effects of the temporary construction phase on<br>the local highway network are minimised and<br>made acceptable. <b>.3.14.2_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction</b><br><b>Traffic Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> covers: |
|           |       |       |                         | Construction methodology;   |
|           |       |       |                         | Site access;  |
|           |       |       |                         | Construction vehicle trip generation;   |
|           |       |       |                         | Construction vehicle routing;   |
|           |       |       |                         | Abnormal load movement; and   |
|           |       |       |                         | Mitigation and management measures.   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
|           |   |  |  | By example, measure 'xx' in Section 7 of<br>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic<br>Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] is for a road<br>condition survey. This will ensure that any<br>identified highways defects resulting from<br>construction activities associated with the<br>Scheme will be corrected to the satisfaction of the<br>local highway authority.   |
| 7A-15     | Energy Need<br>Principle of<br>Development<br>Planning Policy<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | The Scheme's and<br>Cumulative<br>Development's<br>Impact upon<br>Energy Security<br>and Food Security | "The land proposed to be developed for the West<br>Burton Solar Project is productive arable land, as<br>is the land associated with the three other large<br>solar developments in the region. The impact of<br>the West Burton Solar Project, and the cumulative<br>impact of the 4 schemes on Food Security has not<br>been considered, particularly in light of the<br>circumstances of war, pandemic, crop disease<br>and global warming (e.g. rising sea levels) on<br>national and global supply chains." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". It is the<br>Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation. |
|           |   |  |  | Further, Table 7.1 [APP-320] shows the electricity<br>generated per hectare by different low carbon<br>technologies. At the UK's average solar load<br>factor (11%), solar generation produces much<br>more energy per hectare than biogas, and<br>generates a similar amount of energy as onshore<br>wind. The Applicant does not consider that the   |



| Reference | Theme                                      | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
|           |  |   |  | Scheme would result in adverse food security<br>impacts either alone or cumulatively. The UK<br>annual balance of domestically produced food is<br>sensitive to non-planning factors including<br>weather and markets. The relevant assessment<br>for policy purposes (and therefore decision-<br>making purposes under the Planning Act 2008) is<br>one that is based on the grade of the agricultural<br>land, rather than its current use and the intensity<br>of that use. In terms of key threats to UK food<br>security, the Defra UK Food Security Report<br>highlights that the main threat is climate change.   |
| 7A-16     | Climate Change<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Agricultural Land<br>use and Food<br>Production | "The proposed area covered by the West Burton<br>Solar Project is productive agricultural land,<br>producing food for people and animals, as well as<br>biofuels. The overall sustainability impact of<br>displacing this production has not been<br>considered, in terms of what production will be<br>lost and the additional food miles and carbon<br>impact of production being required elsewhere." | It is the Applicant's view that the concerns raised<br>regarding solar farm effects on food security and<br>sustainability, are misplaced.<br><b>6.2.7_A Environmental Statement - Chapter 7</b><br><b>Climate Change Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.2.7_A]</b> demonstrates that<br>the effect of reduced reliance on fossil fuels<br>would result in a significant reduction in CO2e<br>emissions. It is considered that this analysis is<br>sufficient to demonstrate that any additional<br>emissions from food miles and production would<br>not outweigh the positive effect of the scheme in<br>operation. Defra's report on food security issues<br>for the UK, https://www.gov.uk/government/<br>statistics/united-kingdom-food-security-report-<br>2021 is clear that prominent risks to UK food<br>security include climate change and soil |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
|           |                             |   |  | degradation. As noted in paragraph 19.9.14 of<br>6.2.19 Environmental Statement – Chapter 19<br>Soils and Agriculture [APP-057], the reversion of<br>arable land to pasture below a solar farm is very<br>effective at enabling recovery of soil organic<br>matter, degraded by repeated cultivation.  |
|           |                             |   |  | Forestry Commission data shows that the most<br>productive biofuel crops produce significantly<br>less MWh/ha than a solar farm<br>https://www.forestresearch.gov.uk/tools-and-<br>resources/fthr/biomass-energy-<br>resources/reference-biomass/facts-<br>figures/potential-yields-of-biofuels-per-ha-p-a/.<br>Therefore, to generate a MWh of electrical power,<br>biofuel cropping displaces a greater area of<br>arable production than solar.   |
| 7A-17     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Wildlife Impact<br>and Biodiversity<br>Net Gain | "The details provided by Island Green Power to<br>date do not provide a thorough assessment of<br>the potential harm to the ecology and biodiversity<br>of the area. In addition, Solar farm biodiversity<br>net gain claims are unproven in the UK at this<br>scale." | <b>6.2.9 Chapter 9 of the Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-047]</b> sets out the extensive<br>findings of all ecological investigations<br>undertaken within the Order Limits together with<br>an appraisal of the relative importance of each<br>species or species group, habitat or designated<br>site. This survey scope has been formulated<br>through consultation with Natural England as well<br>as Lincolnshire and Nottinghamshire Wildlife<br>Trusts and has been deemed to be thorough and<br>appropriate. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | A comprehensive package of mitigation has been<br>provided, in tandem with embedded mitigation<br>which is secured through the ecologically<br>sensitive design of the Scheme (including<br>measures such as the wide buffering of all field<br>boundaries and the use of existing hedgerow<br>gaps for accesses).  |
|           |       |       |                         | These measures are further detailed within 7.17<br>Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation<br>Strategy [APP-326] (as secured by Requirement 8<br>of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]) and 7.3_A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] (as secured<br>by Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]) which will ensure that<br>all identified impacts are avoided or minimised as<br>far as possible. |
|           |       |       |                         | In many cases, the reversion from intensive<br>agriculture to pasture or meadow grassland with<br>additional hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland<br>habitat creation will bring about positive effects<br>for wildlife. In particular, terrestrial and aquatic<br>invertebrates, botanical diversity, small mammals<br>and many species of bird all stand to benefit.   |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
|           |                             |   |  | In this way, a substantive net gain for biodiversity<br>will be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net</b><br><b>Gain Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through<br>the creation of extensive low-input grassland<br>resulting in a net gain of 86.80% in habitat units,<br>but also several new ponds and wetland habitat<br>parcels resulting in a net gain of 33.25% in river<br>units, and the planting of several kilometres of<br>species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net gain of<br>54.71% in hedgerow units.<br>This is secured through Requirement 9 of<br>Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b> |
|           |                             |   |  | <b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A], which states that "No part of the authorised development may commence until a biodiversity net gain strategy has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, in consultation with the relevant statutory nature conservation body."  |
| 7A-18     | Principle of<br>Development | Period for<br>Construction,<br>Operation and<br>decommissioning<br>of the Scheme. | "Between the operational period of up to 40<br>years, plus a period of construction and<br>decommissioning, a life-cycle of the development<br>of around 50 years could never be classed as<br>temporary." | Once the Scheme ceases to operate, it will be<br>decommissioned. A 40-year period for the<br>operational phase of the Scheme has been<br>assessed in the EIA and reported in the ES (see<br>paragraph 2.4.9 of <b>6.2.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 2 EIA Process and</b><br><b>Methodology [APP-040]</b> ).   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                                       |   | Decommissioning is estimated to be no earlier<br>than 2066 (see paras. 3.3.15 to 3.3.18 of <b>7.5</b><br><b>Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> ).<br>Decommissioning is expected to take between 12<br>and 24 months. A 24-month decommissioning<br>period has been assumed for the purposes of a<br>worst-case assessment in the ES, (See paragraph<br>4.3.6 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-042]</b> . In<br>addition, the majority of the agricultural land can<br>remain in productive use through the operational<br>period, being grazed by livestock (see paras<br>19.3.3, 19.3.4,19.10.2, 19.10.6, 19.10.10 of <b>6.2.19</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils<br/>and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> ). |
| 7A-19     | Site Description<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Design<br>Parameters of the<br>Scheme | "The project design fails to consider or mitigate<br>the impact of the large individual parcels of the<br>West Burton Solar Project, each of which dwarfs<br>the villages they surround." | The Scheme comprises a series of separate areas<br>of land or Sites (see Sections 3.3 to 3.5 of <b>6.2.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 3 The</b><br><b>Order Limits [APP-041]</b> ) which are set within an<br>extensive agricultural landscape. With large areas<br>of land between each of the Sites, each is set<br>apart by their associated features such as robust<br>hedgerows, woodland and tree cover, intervening<br>settlements and road and rail infrastructure (see<br>paragraphs 8.5.115, 8.5.132 and 8.5.148 of <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> ) (the 'LVIA').   |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
|           |                                |                             |   | The layout of the Sites has been informed by a series of design parameters and include offset distances as a result of needing to balance the functionality of the Scheme against environmental considerations (see paragraph 8.6.21 of the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> ).   |
|           |                                |                             |   | Paragraph 8.3.10 of the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> notes the<br>[Secretary of State's] need to <i>"judge whether the</i><br><i>visual effects on sensitive receptors, such as local</i><br><i>residents, and other receptors, such as visitors to the</i><br><i>local area, outweigh the benefits of the project"</i> . The<br>LVIA also sets out details of the offsets that are<br>proposed around sensitive receptors such as<br>settlement edges, individual residential<br>properties, PRoW and transport routes (see<br>section 8.11) which aim to assist in the integration<br>and dispersion of the Scheme across the<br>landscape. |
| 7A-20     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Effects of the<br>Scheme on | "Development at the scale of the West Burton<br>Solar Project would alter the character and   | Section 18.7 of 6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and  |
|           | Cultural<br>Heritage           | Tourism                     | appeal of the region to attract visitors, tourists, or<br>new people to the region, particularly when   | <b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> assesses the likely impacts<br>on recreational use of the land and facilities  |
|           | Socio-<br>Economics,           |                             | considered in the context of the 4 proposed large<br>solar developments. The development would be<br>clearly visible from historic buildings, such as | therein, and the potential impacts to the visitor<br>economy from the construction, operation, and<br>decommissioning of the Scheme.   |
|           | Tourism and<br>Recreation      |                             | Lincoln Castle and Lincoln Cathedral. The project<br>has failed to assess the potential impact of the<br>development in this important regard."       | The greatest effects during construction are<br>anticipated to be a medium-term temporary<br>moderate adverse effect on the landscape setting  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | of tourism attractions (see para. 18.7.57), and a<br>short- to medium-term temporary moderate<br>adverse effect on the Trent Valley Way and<br>National Byways long distance recreational<br>routes (see Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). These<br>effects are therefore both <b>significant adverse</b> .<br>During operation, the greatest effects to tourism<br>and recreation receptors are anticipated to be<br>long-term moderate-minor adverse (see para.<br>18.7.102-117), which is not significant in EIA<br>terms.<br>Furthermore, Section 18.10 <b>[APP-056]</b> assesses<br>the likely cumulative impacts on tourism and<br>recreation receptors during construction (para.<br>18.10.28 to 18.10.32), operation (para. 18.10.51 to<br>18.10.55), and decommissioning (Table 18.29).<br>There are no additional significant effects to<br>tourism and recreation receptors as a result of<br>the cumulative impact from the Scheme and |
|           |       |       |                         | surrounding projects.<br>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] (the 'LVIA') takes account of the<br>landscape and visual features that are likely to<br>appeal to visitors, tourists or new people to the<br>region. The LVIA also takes account of<br>intervisibility between the Scheme and Lincoln<br>Castle and Lincoln Cathedral.  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | Detailed overlap and consultation with the<br>heritage topic lead has also been undertaken<br>when developing the landscape and visual<br>baseline and in identifying landscape and visual<br>effects for the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> in the context of<br>heritage receptors and this is set out in the<br>responses within <b>6.3.8.4.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.4 Consultation [APP-<br/>075]</b> .   |
|           |       |       |                         | The extent of the Study Area has been<br>determined in accordance with recognised LVIA<br>methodology to encompass all receptors that<br>may experience significant effects. In light of the<br>nature of the surrounding terrain with some<br>elevated viewpoints, the assessment has<br>considered the potential for landscape and visual<br>receptors to be affected that are close to but<br>outside the 5km buffer area.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Additional views within the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b><br>suggested by the Canal & River Trust, Lincolnshire<br>County Council and Bassetlaw District Council<br>that take account of locations where heritage<br>assets may be affected have been taken into<br>account at Section 8.2. This includes viewpoint<br>VP35 that is representative of views from the<br>Fossdyke Canal in addition to viewpoints VP35<br>and VP49 taken next to water spaces. This<br>assessment has included boaters as a receptor at<br>low speed as their users are likely to be as |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | impacted as walkers and horse riders. In addition,<br>boaters mooring on the Fossdyke Canal, who may<br>be in situ for long term, are also taken into<br>account in the LVIA.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA has also included the River Trent as a<br>visual receptor with viewpoints VP49 and LCC-C-K,<br>which are representative viewpoints along this<br>river corridor. For West Burton 3, Bassetlaw<br>District Council also suggested adding Torksey<br>Viaduct as viewpoint LCC-C-N, given it sits at a<br>higher elevation.                       |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA has also taken account of recognised<br>documents and guidance such as The Historic<br>Landscape Character Assessment of the County<br>of Lincolnshire (September 2011) to ensure the<br>Scheme is sensitive to the historic landscape. The<br>relevant section for West Burton is TVL1 – The<br>Northern Cliff Foothills.                     |
|           |       |       |                         | There are potential long distance views to Lincoln<br>Cathedral and Lincoln Castle and while Lincoln<br>lies approximately 8.5km to the southeast of<br>West Burton 1 and West Burton 2, the<br>intervisibility between the Sites and the Study<br>Area have been taken into consideration in the<br>LVIA (paras. 8.4.11 and 8.5.77) <b>[APP-046]</b> . |
|           |       |       |                         | 6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br>13.5 Heritage Statement [APP117-119], and  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
|           |  |  |  | <b>6.2.13 Environmental Statement - Chapter 13</b><br><b>Cultural Heritage [APP-051]</b> adopted a 5km<br>study area for the assessment of heritage assets<br>'of the highest significance', as it was considered<br>that any visual impacts that might occur beyond<br>this distance would result in impact of, at worst,<br><i>Negligible</i> magnitude, and therefore 'not<br>significant'.                           |
| 7A-21     | Transport and<br>Access<br>Socio-<br>Economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Effects of the<br>Scheme on<br>Leisure and<br>Recreation | "There is an extensive network of footpaths,<br>bridleways and isolated rural roads within the<br>area covered by the West Burton Solar Project,<br>which are used for walking, cycling, and horse-<br>riding. The direct impact of the West Burton Solar<br>Project, and the combined impact of the 4<br>proposed large solar projects on leisure and<br>recreation have not been adequately considered." | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . |
|           |  |  |  | The greatest effect to recreational facilities is<br>anticipated to be during construction. This is<br>anticipated to be a short- to medium-term<br>temporary moderate adverse on the Trent Valley<br>Way and National Byways long distance<br>recreational routes (see Table 18.15 and para.<br>18.7.62). This effect is therefore <b>significant</b> .   |
|           |  |  |  | The greatest level of effect to access, desirability<br>and use of any other recreational facilities at any<br>other stage of the Scheme's lifetime is moderate-<br>minor adverse during construction (see para.<br>18.7.64 to 18.7.69), operation (see para. 18.7.107   |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
|           |                         |                           |   | to 18.7.115), and decommissioning (see para.<br>18.7.147 to 18.7.157). These effects are therefore<br>not significant.  |
|           |                         |                           |   | Section 18.10 <b>[APP-056]</b> assesses the likely<br>cumulative impacts on tourism and recreation<br>receptors during construction (para. 18.10.28 to<br>18.10.32), operation (para. 18.10.51 to 18.10.55),<br>and decommissioning (Table 18.29) and<br>concludes no additional significant effects are<br>likely to be generated.   |
|           |                         |                           |   | As set out in para.4.5.93 in <b>6.2.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme Description</b><br><b>[APP-042]</b> , the permissive path running from the<br>track off Sykes Lane along the Codder Lane Belt<br>and then south and west to re-join Sykes Lane<br>opposite Hardwick Scrub will contribute to the<br>wider network of footpaths in the area and<br>facilitate greater public access to the countryside. |
| 7A-22     | General<br>(Procedural) | Cumulative<br>Assessment  | "Because of the unprecedented nature of this<br>development and the significant impact on the<br>area and communities, the four NSIP solar<br>projects should be considered together by the<br>Planning Inspectorate, i.e. Cottam Solar Project,<br>West Burton Solar Project, Gate Burton Energy<br>and Tillbridge solar." | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
| 7A-23     | Planning Policy         | Policy Accordance<br>with | "The project does not consider the detailed work<br>by communities in developing approved<br>neighbourhood plans, including, for example  | The Scheme has been assessed against relevant<br>local planning policies as set out at paragraphs<br>5.9.2 and 5.9.3 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-</b>   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------------|--|--|
|           |   | Neighbourhood<br>Plans | aspirations for green spaces, open landscapes<br>and the rural nature of villages."  | <b>341]</b> . Appendix 3 therein, the 'Local Planning<br>Policy Accordance Table', sets out the relevant<br>adopted and draft Neighbourhood planning<br>policies in full and sets out the accordance of the<br>Scheme against the policies.  |
| 7A-24     | Planning Policy<br>Energy Need<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Land Use               | "While there is a clear case for solar playing a role<br>in decarbonisation, there is no clear case for<br>extensive displacement of farmland through the<br>installation of large-scale ground-mounted solar<br>farms." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". It is the<br>Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.<br>Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> demonstrates that large-<br>scale solar is the most efficient use of land for |
|           |   |                        |  | energy generation purposes.<br>Paragraphs 6.2.17 - 6.2.19 of <b>7.5 Planning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-313]</b> explain that it is against<br>this backdrop that NPS EN-1 paragraph 4.1.2 sets<br>a presumption in favour of granting permission<br>for energy NSIP projects. This is carried through<br>to Draft NPS EN-1 at paragraphs 4.1.2 and 4.1.3.<br>Section 6.2 [APP-313] sets out how the Scheme<br>will meet the compelling need for renewable   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | energy in accordance with relevant national planning policies. In summary, the Scheme would:   |
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>Deliver a large amount of renewable<br/>generation capacity (35,590,658 MWh over<br/>the estimated 40-year assessed lifetime) to<br/>deliver the Government's energy objectives<br/>and legally binding net zero commitments in<br/>line with the requirements of paragraph<br/>1.1.1 of NPS EN-3, paragraph 3.3.21 of draft<br/>NPS EN-1, section 3.4 of NPS EN-1 and the<br/>National Infrastructure Strategy 2020 (para.<br/>6.2.32);</li> </ul> |
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>Deliver a reduction of 5,974,155 tCO2e over<br/>the lifetime of the Scheme compared to if it<br/>did not go ahead which would make a<br/>significant contribution towards reducing<br/>carbon emissions as required by paragraph<br/>1.1.1 of NPS EN-1, paragraph 2.3.3 of Draft<br/>NPS EN-1, the National Infrastructure<br/>Strategy 2020 and the Energy White Paper:<br/>"Powering our net zero future" (para.<br/>6.2.35);</li> </ul>          |
|           |       |       |                         | • Deliver in a timescale that is short in the context of the delivery of other forms of energy generation in line with the urgent need to decarbonise set out in paragraphs 3.3.5, 3.3.15 and 3.4.5 of NPS EN-1,   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | Paragraph 2.3.3 of Draft NPS EN-1 and the<br>National Infrastructure Strategy 2020<br>(paras. 6.2.1, 6.2.4 and 6.2.8);  |
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>Enable all consumers to benefit from the<br/>effect of low-marginal cost solar generation<br/>by reducing market prices, in line with the<br/>aim to provide affordable energy for<br/>consumers set out at Paragraph 2.3.3,<br/>Paragraph 2.3.6 and 3.3.20 of Draft NPS EN-<br/>1 (para 6.2.8, 6.2.9, and 6.2.10);</li> </ul>   |
|           |       |       |                         | • Help ensure security and reliability of energy supply in line with Paragraph 2.3.3 and 2.3.6 of the Draft NPS EN-1 (para 6.2.8 and 6.2.9).  |
|           |       |       |                         | The selection of the Scheme's location has<br>followed a systematic step-by-step process as set<br>out in detail within <b>WB6.3.5.1_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection</b><br><b>Assessment Revision A [AS-004]</b> . This took a<br>sequential approach to the consideration of<br>potential sites in terms of agricultural land<br>classification. As a result, paragraph 3.3.22 states<br>that the Scheme maximises the utilisation of low<br>grade, non best and most versatile (BMV) |
|           |       |       |                         | agricultural land with 73.76% of the land being classified as non BMV land.   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
| 7A-25     | Planning Policy   | National Planning<br>Policy Accordance | "The proposed project has failed to follow the<br>requirements of the current and draft National<br>Policy Statements in a number of areas."  | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this<br>statement and invites the Interested Party to<br>specify which policies it considers the application<br>is not compliant with.   |
|           |   |  |   | Section 6 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>demonstrates that when considered against<br>existing and emerging national planning policies,<br>the Scheme accords with the relevant policies.<br>With regard to specific policy tests, the<br>substantial benefits of the Scheme are<br>considered, on balance, to outweigh its limited<br>number of significant residual adverse impacts.<br>Therefore, it is considered that development<br>consent for the Scheme should be granted.   |
| 7A-26     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Planning Policy<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Nature of the<br>Scheme                | "Many planning requirements call for effective<br>land use, the re-use of brownfield sites and<br>avoiding BMV crop land. The West Burton Solar<br>Project uses no brownfield sites. Given the<br>limited contribution to decarbonisation and the<br>adverse consequences arising from using<br>farmland at this scale, the West Burton Solar<br>Project represents a grossly inefficient use of land<br>in the face of ever-increasing pressures on its<br>use." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".<br>Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> analyses the potential<br>contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the<br>national need for solar generation. Brownfield<br>sites, including rooftop and other community<br>energy systems, are likely to grow in the UK and |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | UK energy system. However, the Statement of<br>Need concludes that on their own, brownfield<br>developments are unlikely to be able to meet the<br>national need for solar. Section 8.5 of the<br>Statement of Need describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that decentralised and<br>community energy systems are unlikely to lead to<br>the significant replacement of large-scale<br>infrastructure. It is the Applicant's view (and this<br>aligns with Government's view) that large scale<br>solar must be deployed to meet the urgent<br>national need for low-carbon electricity<br>generation.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The consideration of alternatives has been<br>undertaken within <b>6.2.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 5 Alternatives and Design</b><br><b>Evolution [APP-043]</b> and its accompanying<br>appendix <b>WB6.3.5.1_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection</b><br><b>Assessment Revision A [AS-004]</b> . Specifically,<br>paragraphs 2.1.23 to 2.1.31 <b>[AS-004]</b> detail the<br>consideration of brownfield land and roof tops<br>and sets out why these were discounted as<br>unsuitable. The methodology used for the site<br>selection process is considered reasonable and<br>proportionate and complies with the<br>requirements of NPS EN-1 4.4.3. |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| 7A-27     | Soils and<br>Agriculture                                   | Confidence in the<br>Scheme's<br>Agricultural Land<br>Classification | "The group does not have confidence in the<br>Agricultural Land Classification data published by<br>Island Green Power for the West Burton Solar<br>Project. Given the potential for a margin of error<br>or change in the developer's ALC figures, it is<br>imperative that there is an independent soil<br>analysis conducted to establish the accurate<br>picture and to be certain of the methodology that<br>has been followed. Aside from the sub-<br>classification of land between 3a and 3b, there is<br>also debate within the Government that all grade<br>3 land should be included in BMV. The application<br>of the ALC classification only is flawed as it does<br>not consider crop yield." | There is no suggestion from Government that<br>ALC Grade 3b land should be considered Best<br>and Most Versatile (BMV) land for planning<br>purposes, solar farms or otherwise.<br>The ALC assessment presented by the Applicant<br>(6.3.19.1 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br>19.1 Agricultural Land Quality, Soil Resources<br>and Farming Circumstances Report [APP-137])<br>is the result of a detailed field survey following<br>the guidance given in Natural England's TIN049<br>(appendix 19.1 paragraph 2.1.5) and the MAFF<br>ALC Guidelines (paragraph 2.1.2). Natural<br>England retain ALC experts who will appraise the<br>evidence presented by the Applicant. 7000 Acres<br>have not given any rationale for their lack of<br>confidence in the ALC assessment presented. |
| 7A-28     | Planning Policy<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Site Selection<br>Consideration                                      | "The proposed project fails in that reasonable<br>alternatives have not been adequately<br>considered, as is required by the EIA regulations<br>and the National Policy Statements."  | The consideration of alternatives has been<br>undertaken within <b>6.2.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 5 Alternatives and Design</b><br><b>Evolution [APP-043]</b> . This includes the<br>consideration of alternative sites (Section 5.5),<br>alternative technologies (Section 5.6), alternative<br>layouts (Section 5.7), alternative substation<br>locations (section 5.8) and alternative cable<br>routes (Section 5.9).<br>The selection of the Scheme's location has<br>followed a systematic step-by-step process as set<br>out in detail within <b>WB6.3.5.1_A Environmental</b>  |



| Reference | Theme                                      | Issue                                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|
|           |  |   |   | <b>Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection</b><br><b>Assessment Revision A [AS-004]</b> . This took a<br>sequential approach to the consideration of<br>potential sites in terms of agricultural land<br>classification. As a result, paragraph 3.3.22 states<br>that the Scheme maximises the utilisation of low<br>grade, non best and most versatile (BMV)<br>agricultural land with 73.76% of the land being<br>classified as non BMV land. |
|           |  |   |   | Paragraphs 2.1.23 to 2.1.31 <b>[AS-004]</b> detail the consideration of brownfield land and roof tops and sets out why these were discounted as unsuitable. The methodology used for the site selection process is considered reasonable and proportionate and complies with the requirements of NPS EN-1 4.4.3.  |
|           |  |   |   | The land required for the Scheme has been<br>demonstrated to perform better than 8 of the<br>assessed Potential Development Areas (PDAs)<br>and equal to the remaining one following the site<br>selection process (see Annex E <b>[AS-004]</b> ).<br>Consequently, there are no obviously more<br>suitable locations for the Scheme within the<br>Search Area.   |
| 7A-29     | Energy Need<br>Principle of<br>Development | The Scheme's<br>Acceptability as<br>an NSIP | "Given the load factor of solar in the UK and the<br>intermittency of power produced – and the fact<br>that the development would provide no power<br>when the country would most need it on winter | The Scheme is automatically deemed nationally<br>significant due to its size (i.e being a generating<br>station with a capacity of > 50MW in accordance<br>with Section 15 (2) of the Planning Act 2008.  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | evenings (i.e. it could not be relied upon when<br>needed), its status of "National Significance" or<br>strategic importance is questionable, and it is<br>therefore a misuse of the NSIP process to<br>develop the project in this way." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".    |
|           |       |       |   | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.  |
|           |       |       |   | Energy is consumed at all times of the day and<br>night. Paragraph 8.9.3 <b>[APP-320]</b> explains that in<br>2021, BEIS (now DESNZ) unveiled plans to<br>decarbonise UK power system by 2035. The plans<br>focus on building a secure, home-grown energy<br>sector that reduces reliance on fossil fuels and<br>exposure to volatile global wholesale energy<br>prices and solar is part of that solution. |
|           |       |       |   | Further, Table 7.1 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows the electricity generated per hectare by different low carbon technologies. At the UK's average solar load factor (11%), solar generation produces much more energy per hectare than biogas, and generates a similar amount of energy as onshore wind.  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 7A-30     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Draft DCO<br>Site Selection | "Given the flawed arguments surrounding the<br>potential benefits of the WBSP development, as<br>well as the failure of the developer to consider<br>alternatives which would have fewer adverse<br>impacts, the WBSP does not meet the necessarily<br>high threshold to allow compulsory purchase." | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this statement.<br>The justification for the use of compulsory acquisition powers is set out within sections 7 and 8 of <b>4.1 Statement of Reasons [APP-019]</b> .<br>The Applicant considers the use of compulsory acquisition powers to be necessary and proportionate, and required as a last resort in order to ensure the deliverability of this nationally significant infrastructure project, should the Applicant not be able to secure voluntary agreements with affected landowners.  |
| 7A-31     | General<br>Principle of<br>Development  | The Application             | "Accuracy and fullness of information provided by<br>IGP: Supporting information provided by IGP's<br>consultants and experts is partial and fails to<br>objectively consider all aspects and implications<br>of the development."   | Paragraphs 1.4.5 and 1.4.6 of <b>6.2.1</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 1<br>Introduction [APP-039] explain that a<br>Preliminary Environmental Information Report<br>(PEIR) was prepared and published in June 2022<br>to satisfy the requirement of the EIA Regulations<br>where it is stated that a PEIR "is reasonably<br>required for the consultation bodies to develop<br>an informed view of the likely significant<br>environmental effects of the development (and of<br>any associated development)".<br>The Planning Inspectorate has since accepted the<br>Application for examination on 18 April 2023<br>which signifies that the information provided by<br>the Applicant is sufficient to progress the DCO<br>Application into examination. |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| 7A-32     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Cumulative<br>Development | "The combined impact of all solar developments<br>in the region (NSIP and locally determined<br>developments) would take a significantly higher<br>proportion of land locally than the national<br>average figure quoted by solar developers to<br>illustrate how little land would be used by solar,<br>thus the impact on the region would be<br>disproportionate." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". |
|           |  |                           |   | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |  |                           |   | Table 7.1 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows the electricity<br>generated per hectare by different low carbon<br>technologies. At the UK's average solar load<br>factor (11%), solar generation produces much<br>more energy per Ha than biogas, and generates a<br>similar amount of energy as onshore wind.  |
|           |  |                           |   | Paragraph 7.6.9 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes<br>Government's anticipated range of 2 to 4 acres<br>for each MW of output generally required for a<br>solar farm along with its associated<br>infrastructure. The Proposed Development as<br>proposed delivers a large-scale solar generation<br>asset which is consistent with this range.  |
| 7A-33     | Principle of<br>Development  | Nature of the<br>Scheme   | "That matching electricity supply with demand in<br>the moment is an essential part of electricity<br>supply, the WBSP cannot deliver on claims to  | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently   |



| Reference | Theme                                      | Issue                                | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
|           | Energy Need                                |                                      | power approximately 144,000 homes owing to<br>the low overall load factor for solar power in the<br>UK, along with its intermittency and seasonal<br>variation in output."  | required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".  |
|           |  |                                      |   | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.  |
|           |  |                                      |   | The Applicant notes that the figure of 144,000<br>homes, as quoted by the respondent, was<br>communicated during the pre-application phase<br>to provide context to the capacity of the grid<br>connections agreed between the Applicant and<br>National Grid for the West Burton Solar Project.<br>The Applicant notes that the actual number of<br>homes powered by the Scheme will be<br>determined by final technology, design, and<br>household consumption. <b>7.11 Statement of</b><br><b>Need [APP-320]</b> has been submitted as part of<br>the application, setting out context, requirement<br>and contribution of the Scheme to securing and<br>decarbonising UK energy supply. |
| 7A-34     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need | Alternatives and<br>Design Evolution | "Given the untapped resource of solar on<br>domestic rooftops (only 3% of domestic<br>properties have solar panels in the UK) and<br>commercial properties (which, alone could<br>double the UK's current solar capacity), there is | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that  |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------|---|---|
|           | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution |       | no clear case for uncontrolled development of<br>large scale, ground-mounted solar farms such as<br>the West Burton Solar Project." | "a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".  |
|           |   |       |   | In April 2022, the British Energy Security Strategy<br>set an aim for solar capacity in the UK to increase<br>5-fold from its current c.14GW to 70GW by 2035,<br>subsequent government policy and strategy<br>documents have provided further support to<br>Government's aim.   |
|           |   |       |   | Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> analyses the potential contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the national need for solar generation. Brownfield sites, including rooftop and other community energy systems, are likely to grow in the UK and will make a contribution to decarbonisation of the UK energy system.   |
|           |   |       |   | However, <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>concludes that on their own, brownfield<br>developments are unlikely to be able to meet the<br>national need for solar. Section 8.5 of the<br>Statement of Need describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that decentralised and<br>community energy systems are unlikely to lead to<br>the significant replacement of large-scale<br>infrastructure. It is the Applicant's view (and this<br>aligns with Government's view) that large scale<br>solar must be deployed to meet the urgent |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
|           |   |  |   | national need for low-carbon electricity generation.   |
| 7A-35     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Planning Policy<br>Energy Need<br>Climate Change | Scheme's<br>Contribution to<br>CO2 Policy        | "Uncontrolled development of large-scale solar<br>farms such as the West Burton Solar Project has<br>the potential to limit the contribution of solar to<br>carbon reduction policy. The incremental effect of<br>"too much" solar, through uncontrolled<br>development means that the incremental gain<br>anticipated by the addition of each scheme will<br>diminish, as each scheme contributes to provide<br>power at the same time, beyond what is<br>nationally required, thus diminishing the<br>potential contribution to the CO2 policy<br>objectives from each scheme." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".<br>It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.<br>Figures 8.1 and 8.2 <b>[APP-320]</b> demonstrate, over<br>longer time periods, how wind and solar are likely<br>to complement each other in the provision,<br>alongside other technologies, of a reliable<br>electricity supply to UK consumers. |
| 7A-36     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need                                      | Suitability of Solar<br>as a Source of<br>Energy | "Solar provides power when demand is typically<br>at its lowest in the UK, and along with the<br>economics of supply and demand, this is when<br>the prices are also typically at their lowest (at<br>these times, already sometimes negative). The<br>claimed economic benefit of solar on energy<br>prices is, at best, therefore marginal."  | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |       |       |                         | Figure 7.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows that solar energy is<br>produced during daylight hours and energy<br>consumption is higher during daylight hours than<br>overnight It is therefore not the case that solar<br>produces power when demand is typically at its<br>lowest in the UK.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Figure 10.1 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows the UK's market<br>pricing mechanism and commentary relating to<br>that figure demonstrates that by increasing solar<br>capacity (which has near zero marginal costs and<br>zero marginal carbon emissions) expensive<br>carbon emitting plant will be displaced from the<br>grid. Further, because of the forecastable nature<br>of solar energy, storage schemes connected to<br>the National Electricity Transmission System will<br>be incentivised to capture any generation which<br>may be surplus to requirements and store it for<br>later use, again bringing carbon and cost benefits<br>to the UK's electricity system. |
|           |       |       |                         | Figures 8.1 and 8.2 <b>[APP-320]</b> demonstrate, over<br>longer time periods, how wind and solar are likely<br>to complement each other in the provision,<br>alongside other technologies, of a reliable<br>electricity supply to UK consumers.   |



| Theme                                      | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|--|---|---|--|
| Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need | The Scheme's<br>Ability to<br>Consistently<br>Power Homes   | "As a solution, for any electricity system, solar and<br>batteries alone would be an uneconomic<br>proposition, because there would need to be so<br>much excess solar production capacity required<br>to cater for intermittency, along with vast<br>amounts of energy storage – which, together<br>would render the concept unfeasible."  | Paragraph 5.4.1 <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-<br/>320]</b> explains that the report does not seek to<br>justify or promote the exclusion of any<br>generation technologies other than solar power<br>from the future GB generation mix, and the<br>Applicant acknowledges that a mix of<br>technologies is likely to deliver a low-carbon,<br>secure and affordable UK energy supply.  |
| Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need | Nature of the<br>Scheme and<br>Direct Community<br>Benefit  | "The proposed West Burton Solar Project takes<br>power generated at low voltages in parcels of<br>land that surround villages, stepping up the<br>voltage through transformers to connect directly<br>to the National Grid at 400kV, rather than directly<br>to local villages, hence, Island Green Power's<br>claims to be able to "repower the region with<br>clean, green energy" are misleading." | Whilst not a direct and targeted local energy<br>supply benefit, there is benefit to all UK citizens –<br>including local villages – from the UK producing<br>more clean, renewable electricity, in terms of<br>affordability and energy security and resilience.<br>This is considered further in detail in Sections 7.4,<br>8.7, 8.8, 8.10, 10.2, 10.3 and 11.5 of <b>7.11</b><br><b>Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> .   |
| Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need | The Effective Use<br>of Grid<br>Connections   | "Using this connection to the National Grid for<br>WBSP would sterilise the use of a high voltage<br>substation connection and preclude its use by<br>future high-power applications."  | Section 5.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>sets out the urgent need for decarbonisation of<br>the electricity sector, indeed Paragraph 8.9.3<br>explains that in 2021, BEIS (now DESNZ) unveiled<br>plans to decarbonise UK power system by 2035.<br>The plans focus on building a secure, home-<br>grown energy sector that reduces reliance on<br>fossil fuels and exposure to volatile global<br>wholesale energy prices and solar is part of that<br>solution.<br>Section 8.4 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes the connection   |
|  | Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need<br>Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need<br>Principle of<br>Development | Principle of<br>DevelopmentThe Scheme's<br>Ability to<br>Consistently<br>Power HomesEnergy NeedNature of the<br>Scheme and<br>Direct Community<br>BenefitPrinciple of<br>DevelopmentNature of the<br>Scheme and<br>Direct Community<br>BenefitPrinciple of<br>DevelopmentThe Effective Use<br>of Grid<br>Conpositions   | Principle of<br>DevelopmentThe Scheme's<br>Ability to<br>Consistently<br>Power Homes"As a solution, for any electricity system, solar and<br>batteries alone would be an uneconomic<br>proposition, because there would need to be so<br>much excess solar production capacity required<br>to cater for intermittency, along with vast<br>amounts of energy storage – which, together<br>would render the concept unfeasible."Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy NeedNature of the<br>Scheme and<br>Direct Community<br>Benefit"The proposed West Burton Solar Project takes<br>power generated at low voltages in parcels of<br>land that surround villages, stepping up the<br>voltage through transformers to connect directly<br>to the National Grid at 400kV, rather than directly<br>to local villages, hence, Island Green Power's<br>claims to be able to "repower the region with<br>clean, green energy" are misleading."Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy NeedThe Effective Use<br>of Grid<br>Connections"Using this connection to the National Grid for<br>WBSP would sterilise the use of a high voltage<br>substation connection and preclude its use by |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
|           |  |   |  | Transmission System (NETS) and Section 9.1<br>describes National Grid's processes to ensure<br>that future needs are anticipated.  |
|           |  |   |  | The Applicant holds a Grid Connection<br>Agreement and if in the future other schemes<br>come forward to connect, their requirements will<br>be assessed by National Grid at the time.   |
| 7A-40     | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Energy Need | The Scheme's<br>Need for a Grid<br>Connection and<br>Site Selection | "Because solar power is generated at low<br>voltages, there are few restrictions to where it can<br>be connected or located. That IGP have cited the<br>connection to the National Grid at the West<br>Burton substation as a starting point for the site<br>location undermines the breadth of alternatives<br>considered as part of the WBSP development." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".   |
|           |  |   |  | Section 8.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.  |
|           |  |   |  | Paragraphs 3.3.17-18 <b>[APP-320]</b> explain<br>Government's view that irradiance, site<br>topography and proximity to suitable connection<br>points to the transmission network, are likely to<br>be key inputs to site selection. Section 7.5 <b>[APP-<br/>320]</b> describes the site selection process for large<br>scale solar more fully, and Section 7.7 sets out<br>how the design of the Proposed Development<br>seeks to maximise utilisation of the existing |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
|           |   |  |   | available and contracted grid connection capacity available at the West Burton substation.  |
|           |   |  |   | Paragraph 7.6.8 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes<br>Government's anticipated range of 2 to 4 acres<br>for each MW of output generally required for a<br>solar farm along with its associated<br>infrastructure. The Scheme as proposed delivers<br>a large-scale solar generation asset which is<br>consistent with this range.   |
| 7A-41     | General<br>Principle of<br>Development                              | Grid Connection<br>and the<br>Construction<br>Phase of the<br>Scheme | "Congestion in National Grid connection<br>applications process means that the likely<br>connection date for the West Burton Solar Project<br>is November 2028. In the WBSP PIER document,<br>the operation date is 2026. It is therefore not<br>possible to complete and operate the project in<br>the timescales indicated by IGP." | As is explained in paragraph 4.3.6 of <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042]</b> , the dates for construction<br>given are the earliest possible dates that<br>construction could commence for the purposes<br>of the environmental impact assessments.<br>Whilst the grid offer received for the Scheme is<br>guaranteed for 2028, should the Scheme be<br>consented, the Applicant will work with National<br>Grid to confirm whether an earlier connection<br>date is possible, and align construction timetables<br>with this. |
| 7A-42     | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Site Selection and<br>Agricultural Land<br>Use                       | "Given the low solar gain, the WBSP constitutes a<br>grossly inefficient use of land – let alone<br>productive arable land and undermines the<br>credibility of the developer to claim that<br>reasonable alternatives have been considered."   | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed   |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---------------------------|--|---|
|           |   |                           |  | predominantly of wind and solar". It is the<br>Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |   |                           |  | Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> demonstrates that large-<br>scale solar is the most efficient use of land for<br>energy generation purposes.   |
| 7A-43     | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (EMF) | Electromagnetic<br>Fields | "The developer, West Burton Solar Project, has<br>not made adequate consideration of the impact<br>of Electro Magnetic Fields (EMF) and no attempt<br>has been made to reduce EMF's and their<br>associated impact." | All items carrying an electrical current will induce<br>electric and magnetic fields. The electromagnetic<br>fields generated by the Scheme are not<br>anticipated to pose any significant risk to human<br>health, nor detrimental impact to nearby<br>infrastructure. This was agreed by the Planning<br>Inspectorate and local authorities as<br>demonstrated by EMF impacts being scoped out<br>of the Environment Impact Assessment. |
|           |   |                           |  | The International Commission on Non-Ionizing<br>Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) set levels of 100µT<br>for magnetic fields or 5kVm <sup>-1</sup> for electric fields as<br>the reference levels for monitoring for long-term<br>human health impacts (see section 21.2 of <b>6.2.21</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other</b><br><b>Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> ). The greatest                                      |
|           |   |                           |  | source of EMF from the Scheme is from the<br>substation, which has been located to ensure it is<br>more than 400m from any residential property or<br>location accessible to the public (see paragraph  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--------------------------------|---|--|
|           |  |                                |   | 21.2.9). The ICNIRP reference levels for magnetic<br>fields may be exceeded along the shared cable<br>route corridor, but only directly above the cables<br>in transient spaces (roads, footpaths) to avoid<br>impacts on residential or business properties.<br>Therefore, no impact to human health from EMF<br>is anticipated in these locations (paras. 21.2.7 to<br>21.2.9).  |
| 7A-44     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Flood Risk and<br>Soil Erosion | "The potential for surface run-off and soil erosion<br>from such a vast area of solar panels on this<br>network does not appear to have been properly<br>evaluated, particularly when considered in<br>conjunction with other proposed schemes.<br>Information available relating to flood<br>management, drainage and soil erosion are<br>therefore inadequate." | As stated in paragraph 10.8.19 and 10.8.20 of<br><b>6.2.10 Environmental Statement - Chapter 10</b><br><b>Hydrology Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048]</b><br>maintaining the existing surface water run-off<br>regime by utilising permeable surfacing for the<br>Site access, linear infiltration trenches around any<br>proposed infrastructure (substations and<br>batteries) and wildflower planting at the leeward<br>edge of solar panels will ensure that the Scheme<br>is unlikely to generate surface water runoff rates<br>beyond the baseline scenario. |
|           |  |                                |   | Section 4.0 Soil Management, Paragraph 5.3.11<br>and 5.3.12 of the <b>6.3.10.1 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 10.1 Flood Risk</b><br><b>Assessment and Drainage Strategy Report</b><br><b>[APP-089]</b> assesses the potential impact of the<br>Scheme on soils and soil erosion.<br>In reverting arable land to permanent pasture for<br>the duration of the solar farm, the risk of soil<br>erosion is reduced. Soil is most vulnerable to   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|
|           |   |   |   | erosion when exposed, as it is following annual<br>cultivation for arable cropping. Year round plant<br>cover also promotes more rapid infiltration of<br>rainfall and slowing of any overland flow.   |
| 7A-45     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | The Scheme's<br>Impact upon Soil<br>Quality and<br>Biodiversity Net<br>Gain | "Island Green Power claim there will be a 10%<br>biodiversity net gain from the West Burton Solar<br>Project, but have failed to explain how this would<br>be achieved, nor is it clear what methodology or<br>assumptions lie behind the assertion." | The grassland beneath panelled land and the<br>field margins will receive low-intensity<br>management and be seeded to create a habitat<br>of significantly increased species diversity than<br>existing. This is secured through Requirement 9<br>of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , which states that "No<br>part of the authorised development may<br>commence until a biodiversity net gain strategy<br>has been submitted to and approved by the<br>relevant planning authority, in consultation with<br>the relevant statutory nature conservation body."<br>In this way, a substantive net gain for biodiversity<br>will be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net</b><br><b>Gain Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through<br>the creation of extensive low-input grassland<br>resulting in a net gain of 86.8% in habitat units,<br>but also several new ponds and wetland habitat<br>parcels resulting in a net gain of 33.25% in river<br>units, and the planting of several kilometres of<br>species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net gain of<br>54.71% in hedgerow units. This assessment has<br>been carried out following the methodology, |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
|           |                             |  |   | guidance and principles set out within the Defra<br>Biodiversity Metric version 3.1. The Biodiversity<br>Net Gain Report [APP-088] sets out all<br>assumptions made in undertaking this<br>assessment.   |
|           |                             |  |   | The habitat enhancement proposals are set out<br>within <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which outlines<br>appropriate management measures to ensure<br>the maximum benefit for biodiversity is realised<br>during the operational phase of the scheme. The<br>oLEMP also sets out the outline monitoring<br>methods for the site. Monitoring of the habitats<br>created and enhanced through the scheme is<br>essential to ensure their successful establishment<br>and ongoing success. This is secured through<br>Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> |
| 7A-46     | Principle of<br>Development | Associated<br>Development<br>Nature of the<br>BESS | "Island Green Power provide very little detail on<br>the storage facility included in the proposed<br>development. Operating in a separate segment of<br>the electricity market, it is unclear therefore<br>whether the proposed energy storage system can<br>truly be considered to be associated<br>development for the proposed solar farm." | "Planning Act 2008: Guidance on associated<br>development applications for major infrastructure<br>projects" (Department for Communities and Local<br>Government, April 2013, "GADA") provides<br>guidance on what can properly be regarded as<br>'Associated Development' for Nationally<br>Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs). GADA<br>sets out five principles which the Secretary of  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
|           |   |   |   | State will take into account in making a decision on a proposed project.  |
|           |   |   |   | The Works Nos. which are noted as 'Associated<br>Development' are explained within paragraphs<br>3.1.4 to 3.1.8 of <b>3.2 Draft Explanatory</b><br><b>Memorandum [APP-018]</b> . The Applicant's<br>position is that the BESS is 'Associated<br>Development' because:   |
|           |   |   |   | <ol> <li>There is a direct relationship between the<br/>associated (BESS) development and the<br/>principal (solar) development, because the<br/>BESS supports the operation of the solar<br/>panels;</li> <li>The BESS is not an aim in itself and is<br/>subordinate to the solar;</li> <li>The BESS is not being developed only to cross-<br/>subsidise the cost of the solar development;</li> <li>The proposed BESS is proportionate to the<br/>principal development; and</li> <li>The Applicant proposes to bring the BESS<br/>forwards alongside the solar as part of the<br/>integrated Scheme.</li> </ol> |
| 7A-47     | Air Quality<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Safety and Fire<br>Risks Posed by<br>the BESS | "The safety and environmental concerns arising<br>from battery development at this scale have not<br>been appropriately considered, including through<br>operation and transportation. Large scale battery<br>installations have begun to be developed in<br>recent years but have been susceptible to failures | As stated in paragraph 3.10.4 of <b>6.3.10.5</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 10.5 FRA</b><br><b>DS West Burton 3 [APP-093]</b> , in order to isolate<br>the Site's drainage, a sandfield valve should be<br>installed at the outfall to the land drain. In the   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------|---|---|
|           | Other<br>Environmental  |       | involving fires and the emission of toxic and flammable fumes. Resulting in environmental | event of a fire, the valve can be activated to close<br>off the battery storage area's drainage system  |
|           | Matters (Major<br>Accidents and<br>Disasters /<br>Human Health) |       | damage from toxic run-off."   | Paragraph 3.10.5 <b>[APP-093]</b> goes on to state that<br>after a fire event, the wastewater will be tested to<br>ascertain the level of contamination. A decision<br>will then be made as to the appropriate<br>methodology to dispose of the attenuated water.<br>This may involve on-site treatment and release or<br>tankering.  |
|           |   |       |   | The Applicant has submitted <b>7.9 Outline Battery</b><br><b>Storage Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b><br>and, through <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , has<br>secured by Requirement 6 of Schedule 2 that<br>"Work No. 2 must not commence until a battery<br>storage safety management plan has been<br>submitted to and approved by the relevant<br>planning authority."                                  |
|           |   |       |   | Paragraph 4.1.18 of <b>7.9 Outline Battery Storage</b><br><b>Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b> explains<br>that the design of the BESS has integrated fire<br>detection and suppression systems that will<br>automatically operate to contain battery fires.<br>Paragraph 5.3 states that if fire spreads to<br>multiple units, external firefighting water facilities<br>are available by means of 228,000 litre water<br>storage tanks within the battery compounds. |



| Reference | Theme           | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------|--|--|--|
|           |                 |  |  | Human health and other environmental impacts<br>resulting from plumes from potential battery fires<br>have been initially assessed in <b>6.2.17</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 17 Air</b><br><b>Quality [APP-055]</b> and are proposed to be<br>supplemented by additional information during<br>the examination process.   |
|           |                 |  |  | As stated in paragraphs 3.10.1 to 3.10.5 of<br>6.3.10.5 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br>10.5 FRA DS West Burton 3 [APP-093], fire water<br>provision in line with Lincolnshire Fire and Rescue<br>requirements has been accommodated within<br>the Scheme.   |
| 7A-48     | Glint and Glare | The Scheme's<br>Glint and Glare<br>impact on<br>Receptors. | "The impact of glint and glare on aviation (e.g.<br>RAF, airfields, gliding clubs), or other outdoor<br>activities (e.g. horse riding, hunts) has not been<br>thoroughly considered, as well as visibility from<br>prominent roads." | 6.2.16 Environmental Statement - Chapter 16<br>Glint and Glare [APP-054] has considered the<br>impact upon aviation operations and<br>infrastructure associated with the nearby airfields<br>in sections 3.1 to 3.3 of 6.3.16.1 Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 16.1 Solar Photovoltaic<br>Glint and Glare Study [APP-132]. It concludes in<br>paragraph 16.8.3 of 6.2.16 Environmental<br>Statement - Chapter 16 Glint and Glare [APP-<br>054] that "Minor/Negligible Adverse effects are<br>predicted in respect of aviation receptors." |
|           |                 |  |  | The findings of the report were shared with the<br>major airfields to seek their opinion on the<br>impact of the Scheme. All airfields' safeguarding<br>teams have agreed with the conclusion of the   |



| Reference | Theme                  | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                        |                                |  | report as noted in Table 16.1 of <b>6.2.16</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 16 [APP-<br>054].  |
|           |                        |                                |  | More generally, the assessment considers glint<br>and glare effects upon receptors such as Public<br>Rights of Way, dwellings, roads, railway<br>infrastructure as well as aviation receptors (see<br>the executive summary contained within <b>6.3.16.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 16.1</b><br><b>Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study [APP-<br/>132].</b>  |
|           |                        |                                |  | Where glint and glare effects are predicted to be<br>of "Moderate" or higher impact (see paragraph<br>16.8.2 of <b>6.2.16 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 16 [APP-054]</b> ) embedded mitigation has<br>been implemented as part of the landscape and<br>ecological mitigation strategy, shown at <b>6.4.8.18.1</b><br>to <b>6.4.8.18.3 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation<br/>and Enhancement Plans (Figures 8.18.1</b> to<br><b>8.18.3) [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A]</b> . |
| 7A-49     | Noise and<br>Vibration | Noise impacts of<br>the Scheme | "It is unclear from the information provided by<br>Island Green Power what noise pollution will arise<br>from the proposed West Burton Solar<br>Development, either from electrical equipment<br>(e.g. battery and inverter fans), or from wind<br>noise / resonance from the configuration of large<br>panel structures." | The likely impacts of noise and vibration,<br>including any anticipated impacts to residential<br>properties, have been assessed in Section 15.7 of<br><b>6.2.15 Environmental Statement - Chapter 15</b><br><b>Noise and Vibration [APP-053]</b> . The noise and<br>vibration effects are not anticipated to be<br>significant.   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue                                      | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|--|---|---|
| 7A-50     | Waste | Scheme<br>Decommissioning<br>and Recycling | "The West Burton Solar Project documentation<br>provides little detail on the arrangements for<br>decommissioning and recycling, nor the<br>standards to which the developer would be held<br>to at the end of the life of the project. That Island<br>Green Power does not have experience of<br>development at this scale, there is no guarantee<br>the region is not left with the legacy of a disused<br>solar farm liability at the end of the project's<br>lifetime." | The likely impacts on waste and recycling are set<br>out in Section 20.7 of <b>6.2.20 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 20 Waste [APP-058]</b> .<br>Subject to the mitigation measures set out in<br>paragraphs 20.8.1 to 20.8.3, there are no<br>significant effects anticipated from the<br>decommissioning of the Scheme on local waste<br>handling facilities.<br><b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning Statement [APP-<br/>310]</b> sets out the principles of decommissioning<br>and environmental considerations (see paras.<br>2.1.1 to 2.1.9) and provides a summary of<br>potential mitigation and management measures<br>during decommissioning through Table 3.1. It<br>also sets out how roles, responsibilities and<br>actions required in respect of implementation of<br>the mitigation measures will be managed, along<br>with principles for monitoring and reporting. By<br>example and as contained within Table 3.1,<br>provision is made that <i>"Infrastructure such as PV<br/>panels and battery storage units will be removed<br/>and recycled as far as practical and in accordance<br/>with legislation and guidance applicable at the<br/>time".<br/>Further details will be provided in the final<br/>decommissioning plan submitted for approval</i> |
|           |       |  |   | prior to decommissioning. The commitment for<br>the final decommissioning plan to be<br>substantially in accordance with the Outline  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
|           |  |   |  | Decommissioning Statement is secured by<br>Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
| 7A-51     | General  | Decommissioning<br>of the Scheme                              | "It is evident from Financial Returns that neither<br>West Burton Solar Project Limited nor its parent<br>company Island Green Power have direct capital<br>to support the estimated £800+ Million pounds to<br>develop the project or deal with the<br>decommissioning of the West Burton Solar<br>Project. It is widely expected therefore that if<br>approved the Project will be sold or further<br>investment found. It will be important that the<br>decommissioning is secured and be completed<br>with the land being returned to its previous state.<br>With this in mind it is strongly recommended that<br>if the application is approved, it is conditional on<br>the incumbent landowners ultimately being made<br>responsible for the identified decommissioning<br>as a backstop against unforeseen circumstances,<br>e.g. financial default by the developer or its<br>successor companies." | The Applicant confirms that the following is<br>secured through Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> : "Within 12<br>months of the date that the undertaker decides<br>to decommission any part of the authorised<br>development, the undertaker must submit to the<br>relevant planning authority for that part a<br>decommissioning plan for approval" and that<br>"The decommissioning plan must be substantially<br>in accordance with the outline decommissioning<br>statement."<br>The Applicant acknowledges that non-compliance<br>with the terms of the Order, inclusive of non-<br>compliance with any management plans secured<br>through requirement, is a criminal offence, under<br>section 161 of the Planning Act 2008. |
| 7A-52     | General (Skills<br>and Supply<br>Chain)<br>Principle of<br>Development | Sustainable<br>Sourcing of Raw<br>Materials for the<br>Scheme | "The U.S. government has identified forced<br>labour in China as an area of concern for the<br>solar supply chain. Furthermore, the process of<br>extracting the raw materials for batteries requires<br>large amounts of energy and water, often in<br>mines where workers face unsafe conditions. Any<br>materials sourced by IGP for the West Burton  | Paragraph 7.3.1 and 7.3.2 of <b>7.10 Outline Skills</b><br><b>Supply Chain and Employment Plan [APP-319]</b><br>sets out information on the safeguarding<br>measures taken to prevent human rights abuses<br>in the supply chain for the Scheme.   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | Solar Project should be truly sustainable, e.g. free<br>of forced labour, where workers' safety is<br>paramount, and where the full environmental<br>implications are understood." | Paragraph 5.4.7 of the <b>Outline Plan [APP-319]</b><br>states that "Any procurement of supplies<br>internationally will comply with both national and<br>international law, and all policy and safety measures<br>will be adhered to in the transportation of supplies."   |
|           |       |       |  | The Applicant confirms that production of a Skills,<br>Supply Chain and Employment Plan is secured by<br>Requirement 20 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. This requirement<br>states that "No part of the authorised  |
|           |       |       |  | development may commence until a skills, supply<br>chain and employment plan in relation to that<br>part has been submitted to and approved by the<br>relevant planning authority for that part or,<br>where the part falls within the administrative<br>areas of multiple planning authorities, each of the<br>relevant planning authorities." |
|           |       |       |  |   |

## Table 2.3.2: Applicant's Response to Anglian Water Services Limited [RR-018]

| Reference | Theme                   | Issue          | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| AWSL-01   | The Interested<br>Party | Representation | "Anglian Water Services Limited (Anglian Water) is<br>the statutory undertaker for water and sewerage<br>services in the application area. Jacobs U.K. | The Applicant notes this comment. |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|--|---|
|           |   |                         | Limited is supporting Anglian Water as an Interested Party in this examination."   |   |
| AWSL-02   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)  | Draft DCO               | "Anglian Water are in contact with the Applicant<br>regarding the protective provisions in Schedule<br>16 (For the Protection of Anglian Water Services<br>Limited) Part 7 of the Draft Development Consent<br>Order (DCO) as some provisions differ from<br>Anglian Water's protective provisions template<br>that was previously shared with the Applicant." | Draft protective provisions are included in Part 7<br>to Schedule 16 of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . The Applicant notes<br>this comment and is confident that the form of<br>protective provisions can be agreed with Anglian<br>Water prior to the end of the Examination, which<br>will ensure that Anglian Water's statutory<br>undertaking is not subject to serious detriment as<br>a result of the Scheme.   |
| AWSL-03   | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters<br>(Telecomms &<br>Utilities) | Anglian Water<br>Assets | "Any impacted Anglian Water assets need to be<br>identified and either diverted or protected."   | The requirement for on-site surveys to ground-<br>truth the location of utilities is set out in<br>paragraphs 21.3.4 and 21.3.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other</b><br><b>Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> and secured<br>through <b>7.1_A Outline Construction</b><br><b>Environmental Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> . The Applicant is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme.<br>The Outline Construction Environmental<br>Management Plan is secured in the <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> which provides (in |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                      | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|
|           |   |  |  | Requirement 13 of Schedule 2) that "No part of<br>the authorised development may commence<br>until a construction environmental management<br>plan for that part has been submitted to and<br>approved by the relevant planning authority or,<br>where the part falls within the administrative<br>areas of multiple relevant planning authorities,<br>each of the relevant planning authorities. It<br>further provides that "The construction<br>environmental management plan must be<br>substantially in accordance with the outline<br>construction environmental management plan."<br>The protective provisions included in Part 7 to<br>Schedule 16 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> will also protect<br>Anglian Water's apparatus where it interfaces<br>with the Scheme. |
| AWSL-04   | Principle of<br>Development<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage<br>Waste<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters | Connection to<br>Anglian Water<br>Services | "It is noted that no connections to Anglian Water's<br>services for potable water, and surface water and<br>foul water are proposed for the development.<br>The Applicant should be aware that in the event a<br>potable water connection be required or an<br>increase in supply sought from Anglian Water,<br>possibly in part to replace water supplies through<br>sustainable abstraction reductions at private<br>abstraction sites, that such supplies may not be<br>available from Anglian Water. This position | The DCO would also allow water supply<br>connections to be made during all stages.<br>However, only a temporary mains water supply is<br>anticipated to be necessary during construction<br>and decommissioning as set out in <b>7.1_A Outline</b><br><b>Construction Environmental Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b><br>(oCEMP) which specifies washing down of<br>vehicles on page 23, dust suppression water<br>spraying on page 37, and ensuring an adequate<br>water supply on site for effective dust   |



| Reference | Theme                      | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|----------------------------|-------|--|--|
|           | (Telecomms &<br>Utilities) |       | changed in early 2023 with further work on the draft Water Resources Management Plan." | suppression on page 42. The oCEMP notes that<br>non potable water will be used where possible<br>and appropriate on page 37.   |
|           |                            |       |  | For any surface and foul water drainage, it is<br>secured by way of Requirement 11 of Schedule 2<br>in <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> that<br>Anglian Water must be consulted prior to the<br>approval of any such drainage scheme.  |
|           |                            |       |  | Foul water generated during construction,<br>operation, and decommissioning is to be held on<br>site and removed by tanker to an approved<br>wastewater and sewage treatment centre (see<br>paras. 20.7.6, 20.7.15, and 20.7.26 of <b>6.2.20</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 20 Waste</b><br>[APP-058]. This is secured through both <b>7.1_A</b><br><b>Outline Construction Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A] and <b>7.14_A Outline</b><br><b>Operational Environmental Management Plan</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A]</b> ,<br>themselves secured by Requirements 13 and 14<br>respectively of Schedule 2 in <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                                   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------------------------|---|--|---|
| AWSL-05   | General<br>(Procedural) | Statement of<br>Common Ground<br>(SoCG) | "If necessary, Anglian Water will also seek and<br>agree a Statement of Common Ground with the<br>Applicant, for example, if protective provisions<br>are not agreed before Deadline 1 and so are a<br>live matter for the Examining Authority." | In response to additional correspondence<br>between the Applicant and Anglian Water<br>Services Limited regarding protective provisions,<br>dated 6 <sup>th</sup> , 17 <sup>th</sup> and 27 <sup>th</sup> July 2023, the Applicant<br>has agreed to enter into a Statement of Common<br>Ground with Anglian Water Services Limited<br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB8.3.12]</b> . This is currently<br>subject to negotiation between the parties. |

## Table 2.3.3: Applicant's Response to Cadent Gas Limited [RR-032]

| Reference | Theme                                | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| CGL-01    | The Interested<br>Party              | Existing<br>Infrastructure and<br>Easements               | "Cadent wishes to make a relevant representation<br>to the West Burton Solar Project DCO in order to<br>protect its position in light of infrastructure which<br>is within or in close proximity to the proposed<br>DCO boundary. Cadent's rights to retain its<br>apparatus in situ and rights of access to inspect,<br>maintain, renew and repair such apparatus<br>located within or in close proximity to the order<br>limits including should be maintained at all times<br>and access to inspect such apparatus must not be<br>restricted." | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| CGL-02    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Impacts on<br>Existing<br>Infrastructure and<br>Easements | "The documentation and plans submitted for the<br>above proposed scheme have been reviewed in<br>relation to impacts on Cadent's existing<br>apparatus located within this area, and Cadent<br>has identified that it will require adequate   | The Applicant is negotiating protective provisions<br>with Cadent to ensure that its statutory<br>undertaking is not subject to serious detriment as<br>a result of the Scheme. Draft protective<br>provisions are included in Part 6 to Schedule 16 |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters<br>(Telecoms &<br>Utilities) |       | protective provisions to be included within the<br>DCO to ensure that its apparatus and land<br>interests are adequately protected and to include<br>compliance with relevant safety standards." | of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A],</b> and these<br>include provisions relating to retained apparatus.<br>The Applicant is confident that agreement can be<br>reached with Cadent prior to the close of the<br>Examination.  |
|           |  |       |  | The location of underground infrastructure has<br>been identified and preliminary offsets as<br>required by easements and operator safety<br>distances have been embedded in the Scheme<br>design, as set out in Table 21.6.2 of <b>6.2.21</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br/>Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> , and in<br>Section 5.4 and 5.5 of <b>7.6 Design and Access</b><br><b>Statement [APP-314]</b> . Furthermore, the<br>requirement for on-site surveys to ground-truth<br>the location of utilities is set out in paragraphs<br>21.3.4 and 21.3.5 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 21 Other Environmental</b><br><b>Matters [APP-059]</b> , and secured through <b>7.1_A</b><br><b>Outline Construction Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> . The Applicant is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme. |
|           |  |       |  |  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| CGL-03    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)   | Provision for<br>Potential<br>Diversions    | "Cadent has medium pressure gas pipelines<br>located within the order limits which are affected<br>by works proposed, the extent to which is still<br>being assessed and which may require diversions<br>subject to the impact. Proposed diversions have<br>not yet reached detailed design stage and so the<br>positioning, land rights and consents required for<br>these gas diversions are not confirmed. At this<br>stage, Cadent is not satisfied that the DCO<br>includes all land and rights required to<br>accommodate such diversions as design studies<br>will need to influence these requirements." | The Applicant notes this comment, and is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme.<br>As noted in CGL-02 above, draft protective<br>provisions are included in Part 6 to Schedule 16<br>of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , and these<br>include provisions relating to diverting apparatus<br>and constructing alternative apparatus if<br>necessary as a result of the Scheme.  |
| CGL-04    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health / Major<br>Accidents and<br>Disasters /<br>Telecoms &<br>Utilities) | Decommissioning<br>of Existing<br>Apparatus | "Cadent will not decommission its existing<br>apparatus and/or commission new apparatus<br>until it has sufficient land and rights in land (to its<br>satisfaction) to do so, whether pursuant to the<br>DCO or otherwise. This is a fundamental matter<br>of health and safety."  | The Applicant notes this comment, and is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme.<br>As noted in CGL-02 above, draft protective<br>provisions are included in Part 6 to Schedule 16<br>of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> These<br>include provisions for the undertaker to afford to<br>Cadent the necessary facilities and rights to<br>construct, maintain and allow access to<br>alternative apparatus in the event that the |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                        |  | Scheme requires the removal of Cadent's existing<br>apparatus. The location of underground<br>infrastructure has been identified and<br>preliminary offsets as required by easements and<br>operator safety distances have been embedded<br>in the Scheme design, as set out in Table 21.6.2 of<br><b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Chapter 21</b><br><b>Other Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> , and in<br>Section 5.4 and 5.5 of <b>7.6 Design and Access</b><br><b>Statement [APP-314]</b> . Furthermore, the<br>requirement for on-site surveys to ground-truth<br>the location of utilities is set out in paragraphs<br>21.3.4 and 21.3.5 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 21 Other Environmental</b><br><b>Matters [APP-059]</b> , and secured through <b>7.1_A</b><br><b>Outline Construction Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> . |
| CGL-05    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Planning Policy | Legislative<br>Context | "At this stage, Cadent is not satisfied that the<br>tests under section 127 of the PA 2008 can be<br>met." | As noted in CGL-02 above, draft protective<br>provisions are included in Part 6 to Schedule 16<br>of the <b>C3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [AS-012]</b> ), and these (among<br>other things) require the Applicant to obtain<br>Cadent's consent prior to carrying out any works<br>thereby ensuring there is no serious detriment to<br>Cadent's undertaking.  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
| CGL-06    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)            | Draft DCO:<br>Protective<br>Provisions                                 | "Cadent has experience of promoters securing<br>insufficient rights in land within DCOs for<br>necessary diversions of its apparatus or securing<br>rights for the benefit of incorrect entities. It is<br>important that sufficient rights are granted to<br>Cadent to allow Cadent to maintain its gas<br>distribution network in accordance with its<br>statutory obligations."  | As noted in CGL-04 above, draft protective<br>provisions are included in Part 6 to Schedule 16<br>of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> These<br>include provisions for the undertaker to afford to<br>Cadent the necessary facilities and rights to<br>construct, maintain and allow access to<br>alternative apparatus in the event that the<br>Scheme requires the removal of Cadent's existing<br>apparatus, as well as other provisions that will<br>ensure there is no serious detriment to Cadent's<br>undertaking . |
| CGL-07    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>General | Draft DCO:<br>Protective<br>Provisions<br>Engagement with<br>Applicant | "As a responsible statutory undertaker, Cadent's<br>primary concern is to meet its statutory<br>obligations and ensure that any development<br>does not impact in any adverse way upon those<br>statutory obligations. Adequate protective<br>provisions for the protection of Cadent's statutory<br>undertaking have not yet been agreed but are in<br>discussion between parties. Cadent wishes to<br>reserve the right to make further representations<br>as part of the examination process but will seek<br>to engage with the promoter to reach a<br>satisfactory agreement." | The Applicant notes this comment and refers<br>Cadent to the responses provided in the rows<br>above.  |

## Table 2.3.4: Applicant's Response to Canal & River Trust [RR-033]



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| CRT-01    | The Interested<br>Party | Registering<br>Interest in the<br>Application | "The Canal & River Trust ("the Trust") has<br>previously provided comments to the applicant<br>on the route options for the cable connection and<br>now wished to register and comment as an<br>interested party for the examination relating to<br>the above Application."   | The Applicant acknowledges that CRT has<br>previously provided comments to the Applicant<br>and notes this comment. |
| CRT-02    | The Interested<br>Party | The CRT's Role<br>and<br>Responsibilities     | "The Trust is a statutory party for the purposes of<br>s.88(3) of the Planning Act 2008 ("the 2008 Act")<br>as the Application is likely to have an impact on<br>the River Trent, or land adjacent to the river,<br>which is an inland waterway in England. The Trust<br>is a statutory undertaker for the purposes of<br>s.127 of the 2008 Act. The Trust is navigation<br>authority for the River Trent and has a duty to<br>maintain the river between Meadow Lane Lock,<br>Nottingham and Gainsborough Bridge as a<br>commercial waterway under s.105 of the<br>Transport Act 1968 and is lessee of the foreshore<br>and riverbed under a lease from The Crown<br>Estate dated 16 February 2011 and registered at<br>the Land Registry under title number NT473004.<br>The Trust is freehold owner of land to the west of<br>the River Trent registered at the Land Registry<br>under title number NT239763 which is used<br>operationally as a dredging tip.<br>The Trust also has environmental and<br>recreational duties under s.22 British Waterways<br>Act 1995 when considering proposals relating to<br>its functions. These include consideration of | The Applicant notes this comment and the CRT's duties as a statutory undertaker.                                    |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                      | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|--|---|
|           |   |                            | effects on flora and fauna and preserving access<br>to towing paths for the public. The Trust's<br>charitable objects include, for the public benefit,<br>the preservation, protection, operation and<br>management of inland waterways for navigation<br>and conservation, protection and improvement of<br>the natural environment and landscape of inland<br>waterways."  |   |
| CRT-03    | Site Description<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Location of<br>Development | "The proposed route of the cable connection for<br>the Project would have one interface/crossing<br>(underground) with the River Trent, just south of<br>Trent Port, Marton. The River Trent in this<br>location is a tidal commercial waterway used by<br>both large commercial vessels and for leisure<br>purposes. As navigation authority, the Trust is<br>responsible for navigational safety for this part of<br>the river. The Trust is also the owner and<br>operator of the dredging tips for the deposition<br>of river dredgings to maintain the navigational<br>safety of the River Trent. The southern bund and<br>edge of the western dredging tip is located within<br>Works Package 5A. This is a rural stretch of river<br>with a mixture of open fields and mature<br>hedgerows within the managed river flood plain.<br>The river corridor is well used for leisure and<br>recreation and the west riverbank carries the<br>long-distance Trent Valley Way path." | The Applicant notes the CRT's role as the<br>navigation authority for the River Trent.<br>Paragraph 4.5.44 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme Description</b><br><b>[APP-042]</b> details the design parameters for<br>Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) across the River<br>Trent in relation to the laying of the Cable Route<br>Corridor.<br>The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The likely<br>anticipated impacts on the recreational use of the<br>River Trent during construction are short-term<br>minor adverse (para. 18.7.64) and during |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | operation are long-term minor adverse (para.<br>18.7.111).   |
|           |       |       |                         | The greatest effect to the Trent Valley Way is<br>anticipated to be a short- to medium-term<br>temporary moderate adverse during construction<br>(see Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This effect is<br>therefore <b>significant adverse</b> . The Trent Valley<br>Path is not however anticipated to experience any<br>significant long-term effects during Scheme<br>operation (para. 18.7.109), or during the<br>Scheme's decommissioning (Table 18.29).  |
|           |       |       |                         | Protective provisions have been agreed with the<br>CRT as part of a wider discussion to ensure<br>consistency across the Scheme, Cottam Solar<br>Project and Gate Burton Energy Park. Agreement<br>has now been reached between the Canal and<br>River Trust and the Applicant on the minimum<br>depth of drilling under the River Trent to be 5m<br>below the river bed on the Cottam Solar Project.<br>This agreement will then be replicated on West<br>Burton and this will be secured through updated<br>protective provisions in the next version of the<br>draft DCO. |
|           |       |       |                         | There are further ongoing discussions with the<br>Canal and River Trust in order to agree bespoke<br>protective provisions just for this Scheme relating<br>to a dredging tip located along the proposed<br>cable route.   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|
| CRT-04    | Principle of<br>Development<br>General<br>(Procedural) | Shared Cable<br>Route Corridor<br>Cumulative<br>Development | "We note that in the Application document<br>WB6.2.2 (ES Chapter 2 EIA Process and<br>Methodology), paragraph 2.5.12, the applicant<br>states that they are working on Package 5A and<br>5B) with Gate Burton Energy Park (EN010131,<br>accepted for examination on 22 February) and<br>Cottam Solar Project (EN010133, application<br>submitted on 12 January). The Trust is also aware<br>of the Tillbridge Solar Farm (EN010142) which is<br>at an early stage (application due Q4 2023) but is<br>proposing a cable crossing at a similar location on<br>the river. We welcome a joint working approach<br>with all these schemes to ensure efficiency in the<br>consenting process and to limit the potential for<br>short and long term economic, environmental<br>and social impacts on the navigation and its<br>users. Ideally the proposals for the crossing of the<br>River Trent should minimise the timescale of<br>construction impacts on the locality as would be<br>achieved through Cumulative Scenario 1 in<br>paragraph 2.5.14 of Application document<br>WB6.2.2 (ES Chapter 2 EIA Process and<br>Methodology)." | To minimise impacts, the Cottam Solar Project,<br>Gate Burton Energy Park and West Burton Solar<br>Project have proposed a shared cable corridor<br>route, and are progressing collaborative work on<br>this matter. Paragraph 4.3.8 of <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042]</b> sets out the Applicant's<br>commitments and the proposed commitments of<br>the promoters of the other local schemes to joint<br>mitigation. |
| CRT-05    | The<br>Representation                                  | Contents of the<br>CRT's<br>Representation                  | "The representations made here are without<br>prejudice to any further or amended<br>representations which the Trust may make<br>following a comprehensive review of the<br>Application as part of the examination process. In  | The Applicant notes this comment and will address the representation points in turn below.  |



| Theme                                | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
|                                      |   | this letter, the Trust makes representations on the following:  |   |
|                                      |   | <ul> <li>The draft Development Consent Order<br/>(DCO) and Protective Provisions for the<br/>Trust;</li> </ul>  |   |
|                                      |   | • The Trust's Third-Party Works Code of<br>Practice;  |   |
|                                      |   | <ul> <li>Discharge of water into, and prevention<br/>of siltation etc. of, the river Noise &amp;<br/>Vibration;</li> </ul>  |   |
|                                      |   | • Ecology & Biodiversity in the river;  |   |
|                                      |   | <ul> <li>Lighting during construction;</li> </ul>   |   |
|                                      |   | • Landscape and Visual Impact; and  |   |
|                                      |   | • Use of River Trent for Works Traffic."  |   |
| Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO and<br>Protective<br>Provisions for<br>CRT. | "There are a number of provisions within the<br>draft DCO which would impact the Trust as<br>navigation authority for the River Trent. The draft<br>DCO was not shared with the Trust as part of a<br>pre-application consultation. On first review, we<br>have concerns with article 16 (discharge of water);<br>article 19 (authority to survey and investigate<br>land); article 20 (compulsory acquisition of land);<br>article 22 (compulsory acquisition of rights),<br>article 25 (acquisition of subsoil); article 30<br>(temporary use of land); article 31 (statutory | The Applicant notes that, in compliance with<br>Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008, the Canal<br>and Rivers Trust were contacted on 15 June 2022<br>and provided a link to a copy of the PEIR for<br>consultation.<br>The Applicant's undertaking of statutory<br>consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act<br>is described in Chapter 9 of <b>5.1 Consultation</b><br><b>Report [APP-022]</b> .<br>A response from the Trust was received on 25 July<br>2022 and considered by the Applicant (see <b>5.13</b>   |
|                                      | Development   | Development Protective<br>(DCO) Provisions for  | the following:The draft Development Consent Order<br>(DCO) and Protective Provisions for the<br>Trust;The Trust's Third-Party Works Code of<br>Practice;Discharge of water into, and prevention<br>of siltation etc. of, the river Noise &<br>Vibration;Ecology & Biodiversity in the river;<br>Lighting during construction;<br>Landscape and Visual Impact; and<br>Use of River Trent for Works Traffic."Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)Draft DCO and<br>Protective<br>Provisions for<br>CRT."There are a number of provisions within the<br>draft DCO which would impact the Trust as<br>navigation authority for the River Trent. The draft<br>DCO was not shared with the Trust as part of a<br>pre-application consultation. On first review, we<br>have concerns with article 16 (discharge of water);<br>article 29 (compulsory acquisition of land);<br>article 22 (compulsory acquisition of land);<br>article 25 (acquisition of subsoil); article 30 |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
|           |                             |                                     | the disapplication of legislation proposed by<br>article 6 and schedule 3 impacts the Trust and<br>have asked the applicant for a summary of the<br>provisions which would be disapplied.<br>The draft DCO does not contain any specific<br>protective provisions for the Trust. The Trust<br>notes that other statutory undertakers have been<br>afforded protective provisions within schedule<br>16. Following the acceptance of the Application<br>for examination, the applicant has indicated in<br>writing separately to the Trust that they would be<br>willing to include protective provisions for the<br>Trust and have invited the Trust to provide draft<br>provisions.<br>To aid the examination we have provided the<br>applicant with a set of protective provisions which<br>would resolve and satisfy our principal concerns.<br>The protective provisions have been adapted<br>from the Keadby 3 (Carbon Capture Equipped<br>Gas Fired Generating Station) Order 2022 (made<br>7 December 2022). A copy of these are appended<br>to this letter. The Trust reserves the ability to add<br>to and amend the draft protective provisions as<br>part of the examination process." | <b>Consultation Report - Appendix 5.13 - Section</b><br><b>42 Applicant Response [APP-037]</b> ).<br>The Applicant did not share a draft DCO with<br>consultees during the Pre-Application stage but<br>has engaged with the Trust on the drafting of<br>protective provisions since the DCO application<br>was accepted.<br>In a letter dated 1 September 2023, the CRT<br>confirmed that the wording of the protective<br>provisions agreed and submitted to Gate Burton<br>examination was agreed across all four solar<br>schemes in the local area, including this Scheme.<br>The letter stated that "<br><i>The Trust will be working on the basis that this<br/>wording is agreed for all projects subject to there<br/>being no changes to the proposed projects, from<br/>what we understand them to be at this point in time,<br/>which could impact the Trust's operations."</i><br>It also noted that " <i>[CRT] expect additional wording<br/>will need to be agreed for the West Burton project<br/>due to the proposed route under the dredging tip.</i> "<br>This is currently being negotiated between the<br>parties. The current draft protective provisions<br>will be added to the draft DCO. |
| CRT-07    | Principle of<br>Development | The Trust's Code<br>of Practice and | "As with other nationally significant infrastructure<br>projects (NSIPs) that include works that interface<br>with the Trust's network, any parts of the Project<br>with the potential to affect the River Trent should   | The Applicant notes CRT's comments relating to inclusion of protective provisions for the benefit  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|---|---|---|
|           |       | Provisions. River Trust Third-Party Works Code of<br>(CoP). DCOs for these NSIPs have inclue<br>express obligation obliging the applica<br>regard to the CoP in the detailed surver<br>construction, and approval of the releven<br>The protective provisions enclosed with | be carried out in accordance with the Canal &<br>River Trust Third-Party Works Code of Practice<br>(CoP). DCOs for these NSIPs have included an<br>express obligation obliging the applicant to have<br>regard to the CoP in the detailed survey. Design,<br>construction, and approval of the relevant works.<br>The protective provisions enclosed with this<br>representation contain appropriate wording.   | of CRT in the DCO and refers CRT to the response<br>to CRT-06 above.<br>From an ecological perspective, the works to be<br>undertaken to install subterranean cables<br>beneath the River Trent will comply with the CRT's<br>Code of Practice through prescriptions that are to<br>be updated within <b>7.17 Outline Ecological</b><br><b>Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EMPS)</b>  |
|           |       |   | The Trust's CoP is designed to safeguard all users<br>of the navigation and to deal with the nuances of<br>developing adjacent to a commercial waterway<br>with an ever-changing tidal riverbed. The extent<br>of potential impacts from development adjacent<br>to, or under, navigational waters could reach far<br>beyond the crossing point proposed. Ensuring<br>that development is appropriately located and<br>controlled on land adjacent to the Trust's network<br>is crucial to limit the potential for risk to users of<br>the river and the associated economic,<br>environmental and social consequences.<br>Through the CoP, developers engage with the<br>Trust's engineers who are specialists in<br>navigational safety the protection and<br>safeguarding of the riverbed and the ecology of<br>the waterway. It is essential that the proposals<br>incorporate appropriate measures to protect the<br>users of the river before, during and after<br>construction for all temporary and permanent<br>works affecting the waterway including surveying | [APP-326].<br>Specifically, in line with Section 7 of the CRT's<br>Code of Practice for Works Affecting the Canal<br>and River Trust Part 1, measures are provided to<br>minimise the potential for impacts on protected<br>species such as otters and water voles, breeding<br>birds and fish and amphibians, principally<br>through the pre-works inspection and during-<br>works supervision by an ecologist following<br>specific protocols.<br>As recommended in Section 2 of the CRT's Code<br>of Practice for Works Affecting the Canal and<br>River Trust Part 2, trenchless techniques are to be<br>used via Horizontal Directional Drilling, which will<br>drastically minimise the potential for physical<br>damage to the waterway (see paragraph 7.2.3<br>[APP-326]).<br>The Outline Strategy is secured through<br>Requirement 8 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------|---|--|
|           |  |                             | <ul> <li>and sampling within the waterway. Engaging with the Trust's engineers ensures the appropriate measures are taken.</li> <li>The protective provisions and use of the CoP will deal with all of the Trust's concerns relating to: <ul> <li>Horizontal Directional Drilling and surveys;</li> <li>Discharge of water into, and prevention of siltation etc. of, the river;</li> <li>Noise &amp; Vibration;</li> <li>Ecology &amp; Biodiversity in the river;</li> <li>Lighting during construction;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  | [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A], which provides that<br>"No part of the authorised development may<br>commence until a written ecological protection<br>and mitigation strategy has been submitted to<br>and approved by the relevant planning authority<br>for that part or, where the phase falls within the<br>administrative areas of multiple relevant planning<br>authorities, each of the relevant planning<br>authorities."<br>The Applicant therefore confirms that the<br>detailed EPMS will ensure that the Scheme is<br>constructed in compliance with the Canal & River<br>Trust's Third-Party Works Code of Practice (CoP). |
|           |  |                             | <ul><li>Landscape &amp; Visual Impact; and</li><li>Use of River Trent for Works Traffic."</li></ul>   |  |
| CRT-08    | Principle of<br>Development<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Ground<br>Conditions and<br>Contamination<br>Transport and<br>Access | Works Packages<br>5A and 5B | In terms of Works packages 5A and 5B, relating to<br>the cable crossing of the River Trent, we welcome<br>that this would be undertaken via trenchless<br>techniques with the Crossing Schedule<br>confirming that the crossing beneath the River<br>Trent is proposed by Horizontal Directional<br>Drilling (HDD). Unfortunately, Sheet 7 of the<br>Crossing Schedule plans does not appear to be<br>available at this time on the PINS website, with<br>only Sheets 1 to 4 of 10 showing at the end of the<br>Crossing Schedule document. Sheet 7 would<br>show the referenced details of the River Trent | The Applicant is aware of the omission of Sheets<br>5-10 of the Crossing Schedule. As such, the<br>Applicant has submitted <b>WB7.15_A Crossing</b><br><b>Schedule Revision A [AS-001]</b> alongside<br>submissions in response to Section 51 advice<br><b>[PD-003]</b> on 3 <sup>rd</sup> August 2023. The Applicant<br>therefore invites the Canal and River Trust to<br>review the amended documents and provide<br>updated comments in respect of the information<br>now provided.   |



| Reference | Theme                  | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|------------------------|-------|--|---|
|           | Noise and<br>Vibration |       | crossing and the proximity of the cable route to<br>the hedgerow (H146 on the Important<br>Hedgerows Plan, Application document WB2.9)<br>along the southern boundary of the dredging tip<br>site and its L shape bund to the north side of this<br>hedgerow which lie within Works package 5A.<br>This L shape bund intersects with the EA flood<br>bank at the northwest and southeast of our land<br>ownership. The bund and dredging tip will need<br>to be included within the Crossing Schedule if the<br>cable route or its associated ground works are to<br>intersect with these Trust assets.<br>Whilst HDD methods are proposed, we can find<br>no reference to the proposed depth of the drilling<br>beneath the river bed as was provided with the<br>Cottam and Gate Burton projects. Survey would<br>therefore appear a necessary precaution to<br>establish the geological substrate and depth of<br>riverbed silt in order to calculate an appropriate<br>depth for HDD beneath the tidal waters of the<br>River Trent to prevent sediment mobilisation. This<br>would inform the design process and prevent the<br>mobilisation of silt from the riverbed which would<br>have potentially detrimental impacts on the<br>navigational safety of the River Trent and its<br>ecology.<br>We look forward to ensuring that all survey work<br>of the River Trent, including ground investigations<br>carried out with full consideration for | The Applicant refers the Canal and River Trust to<br>para. 4.5.44 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental Statement</b> -<br><b>Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-042]</b> , which<br>describes parameters of the Horizontal<br>Directional Drilling (HDD) across the River Trent,<br>where the maximum depth of HDD has been set<br>out at 25m. As explained therein, the maximum<br>HDD depth of 25m below ground level has taken<br>account of the water surface level being up to 6<br>metres below the river bank level; the surface<br>water level being up to 5 metres deep to the silt<br>level and the silt level likely being 1 metre deep<br>before the river bed level. With the average<br>depths for a HDD being 3m below the river bed<br>level this leads to an assumed HDD at 15 meters<br>below river bank level. The maximum HDD depth<br>of 25 metres below ground level is considered to<br>offer some flexibility to account for variation in<br>depths. Agreement has now been reached<br>between the Canal and River Trust and the<br>Applicant on the minimum depth of drilling under<br>the River Trent to be 5m below the river bed on<br>the Cottam Solar Project. This agreement will<br>then be replicated on West Burton and this will<br>be secured through updated protective<br>provisions in the next version of the draft DCO.<br>Notwithstanding the above and in noting the<br>Applicant's precautionary approach in relation to<br>the potential for sediment release, as detailed |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|--|
|           |       |       | navigational safety within this commercial<br>waterway and reviewing the technical drawings of<br>the project in relation to the riverbed. The<br>dredging tip site should also be fully surveyed<br>and protected as an operational asset. We<br>propose that this would be in accordance with<br>the mechanisms contained in the protective<br>provisions. Similarly, we look forward to working<br>with the applicant in relation to the launch and<br>reception areas for the river crossing, ensuring<br>appropriate measures are put in place to protect<br>and safeguard our assets, particularly in relation<br>to the dredging tip and its bund. The dredging tip<br>is the subject of an environmental permit and the<br>Trust will need to be satisfied that the proposed<br>works would not cause any of the conditions of<br>that permit to be breached. | <ul> <li>within paragraphs 7.2.1 to 7.2.4 of 7.17 Outline</li> <li>Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy</li> <li>[APP-326], the Applicant confirms that the</li> <li>working parameters around crossing the River</li> <li>Trent will depend on the results of ground</li> <li>investigations which will inform the detailed</li> <li>design process.</li> <li>The results of the proposed survey and ground</li> <li>investigations will be reviewed by an ecologist to</li> <li>ensure that they are appropriate for the</li> <li>minimisation of potential disturbance to riparian</li> <li>wildlife. Furthermore, the exact siting of the</li> <li>proposed cable installation (specifically, the entry</li> <li>and exit pits and riverbank beneath the crossing</li> <li>line) will be inspected for the potential presence</li> <li>of protected species, such as otter, water vole</li> <li>and nesting birds, as set out in Section 7 of 7.17</li> <li>Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation</li> </ul> |
|           |       |       |   | The Outline Strategy is secured through<br>Requirement 8 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A], which provides that<br>"No part of the authorised development may<br>commence until a written ecological protection<br>and mitigation strategy has been submitted to<br>and approved by the relevant planning authority<br>for that part or, where the phase falls within the<br>administrative areas of multiple relevant planning   |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
|           |                             |   |   | authorities, each of the relevant planning authorities."   |
|           |                             |   |   | Please see the response to CRT-06 above<br>regarding progress on the protective provisions<br>which will be included in the next version of the<br>draft DCO.  |
| CRT-09    | Principle of<br>Development | Construction<br>Impacts on the<br>River Trent               | "The Trust welcomes measures in the Application<br>documents WB7.1 (Outline Construction<br>Environmental Management Plan) and WB7.17<br>(Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation<br>Strategy (EPMS)) which seek to prevent silt and<br>contaminants entering watercourses through the<br>use of sediment/silt traps/temporary dams and<br>engineers overseeing HDD works to ensure an<br>adequate depth is used. We consider the<br>proposed power in the draft DCO for the<br>undertaker to discharge water should, in respect<br>of the River Trent, be subject to the Trust's<br>consent and this, is provided for in the draft<br>protective provisions." | The Applicant notes this comment regarding the<br>measures that are captured within <b>7.1_A Outline</b><br><b>Construction Environmental Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> and<br><b>7.17 Outline Ecological Protection and</b><br><b>Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b> . Preparation and<br>approval of the final version of these plans is<br>secured in Requirement 13 and Requirement 8<br>respectively of Schedule 2 to the <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .<br>The Applicant notes CRT's comments relating to<br>inclusion of protective provisions dealing with the<br>discharge of water and refers CRT to the<br>response to CRT-06 above. |
| CRT-10    | Noise and<br>Vibration      | Noise and<br>Vibration<br>Monitoring for<br>the River Trent | "In response to the Trust's pre-application<br>comments regarding noise and vibration as they<br>affect the River Trent, the Trust welcomes that<br>noise monitoring is proposed as set out in the<br>Application document WB7.1 (Outline   | The Applicant notes the CRT's welcoming of the<br>noise monitoring requirements as set out in<br>7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental<br>Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A], as secured through  |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                             | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
|           |                             |                                   | Construction Environmental Management Plan).<br>We note that this document does not refer to<br>navigational safety either with regards to noise,<br>or vibration during the proposed directional   | Requirement 13 of Schedule 2 to the <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |                             |                                   | drilling. These matters should be considered as<br>noise could affect navigational safety and the<br>riverbanks and bed may be adversely affected by<br>vibration causing silt mobilisation. We consider<br>the best means of achieving this is through the<br>attached protective provisions." | This provides that "No part of the authorised<br>development may commence until a construction<br>environmental management plan for that part<br>has been submitted to and approved by the<br>relevant planning authority or, where the part<br>falls within the administrative areas of multiple<br>relevant planning authorities, each of the relevant<br>planning authorities". It further provides that "The<br>construction environmental management plan<br>must be substantially in accordance with the<br>outline construction environmental management<br>plan." |
|           |                             |                                   |   | The Applicant confirms that details regarding the<br>navigational safety along the River Trent in<br>relation to noise and vibration during horizontal<br>directional drilling will be managed through the<br>requirements of the detailed CEMP which will<br>ensure compliance with the Canal & River Trust<br>Third-Party Works Code of Practice (CoP).   |
| CRT-11    | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Drilling under the<br>River Trent | In response to the Trust's previous advice the<br>Applicant in application document WB5.13<br>(Consultation Report Appendix 5.13: Section 42<br>Applicant Response) notes that additional<br>measures regarding the need to liaise with the<br>Trust prior to finalisation of or undertaking of | Provision is made in <b>7.17 Outline Ecological</b><br><b>Protection and Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b><br>(specifically through Section 7) for the survey of<br>candidate or proposed HDD entry and exit pits<br>and associated bankside habitats in advance of<br>the commencement of cable installation works at  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | drilling beneath the River Trent and refers to<br>application document WB7.17 EPMS). Paragraph<br>8.2.3 of WB7.17 does not specifically mention the<br>Trust whereas application document WB6.2.9<br>(Chapter 9 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the<br>Environmental Statement) does mention the IDB<br>in a similar capacity to that which the Trust are<br>requesting.<br>The Application document WB6.2.9 (ES Chapter 9:<br>Ecology and Biodiversity), in particular paragraph<br>9.7.214, notes that the potential for release of<br>sediment during drilling operations will be<br>minimised by careful siting of drilling entry and<br>exit pits, suitable depth control and visual<br>monitoring. We consider the best means of<br>ensuring that the survey, design and construction<br>methodology protects the ecology of the<br>waterway from sediment release during<br>directional drilling beneath the River Trent is<br>through the attached protective provisions.<br>The Trust recognises the methodology for the<br>protection of biodiversity and ecology found on<br>our dredging tips adjacent to Works packages 5A<br>and 5B and welcomes further survey work on this<br>land to further inform the Applicant of necessary<br>mitigation measures in respect of these works<br>packages. The Trust would be able to consider | the River Trent. The advice provided by the CRT<br>here, as well as the protective provisions, is<br>acknowledged and will be incorporated as<br>appropriate into working protocols. Agreement<br>has now been reached between the Canal and<br>River Trust and the Applicant on the minimum<br>depth of drilling under the River Trent to be 5m<br>below the river bed on the Cottam Solar Project.<br>This agreement will then be replicated on West<br>Burton and this will be secured through updated<br>protective provisions in the next version of the<br>draft DCO.<br>Requirement 8 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[ <b>EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A</b> ] provides that "No part<br>of the authorised development may commence<br>until a written ecological protection and<br>mitigation strategy has been submitted to and<br>approved by the relevant planning authority for<br>that part or, where the phase falls within the<br>administrative areas of multiple relevant planning<br>authorities." |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                           | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                                 | the detailed design of those works through the CoP and protective provisions.   |  |
| CRT-12    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Lighting during<br>Construction | The Application document WB7.17 (EPMS) notes<br>that lighting impacts on retained habitats, bats<br>and freshwater fish are reduced through<br>measures to minimise the need for lighting and<br>the timing of its usage, during all project phases.<br>The EMPS, at paragraph 5.2.2, confirms that no<br>artificial lighting will be employed during works to<br>cross the River Trent and the Trust supports this<br>approach, which will also assist with navigational<br>safety of the River Trent as a commercial<br>waterway. We consider the best means of<br>ensuring navigational safety is not affected by site<br>lighting is through the attached protective<br>provisions. | <ul> <li>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-046] (the 'LVIA') takes account of lighting and sets out (Table 8.49) that lighting "Will be limited to downlights within substations and energy storage areas only and used when maintenance or security is required. All visible lighting would be 50W, installed at a maximum height of 4m with cowls fitted to prevent light spillage. There will be no lighting on perimeter fencing".</li> <li>The LVIA [APP-046] considers that for some aspects of the Scheme (the construction stages in particular), the visual effects of lighting may be an issue. During operation stages, the LVIA sets out (Table 8.49) that mitigation would assist "New planting along the boundary of substations and energy storage areas to filter the presence in the landscape and provide softening and screening".</li> <li>The Applicant confirms that the measures to ensure lighting impacts do not impact upon navigational safety are to be upheld through the protective provisions set out in 7.17 Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy [APP-326], which is secured through Requirement 8 of Schedule 2 in 3.1 A Draft Development</li> </ul> |



| Reference | Theme                                      | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
|           |  |   |  | Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |  |   |  | Please also refer to response CRT-06 above regarding protective provisions.  |
| CRT-13    | Landscape and<br>Visual<br>Glint and Glare | Navigational<br>Safety along the<br>River Trent | "The Trust is satisfied that the applicant has<br>considered the impact of the solar panels on the<br>navigational safety of the River Trent in<br>Application documents WB6.2.16 (ES Chapter 16:<br>Glint & Glare) and WB6.3.16.1 (Appendix 16.1:<br>Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study). This<br>concludes that distance, topography and<br>vegetation would screen the proposed panels<br>from view from the River Trent as a commercial<br>waterway and leisure boating route.                 | The Applicant notes this comment.<br>The Applicant notes the Trust's comments<br>relating to inclusion of protective provisions<br>dealing with any works that may affect the<br>navigational safety and ecology of the waterway<br>and refers the Trust to response CRT-06 above. |
|           |  |   | In terms of visual impact additional views from<br>the Trent Valley Way (located on top of the<br>western EA flood bank) are provided in<br>Application document WB6.3.8.3 (ES Landscape<br>and Visual Impact Assessment Appendix 8.3.1.3:<br>Viewpoint Non-Significant) on pages 35-42 and<br>describes the impact of the project as not<br>significant. The impact as viewed from the lower<br>water by our leisure users would be further<br>mitigated by the increase in topographical<br>screening. |  |
|           |  |   | Application document WB6.2.8 (ES Chapter 8:<br>Landscape and Visual impact) states that no<br>permanent above ground structures are  |  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|
|           |  |   | proposed on either side of the River Trent as part<br>of the directionally drilled cable crossing and that<br>during the construction period there are likely to<br>be temporary construction compounds that will<br>be removed on completion of the works. We<br>consider the best means of considering the<br>impact of temporary constructions on<br>navigational safety and ecology of the waterway<br>is through the attached protective provisions."  |   |
| CRT-14    | Principle of<br>Development<br>Transport and<br>Access | Works Traffic<br>along the River<br>Trent | We note that the use of the River Trent for the<br>transportation of freight to site is considered<br>within Application document WB6.2.14 (ES<br>Chapter 14: Transport and Access) and WB6.3.14<br>(ES Appendix 14.2: Construction Traffic<br>Management Plan) where Figure 6.1: Abnormal<br>Loads, on page 20, shows that the existing<br>Cottam wharf lies on the west bank of the River<br>Trent and the abnormal loads are proposed to be<br>delivered only to sites on the east side of the<br>river. The Trust is satisfied that this explains the<br>current approach, but would wish to ensure,<br>through the protective provisions, that if the<br>waterway is proposed for commercial use in<br>connection with the Project the Trust would have<br>oversight of this. For instance, a river crossing by<br>boat might be desired for monitoring/ease of<br>communications between the two sides of the<br>River Trent during construction (the distance by<br>road is approximately 14 miles), which would | As set out in paragraph 6.7 of the <b>.3.14.2_A</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2<br>Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A],<br>abnormal loads will be delivered to the<br>Immingham Docks. From here they will use the<br>A160, A180 and M180 to reach the A15 and<br>subsequent local access roads to reach the Site.<br>At this stage, it is not expected that the waterway<br>beyond Immingham Docks will be used to<br>transport equipment to the Site. However, if this<br>approach changes, the Trust will be informed.<br>The protective provisions described in CRT-06 above<br>control "specified works" undertaken for the<br>purposes of the Scheme, including use of the river. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|----------------------|
|           |       |       | need temporary jetties and an agreed method for crossing the main navigational route. |                      |

# Table 2.3.5: Applicant's Response to Cllr Richard Butroid [RR-055]

| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| CRB-01    | Soils and<br>Agriculture<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health / Major<br>Accidents and<br>Disasters)<br>Air Quality<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Socio-<br>Economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Direct Impacts as<br>a Result of the<br>Scheme | "The main issues are, loss of farmland, impact on<br>wildlife due to fencing of the site, concerns over<br>battery storage and fire, loss of views and the<br>feeling of being part of the countryside, and the<br>effect this will have on mental health of<br>residents." | The land included in the Scheme covers 4 farm<br>businesses, all of which are owner occupiers of<br>the land within the Sites. This is detailed in full in<br>para. 7.1.2 to 7.1.29 of <b>6.3.19.1 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 19.1 Agricultural Land</b><br><b>Quality, Soil Resources and Farming</b><br><b>Circumstances Report [APP-137]</b> .<br>Resultingly, the Scheme is anticipated to lead to a<br>maximum loss of approximately 13 full-time<br>equivalent agriculture jobs, as stated in<br>paragraph 18.7.15 of document <b>6.2.18</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio</b><br><b>Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> .<br>The Scheme is estimated to employ 6 full-time<br>equivalent employees from the local area during<br>operation; see Table 18.16. The net change in<br>employment in the local area (defined as West<br>Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts) during the<br>Scheme's operational life is a loss of<br>approximately 2 full-time jobs, once<br>consideration of direct, indirect and induced |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | employment, and impacts on the tourism and<br>recreation industry are considered (see para.<br>18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to the<br>local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per year<br>(see para. 18.7.99).  |
|           |       |       |                         | As the Scheme will be decommissioned there will<br>not be a permanent loss of agricultural land<br>resource. Furthermore, the majority of the<br>agricultural land can remain in productive use<br>through the operational period, through uses<br>such as being grazed by livestock. (see Section<br>19.10 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-056]</b> ).  |
|           |       |       |                         | The impact on wildlife resulting from the erection<br>of fencing has been assessed within <b>6.2.9</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology</b><br><b>and Biodiversity [APP-047].</b> The majority of<br>animal species such as invertebrates, reptiles,<br>birds, small mammals, larger mammals such as<br>badgers, hedgehogs, polecats and hares will not<br>be impeded by fencing as they will be able to<br>pass under, over or through the fencing and will<br>be able to freely move through the operational<br>sites. An impact on the movement of deer is likely<br>(see paragraph 9.6.5 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental</b> |
|           |       |       |                         | Statement - Chapter 9: Ecology and<br>Biodiversity [APP-047]), although it is<br>acknowledged from the ecological monitoring of<br>numerous active solar schemes that deer are  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | regularly noted within the fenced areas having exploited locations of undulating terrain and other opportunities for entry.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Human health and other environmental impacts<br>resulting from plumes from potential battery fires<br>have been initially assessed in <b>6.2.17</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 17 Air</b><br><b>Quality [APP-055]</b> and are proposed to be<br>supplemented by additional information during<br>the examination process. The Applicant has been<br>in discussions with UKHSA and will be providing a<br>supplementary information regarding air quality<br>impacts resulting from solar panel and BESS fires<br>to address this issue which is to be submitted by<br>Deadline 1. |
|           |       |       |                         | The supplementary information will include the following:  |
|           |       |       |                         | Further discussions were held with the UKHSA in<br>a meeting on 8th June 2023. During the meeting it<br>was agreed that (1) there is currently no policy,<br>legislation, or guidance which provides clarity on<br>the methodology for undertaking a Battery<br>Energy Storage System (BESS) Fire Risk<br>Assessment, and (2) there is currently no policy,<br>legislation, or guidance which provides clarity on<br>the methodology for undertaking a Solar Panel<br>Fire Modelling Assessment.   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | However, it was agreed with the UKHSA that the following approach is considered appropriate:   |
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>Undertake an additional Solar Panel Fire<br/>Modelling Assessment using ADMS software<br/>to determine pollutant levels of Particulate<br/>Matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) at sensitive<br/>receptors. The report will include details of<br/>the justification of the assessment<br/>methodologies. The predicted pollutant<br/>concentrations at receptor locations will be<br/>assessed and compared against UK Air<br/>Quality Standards; and</li> </ul>                            |
|           |       |       |                         | • Undertake a BESS Fire Risk Assessment using AERMOD dispersion model software to determine pollutant levels of NO <sub>2</sub> , HCI, HF, and Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> and PM <sub>2.5</sub> ). The report will include details of the justification of the assessment methodologies. The predicted pollutant concentrations at receptor locations will be assessed and compared against UK Air Quality Standards, occupational exposure limits (OELs) and the UK Daily Air Quality Index (DAQI). |
|           |       |       |                         | A BESS fire action plan, which includes good<br>practice safety measures, will be produced to be<br>implemented in the case of a BESS Fire.  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | The BESS fire action plan will include the following:   |
|           |       |       |                         | "The site manager/fire safety representative will need<br>to assess the fire location(s), wind directions and<br>surrounding receptors. The site manager/fire safety<br>representative will take appropriate actions<br>accordingly. The actions to be taken include: |
|           |       |       |                         | (1) to inform any potentially affected residents,<br>especially those that are located at downwind<br>locations to the BESS fire;   |
|           |       |       |                         | (2) to cancel outdoor events and keep windows<br>closed for any potentially affected residents,<br>especially those that are located at downwind<br>locations to the BESS fire; and   |
|           |       |       |                         | (3) to stop any farming activities and to move any<br>farmers/workers within a specified distance (to be<br>identified in the fire risk assessment) from the BESS<br>fire to a cleaner air location."   |
|           |       |       |                         | Following the implementation of the Fire Action<br>Plan, there will be no significant risk of harm to<br>human health as a result of fires or unconfined<br>explosions within the BESS compound.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Environmental Statement - Chapter 17 Air<br>Quality [APP-055] includes a full and detailed<br>assessment that deals with air quality impact and<br>effect at nearby sensitive receptors during<br>construction, operation and decommissioning                         |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | phases. The assessment concluded that there are<br>not any likely significant effects on air quality as a<br>result of the Scheme.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Following the implementation of the appropriate site-specific mitigation measures, the significance of the effects from dust and PM <sub>10</sub> emissions associated with the construction works is considered to be 'negligible' at all receptors, which is 'not significant' in EIA terms. This is based on the IAQM Guidance.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Risks to human health as a result of fires or<br>unconfined explosions within the BESS<br>compound are set out in paragraphs 21.6.42 to<br>21.6.48 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 21 Other Environmental Matters</b><br><b>[APP-059]</b> which concludes that there is no<br>significant risk of harm to human health due to<br>the physical separation of the BESS compound<br>from publicly accessible areas.                                       |
|           |       |       |                         | Paragraph 4.1.18 of <b>7.9 Outline Battery Storage</b><br><b>Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b> explains<br>that the design of the BESS has integrated fire<br>detection and suppression systems that will<br>automatically operate to contain battery fires.<br>Paragraph 5.3 <b>[APP-318]</b> states that if fire spreads<br>to multiple units, external firefighting water<br>facilities are available by means of 228,000 litre<br>water storage tanks within the battery |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | compounds. A full Battery Storage Safety<br>Management Plan will be submitted and<br>approved prior to commencement of<br>development as secured through Requirement 6<br>of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
|           |       |       |                         | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] (the 'LVIA') includes a full and detailed<br>assessment that deals with both direct impacts<br>and effects on the landscape itself and on the<br>visual amenity of people. The LVIA process is<br>iterative and as a result, the design of the Scheme<br>changes to respond to the findings of the<br>assessment to ensure that landscape mitigation<br>is fully incorporated into the Scheme.<br>Mitigation, including offsets and planting, is |
|           |       |       |                         | proposed to address and minimise adverse<br>effects on the character and visual amenity of the<br>local landscape. This is in line with the agreed<br>methodology and the hierarchy of approach<br>advocated by the Guidelines for Landscape and<br>Visual Impact Assessment, 3rd Edition and was<br>agreed with Lincolnshire County Council and<br>Nottinghamshire County Council at the series of<br>workshops, as set out in <b>6.3.8.4 Environmental</b>  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | Statement - Appendix 8.4 Consultation [APP-<br>075].  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest<br>level of effect to access, desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities is limited to short- to<br>medium-term moderate adverse effects on long<br>distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way<br>and National Byways) during construction (see<br>Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a<br><b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the<br>only significant effect anticipated, with no greater<br>than moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any<br>other recreational receptor during construction |
|           |       |       |                         | (see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any<br>recreational receptor during operation (see<br>paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and   |
|           |       |       |                         | decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to<br>18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be<br>significant.   |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|---|
|           |                         |                      |  | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].  |
| CRB-02    | General                 | Property Value       | "Reduction in house prices and the ability to sell<br>your home."                    | Property value is not a material consideration in<br>the consideration and determination of DCOs,<br>and has therefore not been assessed.   |
| CRB-03    | Transport and<br>Access | Traffic<br>Movements | "The concerns of excess vehicles and the damage<br>this will do to our road system." | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the<br>application within 6.3.14.2_A Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction<br>Traffic Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A].   |
|           |                         |                      |  | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the<br>management of construction vehicle movements<br>to and from the Scheme, to ensure that the<br>effects of the temporary construction phase on<br>the local highway network are minimised and<br>made acceptable. <b>.3.14.2_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction</b><br><b>Traffic Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> covers: |
|           |                         |                      |  | Construction methodology;   |
|           |                         |                      |  | Site access;  |
|           |                         |                      |  | Construction vehicle trip generation;   |
|           |                         |                      |  | Construction vehicle routing;   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|---|---|
|           |   |                       |   | Abnormal load movement; and   |
|           |   |                       |   | Mitigation and management measures.   |
|           |   |                       |   | By example, measure 'xx' in Section 7 of <b>.3.14.2_A</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2<br>Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] is for<br>a road condition survey. This will ensure that any<br>identified highways defects resulting from<br>construction activities associated with the<br>Scheme will be corrected to the satisfaction of the<br>local highway authority.<br>The Transport Assessment within 6.3.14.1_A<br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1<br>Transport Assessment Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A] provides an<br>assessment of the transport effects of the<br>Scheme and concludes, through paragraphs 11.1<br>to 11.11, that the Scheme is acceptable the<br>perspective of transport effects. |
| CRB-04    | Principle of<br>Development<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Cumulative<br>Impacts | "The final point for now and one of the most<br>important is this application is just one of a<br>number of application in a very small area and<br>the overall affect will be devastating for the area<br>and residents as the whole landscape will be<br>changed for a generation." | The judgements on the likely significant<br>cumulative effects and conclusions for the<br>landscape and visual receptors are set out within<br>Section 8.10 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement</b><br>- Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual Impact<br>Assessment [APP-046] (the 'LVIA'), <b>6.3.8.2</b><br>Environmental Statement – Appendix 8.2<br>Assessment of Potential Landscape Effects<br>[APP-073] and <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental</b>  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | Statement – Appendix 8.3 Assessment of<br>Potential Visual Effects [APP-074].  |
|           |       |       |                         | The assessment of the impacts of the Scheme<br>alongside the proposed Cottam, Gate Burton and<br>Tillbridge Solar concludes that cumulatively the<br>proposals would not result in significant adverse<br>effects on landscape character and visual amenity<br>over an extensive area. |

### Table 2.3.6: Applicant's Response to EDF Energy (Thermal Generation) Limited [RR-077]

| Reference | Theme                 | Issue                              | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| EDF-01    | The<br>Representation | Context of EDF's<br>Representation | "This relevant representation is submitted on<br>behalf of EDF Energy (Thermal Generation)<br>Limited ("EDF") requesting that EDF is treated as<br>an Interested Party for the Examination of the<br>DCO application for the West Burton Solar Project<br>(PINS reference EN010132) (the "Project"). EDF<br>owns West Burton A Power Station, a closed coal-<br>fired power station in close proximity to the<br>proposed Order Limits, through which part of the<br>proposed cable corridor of the Project will run.<br>The station ceased generating in March 2023 and<br>EDF has responsibility for the safe<br>decommissioning and demolition of the power<br>station assets. West Burton A Power Station<br>houses critical third-party live infrastructure, | The Applicant notes this comment and notes that<br>discussions are ongoing with EDF to develop<br>protective provisions to be included within the<br>draft DCO that will protect the EDF's existing<br>rights and infrastructure.<br>The requirement for on-site surveys to ground-<br>truth the location of utilities is established in para<br>21.3.4 and 21.3.5 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 21 Other Environmental</b><br><b>Matters [APP-059]</b> and detailed within Table 3.14<br>of <b>7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]. The production of a<br>detailed CEMP has been secured by Requirement<br>13 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b> |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|
|           |                                      |  | including those of West Burton B CCGT Power<br>Station which remains operational."   | <b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. The Applicant is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme.  |
| EDF-02    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO:<br>Protective<br>Provisions | "While EDF is, in principle, supportive of the<br>Project, it has concerns regarding the interface<br>between the Project and West Burton A Power<br>Station which require to be resolved. The Book of<br>Reference ("BoR") identifies plots 10-183, 10-184<br>and 10-185 (the "EDF Plots") as land owned by<br>EDF over which compulsory acquisition powers to<br>permanently acquire new rights are sought. To<br>safeguard EDF's interests, the safety and integrity<br>of current operations, the ongoing<br>decommissioning, and potential future<br>development, EDF objects to the inclusion of the<br>EDF Plots in the DCO and the compulsory powers<br>in respect of such plots. EDF will require<br>appropriate protection to ensure that the Project<br>does not jeopardise continuing or future<br>operations or site decommissioning and<br>demolition. EDF's rights of access to inspect,<br>maintain, renew and repair such infrastructure<br>must also be maintained at all times and access<br>to inspect and maintain such apparatus must not<br>be restricted." | The Applicant notes this comment and notes that<br>the parties are currently negotiating protective<br>provisions for the protection of EDF's undertaking<br>which will, among other things, maintain EDF's<br>rights of access to inspect, maintain, renew and<br>repair any apparatus that interacts with the<br>Scheme. The Applicant is confident that a form of<br>protective provisions can be agreed with EDF<br>prior to the end of the Examination, which will<br>ensure that EDF's statutory undertaking is not<br>subject to serious detriment as a result of the<br>Scheme. |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
| EDF-03    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters<br>(Telecoms &<br>Utilities) | Existing Third<br>Party Utilities and<br>Infrastructure       | "There is existing third-party critical infrastructure<br>on the site (two Exolum Oil Pipelines, the West<br>Burton B Gas Pipeline, numerous 132Kv and<br>400Kv cables, underground and overground<br>cables owned by National Grid and potable water<br>supplies owned by Severn Trent Water). Any<br>infrastructure or operations associated with the<br>Project must protect this third-party<br>infrastructure and be undertaken in full<br>compliance with the terms of existing legal<br>agreements and obligations." | The requirement for on-site surveys to ground-<br>truth the location of utilities is established in para<br>21.3.4 and 21.3.5 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 21 Other Environmental</b><br><b>Matters [APP-059]</b> and detailed within Table 3.14<br>of <b>7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> . The production of a<br>detailed CEMP has been secured by Requirement<br>13 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . The Applicant is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme, and protective<br>provisions for the benefit of various statutory<br>undertakers and other affected third parties are<br>included in the draft DCO [APP-17]. |
| EDF-04    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)   | Draft DCO:<br>Protective<br>Provisions for<br>Future Projects | "The West Burton A site has also been selected by<br>the UK Atomic Energy Authority for the<br>development of the UK's first Nuclear Fusion<br>Plant, with the potential to yield significant<br>quantities of low carbon energy, generate<br>employment opportunities and encourage<br>investment in the region. EDF wish to facilitate<br>the implementation of this project and other<br>potential future development opportunities at<br>the site. It is therefore imperative that the                                  | The Applicant notes this comment and refers EDF to the response to EDF-02 regarding protective provisions.   |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
|           |                                      |                                     | proposed cable route for the Project does not<br>sterilise development land or detract from future<br>development plans. EDF will require protective<br>provisions to be included within the draft DCO for<br>the Project to ensure that its interests are<br>adequately protected and to ensure compliance<br>with relevant safety, decommissioning and third-<br>party obligations."              |   |
| EDF-05    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | DCO Requirement<br>for Consultation | "Additionally, EDF recommend that a pre-<br>commencement requirement be imposed under<br>the DCO, if granted, related to the approval of the<br>final cable routing and that EDF be a named<br>consultee for subsequent discharge of such a<br>requirement."  | The Applicant disagrees with the request for a<br>pre-commencement requirement to be added to<br>the draft DCO related to the approval of the final<br>cable routing and with EDF as a named consultee.<br>To the extent that the final proposed cable route<br>may affect EDF's assets, the protective provisions<br>are the appropriate mechanism for EDF to<br>approve the detailed design of the cable<br>installation and impose any reasonable<br>requirements that they consider necessary to<br>afford them with sufficient protection. |
| EDF-06    | General                              | Engagement with<br>Applicant        | "EDF is liaising with the Promoter in relation to<br>the proposed route and such protective<br>provisions, along with any supplementary<br>agreements which may be required. EDF reserves<br>the right to make further representations as part<br>of the Examination process but in the meantime<br>will continue to liaise with the Promoter with a<br>view to reaching a satisfactory agreement." | The Applicant notes this comment and welcomes<br>continued liaison with EDF Energy (Thermal<br>Generation) Limited.   |



### Table 2.3.7: Applicant's Response to Forestry Commission [RR-093]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| FC-01     | Site Description  | Woodland within<br>or Bounding the<br>Order Limits | "We note that there is no woodland within the<br>site proposed site, however there are several<br>small woodlands along the boundary of the site."   | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| FC-02     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity    | Offsets to Existing<br>Woodland                    | "Although is stated in Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity<br>Net Gain Report, section 4.2.5 that "All woodland<br>within the Sites will be retained with no change. A<br>minimum of 20m ecological buffer will be<br>incorporated between the footprint of the solar<br>array and the woodland edge."                | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| FC-03     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Design of<br>Boundary<br>Treatment                 | "We also note the proposed woodland shelter<br>planting, at several points around the site and the<br>proposed post and wire fencing. If the trees are<br>to be directly adjacent to the fence, we have<br>concerns about wildlife including hedgehogs or<br>birds potentially becoming stuck in the fence." | The effects associated with the proposed fencing<br>have been taken into consideration in the<br>assessment of both the landscape and visual<br>effects, which is set out within <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br>[APP-046] (the 'LVIA'). The detailed assessment<br>information can be found within the individual<br>receptor sheets at <b>6.3.8.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.2 Assessment of</b><br><b>Potential Landscape Effects [APP-073]</b> and<br><b>6.3.8.3 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br/>8.3 Assessment of Potential Visual Effects</b><br>[APP-074]. The assessment has taken account of<br>the heights and location and positioned the<br>fencing within the Scheme to ensure the best<br>possible fit with the landscape. The photography<br>and photomontage information at <b>6.4.8.13.1-</b> |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | 6.4.8.13.72 Environmental Statement - Figures<br>8.13.1-72 [APP-194 to APP-265] shows how the<br>fencing is integrated. For example, 6.4.8.13.26<br>Environmental Statement - Figure 8.13.26<br>Viewpoint 26 Photography and Photomontage<br>[APP-219] shows the fencing set back from the<br>existing highway to allow for proposed thickening<br>and growth of the new hedgerow and how the<br>design reduces its prominence in the context of<br>the panels and other infrastructure.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The design also takes account of the spatial<br>legibility for grazing and the migration of animal<br>species since the fence comprises an open<br>permeable mesh of a design that promotes the<br>passage of animals through and across its<br>bounds.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The impact on wildlife resulting from the erection<br>of fencing has been assessed within <b>6.2.9</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology</b><br><b>and Biodiversity [APP-047].</b> The majority of<br>animal species such as invertebrates, reptiles,<br>birds, small mammals, larger mammals such as<br>badgers, hedgehogs, polecats and hares will not<br>be impeded by fencing as they will be able to<br>pass under, over or through the fencing and will<br>be able to freely move through the operational<br>sites. An impact on the movement of deer is likely<br>(see paragraph 9.6.5 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 9: Ecology and</b> |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                        | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|------------------------------|---|---|
|           |   |                              |   | <b>Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> ), although it is<br>acknowledged from the ecological monitoring of<br>numerous active solar schemes that deer are<br>regularly noted within the fenced areas having<br>exploited locations of undulating terrain and<br>other opportunities for entry.   |
| FC-04     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Proposed<br>Planting Species | "Shown on Figure 8.18.1 – Landscape and Ecology<br>Mitigation and Enhancement Measures – West<br>Burton 1, we have concerns about the proposed<br>Miscanthus planting behind the woodland shelter<br>belt and proposed successional scrub along the<br>edge of the development by Broxholme/Grange<br>Farm. We would suggest this is changed for a<br>native species such as a Deschampsia variety<br>rather than the non native Miscanthus." | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] (the 'LVIA') sets out (Table 8.49) how<br>the new planting is designed to reflect landscape<br>character and policy expectations using a palette<br>of native tree and shrub species that are<br>appropriate to the location. It is therefore<br>reasonable not to change Miscanthus for<br>Deschampsia on the basis that within the LVIA, the<br>mitigation measures are designed to reflect the<br>agricultural character of the area. Although non- |
|           |   |                              |   | native, Miscanthus forms a common feature and is<br>already present in the locality. Furthermore,<br>Miscanthus giganteus can reach up to 3 to 4 meters<br>in height it helps improve soil quality, reduce soil<br>erosion and would not look out of place. By<br>comparison, Deschampsia cespitosa (tufted<br>hairgrass), although native to the UK, is commonly<br>found in boggy, acidic conditions and only grows to<br>1.5m tall.  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
|           |   |  |  |  |
| FC-05     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Resilience to<br>Climate Change<br>and Assurance of<br>Biosecurity | "Species and provenance of new trees and<br>woodland will also need to be considered to<br>establish a more resilient treescape which can<br>cope with the full implications of a changing<br>climate. When planting new trees and woodland,<br>ensure that biosecurity is robust to avoid the<br>introduction of pests and diseases." | The Applicant accepts that plant health and<br>security issues present a major threat to<br>ecosystem resilience.<br><b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') sets out (Table 8.49) how<br>the new planting is designed to reflect landscape<br>character and policy expectations using a palette<br>of native tree and shrub species that are<br>appropriate to the location.<br>The Landscape Institute (LI) has published a new<br>plant health and biosecurity toolkit for landscape<br>consultants to prioritise action against pests and<br>diseases that threaten crops, trees and<br>landscapes in every part of the supply chain. This<br>biosecurity best practice is designed to be<br>embedded in every stage of a project, from<br>landscape assessment through to management.<br>Adherence to this is set out within <b>7.3 A Outline</b><br><b>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3 A]</b> (the<br>'oLEMP') and will be secured through Requirement<br><b>7</b> in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1</b> A Draft Development<br><u>Consent Order Revision A</u> |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. Please refer to paras<br>4.3.12, 4.8.1, 4.8.2 and 4.9.5 of the oLEMP, which<br>promotes appropriate management of all<br>hedgerows within the Site especially those<br>damaged as a result of the drift of<br>herbicides/pesticides. |

### Table 2.3.8: Applicant's Response to National Grid Electricity Distribution (East Midlands) [RR-230]

| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| NGED-01   | The<br>Representation  | Context of<br>NGED's<br>Representation                       | "NGED is the licensed distribution network<br>operator under Section 6 Electricity Act 1989 (the<br>"EA 1989") for the area in which the West Burton<br>Solar Project DCO 202* (the "Order") is proposed<br>to have effect. Section 9 of the EA 1989 places a<br>duty on NGED as the electricity distributor to<br>develop and maintain an efficient, co-ordinated<br>and economical system of electricity distribution." | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| NGED-02   | Site Description<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters<br>(Telecoms &<br>Utilities) | Impacts of NGED<br>Land and Assets<br>within Order<br>Limits | "The application includes land in or upon which<br>NGED may have assets and which may include<br>(but are not limited to) high voltage electricity<br>cables. NGED is currently reviewing the draft<br>Order setting out the Authorised Development to<br>establish the extent to which their apparatus and<br>interests are affected."   | The Applicant notes this comment.<br>The requirement for on-site surveys to ground-<br>truth the location of utilities is established in para<br>21.3.4 and 21.3.5 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 21 Other Environmental</b><br><b>Matters [APP-059]</b> and detailed within Table 3.14<br>of <b>7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> . The production of a<br>detailed CEMP has been secured by Requirement |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|
|           |                                      |  |   | 13 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . The Applicant is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme.   |
| NGED-03   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO:<br>Protective<br>Provisions | "While NGED will continue to seek to have<br>positive engagement with the applicant in<br>relation to the project, NGED needs to ensure<br>that the wider powers being sought in the Order<br>will not have a detrimental impact on NGED's<br>electricity network and its duties under the EA<br>1989. This includes ensuring acceptable terms of<br>any proposed protective provisions." | The Applicant notes this comment, and is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme.<br>The Applicant is negotiating protective provisions<br>with NGED to ensure that its statutory<br>undertaking is not subject to serious detriment as<br>a result of the Scheme. Draft protective<br>provisions are included in Part 4 of Schedule 16<br>to the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A],</b> and these<br>include various provisions designed to protect<br>NGED's undertaking. The Applicant is confident<br>that agreement can be reached with NGED prior<br>to the close of the Examination. |
| NGED-04   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO:<br>Protective<br>Provisions | "NGED is therefore making this representation as<br>a holding objection to the application until an<br>asset protection arrangement has been agreed<br>between the parties. No formal agreement has   | The Applicant notes this comment and looks<br>forward to working with NGED to agree a suitable<br>asset protection arrangement as the Examination<br>progresses. The Applicant refers NGED to its  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response                                       |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|--|
|           |       |       | yet been concluded and accordingly we are<br>lodging this representation to protect NGED's<br>position pending conclusion of an appropriate<br>agreement. Once NGED is satisfied that its<br>network is protected, we will notify the Planning<br>Inspectorate promptly and withdraw the<br>objection." | response at NGED-03 above regarding protective provisions. |

## Table 2.3.9: Applicant's Response to National Grid Electricity Transmission [RR-231]

| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                      | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| NGET-01   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | National Grid<br>Apparatus | "This relevant representation is submitted on<br>behalf of National Grid Electricity Transmission<br>Plc ("National Grid") in respect of the Project, and<br>in particular National Grid's infrastructure and<br>land which is within or in close proximity to the<br>proposed Order Limits. National Grid will require<br>appropriate protection for retained apparatus<br>including compliance with relevant standards for<br>works proposed within close proximity of its<br>apparatus." | The Applicant notes this comment, and is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme.<br>The Applicant is negotiating protective provisions<br>with NGET to ensure that its statutory<br>undertaking is not subject to serious detriment as<br>a result of the Scheme. Draft protective<br>provisions are included in Part 3 of Schedule 16<br>to the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A],</b> and these<br>include various provisions designed to protect<br>NGET's undertaking. The Applicant is confident<br>that agreement can be reached with NGET prior<br>to the close of the Examination. |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
| NGET-02   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)                                      | Rights of Access   | "National Grid's rights of access to inspect,<br>maintain, renew and repair such apparatus must<br>also be maintained at all times and access to<br>inspect and maintain such apparatus must not be<br>restricted."   | As noted at NGET-01, the Applicant is negotiating<br>protective provisions with NGET to ensure that its<br>statutory undertaking is not subject to serious<br>detriment as a result of the Scheme. Draft<br>protective provisions are included in Part 3 of<br>Schedule 16 to the <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A],</b> and these include<br>rights of access for NGET to inspect, maintain,<br>renew and repair any apparatus that interfaces<br>with the Scheme.         |
| NGET-03   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)                                      | Acquisition of<br>Land and/or<br>Rights  | "Further, where the Applicant intends to acquire<br>land or rights, or interfere with any of National<br>Grid's interests in land or National Grid's<br>apparatus, National Grid will require appropriate<br>protection and further discussion is required on<br>the impact to its apparatus and rights."   | As noted at NGET-01, the Applicant is negotiating<br>protective provisions with NGET to ensure that its<br>statutory undertaking is not subject to serious<br>detriment as a result of the Scheme. Draft<br>protective provisions are included in Part 3 of<br>Schedule 16 to the <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A],</b> and these include the<br>requirement that the undertaker can only acquire<br>or interfere with NGET's land interests or<br>apparatus by agreement. |
| NGET-04   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters | National Grid<br>Infrastructure<br>within/in Close<br>Proximity to the<br>Proposed Order<br>Limits | "National Grid owns or operates the following<br>infrastructure within or in close proximity to the<br>proposed Order Limits for the Project: Electricity<br>Transmission NGET has a substation and high<br>voltage electricity overhead transmission lines<br>within or in close proximity to the proposed<br>Order Limits including West Burton 400kV | The location of underground and overhead<br>infrastructure has been identified and<br>preliminary offsets as required by easements and<br>operator safety distances have been embedded<br>in the Scheme design, as set out in Table 21.6.2 of<br><b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Chapter 21</b><br><b>Other Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> , and in   |



| Reference | Theme                     | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|           | (Telecoms &<br>Utilities) |                                     | <ul> <li>Substation. The substation and overhead lines<br/>form an essential part of the electricity<br/>transmission network in England and Wales. The<br/>details of the electricity assets are as follows:</li> <li>Substations: West Burton 400kV Substation,<br/>Associated cables, Associated fibre cable</li> <li>Overhead Lines: <ul> <li>ZDA 400kV Cottam – Keadby 1, Cottam –<br/>Keadby 2, Cottam – West Burton, Keadby –<br/>West Burton 1, Keadby – West Burton 2,<br/>High Marnham – West Burton</li> <li>4ZM 400kV Bicker Fen - Spalding North -<br/>West Burton, Bicker Fen - Walpole - West<br/>Burton</li> <li>4TM 400kV Keadby – West Burton 1, Keadby<br/>– West Burton 2</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Section 5.4 and 5.5 of <b>7.6 Design and Access</b><br><b>Statement [APP-314]</b> . Furthermore, the<br>requirement for on-site surveys to ground-truth<br>the location of utilities is set out in paragraphs<br>21.3.4 and 21.3.5 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 21 Other Environmental</b><br><b>Matters [APP-059]</b> , and secured through <b>7.1_A</b><br><b>Outline Construction Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> . The Applicant is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme.<br>As noted at NGET-01 above, draft protective<br>provisions are included in Part 3 to Schedule 16<br>of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , and these<br>include provisions relating to retained apparatus. |
| NGET-05   | The<br>Representation     | Context of NGET's<br>Representation | "As a responsible statutory undertaker, National<br>Grid's primary concern is to meet its statutory<br>obligations and ensure that any development<br>does not impact in any adverse way upon those<br>statutory obligations. As such, National Grid has a<br>duty to protect its position in relation to<br>infrastructure and land which is within or in close<br>proximity to the draft Order Limits."  | The Applicant notes this comment.  |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                                   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| NGET-06   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Rights of Access                        | "As noted, National Grid's rights to retain its<br>apparatus in situ and rights of access to inspect,<br>maintain, renew and repair such apparatus<br>located within or in close proximity to the Order<br>Limits should be maintained at all times and<br>access to inspect and maintain such apparatus<br>must not be restricted."  | The Applicant notes this comment and refers<br>NGET to the response to NGET-01 above<br>regarding protective provisions, which include<br>provisions relating to access to assets.  |
| NGET-07   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO:<br>Protective<br>Provisions  | "National Grid will require protective provisions<br>to be included within the draft Development<br>Consent Order (the "Order") for the Project to<br>ensure that its interests are adequately protected<br>and to ensure compliance with relevant safety<br>standards."  | The Applicant notes this comment and refers<br>NGET to the response to NGET-01 above<br>regarding protective provisions. The Applicant is<br>confident that a set of protective provisions can<br>be agreed with NGET prior to the close of the<br>Examination. |
| NGET-08   | General                              | Ongoing<br>Engagement with<br>Applicant | "National Grid is liaising with the Applicant in<br>relation to such protective provisions, along with<br>any supplementary agreements which may be<br>required. National Grid requests that the<br>Applicant continues to engage with it to provide<br>explanation and reassurances as to how the<br>Applicant's works pursuant to the Order (if made)<br>will ensure protection for those National Grid<br>assets which will remain in situ, along with<br>facilitating all future access and other rights as<br>are necessary to allow National Grid to properly<br>discharge its statutory obligations. National Grid<br>will continue to liaise with the Applicant in this<br>regard with a view to concluding matters as soon | The Applicant notes this comment and welcomes<br>continued liaison with National Grid Electricity<br>Transmission.  |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
|           |                                      |                                     | as possible during the DCO Examination and will<br>keep the Examining Authority updated in relation<br>to these discussions"  |  |
| NGET-09   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Compulsory<br>Acquisition<br>Powers | "As noted, where the Applicant intends to acquire<br>land or rights, or interfere with any of National<br>Grid's interests in land, National Grid will require<br>further discussion with the Applicant. National<br>Grid reserves the right to make further<br>representations as part of the Examination<br>process in relation to specific interactions with its<br>assets but in the meantime will continue to liaise<br>with the Applicant with a view to reaching a<br>satisfactory agreement." | The Applicant notes this comment and refers<br>NGET to the response to NGET-03 above.  |
| NGET-10   | Principle of<br>Development          | Grid Connection                     | The Project proposes a connection to West<br>Burton 400kV Substation. In relation to the<br>connection National Grid is working with the<br>Applicant to enter into connection agreements<br>and other commercial arrangements at the<br>relevant time. Further updates will be provided in<br>the Statement of Common Ground.  | The Applicant notes this comment and looks<br>forward to continuing to engage with NGET on<br>any additional agreements required in<br>connection with the Scheme. |

## Table 2.3.10: Applicant's Response to Parochial Church Council of the Parish of Stow-with-Sturton [RR-245]

| Reference | Theme                   | Issue          | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| PCC-01    | The Interested<br>Party | Representation | "By way of background, and for those not familar<br>with the organisation of the Church of England,<br>the Parochial Church Council is the statutory | The Applicant notes this comment. |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
|           |                      |                             | body, and a charity exempt in law, responsible for<br>the ecclesiastical parish of Stow-in-Lindsey.<br>Sometimes this parish is also referred to as the<br>parish of Stow-with-Sturton. The Parochial<br>Church Council (hereafter PCC) is responsible for<br>it's parish church, St Mary's Church in the village<br>of Stow."  |  |
| PCC-02    | General              | Chancel Repair<br>Liability | "This church, also known as Stow Minster, dates<br>back to Anglo-Saxon times, with substantial parts<br>of the present building dating back to 975AD.<br>Around 1140 - 1160AD the east end of the<br>building, known as the Chancel, was rebuilt. Part<br>of the responsibility for the repair and<br>maintenance was allocated to various land, the<br>owners of which were duty bound to contribute<br>as and when required. Today, the Chancel Repair<br>Liability (hereafter CRL) lies 55% with the Church<br>Commissioners, 34% with the PCC, and 11% with<br>various pieces of land." | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| PCC-03    | Cultural<br>Heritage | Heritage<br>Significance    | "The church is a Grade 1 listed building (NHLE<br>1146624) and attracts visitors from all over the<br>world. For example, in the last month we have<br>had visitors from South Africa, United States,<br>Slovenia, Czech Republic and Australia (identified<br>via our visitors book - though not all visitors sign<br>the book). The World Monument Fund, a New<br>York based international organisation concerned<br>with the preservation of heritage buildings<br>around the world, included St Mary's Church in  | The Applicant acknowledges that St Mary's<br>Church, Stow (NHLE 1146624) is a Grade I Listed<br>Building which constitutes a heritage asset of the<br>highest significance (P.18 of 6.3.13.5<br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.5<br>Heritage Statement [APP-117]. |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                              | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
|           |                                      |                                    | it's 2006 watch list of the 100 most endangered<br>sites in the world. This was a great priviledge and<br>humbling to note that there was such<br>international concern for the ongoing<br>maintenance and preservation of the building,<br>which contains a number of internationally<br>significant features."  |   |
| PCC-04    | The Interested<br>Party              | Context of PCC's<br>Representation | "The PCC, in association with the Priest in Charge,<br>also has a duty of care for the souls and wellbeing<br>of the people of the parish. The ecclesiastical<br>parish of Stow-in-Lindsey covers the same<br>geographical area as that overseen by the civil<br>parishes of Stow and Sturton-by-Stow, except<br>that there is a seperate ecclesiastical parish of St<br>Edith's Church, Coates-by-Stow which includes<br>the hamlets of Coates-by-Stow and Normanby-<br>by-Stow. It is with the above background that the<br>PCC wishes to make the following observations<br>on the proposals." | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
| PCC-05    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Chancel Repair<br>Liability        | "Regarding: West Burton (area 3) - the PCC is<br>concerned about the proposals about the use of<br>land at Stow Park and the implication this might<br>have on our right to Chancel Repair Liability. Our<br>concern is compounded in the formal notice of<br>the proposed Section 48 of the Planning Act 2008<br>application that accompanied your letter of the<br>14th June 2022 in which we find the following<br>statement at paragraph 4, 2nd bullet point:<br>Compulsory acquisition of land, including  | Article 23 of the draft DCO grants powers in<br>respect of all private rights or restrictive<br>covenants over land subject to the compulsory<br>acquisition which the Applicant considers broad<br>enough to include a chancel repair liability.<br>However, such private rights or restrictive<br>covenants will only cease to have effect in so far<br>as their continuance would be inconsistent with<br>the exercise of the rights granted by the DCO. |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|           |                                      |  | interests in land, rights over land and imposition<br>of restriction, powers to override, suspend or<br>extinguish rights over land and powers for the<br>temporary use of land"   | The Applicant considers it very unlikely that the<br>continuance of a right for the Parochial Church<br>Council to collect chancel repair liabilities would<br>be inconsistent with the implementation of the<br>authorised development and as such, considers it<br>unlikely that the powers in the DCO would<br>extinguish any chancel repair liability that was<br>due.   |
|           |                                      |  |  | Nonetheless, in the event that the exercise of the compulsory acquisition powers did result in the chancel repair liability ceasing to have effect, any loss would be reflected in the calculation of compensation due under Article 23(4).  |
| PCC-06    | General<br>(Consultation)            | Failure of<br>Applicant to<br>Respond to<br>Communications | "On Tuesday 19th July 2022 the Freephone<br>number [] was contacted at 9.16am by our<br>Churchwarden, [], to seek clarification of the<br>meaning of the above statement in relation to<br>our right of CRL. He was advised by the operative<br>taking the call that someone would respond to<br>discuss the matter. At the time of writing no<br>response has been received." | The Applicant acknowledges and expresses regret<br>for the observed discrepancy. The Applicant<br>notes that written feedback from the Parochial<br>Church Council of the Parish of Stow-with-Sturton<br>on 27/07/22, including the issues raised and how<br>the Applicant has had regard to these in<br>developing the Scheme, can be found in table<br>5.13.3 of <b>5.13 Consultation Report - Appendix</b><br><b>5.13 - Section 42 Applicant Response [APP-037]</b> . |
| PCC-07    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Impact on CRL<br>Rights and on<br>Listed Building          | "In the light of the possibility that our right for<br>CRL may be overridden, suspended or<br>extinguished, and the potential (in the absence of<br>due compensation) this has for the PCC's ability<br>to maintain a Grade 1 listed building of national<br>and international significance the PCC must   | The Applicant notes this comment and refers the Party to the response at PCC-06 above.   |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
|           |                                      |                                       | object to the proposal for West Burton 3 in<br>relation to it's location on land to which CRL is<br>attached."  |  |
| PCC-08    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Resolution of CRL<br>Rights           | "There is a process for buying out or<br>'compounding' the liability. This would be dealt<br>with under the Ecclesiastical Dilapidations<br>Measure 1923 as amended by the Ecclesiastical<br>Dilapidations (Amendment) Measure 1929. The<br>Appendix to this letter outlines our particular<br>area of interest."   | [The Applicant notes this comment and refers the<br>Party to the response at PCC-06 above.   |
| PCC-09    | Cultural<br>Heritage                 | PEIR: Impacts on<br>St. Mary's Church | "(Chapter 13 and supporting appendices in the<br>main Preliminary Environmental Impact Reports<br>(PEIR) for both projects are the sources). The PCC<br>is concerned about the impact on St Mary's<br>Church, Stow (Grade 1 of national and<br>international significance NHLE 1146624) which is<br>within 5 kilometres of both developments<br>(c.1.49km to NE of West Burton 3, and c.1.15km<br>to W of Cottam 1). We note the PEIR for West<br>Burton, paragraph 13.4.6 on page 395" | <b>6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement - Appendix</b><br><b>13.5 Heritage Statement [APP-117</b> to <b>APP-119</b> ],<br>provides a detailed assessment of all Grade II<br>Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas within<br>2km of the Scheme, and all Grade I and II* Listed<br>Buildings and Scheduled Monuments with a 5km<br>study area surrounding the Scheme. St. Mary's<br>Church, Stow, is discussed in paragraphs 3.1.33<br>and 3.1.57, and this discussion identified that the<br>village's location on the generally flat topography<br>of the Trent valley means that the setting of the<br>Scheduled Monument and Grade I Listed Building<br>is enclosed by the surrounding built environment<br>and associated vegetation, and no views out into<br>the surrounding landscape from the vicinity of<br>the church are possible, despite the churchyard<br>being raised approximately 1m above the<br>surrounding land. Consequently, there is no<br>visibility of the landscape to the south and south- |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                           | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                      |                                 |  | west where the Scheme would be located, and<br>therefore no resultant impact. Views towards the<br>Church were also assessed, and it was concluded<br>that that the built environment and vegetation<br>associated with Stow village, as well as the<br>neighbouring Sturton-by-Stow, would screen any<br>visibility of the Schemes at West Burton 1 – 3 that<br>otherwise might be visible within these various<br>views. Consequently, this heritage asset was<br>scoped out of further consideration (paragraph<br>3.1.57 of <b>6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 13.5 Heritage Statement [APP-117</b> to<br><b>APP-119]</b> ). |
| PCC-10    | Cultural<br>Heritage | PEIR: Assessment<br>Methodology | "Consequently, this PEIR will identify all<br>designated assets 'of the highest significance'<br>within a 5km radius of each of the five Sites under<br>consideration. It is proposed that the assets thus<br>identified will then be taken forward for further<br>assessment in accordance with the methodology<br>detailed in The Setting of Heritage Assets (Historic<br>England 2017). This will involve a 'sifting' exercise<br>at Step 1, whereby a suite of techniques will be<br>utilised to 'scope out' from further assessment<br>those assets where it is considered that views<br>from, or towards, would not be affected by the<br>proposals. Such techniques would include the use<br>of Zones of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) maps,<br>viewshed analysis from selected receptors,<br>analysis of online aerial and street view imagery, | As explained in <b>6.3.13.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 13.5 Heritage Statement</b><br><b>[APP-117</b> to <b>APP-119]</b> (paragraph 3.1.57), St.<br>Mary's Church Stow was scoped out of further<br>assessment during the 'sifting exercise at Step 1<br>which concluded that that the built environment<br>and vegetation associated with Stow village, as<br>well as the neighbouring Sturton-by-Stow, would<br>screen any visibility of the Schemes at West<br>Burton 1 – 3 that otherwise might be visible<br>within these various views.  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
|           |  |  | as well as on-site 'ground-truthing' where this is<br>deemed appropriate and where access is<br>possible."  |  |
| PCC-11    | Cultural<br>Heritage   | PEIR: Assessment<br>Methodology                      | "It is considered likely that this would greatly<br>reduce the quantity of designated assets that<br>would require more detailed analysis in<br>subsequent stages of the assessment. and from<br>Table 13.3, on page 399 the factors to be<br>considered when assessing buildings of major<br>significance (and in this the PCC consider the<br>Grade 1 listed St Mary's Church, Stow to be so<br>categorised) i) Changes to key historic building<br>elements such that the resource is totally altered<br>?ii) Comprehensive changes to setting (where this<br>affects the significance of the asset)." | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the<br>interpretation of the 'Factors in the Assessment<br>of Magnitude of Change' table referred to in the<br>PEIR, which can also be found in <b>6.2.13</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 13</b><br><b>Cultural Heritage [APP-051]</b> , at Table 13.2. It is<br>considered that 'Changes to key historic building<br>elements such that the resource is totally altered'<br>refers to impacts that would totally alter the<br>fabric of a historic building. 'Comprehensive<br>changes to setting (where this affects the<br>significance of the asset)' would refer to a<br>situation where the immediate setting of a<br>historic building would be drastically altered,<br>thereby having a major effect upon the built asset<br>or the ability to appreciate it. It is considered that<br>neither of these factors apply in the case of St.<br>Mary's Church in relation to the Scheme. |
| PCC-12    | Cultural<br>Heritage<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Socio-<br>economics, | Direct and<br>Cumulative<br>Impacts to the<br>Church | "Regarding the above extracts the PCC notes also<br>Historic England and Lincolnshire County Council<br>Archeology Services are in ongoing discussions<br>about impact assessment generally but these<br>were not yet complete at the time of producing<br>the reports. The PCC wish to record it's concern<br>about potential impacts on the visualisation from<br>distance of St Mary's Church, Stow, the impact on   | The Applicant notes this comment.<br><b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8:</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') considers both the<br>landscape and visual effects of the Scheme,<br>including the proximity to settlements such as<br>Stow and associated buildings such as St Mary's  |



| Reference | Theme                     | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------|--|--|
|           | Tourism and<br>Recreation |       | visitor numbers and on surrounding archeology<br>that could inform the history of Stow, and request<br>it's further involvement in the ongoing<br>discussions about the locations and size of West<br>Burton 3 (as indeed it will so request regarding<br>the nearby development proposals for Cottam 1<br>and Gate Burton Energy Park). It should be noted<br>that St Mary's Church, Stow also appears on<br>Historic England's "Heritage at Risk" register." | Church. This is set out in more detail at 6.3.8.3 ES<br><b>Appendix 8.3 Assessment of Potential Visual</b><br><b>Effects [APP-074]</b> . This assessment includes<br>visual receptors comprising viewpoints VP53,<br>VP54, VP55, VP56, transport receptors T018, T027,<br>T053 and T054, residential receptors R055, R058,<br>R074, R084, R101 and PRoW receptor P024. The<br>visual receptors around St. Mary's Church have<br>been assessed within the LVIA and the effects on<br>these receptors as a result of the Scheme are <b>Not</b><br><b>Significant</b> . |
|           |                           |       |  | Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement</b><br>- Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and<br>Recreation [APP-056] assesses the likely impact<br>of the Scheme on heritage-based tourism<br>receptors and concludes there is no greater than<br>a moderate-minor adverse impact on these<br>assets to tourists and visitors (see paras. 18.7.58,<br>18.7.105, 18.7.144). As such, these effects are not<br>significant.   |
|           |                           |       |  | As detailed in <b>6.2.13 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 13 Cultural Heritage [APP-051]</b><br>assessment works have identified numerous new<br>archaeological sites and have greatly enhanced<br>the archaeological and historic record. During the<br>field evaluation it was identified that ploughing<br>was causing a high level of destruction to<br>archaeological deposits. Consequently, the<br>Applicant believes the Scheme will provide an   |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                                      |                                     |  | opportunity to protect archaeological remains<br>that are currently at risk of destruction from<br>agricultural activity [6.2.13 Environmental<br>Statement - Chapter 13 Cultural Heritage<br>[APP-051], Paragraphs 13.7.43 and 13.7.44].<br>6.3.13.7 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br>13.7 Archaeological Mitigation WSI (Written<br>Scheme of Investigation) [APP-122] details the<br>mitigation proposed by the Applicant, enabling a<br>mechanism for archaeological remains to be<br>recorded or preserved in situ. The Applicant notes<br>the comment that St Mary's Church, Stow<br>appears on Historic England's "Heritage at Risk"<br>register" and agrees that this is correct. |
| PCC-13    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Chancel Repair<br>Liability         | "The PCC would also point out that any change to<br>the right over land for Chancel Repair Liability<br>would materially affect the ability to maintain St<br>Mary's Church, Stow and thus it can be deemed<br>that any change in CRL is a change to a key<br>historic building element such that the resource<br>is totally altered." | The Applicant notes this comment and refers the Party to the response at PCC-06 above.   |
| PCC-14    | General                              | Observations and<br>Concerns        | "The PCC wishes to make the following general<br>observations in exercising its concern for<br>residents of the ecclesiastical parish od Stow-in-<br>Lindsey."   | The Applicant notes this comment and has<br>addressed specific points from the Parochial<br>Church Council of the Parish of Stow-with-Sturton<br>in the responses to comments/issues PCC-15 to<br>PCC-23 below.  |
| PCC-15    | Transport and<br>Access              | Construction HGV<br>Traffic Routing | "Concerns about the local infrastructure's ability<br>to support the construction phase. Local   | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
|           |       |       | residents have expressed concern about the<br>inadequacy of class "C" roads, unclassified roads<br>and green lanes to support the heavy vehicle<br>movements required during the construction<br>phase. Such roads, according to knowledgeable<br>locals, were not engineered to support the<br>quantity of heavy traffic envisaged in the projects<br>and are therefore at serious risk of damage.<br>Often quoted is a relatively recent incident of a<br>large vehicle unable to avoid the roadside ditch in<br>the "single" track section of the road between<br>Stow and Ingham which closed the road for<br>several days. Such closure of a well used local<br>routes could easily repeated should these<br>warning concerns not be heeded." | application within 6.3.14.2_A Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction<br>Traffic Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A].<br>The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the<br>management of construction vehicle movements<br>to and from the Scheme, to ensure that the<br>effects of the temporary construction phase on<br>the local highway network are minimised and<br>made acceptable. The Outline CTMP<br>[EN0101/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] covers:<br>Construction methodology;<br>Site access;<br>Construction vehicle trip generation;<br>Construction vehicle routing;<br>Abnormal load movement; and<br>Mitigation and management measures.<br>Access to West Burton 1 is via the A1500 and the<br>unnamed road to the south which connects to<br>Broxholme. Through the DCO, and in liaison with<br>Lincolnshire County Council, pass-by bays will be<br>provided to support the low level of daily HGV<br>deliveries (five per day on average). No<br>construction vehicles will travel through<br>Broxholme. |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|
|           |  |   |   | Access to West Burton 2 is via the B1241 and access to West Burton 3 is via the A1500.  |
|           |  |   |   | Swept path analysis, set out in Appendix D of<br>6.3.14.1_A Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 14.1 Transport Assessment Revision<br>A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A] shows the<br>manoeuvre of HGVs accessing the Site.  |
|           |  |   |   | A smaller number of vehicle movements will be<br>associated with the construction of the cable<br>route. These will be managed through the<br><b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> .   |
| PCC-16    | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health)<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Impacts on<br>Mental Health<br>and Livelihood | "Concerns about the impact on local residents'<br>mental health. There are two specific examples<br>that have been reported to the Churchwarden.<br>One where there is a potential suicide risk<br>because of the proximity of a scheme to the<br>resident's home - the resident having chosen to<br>live in a rural setting now finds that the proposed<br>development to be disastrous to their wellbeing,<br>and likely to affect the property value should the<br>decision to sell be inevitable because of the<br>disruption and changed environment brought<br>about by the proposed development. In another<br>report, a distraught farmer said that "If I don't<br>rent them the land it will be compulsory | The Applicant acknowledges the comment but<br>notes that the examples relate to two different<br>projects which the Applicant isn't in a position to<br>comment on.<br>Notwithstanding the above, the Applicant directs<br>the respondent to <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA')<br>includes a full and detailed assessment that deals |
|           | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture   |   |   | with both effects on the landscape itself and<br>effects on the visual amenity of people. The LVIA<br>process is iterative and as a result, the design of<br>the Scheme has changed to respond to the<br>findings of the assessment to ensure that  |



| Reference | Theme                                | lssue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------|--|--|
|           | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) |       | purchased - either way I lose" and thus felt there<br>was little value in raising objection to the<br>proposed project. These two examples, one of<br>which relates to the Cottam project, the other to<br>the Gate Burton Energy Park project,<br>nevertheless reflect the sentiments expressed to<br>the members of the PCC to these massive solar<br>projects that will surround our parish." | landscape mitigation is fully incorporated into the<br>Scheme.<br>Voluntary consultation with individual property<br>owners was undertaken throughout the duration<br>of the Scheme development and the preparation<br>of the ES including discussion over bespoke<br>mitigation relevant to the individual properties. A<br>number of meetings and visits to the individual<br>properties have taken place to introduce the<br>Scheme and take forward discussions and retain<br>engagement on detailed matters relating the<br>Scheme.   |
|           |                                      |       |  | The LVIA sets out landscape mitigation that seeks<br>to enhance the landscape character of the Study<br>Area and to reduce the visibility of the Scheme<br>from residential properties and other public<br>vantage points including transport routes, public<br>footpaths, permissive footpaths and green lane<br>network. This mitigation is aimed to benefit the<br>community as a whole to enhance their way of<br>life as well as green infrastructure (see paras.<br>8.4.44, 8.6.1, 8.6.3 and 8.8.3). Public consultation<br>has also taken account of landscape and visual<br>matters (see paras. 8.2.8 and 8.4.20). |
|           |                                      |       |  | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                           |  | such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest<br>level of effect to access, desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities is limited to short- to<br>medium-term moderate adverse effects on long<br>distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way<br>and National Byways) during construction (see<br>Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a<br><b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the<br>only significant effect anticipated, with no greater<br>than moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any<br>other recreational receptor during construction<br>(see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any<br>recreational receptor during operation (see<br>paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to<br>18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be<br>significant. |
|           |  |                           |  | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].  |
| PCC-17    | Energy Need<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Cumulative<br>Development | "Overall the local area is in line for FOUR large<br>schemes, not only Cottam and West Burton, but<br>also Gate Burton Energy Park and now Tillbridge<br>Solar. A fifth (but smaller) scheme, located at<br>Stow Park, is also in the planning stage. In<br>addition there are already two large solar farms | Cumulative effects assessments for each topic<br>are set out in each of the ES Chapters and include<br>the assessment of the impacts of the Scheme<br>cumulatively with the NSIPs identified by 7000<br>Acres (Gate Burton Energy Park, West Burton   |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue         | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------------|---|--|
|           |   |               | in the area between West Burton 3 and the Gate<br>Burton Energy park proposal."   | Solar Project and Tillbridge Solar Project) (see<br>paragraph 2.5.9 of <b>C6.2.2 ES Chapter 2 EIA</b><br><b>Process and Methodology [APP-040]</b> .  |
|           |   |               |   | This assessment is in accordance with Schedule 4<br>of the 2017 EIA Regulations and PINS Advice Note<br>17. The mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.  |
|           |   |               |   | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". It is the<br>Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation. |
| PCC-18    | Energy Need<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Food Security | "The PCC are not sure how all this fits with the<br>Government strategy on Food security as<br>described by Department for Environment, Food<br>and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) recently published<br>Government Food Strategy. The following is taken<br>from the introduction to the strategy: We are the<br>Department for Environment, Food and Rural<br>Affairs. We're responsible for improving and | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". It is the   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Reference | Theme | Issue | protecting the environment, growing the green<br>economy, sustaining thriving rural communities<br>and supporting our world-class food, farming and<br>fishing industries. We work closely with our 33<br>agencies and arm's length bodies on our<br>ambition to make our air purer, our water<br>cleaner, our land greener and our food more<br>sustainable. Our mission is to restore and<br>enhance the environment for the next<br>generation, and to leave the environment in a<br>better state than we found it. The massing of<br>solar projects in the area is unlikely to "sustain<br>thriving rural communities". Elsewhere in the<br>strategy the importance of retaining agricultural<br>land as part of the food strategy is stated: The<br>conflict in Ukraine has shown us that domestic<br>food production is a vital contributor to national<br>resilience and food security. Domestic food<br>production can reduce the offshoring of food<br>production to countries that do not meet our | Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.<br>Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> demonstrates that large-<br>scale solar is the most efficient use of land for<br>energy generation purposes.<br>The Defra UK Food Security report <sup>1</sup> notes that the<br>main risks to UK food security include climate<br>change and soil degradation. Land use change is<br>not identified as a risk to UK food security.<br>Development of a solar farm addresses climate<br>change risks. In addition, the extended fallow of<br>arable land reverted to grassland within the solar<br>farm area enables a recovery of soil health that<br>has been depleted through arable management.<br>As noted in paragraph 19.9.14 of <b>6.2.19</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Chapter 19 Soils<br/>and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> , the reversion of |
|           |       |       | high environmental and animal welfare standards. Not only odes the Ukraine conflict  | arable land to pasture below a solar farm is very effective at enabling recovery of soil organic   |
|           |       |       | bring into sharp relief the weakness of having dependence on relative few countires as major   | matter, degraded by repeated cultivation.  |
|           |       |       | producers of a given food type, the strategy   |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> UK Food Security Report 2021, Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
|           |   |   | emphasises the need to avoid "offshoring" food production abroad."   |   |
| PCC-19    | Energy Need<br>Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture<br>Socio-economic,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Consideration of<br>Farming<br>Circumstances<br>along Cable Route | "The strategy also recognises the need to treat<br>farmers fairly As the custodians of our natural<br>environment and important contributors to our<br>food security, farmers must be treated fairly. The<br>concerns raised to us by residents would<br>question whether local farmers are being treated<br>fairly. The expediency of accessing the National<br>Grid at the Cottam and West Burton seems to be<br>the overriding consideration for the proposed<br>projects." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".<br>Section 8.5 of the Statement of Need describes<br>and agrees with Government's view that large<br>scale solar must be deployed to meet the urgent<br>national need for low-carbon electricity<br>generation.<br>Paragraphs 3.3.17-18 [APP-320] explains<br>Government's view that irradiance, site<br>topography and proximity to suitable connection<br>points to the transmission network, are likely to<br>be key inputs to site selection. Section 7.5 of the<br>Statement of Need describes the site selection<br>process for large scale solar more fully, and<br>Section 7.7 of the Statement of Need sets out<br>how the design of the Proposed Development<br>seeks to maximise utilisation of the existing<br>available and contracted grid connection capacity<br>available at the West Burton substation. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | The land included in the Scheme covers 4 farm<br>businesses, all of which are owner occupiers of<br>the land within the Sites. Each of the land owners<br>has signed up to an option agreement with the<br>Applicant. This is detailed in full in para. 7.1.2 to<br>7.1.29 of <b>6.3.19.1 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 19.1 Agricultural Land Quality, Soil<br/>Resources and Farming Circumstances Report</b><br>[APP-137].   |
|           |       |       |                         | Resultingly, the Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum loss of approximately 13 full-time equivalent agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15 of document <b>6.2.18</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio</b><br><b>Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> .<br>The Scheme is estimated to employ 6 full-time equivalent employees from the local area during operation; see Table 18.16. The net change in employment in the local area (defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts) during the Scheme's operational life is a loss of approximately 2 full-time jobs, once consideration of direct, indirect and induced employment, and impacts on the tourism and recreation industry are considered (see para. 18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to the local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per year (see para. 18.7.99). |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| PCC-20    | Planning Policy<br>Energy Need<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Location of<br>Development                  | "The oft quoted "levelling-up agenda" raises<br>questions about whether there are similar such<br>projects, in similar such concentrations being<br>proposed elsewhere in the country, and thus to<br>what extent this project is respectful of the aim to<br>level-up across the country." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".<br>Section 8.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation. |
|           |   |   |   | Paragraphs 3.3.17-18 <b>[APP-320]</b> explain<br>Government's view that irradiance, site<br>topography and proximity to suitable connection<br>points to the transmission network, are likely to<br>be key inputs to site selection. Section 7.5<br>describes the site selection process for large scale<br>solar more fully, and Section 7.7 sets out how the<br>design of the Proposed Development seeks to<br>maximise utilisation of the existing available and<br>contracted grid connection capacity available at<br>the West Burton substation.  |
| PCC-21    | Energy Need<br>Climate Change<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture                 | Retention of Land<br>for Food<br>Production | "At the Glasgow COP26 conference the<br>Government was keen to address issues in<br>Climate Change. Global warming is happening,<br>and recent record temperatures in the UK (UK<br>record of 40.30C at Coningsby on 19th July 2022)  | Section 5.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> sets out the urgent need for decarbonisation of the electricity sector, indeed Paragraph 8.9.3 of the Statement of Need explains that in 2021, BEIS  |



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> UK Food Security Report 2021, Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
|           |   |   |  | degradation. Development of UK agricultural land is not identified as a risk.   |
| PCC-22    | Soils and<br>Agriculture<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | ALC Grade<br>Assessment<br>Scope  | "It is noted that there is an extension to the<br>consultation deadline for West Burton 4 to 23rd<br>August 2022 in respect to Agricultural Land<br>Classification (ALC) arising from soil sampling<br>revealed differences against the information used<br>about ALC in the PEIR. It is therefore imperative<br>that sufficient soil samples are taken across all<br>land to be used within proposed projects to<br>ensure that no Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a land<br>is taken out of food production should these<br>projects proceed."  | All agricultural land within the Sites has been<br>subject to a detailed Agricultural Land<br>Classification (ALC) assessment in line with<br>Natural England guidance given in their<br>document TIN049. Please see <b>6.3.19.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 19.1</b><br><b>Agricultural Land Quality, Soil Resources and<br/>Farming Circumstances Report [APP-137]</b> .   |
| PCC-23    | General<br>(Consultation)   | Consultation<br>Process and<br>Publication of<br>Hard-Copy<br>Materials | "St Mary's Church, Stow has been a hub for<br>access to project materials during the<br>consultation period Wednesday 15th June 2022 -<br>Wednesday 27th July 2022, including copies of all<br>paper based material except the lever arch files<br>containing Appendices to support the PEIRs.<br>There was no reason to believe that this was an<br>oversight at the time, however today, Wednesday<br>27th July 2022 copies of all appendices were<br>delivered to the church, together with the<br>updated ALC data for the West Burton 4 site of<br>the West Burton Solar project. To receive the<br>appendices at such a late stage in the<br>consultation process at a consultation hub<br>(c.1.49km to NE of West Burton 3, and c.1.15km<br>to W of Cottam 1) that is so close to the project | The Applicant notes that the Parochial Church<br>Council of the Parish of Stow-with-Sturton hosted<br>copies of the PEIR and other consultation<br>materials of the Scheme and would like to thank<br>them of this.<br>Chapter 8 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b><br>details that further soil sampling across the<br>Scheme became available during the second<br>phase of consultation and the results did report<br>different proportions of BMV land for parts of the<br>land within the West Burton 4 site. The Applicant<br>considered this represented a material change to<br>the interim ALC reports published as part of the<br>PEIR regarding this part of the Scheme. The<br>Applicant therefore acted to publicise this |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | sites could be construed as a deliberate attempt<br>to disenfranchise consultees of relevant<br>information. Not everyone has internet access or<br>capacity to use "data sticks", neither of which are<br>user friendly when trying to cross reference<br>material." | updated information as an addendum to the PEIR<br>and encouraged feedback on this through the<br>Scheme communications channels and via an<br>online form hosted on the Scheme website. The<br>Applicant notes that consultees were invited to<br>view and comment on this updated information<br>between 25 July 2022 and 23 August 2022, in<br>accordance with the Planning Act 2008 and<br>associated guidance.  |
|           |       |       |   | The Applicant can confirm that 121 feedback<br>responses were received during this extended<br>consultation period. The feedback received,<br>including the issues raised and how the Applicant<br>has had regard to these in developing the<br>Scheme, can be found in table 5.12.10 of <b>5.13</b><br><b>Consultation Report - Appendix 5.12 - Section</b><br><b>47 Applicant Response [APP-036].</b>   |
|           |       |       |   | The Applicant notes that West Burton 4 was<br>removed from the Scheme prior to the DCO<br>application being made. West Burton 4 was<br>removed from the Scheme to reduce the overall<br>proportion of BMV land within the Scheme and<br>took into account significant objection to the site<br>from residents in Clayworth and Gringley-on-the-<br>Hill, as well as concerns raised by Parish Councils<br>in relation to landscape impact and BMV land.<br>Removal of West Burton 4 significantly reduces<br>the amount of BMV land within the Scheme from<br>42.3% to 26.24%. This is set out in further detail in |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | 6.2.5 Environmental Statement Chapter 5<br>Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043]. |

## Table 2.3.11: Applicant's Response to Saxilby Nature Project [RR-297]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--------------------------|--|---|
| SNP-01    | Site Description  | Order Limits<br>Location | "One of the Saxilby Nature Project's community<br>nature sites is adjacent to a field on which solar<br>panels are proposed."  | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
| SNP-02    | Principle of<br>Development<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Community<br>Benefits    | <ul> <li>"We have been in talks with Island Green Power who have offered the following:</li> <li>1. To sublet (for a nominal rent) to Saxilby Nature Project two and a half acres of land within the solar park (opposite Hardwick Scrub community nature site) to be set up by the Saxilby Nature Project as an outdoor classroom for children.</li> <li>2. To assist with set up costs of the outdoor classroom, including the installation of an accessible toilet, wooden shelter, and hard standing for use as a car park,</li> <li>3. To construct a dual-purpose track (pedestrian+bicycles) around part of the perimeter of the field opposite Hardwick Scrub, and to meet up with a farm track which is a designated public highway, leading onto Sykes Lane, which leads into nearby Saxilby.</li> </ul> | The Applicant acknowledges discussions with<br>Saxilby Nature Project have included these points<br>and has included the agreed outcomes of the<br>discussions as part of the DCO application.<br>A total of 0.8ha of land has been proposed as a<br>habitat management area (Work No.10 in<br>Schedule 1 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ). This area has been<br>designed to assure it aligns with the objectives of<br>Saxilby Nature Project and their neighbouring<br>Hardwick Scrub site (see para. 4.5.90 of <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042]</b> ).<br>Any financial contributions towards the use of<br>this land by Saxilby Nature Project will be agreed<br>outside the scope of the DCO through the<br>Community Benefit Fund, as described in |



| Reference | Theme | lssue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|--|
|           |       |       | 4. To sublet (for a nominal rent) to Saxilby Nature<br>Project approximately seven acres of land within<br>the solar park adjacent to the B1241 Saxilby to<br>Sturton-by-Stow road, straddling the Codder Lane<br>Belt. This land to be converted into a wildflower<br>meadow by Saxilby Nature Project, and to be<br>linked to the dual-purpose track referred to<br>earlier." | paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement</b><br>[APP-313].<br>The permissive path, as described in paragraph<br>3.9.5 of <b>7.6 Design and Access Statement</b> [APP-<br><b>314</b> ], is intended primarily as a footpath due to<br>the anticipated users predominantly being on<br>foot. It was therefore not considered necessary or<br>suitable to provide a facility for horse-riders or<br>cyclists. Furthermore, the permissive path does<br>not connect to the wider bridleway network and<br>thus would have limited use or benefit to cyclists<br>or horse-riders. The wider bridleway network is<br>identified within Appendix B of <b>6.3.14.3_A</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.3<br>Outline Public Rights of Way Management<br>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A].<br>The fields adjacent to the B1241 removed from<br>panelled are proposed as successional scrub for<br>landscape and ecological mitigation (as shown on<br><b>6.4.8.18.2 Environmental Statement - Figure</b><br><b>8.18.2 - Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br/>Enhancement Measures - West Burton 2</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.4.8.18.2_A] but are not<br>intended to be sublet to Saxilby Nature Project. |

## Table 2.3.12: Applicant's Response to Saxilby Public Recreation Ground Charity [RR-298]



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                            | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| SPRGC-01  | General | Charity Funding<br>Opportunities | "As a local charity, should the project go ahead<br>we will be interested in any funding opportunities<br>arising from it." | The Applicant is committed to providing a<br>Community Benefit Fund (see paragraph 4.8.1 of<br><b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> ). This fund<br>will be available for community-based benefits<br>such as (but not limited to) community-led energy<br>related projects. No further details were given as<br>a part of the Application because it will not be<br>taken into account in the planning balance.<br>The Applicant has identified a potential<br>mechanism for the distribution of the fund in the<br>form of Lincolnshire and Nottinghamshire<br>Community Foundations in order to ensure that<br>the fund can be distributed across the Scheme's<br>geographical extents, and have undertaken early<br>stage discussions with them. |

## Table 2.3.13: Applicant's Response to Solar Campaign Alliance [RR-310]

| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                              | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| SCA-01    | The Interested<br>Party | Context of SCA's<br>Representation | "The SCA is an alliance of over 80 community<br>groups who have significant concerns about<br>large-scale ground-mounted solar farms on<br>greenfield land in their areas. The groups within<br>the SCA represent both NSIPs and schemes that<br>are seeking planning approval through local<br>planning authorities." | The Applicant notes this comment. |



| Reference | Theme       | Issue                                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| SCA-02    | Energy Need | Need for Solar PV<br>Energy in the UK | "The SCA acknowledges the importance of<br>renewable energy and accepts that solar PV<br>(particularly roof top solar, car park solar, as well<br>as use of other brownfield sites) has a part to play<br>in supplying renewable energy." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".   |
|           |             |                                       |   | Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> analyses the potential<br>contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the<br>national need for solar generation. Brownfield<br>sites, including rooftop and other community<br>energy systems, are likely to grow in the UK and<br>will make a contribution to decarbonisation of the<br>UK energy system. However, the Statement of<br>Need concludes that on their own, brownfield<br>developments are unlikely to be able to meet the<br>national need for solar. Section 8.5 of the<br>Statement of Need describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that decentralised and<br>community energy systems are unlikely to lead to<br>the significant replacement of large-scale<br>infrastructure. It is the Applicant's view (and this<br>aligns with Government's view) that large scale<br>solar must be deployed to meet the urgent<br>national need for low-carbon electricity<br>generation. |
| SCA-03    | Energy Need | Location of Solar<br>PV Development   | "However, the SCA is against inappropriate development on greenfield land across the UK   | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describes Government's view that large capacities   |



| Reference | Theme                                       | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|--|--|
|           | Planning Policy<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | on Agricultural<br>Land | (and particularly highly productive farmland) and<br>is deeply concerned about the number and scale<br>of such developments across the UK and the<br>cumulative impact this is having. The Alliance also<br>notes the recent Energy Security Strategy<br>statement on solar which states that the<br>government would encourage large-scale<br>projects to be located on previously developed or<br>lower value land." | of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".<br>Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> analyses the potential<br>contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the<br>national need for solar generation. Brownfield<br>sites, including rooftop and other community<br>energy systems, are likely to grow in the UK and<br>will make a contribution to decarbonisation of the<br>UK energy system. However, the Statement of<br>Need concludes that on their own, brownfield<br>developments are unlikely to be able to meet the<br>national need for solar. Section 8.5 of the<br>Statement of Need describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that decentralised and<br>community energy systems are unlikely to lead to<br>the significant replacement of large-scale<br>infrastructure. It is the Applicant's view (and this<br>aligns with Government's view) that large scale<br>solar must be deployed to meet the urgent<br>national need for low-carbon electricity<br>generation.<br>Section 7 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>concludes with a consideration of the Planning<br>Balance and justifies how the overwhelming<br>national need, as demonstrated in the Statement |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
|           |  |   |   | of Need, outweighs any potential significant<br>adverse impacts which, as the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> sets out, are<br>limited, and will be considered by the Secretary of<br>State in making a decision on the application.  |
| SCA-04    | General  | Registration of<br>Objection to<br>Scheme | "The SCA would like to register our objection to<br>the West Burton solar proposals"  | The Applicant notes this comment and has<br>addressed specific points of objection from the<br>Solar Campaign Alliance in the responses to<br>comments/issues SCA-05 to SCA-12 below.  |
| SCA-05    | Planning Policy<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Compliance with<br>National Policy        | "The scheme does not comply with NPPF which<br>stipulates that valuable farmland should be<br>avoided. The land at the proposed West Burton<br>site sustains a range of high yielding arable<br>crops." | With regard to the best and most versatile<br>agricultural land, Paragraph 174 of the NPPF<br>states that "Planning policies and decisions<br>should contribute to and enhance the natural and<br>local environment by: b) recognising the<br>intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside,<br>and the wider benefits from natural capital and<br>ecosystem services – including the economic and<br>other benefits of the best and most versatile<br>agricultural land, and of trees and woodland" The<br>proposed solar farm will be decommissioned at<br>the end of its operational life and will not cause<br>the loss or degradation of the agricultural land<br>resource within the site. As per Paragraph 19.4.4<br>of <b>6.2.19 Environmental Statement - Chapter</b><br><b>19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> the scope of<br>the assessment meets the direction given by the<br>NPPF and paragraph 5.10.8 of the NPS EN-1<br>which states that applicants should seek to<br>minimise impacts on best and most versatile |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
|           |   |  |   | agricultural land and preferably use land in areas<br>of poorer quality. The site selection process has<br>taken account of these policies and sought to<br>minimise the impacts on best and most versatile<br>agricultural land within the Scheme.   |
| SCA-06    | Planning Policy<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture             | UK Food Security   | "The UK is currently importing a large proportion<br>of its food, and restricted supply and food<br>rationing is becoming more prevalent across the<br>UK. Food security must be considered when<br>looking at planning proposals that include such<br>vast areas of highly productive farmland." | Defra reporting on UK food security<br>demonstrates that trends on self sufficiency in UK<br>food production are stable.<br>The NPPF does not include food security as a<br>criteria for assessing planning proposals.<br>We are not aware of food rationing in the UK.   |
| SCA-07    | Principle of<br>Development<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Definition of<br>Temporary<br>Impacts                    | "We do not believe that the impacts can be<br>considered "temporary." Indeed, the loss of<br>agricultural land could be lost indefinitely should<br>the scheme be granted, and then 're-energised'<br>once its term has ended."   | A large proportion of the agricultural land within<br>the solar farm development can be retained in<br>agricultural use during the operational phase of<br>the Scheme for uses such as grazing sheep, as<br>stated in paragraph 19.3.3 of <b>6.2.19</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils</b><br><b>and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> . The agricultural land<br>resource being used for the Scheme is not lost<br>permanently as set out in paragraph 19.9.3 of<br><b>6.2.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19</b><br><b>Soils and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> |
| SCA-08    | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution                 | Site Selection<br>Process and<br>Landscape<br>Mitigation | "We have concerns about the site selection<br>process and the significant impact that this<br>scheme would have on the local landscape and<br>on those who enjoy this landscape. This includes  | Further information on the design and scheme<br>development process is provided within <b>6.2.5</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 5</b><br><b>Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043]</b> .<br>This sets out the step-by-step site selection  |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised                               | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-------|---|--|
|           | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact |       | the inadequacy of the suggested mitigation measures." | process which the Applicant has followed and<br>which confirms the location of the Scheme is<br>suitable for a large-scale solar farm. This has<br>included the avoidance of sensitive landscape<br>and environmental designations in confirming<br>the site suitability and consideration of<br>alternative sites (para. 5.2.7).  |
|           |                                |       |   | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement Chater 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] provides a full assessment on the<br>landscape and visual effects of the Scheme.   |
|           |                                |       |   | There is a requirement to avoid significant harm<br>and development within nationally designated<br>landscapes as set out in section 5.9 of NPS EN1.   |
|           |                                |       |   | Paragraph 5.2.7 of <b>6.2.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Chapter 5 Alternatives and Design</b><br><b>Evolution [APP-043]</b> sets out that<br>"Notwithstanding this, the Scheme has considered<br>these factors and is not considered to give rise to<br>any impacts in respect of the Habitats Directive, nor<br>is it situated within a national landscape<br>designation". |
|           |                                |       |   | Mitigation, including offsets and planting, is<br>proposed to address and minimise adverse<br>effects on the character and visual amenity of the<br>local landscape. This is in line with the agreed<br>methodology and the hierarchy of approach<br>advocated by the Guidelines for Landscape and   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
|           |   |  |   | Visual Impact Assessment, 3rd Edition and was<br>agreed with Lincolnshire County Council and<br>Nottinghamshire County Council at the series of<br>workshops, as set out in <b>6.3.8.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.4 Consultation [APP-<br/>075]</b> .  |
| SCA-09    | Noise and<br>Vibration<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health) | Noise and Visual<br>Impacts on<br>Mental Health<br>and Wellbeing | "We also have questions about noise impacts and<br>the effect of visual and noise impacts on people's<br>mental health and well-being." | The likely impacts of noise and vibration,<br>including any anticipated impacts to residential<br>properties, have been assessed in Section 15.7 of<br><b>6.2.15 Environmental Statement - Chapter 15</b><br><b>Noise and Vibration [APP-056]</b> . The noise and<br>vibration effects are not anticipated to be<br>significant (see paragraphs 15.11.3)<br><b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact [APP-046]</b> (the<br>'LVIA') includes a full and detailed assessment<br>that deals with both effects on the landscape<br>itself and effects on the visual amenity of people,<br>as well as the interrelationships of these with<br>other related topics in the ES such as noise and<br>health and well-being. The LVIA process is<br>iterative and as a result, the design of the Scheme<br>has changed to respond to the findings of the<br>assessment and other related topics to ensure<br>that landscape mitigation is fully considered as<br>part of the process and incorporated into the<br>design of the Scheme. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | As noise impacts have not been assessed to be<br>significant, these are not anticipated to have an<br>adverse impact on mental health and wellbeing.<br>Direct impacts to human health and wellbeing<br>from visual impacts are not anticipated and<br>therefore not assessed. The Applicant refers the<br>Interested Party to Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br/>Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> .  |
|           |       |       |                         | That notwithstanding, the Applicant is cognisant<br>of the significance of the countryside for physical<br>and mental wellbeing and, as such, likely impacts<br>on the desirability and use of recreational<br>facilities in the countryside, such as public rights<br>of way, have been assessed in Section 18.7 of<br><b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18</b><br><b>Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-<br/>056]</b> . The greatest level of effect to access,<br>desirability and use of recreational facilities is<br>limited to short- to medium-term moderate<br>adverse effects on long distance recreational<br>routes (the Trent Valley Way and National<br>Byways) during construction (see Table 18.15 and<br>para. 18.7.62). This is a <b>significant adverse</b><br>effect. This is however the only significant effect |
|           |       |       |                         | anticipated, with no greater than moderate-minor<br>adverse anticipated to any other recreational<br>receptor during construction (see paras. 18.7.60<br>to 18.7.69), or to any recreational receptor during   |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
|           |                             |                           |  | operation (see paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to<br>18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be<br>significant.  |
|           |                             |                           |  | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].  |
| SCA-10    | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Assessment<br>Methodology | "The SCA also has significant concerns about the<br>biodiversity claims and assessments and the<br>long-term impacts this may have." | 6.2.9 Chapter 9 of the Environmental<br>Statement [APP-047] sets out the extensive<br>findings of all ecological investigations<br>undertaken within the Order Limits together with<br>an appraisal of the relative importance of each<br>species or species group, habitat or designated<br>site. This survey scope has been formulated<br>through consultation with Natural England as well<br>as Lincolnshire and Nottinghamshire Wildlife<br>Trusts and has deemed to be thorough and<br>appropriate. |
|           |                             |                           |  | A comprehensive package of mitigation has been<br>provided, in tandem with embedded mitigation<br>which is secured through the ecologically<br>sensitive design of the Scheme (including<br>measures such as the wide buffering of all field<br>boundaries and the use of existing hedgerow<br>gaps for accesses).  |
|           |                             |                           |  | These measures are further detailed within <b>7.17</b><br><b>Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation</b><br><b>Strategy [APP-326]</b> (as secured by Requirement 8   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ) and <b>7.3_A Outline</b><br><b>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> (as secured<br>by Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ) which will ensure that<br>all identified impacts are avoided or minimised as<br>far as possible.   |
|           |       |       |                         | In many cases, the reversion from intensive<br>agriculture to pasture or meadow grassland with<br>additional hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland<br>habitat creation will bring about positive effects<br>for wildlife. In particular, terrestrial and aquatic<br>invertebrates, botanical diversity, small mammals<br>and many species of bird all stand to benefit.  |
|           |       |       |                         | In this way, a substantive net gain for biodiversity<br>will be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net</b><br><b>Gain Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through<br>the creation of extensive low-input grassland<br>resulting in a net gain of 86.80% in habitat units,<br>but also several new ponds and wetland habitat<br>parcels resulting in a net gain of 33.25% in river<br>units, and the planting of several kilometres of<br>species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net gain of<br>54.71% in hedgerow units. |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
|           |                         |                           |  | This is secured through Requirement 9 of<br>Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , which states that "No<br>part of the authorised development may<br>commence until a biodiversity net gain strategy<br>has been submitted to and approved by the<br>relevant planning authority, in consultation with<br>the relevant statutory nature conservation body."   |
| SCA-11    | Transport and<br>Access | Assessment<br>Methodology | "We also consider that traffic and transport<br>impacts have not been adequately addressed." | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the<br>application within <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction</b><br><b>Traffic Management Plan Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A].   |
|           |                         |                           |  | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the<br>management of construction vehicle movements<br>to and from the Scheme, to ensure that the<br>effects of the temporary construction phase on<br>the local highway network are minimised and<br>made acceptable. <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction</b><br><b>Traffic Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> covers: |
|           |                         |                           |  | Construction methodology;<br>Site access;  |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------|--|---|--|
|           |                         |  |   | Construction vehicle trip generation;  |
|           |                         |  |   | Construction vehicle routing;  |
|           |                         |  |   | Abnormal load movement; and  |
|           |                         |  |   | Mitigation and management measures.  |
|           |                         |  |   | The Transport Assessment within <b>6.3.14.1_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1</b><br><b>Transport Assessment Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]</b> provides an<br>assessment of the transport effects of the<br>Scheme and concludes, through paragraphs 11.1<br>to 11.11, that the Scheme is acceptable from the<br>perspective of transport effects.                  |
| SCA-12    | General<br>(Procedural) | Cumulative<br>Assessment of<br>Effects | "We note that West Burton is one of 4 proposals<br>in the West Lindsey area and these should be<br>considered together. The size of these 4<br>proposals together is ca. 10,000 acres (an area<br>approximately the size of Lincoln city)." | The Applicant notes this comment and seeks to<br>assure the Interested Party that a cumulative<br>effects assessment has been prepared for the<br>Application within the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039 to APP-061]</b> .   |
|           |                         |  |   | Cumulative effects assessments for each<br>environmental topic are set out in each of the ES<br>Chapters and include the assessment of the<br>impacts of the Scheme cumulatively with the Gate<br>Burton Energy Park, Cottam Solar Project and<br>Tillbridge Solar Project (see paragraph 2.5.9 of<br><b>6.2.2 Environmental Statement - Chapter 2 EIA</b><br><b>Process and Methodology [APP-040]</b> . |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | This assessment has been carried out in<br>accordance with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA<br>Regulations and PINS Advice Note 17. The<br>mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects. |

## Table 2.3.14: Applicant's Response to Sturton and Stow Neighbourhood Planning Group [RR-321]

| Reference | Theme                                | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| SSNPG-01  | Soils and<br>Agriculture             | Cumulative<br>Impacts on<br>Agricultural Land | "This project along is one of four currently being<br>progressed; Cottam, Gate Burton, West Burton<br>and Tillbridge Solar. Collectively these projects<br>will cover approximately 10,000 ACRES of good<br>farm land." | Agricultural land is not lost to a solar farm<br>development as it will be decommissioned. Land<br>within the proposed developments can continue<br>in agricultural production throughout the<br>operational periods, grazing sheep as noted in<br>paragraph 19.3.3 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture</b><br><b>[APP-057]</b> .   |
| SSNPG-02  | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO                                     | "If the DCO is along the same lines as Cottam<br>then this will no longer be a collaboration."  | The Applicant notes this comment. The draft DCO for this Scheme is similarly drafted to the Cottam draft DCO, as well as other solar DCOs in the local area. This approach is being adopted so there is consistency across the schemes for the benefit of the other parties involved (for example, the local authorities responsible for discharging the requirements in Schedule 2 to the <b>dDCO</b> [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]). |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|
| SSNPG-03  | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)  | Use of<br>Compulsory<br>Acquisition<br>Powers           | "Compulsory purchase of all land and cable<br>routes."                           | <b>6.3.5.1_A Environmental Statement - Appendix</b><br><b>5.1 Site Selection Assessment Revision A [AS-004]</b> confirms that as part of the site selection process, identification of willing landowners was a consideration, along with consideration of environmental constraints and other factors. As a result, those landowners willing to accommodate large scale solar have agreed land options for the Sites.           |
|           |   |   |  | <b>4.1 Statement of Reasons: Compulsory</b><br><b>Acquisition Information [APP-019]</b> sets out the<br>case for why the powers sought over land are<br>necessary and proportionate to deliver the<br>Scheme. Wherever possible, the Applicant is<br>seeking to enter voluntary agreements with<br>landowners and only where this is not possible<br>will powers of compulsory acquisition be<br>exercised.                      |
| SSNPG-04  | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Draft DCO Powers<br>to Remove<br>Hedgerows and<br>Trees | "The right to destroy any and all hedgerows and<br>trees irrespective of TPO'S." | [<br>As set out in the <b>7.1_A Outline Construction</b><br><b>Environmental Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> in Table 3.3 wherever<br>feasible, construction vehicle access to the<br>Scheme's Sites will utilise existing access points.<br>In certain instances, minor vegetation removal<br>may be necessary to accommodate the vehicle's<br>swept path and ensure visibility. In relation to |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | abnormal loads, there is no vegetation removal required, only minor pruning.  |
|           |       |       |                         | In certain locations where existing accesses do<br>not exist, some very minor hedgerow removal is<br>necessary to accommodate the access road<br>between fields, land parcels and solar panel<br>areas. This removal is set out in <b>Hedgerow</b><br><b>Removal Plans [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> . This<br>removal will involve only very short sections of<br>hedgerow to accommodate internal access roads<br>and will not involve loss of trees, in particular<br>trees protected under any Tree Preservation<br>Orders (TPOs). |
|           |       |       |                         | Where these minor areas of hedgerow removal<br>are not being taken forward as an operational<br>access, vegetation can be reinstated once<br>construction is complete as set out in the <b>7.1_A</b><br><b>Outline Construction Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> in Table 3.3.   |
|           |       |       |                         | Details of planting mitigation can be found within<br>6.4.8.18.1-3 Environmental Statement - Figures<br>8.18.1-3 - Landscape and Ecology Mitigation<br>and Enhancement Measures [WB6.4.8.18.1_A<br>to WB6.4.8.18.3_A] that illustrate the existing<br>vegetation and key areas of mitigation proposed<br>as part of the Scheme. The Applicant and its LVIA<br>consultants at Lanpro have worked closely with  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue            | Comments / Issue Raised     | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|------------------|-----------------------------|---|
|           |  |                  |                             | the ecology consultant throughout the<br>application process to inform the LVIA and<br>associated mitigation plans. This is secured in<br>Schedule 2 by Requirement 7 of the dDCO<br>through the approval of a Landscape and<br>Ecological Management Plan<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| SSNPG-05  | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Transport and<br>Access<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Impacts on ProWs | "Stoppage of any footpath." | Public Rights of Way may be subject to short-term<br>temporary diversions or closures to facilitate<br>cable laying as set out in para 3.13 of <b>6.3.14.3_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.3</b><br><b>Outline Public Rights of Way Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> .<br>All Public Rights of Way on and surrounding the<br>Sites are to remain open during construction<br>where feasible, and all existing Public Rights of<br>Way are to be retained during the Scheme's<br>operational lifetime. |
|           |  |                  |                             | A Public Rights of Way Management Plan that is<br>substantially in accordance with the outline<br>PRoWMP <b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> will be<br>implemented during the construction phase of<br>the Scheme. This will be submitted and approved<br>prior to the commencement of construction of<br>the Scheme, as secured through Requirement 18<br>of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                        | Comments / Issue Raised                | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                              |  | Likely impacts on the desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities in the countryside, such as<br>public rights of way, have been assessed in<br>Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement</b><br>- Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and<br>Recreation [APP-056]. The greatest level of effect<br>to access, desirability and use of recreational<br>facilities is limited to short- to medium-term<br>moderate adverse effects on long distance<br>recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way and<br>National Byways) during construction (see Table<br>18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a <b>significant</b><br><b>adverse</b> effect. This is however the only<br>significant effect anticipated, with no greater than<br>moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any other<br>recreational receptor during construction (see<br>paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any recreational<br>receptor during operation (see paras. 18.7.107 to<br>18.7.117) and decommissioning (see paras.<br>18.7.147 to 18.7.157). These effects are not<br>anticipated to be significant. |
| SSNPG-06  | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Transport and<br>Access | Impacts on Public<br>Highway | "Closing or rerouting of any roadway." | There is no intention to close or reroute any<br>roadway as part of the construction of the<br>Scheme, except for short periods when abnormal<br>loads are delivered to the Sites. This information<br>is set out in the Outline Construction Traffic<br>Management Plan (CTMP) within <b>6.3.14.2_A</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2<br>Construction Traffic Management Plan  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
|           |   |  |   | <b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A].</b> This<br>is secured in Schedule 2 by Requirement 15 of<br>the dDCO through the approval of a Construction<br>Traffic Management Plan<br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |
| SSNPG-07  | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Transport and<br>Access                     | Use of Green<br>Lanes/<br>Unmetalled<br>Highways                       | "Turning green lanes into metalled highways."   | There is no intention to turn green lanes into<br>metalled highways as part of the Scheme. The<br>construction vehicle access proposals are set out<br>in the Transport Assessment within <b>6.3.14.1_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1</b><br><b>Transport Assessment Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]</b>  |
| SSNPG-08  | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Impact on Views<br>of and<br>Recreational Use<br>of the<br>Countryside | "Complete disregard of the residents views and<br>ability to use the areas for recreation which have<br>been enjoyed for many years." | <ul> <li>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-046] (the 'LVIA') includes a full and detailed assessment that deals with both effects on the landscape itself and effects on the visual amenity of people, as well as interrelationships of these with other related topics in the ES. The LVIA process is iterative and as a result, the design of the Scheme has changed to respond to the findings of the assessment to ensure that landscape mitigation is fully incorporated into the Scheme. For example, the LVIA mitigation has had regard to the need to consider the landscape character and visual amenity for the users of ProWs. This is set out in 6.3.8.3 Environmental Statement</li></ul> |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | Appendix 8.3 Assessment of Potential Visual<br>Effects [APP-074]. Public Rights of Way Receptor<br>PR006 (Brox/198/1) on pp.907-909 [APP-074]<br>shows in this instance that the Embedded<br>Mitigation would include panels set a minimum of<br>15m from the adjacent ProW. Native hedgerows<br>within and on the boundaries of the WB1 Site will<br>be retained and reinforced with new native trees,<br>as secondary mitigation. Hedgerows would also<br>be maintained at a taller height (c5m). The<br>landscape proposals include provision for a new<br>native woodland shelterbelt and scattered trees<br>along the southern boundary of the WB1 Site.<br>Once established, these measures, combined<br>with the additional tree planting across the Site,<br>would help break up the of the array, substation<br>and associated infrastructure. During the spring<br>and summer, when the vegetation is out in leaf,<br>the hedgerows and trees would soften and filter<br>views. Available views would be limited to<br>transient views through gate entrances and over<br>low hedgerows. |
|           |       |       |                         | In addition to the enhancement and retention of<br>native hedgerows, other mitigation includes<br>native shelter belts and woodland planting within<br>the wider WB1 Site. These mitigation measures<br>will help improve the landscape fabric. Newly<br>planted trees and joined up intact hedgerows in   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | the landscape would also help break up the flat arable fields.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b>   |
|           |       |       |                         | Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics   |
|           |       |       |                         | <b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest<br>level of effect to access, desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities is limited to short- to<br>medium-term moderate adverse effects on long<br>distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way<br>and National Byways) during construction (see<br>Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a<br><b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the<br>only significant effect anticipated, with no greater<br>than moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any<br>other recreational receptor during construction<br>(see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any<br>recreational receptor during operation (see<br>paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to |
|           |       |       |                         | 18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be significant.  |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--|--|---|
|           |                             |  |  | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].  |
| SSNPG-09  | Principle of<br>Development | Community<br>Benefit or<br>Compensatory<br>Funding | "There has been no consultation from any of the<br>solar companies with parishes regarding the<br>setting up of any fund which would run for the<br>entirety of the project to award sums for<br>compensation for detrimental loss." | Whilst not a part of the DCO Application,<br>paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement</b><br>[ <b>APP-313</b> ] explains that the Applicant is<br>committed to providing a Community Benefit<br>Fund.   |
|           |                             |  |  | The use of this fund would be limited to providing<br>funds towards community based projects or<br>facilities, and would not be eligible for use as<br>compensation for personal losses. The Applicant<br>has been liaising directly with landowners, or<br>those with land interests directly affected by the<br>Scheme throughout the DCO application process<br>and they will continue to be contacted to ensure<br>terms of agreement are reached. Furthermore,<br>any losses incurred by owners of land or interests<br>in land as a result of compulsory acquisition for<br>the Scheme may be subject to compensation, as<br>set out in Section 1.11 of <b>4.1 Statement of</b><br><b>Reasons [APP-019]</b> . |
| SSNPG-10  | Soils and<br>Agriculture    | Use of<br>Agricultural Land                        | "The land is used for agricultural purposes."  | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently  |
|           | Energy Need                 |  |  | required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent  |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution |       |                         | system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". It is the<br>Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.  |
|           |   |       |                         | Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> demonstrates that large-<br>scale solar is the most efficient use of land for<br>energy generation purposes.   |
|           |   |       |                         | Agricultural land resource is not lost to or<br>degraded by a solar farm development as it will<br>be decommissioned. The land can also remain in<br>agricultural production through the operational<br>life of the solar farm, and can be used for<br>agricultural purposes such as fattening sheep, as<br>set out in paragraph 19.3.3 of <b>6.2.19</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Chapter 19 Soils</b> |
|           |   |       |                         | and Agriculture [APP-057].  |

# Table 2.3.15: Applicant's Response to The British Horse Society [RR-331]

| Reference | Theme                 | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------|---|-----------------------------------|
| TBHS-01   | The<br>Representation |       | "The British Horse Society is the UK's largest<br>equestrian Charity, representing the UK's 3<br>million horse riders." | The Applicant notes this comment. |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
| TBHS-02   | Transport and<br>Access<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Size and Safety of<br>Equestrian<br>Network | "Nationally equestrians have just 22% of the<br>rights of way network and are increasingly forced<br>to use busy roads to access them. In Lincolnshire<br>the incidents on highways reported to the BHS<br>rose from 61 in 2021 to 78 in 2022. This illustrates<br>the importance of protecting, improving and<br>extending safe off-road provision to help to<br>prevent these numbers from increasing in the<br>future." | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the Public Rights of Way network for recreational<br>activity, including equestrian uses, and its<br>importance to physical and mental wellbeing. The<br>likely nature and significance of effect of the<br>Scheme on the recreational use of public rights of<br>way for all users has been assessed in Section<br>18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b><br><b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest level of effect<br>to the use of bridleways and byways is assessed<br>to be moderate-minor adverse and is anticipated<br>during construction (see Table 18.15) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.143 to<br>18.7.149). |
|           |  |   |  | Public Rights of Way may be subject to short-term<br>temporary diversions or closures to facilitate<br>cable laying as set out in para 3.13 of <b>6.3.14.3_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.3</b><br><b>Outline Public Rights of Way Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> .<br>All Public Rights of Way on and surrounding the<br>Sites are to remain open during construction<br>where feasible, and all existing Public Rights of<br>Way are to be retained during the Scheme's<br>operational lifetime.   |
|           |  |   |  | A Public Rights of Way Management Plan that is substantially in accordance with the outline PRoWMP <b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> will be   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | implemented during the construction phase of<br>the Scheme. This will be submitted and approved<br>prior to the commencement of construction of<br>the Scheme, as secured through Requirement 18<br>of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |
| TBHS-03   | Planning Policy<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | NPPF  | "New development plans present threats and<br>opportunities for all vulnerable road users:<br>equestrians, cyclists, pedestrians, wheelchair<br>users and mobility scooter users. The NPPF para<br>100 states: Planning policies and decisions should<br>protect and enhance public rights of way and<br>access, including taking opportunities to provide<br>better facilities for users, for example by adding<br>links to existing rights of way networks." | The Scheme includes measures to protect<br>existing Public Rights of Way through <b>6.3.14.3_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.3</b><br><b>Outline Public Rights of Way Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> ,<br>as secured through Requirement 18 of Schedule<br>2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . The<br>Scheme also seeks to enhance the existing<br>network through the provision of a new<br>permissive path defined as Work No. 11 in<br>Schedule 1 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .<br>A policy assessment of the measures regarding<br>existing Public Rights of Way and the proposed<br>permissive path can be found in paragraphs<br>6.13.28 to 6.13.30, 6.15.16, 6.16.11 and in<br>Appendix 4 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-<br/>313]</b> . |



| Reference | Theme                     | Issue                                 | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                           |                                       |  | The assessed moderate-minor benefit to<br>recreational use of Public Rights of Way as a<br>result of the proposed permissive path is set out<br>in paragraph 18.7.110 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> .   |
| TBHS-04   | General<br>(Consultation) | Previous<br>Comments not<br>Addressed | "The British Horse Society's comments submitted<br>in 2022 do not appear to have been<br>acknowledged or addressed in the documents<br>available in the pre-examination stage."  | The Applicant notes that the British Horse Society<br>took part in our consultation for the Scheme and<br>would like to thank them for this. The comments<br>submitted by the British Horse Society, including<br>the issues raised and how the Applicant has had<br>regard to these in developing the Scheme, can be<br>found in Table 5.12.11 of <b>5.12 Consultation</b><br><b>Report - Appendix 5.12 - Section 47 Applicant</b><br><b>Response [APP-036]</b> . |
| TBHS-05   | General                   | Quality of PRoW<br>Plan               | "The legend of annotated plans such as the Public<br>Rights of Way Plan makes it difficult to distinguish<br>between PRoW and PRoW to be stopped up<br>temporarily. This was raised with the applicant<br>previously." | The Applicant considers that the British Horse<br>Society is making reference to Figure 2.1 of the<br><b>6.3.14.3_A Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 14.3 Outline Public Rights of Way</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> which provides a<br>high-level overview of the PRoW network across a<br>wide area. A high resolution set of plans is<br>included in Appendices A and B of the same<br>document.  |
| TBHS-06   | General                   | Accessibility of<br>Location Plan     | "Location Plan does not load."   | <b>6.4.1.1 Environmental Statement - Figure 1.1 -</b><br><b>Location Plan [APP-139]</b> has been submitted to<br>PINS as part of the DCO Application and in<br>available on the PINS website. Please contact   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
|           |  |  |   | PINS if there are any issues downloading the document.  |
| TBHS-07   | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Transport and<br>Access                           | Bridleway &<br>Byway Network           | "Why is the permissive path described as<br>'footpath' rather than a multi-user route? The<br>bridleway and byway network in this area is<br>fragmented (with more provision in the<br>Nottinghamshire side than the Lincolnshire side),<br>therefore leaving limited access for equestrians<br>unless they ride/lead/carriage drive on the main<br>roads to reach the safety of off-road provision."   | The permissive path, as described in paragraph<br>3.9.5 of <b>7.6 Design and Access Statement [APP-<br/>314]</b> , is intended primarily as a footpath due to<br>the anticipated users predominantly being on<br>foot, and as a result of continuing agricultural<br>requirements in the fields bounded by the<br>proposed path. It was therefore not considered<br>necessary or suitable to provide a facility for<br>horse-riders or cyclists. Furthermore, the<br>permissive path does not connect to the wider<br>bridleway network. The wider bridleway network<br>is identified within Appendix B of <b>6.3.14.3_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.3</b><br><b>Outline Public Rights of Way Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> .<br>Therefore, there is unlikely to be a significant<br>demand from equestrian users. |
| TBHS-08   | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Community<br>Benefits of the<br>Scheme | "Despite acknowledgement that consultation<br>feedback to the EIA requested permissive routes<br>to be bridleways to include all vulnerable road<br>users - equestrians, cyclists, pedestrians,<br>wheelchair users and mobility scooter users<br>rather than only pedestrians. The Consultation<br>Report response is recorded as still limiting the<br>route to walkers and cyclists. Additionally, the<br>permissive route represented a very limited offer<br>considering the size and scale of the | In Table 1.1 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> on p12, it is stated that: 'The Applicant has<br>introduced a permissive footpath across the West<br>Burton 2 site area, increasing recreational<br>connectivity north of Saxilby. This will contribute<br>to the wider network of footpaths in the area and<br>facilitate greater public access to the countryside.'<br>The permissive path, as described in paragraph<br>7.6.1 of <b>7.6 Design and Access Statement [APP-</b>  |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
|           |                         |                             | development; ways to include equestrians rather<br>than excluding them should be the starting point<br>otherwise the 'community benefit' does not<br>benefit the whole community."  | <b>314]</b> , is intended primarily as a footpath due to<br>the anticipated users predominantly being on<br>foot. It was therefore not considered necessary or<br>suitable to provide a facility for horse-riders or<br>cyclists. Furthermore, the permissive path does<br>not connect to the wider bridleway network. The<br>wider bridleway network is identified within<br>Appendix B of <b>6.3.14.3_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.3 Outline Public</b><br><b>Rights of Way Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> . Therefore, there<br>is unlikely to be a significant demand from<br>equestrian users.   |
| TBHS-09   | Transport and<br>Access | Construction HGV<br>Traffic | "How would risks on the highway during the<br>construction period be managed? The<br>construction period for a development of this<br>scale is considerable – 520 days of HGV<br>movements proposed. HGV return journeys at<br>the height of the construction period will impact<br>on the volume of traffic on the road network<br>locally. Already mainly 60mph roads with some<br>sections of 30mph and 50mph, the additional<br>traffic will make the highways higher risk for<br>vulnerable road users in the absence of speed<br>restrictions or other traffic calming measures." | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the<br>application within <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction</b><br><b>Traffic Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> .<br>The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the<br>management of construction vehicle movements<br>to and from the Scheme, to ensure that the<br>effects of the temporary construction phase on<br>the local highway network are minimised and<br>made acceptable. <b>6.3.14.2 Outline Construction</b><br><b>Traffic Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN01032/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> covers: |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
|           |                         |                                |   | Construction methodology;  |
|           |                         |                                |   | Site access;   |
|           |                         |                                |   | Construction vehicle trip generation;  |
|           |                         |                                |   | Construction vehicle routing;  |
|           |                         |                                |   | Abnormal load movement; and  |
|           |                         |                                |   | Mitigation and management measures.  |
|           |                         |                                |   | 6.3.14.1_A Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 14.1 Transport Assessment Revision<br>A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A] provides an<br>assessment of the transport effects of the<br>Scheme and concludes, through paragraphs 11.1<br>to 11.11, that the Scheme is acceptable from the<br>perspective of transport effects. |
| TBHS-10   | Transport and<br>Access | Construction<br>Worker Traffic | "Shuttle buses for workers is environmentally<br>sound and reduces volume of traffic although<br>does increase the number of large vehicles on the<br>country lanes. It is positive that the operation<br>hours for construction are limited to avoid<br>evenings although Saturday mornings would<br>coincide with times that equestrians would be<br>active on the lanes and PRoW. It is also positive<br>that banksmen will be used for the access points<br>for HGV's." | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| TBHS-11   | Transport and<br>Access | Abnormal<br>Indivisible Loads  | "Any abnormal loads which may be transported<br>would also be notified in advance to allow  | The Applicant confirms that the final CTMP will include provision for providing forewarning to any interested parties which is secured in  |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------------------------|---|---|---|
|           |                         |   | equestrians to choose to avoid the area on that day."   | Schedule 2 by Requirement 15 of the dDCO <b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b>  |
| TBHS-12   | Transport and<br>Access | Construction HGV<br>Traffic and<br>Highway Safety | "HGV's are generally 2.5m wide and 4.5m high<br>(some vehicles for component transportation<br>significantly larger) which, on the road network<br>local to the site, would sandwich a horse and<br>rider between the vehicle and the hedgerow or<br>ditch along the route with little room for refuge<br>which may cause panic. Appropriate<br>information/training should be provided to<br>drivers/ development workers to give priority to<br>users of the PRoW and country lanes." | All HGV drivers will have the appropriate licences<br>and accreditations for the transportation of<br>equipment to the Sites. This is secured in<br>Schedule 2 by Requirement 15 of the dDCO<br>through the approval of a Construction Traffic<br>Management Plan [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].<br>An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the<br>application within 6.3.14.2_A Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction<br>Traffic Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]. The measures<br>therein will be shared with all suppliers so that<br>drivers are aware of the construction vehicle<br>routes and management measures that will be in<br>place. |
| TBHS-13   | Transport and<br>Access | Construction<br>Signage                           | "The additional signage during construction to<br>warn traffic of equestrians and other vulnerable<br>road users in the area, and ensure they are<br>considerate in terms of vehicles stopping if<br>necessary and allowing vulnerable road users<br>users to pass safely, as suggested by the BHS in<br>July 2022, is welcomed."   | The Applicant notes this comment.   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|
| TBHS-14   | Transport and<br>Access   | Fear and<br>Intimidation to<br>Road Users | "The 'Pedestrian Amenity' (Chapter 14 Transport<br>and Access) re access and delay has been<br>amended to include cyclists but not equestrians –<br>why have this group been excluded when<br>horses/riders/carriage drivers, more often<br>travelling alone or in pairs or threes, can also be<br>subject to fear and intimidation from MPV<br>traffic?" | It is acknowledged that horse riders may use the<br>local highway network and bridleways operate<br>close to, or through the Scheme. An addendum<br>will be prepared to <b>6.2.14 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 14 Transport and Access</b><br><b>[APP-052</b> ] and will be submitted at Deadline 1 to<br>include equestrians.<br>The number of construction vehicle movements  |
|           |   |   |   | predicted on the local road network is set out in<br>Section 6 of the 6.3.14.1_A Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 14.1 Transport<br>Assessment Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]. Traffic mitigation<br>and management measures are set out in Section<br>7 of 6.3.14.2 Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 14.2 Outline Construction Traffic<br>Management Plan<br>[EN01032/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A].   |
| TBHS-15   | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Noise and<br>Vibration | Positioning of<br>Inverters               | "The position of the inverters should ensure they<br>are installed away from the highway. Sudden<br>noise and continuous levels of noise can be a<br>hazard for equestrians as horses are flight<br>animals, therefore the further these elements can<br>be located from the highway, the better it will be<br>for safety."                               | Potential noise effects from proposed equipment<br>were considered at an early stage in the design of<br>scheme, which influenced the positioning of<br>equipment. The likely impacts of noise and<br>vibration have been assessed in Section 15.7 of<br><b>6.2.15 Environmental Statement - Chapter 15</b><br><b>Noise and Vibration [APP-053]</b> . Equestrian<br>receptors have not been specifically assessed, as<br>this is not required by the EIA guidance. However,<br>referring to the noise contour plots within<br><b>6.3.15.3 Environmental Statement - Appendix</b> |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
|           |  |  |  | <b>15.3 Assessment of Key Effects [APP-131]</b><br>(figures 15.3.1 to 15.3.5), noise effects rarely<br>occur outside the boundaries of each Site.<br>Resultingly, the noise and vibration effects are<br>not anticipated to be significant and therefore the<br>risks of noise impacts affecting equestrians is<br>considered to be low. The Applicant considers its<br>approach to the assessment to be proportionate<br>and appropriate.  |
| TBHS-16   | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | The Scheme's<br>Community<br>Benefit   | "The community benefit mentions walking and<br>cycling networks at the exclusion of equestrians.<br>According to BETA two-thirds of equestrians are<br>women and Church et al (2010) found 37% of<br>women who are horse riders are over 45 years of<br>age and over a third would pursue no other<br>physical activity. Developers should be looking at<br>how to include this group, not how to exclude<br>them. How will the design protect and enhance<br>the existing public bridleways, byways and UCR's<br>and ensure they remain accessible during the<br>project lifetime?" | The permissive path, as described though<br>paragraph 3.9.5 of <b>7.6 Design and Access</b><br><b>Statement [APP-314]</b> , is intended primarily as a<br>footpath due to the anticipated users<br>predominantly being on foot.<br>It was not considered necessary or suitable to<br>provide a facility for horse-riders or cyclists.<br>Furthermore, the permissive path does not<br>connect to the wider bridleway network. The<br>wider bridleway network is identified within<br>Appendix B of <b>6.3.14.3_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.3 Outline Public</b><br><b>Rights of Way Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> . Therefore, there<br>is unlikely to be a significant demand from<br>equestrian users. |
| TBHS-17   | General  | DMMO<br>Applications near<br>to Scheme | "DMMO applications 442, 501, 581, 590, 591, are<br>on the register of Definitive Map Modification<br>Order applications.   | The Applicant notes this comment but does not consider these DMMO applications of material consideration.   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|---|---|
|           |  |                         | https://www.lincolnshire.gov.uk/directory/<br>8/register-of-dmmo-applications"                    | DMMO applications 442, 581 and 590, and 591 all<br>refer to routes defined on Lincolnshire County<br>Council's "Electronic working copy definitive map"<br>as unmetalled roads/green lanes which already<br>legally have unlimited access to equestrian (or<br>other PRoW) users.   |
|           |  |                         |   | DMMO application 501 refers to a footpath<br>application in West Ashby, some 35km to the<br>southeast of WB1 and is therefore not considered<br>relevant.   |
| TBHS-18   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Transport and<br>Access<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Stopping up of<br>PRoWs | "Temporary stopping up is difficult to ascertain<br>on the plans and clarity of proposed period." | Public Rights of Way may be subject to short-term<br>temporary diversions or closures to facilitate<br>cable laying as set out in para 3.13 of <b>6.3.14.3_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.3</b><br><b>Outline Public Rights of Way Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> .<br>All Public Rights of Way on and surrounding the<br>Sites are to remain open during construction<br>where feasible, and all existing Public Rights of<br>Way are to be retained during the Scheme's<br>operational lifetime. |
|           |  |                         |   | A Public Rights of Way Management Plan that is<br>substantially in accordance with the outline<br>PRoWMP <b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> will be<br>implemented during the construction phase of<br>the Scheme. This will be submitted and approved<br>prior to the commencement of construction of<br>the Scheme, as secured through Requirement 18   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---------------------------|---|---|
|           |   |                           |   | of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| TBHS-19   | Transport and<br>Access                           | Assessment<br>Methodology | "Table 14.6 (Chapter 14 Transport and Access)<br>has counted walkers and cyclists on the bridleway<br>but have equestrians been considered? The low<br>usage from all users may be the result of poor<br>access to the off-road routes, low density of<br>PRoW and that often the routes are disconnected<br>therefore not offering circular or longer distance<br>trails. Surely riding, walking and wheeling should<br>be encouraged in the area, not dismissed." | It is acknowledged that horse riders may use the<br>local highway network and bridleways operate<br>close to, or through the Scheme. An addendum<br>will be prepared to <b>6.2.14 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 14 Transport and Access</b><br><b>[APP-052</b> ] and will be submitted at Deadline 1 to<br>include equestrians.   |
| TBHS-20   | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Assessment<br>Methodology | "Likewise, Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism<br>and Recreation, ignores equestrian sport,<br>amenity, countryside access and tourism – the<br>language used, which could be construed as<br>discriminatory, needs to reflect all user groups;<br>walking, wheeling and riding is more inclusive<br>and accurate in respect of activity, ability, age and<br>gender."   | Impacts on livery yards and equestrian services<br>have not been assessed as the <b>6.3.2.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 2.2 EIA</b><br><b>Scoping Opinion [APP-068]</b> does not refer to the<br>need to specifically assess equestrian facilities,<br>rather it only refers to assessing the impacts on<br>horse-riding activities in the traffic and transport<br>assessment (see scoping response from UK HSA,<br>pg. 157 [APP-068]). |
|           |   |                           |   | The number of equestrian sports facilities<br>identified within the areas immediately affected<br>by the location of the Scheme is small, and thus<br>they have not been explicitly separated from the<br>assessment of tourism and recreational facilities<br>assessed in <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b>   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                        |   | <b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The Applicant considers its approach to the assessment to be proportionate and appropriate.  |
| TBHS-21   | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Equestrian<br>Industry | "The equestrian industry generates £4.7 billion of<br>consumer spending, £5,548 per horse (BETA,<br>2019) is contributed to the economy benefitting<br>local economies where equestrian activities<br>thrive. DEFRA has recorded 5,599 horses in the<br>immediate DN10, DN22, LN1 and DN21 postcode<br>areas (2021), making a total of £31,063,252<br>contribution to the economy. There are livery<br>yards in the area creating employment and using<br>equine services (vet, farrier, feed, instructors, etc)<br>as well as growing interest in equestrian tourism<br>('take your horse on holiday')." | Impacts on livery yards and equestrian services<br>have not been assessed as the <b>6.3.2.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 2.2 EIA</b><br><b>Scoping Opinion [APP-068]</b> does not refer to the<br>need to assess equestrian facilities specifically,<br>rather it only refers to assessing the impacts on<br>horse-riding activities in the traffic and transport<br>assessment (see scoping response from UK HSA,<br>pg. 157 [APP-068]).<br>That notwithstanding, Ingleby Hall Livery is<br>located immediately adjacent to the site at West<br>Burton 2, and shares access with the proposed<br>construction and operation access 5 (as identified<br>in Figure 4.1 of <b>6.3.14.1_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.1 Transport</b><br><b>Assessment Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]</b> ). The Applicant<br>confirms that banksmen will be positioned at the<br>shared access point during construction to<br>minimise conflict between construction and livery<br>traffic, as set out in the mitigation provided in the<br>outline <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b> |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                      | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
|           |  |  |  | [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]. The mitigation<br>measures therein are secured by Requirement 15<br>of Schedule 2 to 3.1_A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |  |  |  | Furthermore, due to the small number of other<br>identified facilities in proximity to the Scheme,<br>and the non-significant anticipated effect on the<br>Public Right of Way network available to<br>equestrian users (see Tables 18.15 and 18.20 in<br><b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18</b><br><b>Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-<br/>056]</b> ), direct impacts on equestrian facilities and<br>businesses in the Local Impact Area have not<br>been considered further. |
|           |  |  |  | The Applicant considers its approach to the assessment to be proportionate and appropriate.   |
| TBHS-22   | Principle of<br>Development<br>Transport and<br>Access | Construction<br>Traffic<br>Management Plan | "Damage to surfaces of the PRoW should be<br>repaired within a timeframe, not open-ended.<br>Also damage to the highway eg verges should be<br>repaired in a timely manner to ensure that the<br>whole width of the highway can be used by<br>vulnerable road users, particularly where refuge<br>from HGV's and other construction traffic is<br>required." | The Applicant notes that the British Horse Society<br>is making reference to paragraph 7.2 (i) of<br><b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> , which states that<br>"Any damage to the surface of the footpath will<br>be repaired as soon as practicable".   |
|           |  |  |  | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the<br>application within <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental</b>  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction                      |
|           |       |       |                         | Traffic Management Plan Revision A                          |
|           |       |       |                         | [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]. 3.1_A Draft                    |
|           |       |       |                         | Development Consent Order Revision A                        |
|           |       |       |                         | [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A], provides (in                        |
|           |       |       |                         | Requirement 15 of Schedule 2) that "No part of the          |
|           |       |       |                         | authorised development may commence until a                 |
|           |       |       |                         | construction traffic management plan for that part          |
|           |       |       |                         | must be submitted to and approved by the relevant           |
|           |       |       |                         | planning authority or, where the part falls within the      |
|           |       |       |                         | administrative areas of multiple relevant planning          |
|           |       |       |                         | authorities, each of the relevant planning                  |
|           |       |       |                         | <i>authorities</i> ". It further provides that "The         |
|           |       |       |                         | construction traffic management plan must be                |
|           |       |       |                         | substantially in accordance with the outline                |
|           |       |       |                         | <i>construction traffic management plan.</i> " As such, the |
|           |       |       |                         | Applicant can confirm that the provision for the            |
|           |       |       |                         | repair of damage to the surface of the footpath             |
|           |       |       |                         | as soon as practicable will be secured through              |
|           |       |       |                         | this mechanism.   |

# Table 2.3.16: Applicant's Response to UK Health Security Agency [RR-341]

| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| UKHSA-01  | The Interested<br>Party | UKHSA's<br>Representation | "Thank you for your consultation regarding the<br>above development. The UK Health Security<br>Agency (UKHSA) welcomes the opportunity to<br>comment on your proposals at this stage of the | The Applicant notes this comment. |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                      | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                            | project. Please note that we request views from<br>the Office for Health Improvement and<br>Disparities (OHID) and the response provided is<br>sent on behalf of both UKHSA and OHID."  |  |
| UKHSA-02  | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health) | Impacts on<br>Human Health | "We can confirm that: With respect to Registration<br>of Interest documentation, we are reassured that<br>earlier comments raised by us on 08 January<br>2023 have been addressed. In addition, we<br>acknowledge that the Environmental Statement<br>(ES) has not identified any issues which could<br>significantly affect public health. UKHSA/OHID is<br>satisfied with the methodology used to undertake<br>the environmental assessment. Following our<br>review of the submitted documentation we are<br>satisfied that the proposed development should<br>not result in any significant adverse impact on<br>public health. On that basis, we have no<br>additional comments to make at this stage and<br>can confirm that we have chosen NOT to register<br>an interest with the Planning Inspectorate on this<br>occasion." | The Applicant notes this comment and welcomes<br>the UKHSA's position. |

# Table 2.3.17: Applicant's Response to United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority [RR-342]

| Reference | Theme        | Issue            | Comments / Issue Raised                           | Applicant's Response                                |
|-----------|--------------|------------------|---|---|
| UKAEA-01  | Principle of | Impact of the    | "The West Burton Solar Project has the potential  | [Mine is a grid-centric response, I think we need a |
|           | Development  | Scheme on Future | to directly affect the United Kingdom Atomic      | legalese response to UKAEA which says they don't    |
|           |              |                  | Energy Authority's ("UKAEA") plans for the future | have concrete plans, haven't set out what they      |



| Reference Theme             | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Reference Theme<br>Energy N |       | of the EDF West Burton Power Station. UKAEA<br>researches fusion energy and related<br>technologies, with the aim of positioning the UK<br>as a leader in sustainable nuclear energy. UKAEA<br>is an executive non-departmental public body,<br>sponsored by the Department for Energy Security<br>and Net Zero. The EDF West Burton Power<br>Station will become home to the second<br>generation Spherical Tokomak for Energy<br>Production (STEP), which is a project to harness<br>the energy of atomic fusion." | Applicant's Responseare going to do or what the affect on them might<br>be and of course stress urgency at this end.]Section 5.3 of 7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]<br>sets out the urgent need for decarbonisation of<br>the electricity sector, indeed Paragraph 8.9.3 of<br>the Statement of Need explains that in 2021, BEIS<br>(now DESNZ) unveiled plans to decarbonise UK<br>power system by 2035.The plans focus on building a secure, home-<br>grown energy sector that reduces reliance on<br>fossil fuels and exposure to volatile global<br>wholesale energy prices and solar is part of that<br>solution.Section 8.4 [APP-320] describes the connection<br>process of generators to the National Electricity<br>Transmission System (NETS) and Section 9.1<br>describes National Grid's processes to ensure<br>that future needs are anticipated.The Applicant holds a Grid Connection<br>Agreement and if in the future other schemes<br>come forward to connect, their requirements will |

 Table 2.3.18: Applicant's Response to Weightmans LLP (on behalf of Northern Powergrid) [RR-347]



| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
| NP-01     | The<br>Representation  | Context of the<br>NP's<br>Representation                         | "The following representations are submitted on<br>behalf of Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) PLC<br>('Northern Powergrid') who are an electricity<br>undertaker for the area within which the West<br>Burton Solar Project is located: Northern<br>Powergrid is in principle supportive of the above<br>project but has concerns regarding the impacts<br>that the proposed scheme will have on existing<br>assets and their pending improvement works."   | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
| NP-02     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO)<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters<br>(Telecoms &<br>Utilities) | Compulsory<br>Acquisition and<br>Impact on<br>Existing Utilities | "Areas shown within the proposed development<br>boundary have a direct impact on Northern<br>Powergrid's existing critical national<br>infrastructure which serve significant numbers of<br>customers in the local and wider area, and the<br>rights for these assets are essential in<br>maintaining an uninterrupted power supply to<br>the customers they serve. In particular, the<br>proposed development seeks to interfere with<br>Northern Powergrid's existing apparatus, access<br>to such apparatus and servicing rights which are<br>vital for Northern Powergrid's existing operations.<br>The accompanying compulsory purchase order<br>for the development seeks to acquire land and<br>interests which, if acquired, would adversely<br>affect Northern Powergrid's ability to use,<br>upgrade and access its apparatus. It is not<br>necessary to acquire these interests where an<br>agreement between the parties would be more<br>appropriate." | The Applicant notes this comment and will<br>continue to engage with Northern Powergrid to,<br>amongst other things, agree a set of final<br>protective provisions to be included in the DCO. It<br>is noted that the current protective provisions (in<br>Part 5 of Schedule 16 to the <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> do provide that the<br>Applicant cannot acquire any apparatus or<br>override any easement or other interest of<br>Northern Powergrid otherwise than by<br>agreement with Northern Powergrid, such<br>agreement not to be unreasonably withheld or<br>delayed.<br>The requirement for on-site surveys to ground-<br>truth the location of utilities is established in para<br>21.3.4 and 21.3.5 of 6.2.21 Environmental<br><b>Statement - Chapter 21 Other Environmental</b><br><b>Matters [APP-059]</b> and detailed within Table 3.14<br>of <b>7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental</b> |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|
|           |                                      |   |   | Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]. The production of a<br>detailed CEMP has been secured by Requirement<br>13 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. The Applicant is<br>committed to consultation and agreement with<br>operators and/or owners of utility infrastructure<br>that is likely to be directly impacted by the<br>location or design of the Scheme. |
| NP-03     | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO:<br>Protective<br>Provisions          | "In addition to the technical impacts of the<br>proposed development, Northern Powergrid has<br>concerns over the proposed protective provisions<br>contained within the draft order as they do not<br>take into account site specific issues and do not<br>accord with Northern Powergrid's standard<br>protective provision requirements."  | As noted in NP-02 above, draft protective<br>provisions for the protection of Northern<br>Powergrid are included in Part 5 of Schedule 16<br>to the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> The<br>Applicant looks forward to working with Northern<br>Powergrid to agree a final set of protective<br>provisions which address the site specific<br>concerns raised.                      |
| NP-04     | General                              | Continuation of<br>Engagement with<br>Applicant | "Northern Powergrid has discussed its concerns<br>with West Burton Solar Project Limited and the<br>parties are working closely to reduce the project's<br>impacts on Northern Powergrid's apparatus.<br>Northern Powergrid is keen to keep an open<br>dialogue with West Burton Solar Project Limited<br>and to engage with the applicant's legal<br>representative to agree appropriate amendments<br>to the protective provisions within the DCO." | The Applicant notes this comment and welcomes continued dialogue with Northern Powergrid.  |



# Table 2.3.19: Applicant's Response to Witham & Humber IDB [RR-351]

| Reference | Theme                 | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------|-------------------------|---|
| WHIDB-01  | The<br>Representation |       | district.               | The Applicant notes this comment and is<br>committed to entering a Statement of Common<br>Ground with Upper Witham IDB (as part of the<br>Witham & Humber IDB group). |



#### 2.4 The Applicant's Responses to Parish Councils, Parish Meetings, or Neighbourhood Community Groups

#### Table 2.4.1: Applicant's Response to Brampton Village Parish Meeting [RR-029]

| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| BVPM-01   | Principle of<br>Development                            | Objection to<br>Scheme                 | "From the residents of Brampton Village, the<br>majority view is clear. We are against the siting of<br>2000, 4.5m solar panels close to this small, rural<br>village, together with battery storage buildings in<br>Marton, for clear, rational reasons."  | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| BVPM-02   | Energy Need<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Location and<br>Scale of the<br>Scheme | "The sheer scale of all of these projects will have a<br>dramatic, negative impact on the area. Whilst the<br>village understands the need to explore<br>alternative energy sources, we do not believe that<br>10,000 acres of industrialisation of green belt<br>with solar infrastructure, is the way forward." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". |
|           |  |  |   | Section 7 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>concludes with a consideration of the Planning<br>Balance and justifies how the overwhelming<br>national need, as demonstrated in the Statement<br>of Need outweighs any potential significant<br>adverse impacts which, as the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> sets out, are<br>limited.                   |
|           |  |  |   | The Applicant furthermore wishes to clarify that<br>no land within the Order Limits of West Burton,<br>Cottam, Gate Burton, or Tillbridge (the last three<br>are assumed to be the "projects" that the   |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
|           |                             |                                       |   | Interested Party is referring to) is designated as<br>Green Belt, as this is a specific policy designation<br>for areas around major urban centres to prevent<br>urban sprawl. The nearest Green Belt is the South<br>and West Yorkshire Green Belt where it extends<br>between Bawtry and Tickhill, and is thus no closer<br>than 15km from the Order Limits for West<br>Burton.   |
| BVPM-03   | Soils and<br>Agriculture    | Food Security                         | "These projects will take out 10,000 acres of<br>valuable food production land, at a time when the<br>country is desperate to secure our own food<br>sources and reduce imports." | Defra produce a UK food security report <sup>3</sup> , the<br>most recent of which was published in 2021. It<br>notes that key risks to UK food security include<br>climate change and soil degradation.<br>Development of UK agricultural land is not noted<br>among these risks. In addition to renewable<br>energy, the Scheme is anticipated to enable the<br>recovery of soil organic matter through the<br>reversion of arable land to less intensive<br>agricultural uses for the duration of the operation<br>of the Scheme. For further details please see<br>Paragraph 19.9.14 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture</b><br><b>[APP-057].</b> |
| BVPM-04   | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Impact on Ecology<br>and Biodiversity | "The installation of such a large expanse of<br>technology will negatively impact on wildlife<br>welfare and diversity, at a time when this country                               | Section 9.6 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b><br>sets out the extensive findings of all ecological<br>investigations undertaken within the Order Limits  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> UK Food Security Report 2021, Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | is amongst the world's worst example of reduction in diversity." | together with an appraisal of the relative<br>importance of each species or species group,<br>habitat or designated site potentially affected by<br>the Scheme. A comprehensive package of<br>mitigation measures has been identified, in<br>tandem with embedded mitigation (see Section<br>9.6) which is secured through the ecologically<br>sensitive design of the Scheme (and includes<br>measures such as the wide buffering of all field<br>boundaries and the use of existing hedgerow<br>gaps for accesses). These measures are detailed<br>within <b>7.17 Outline Ecological Protection and</b><br><b>Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b> and <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which is secured<br>through Requirements 7 and 8 in Schedule 2 of<br>the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |       |       |  | These documentsaim to ensure that all identified<br>impacts are minimised as far as possible. In many<br>cases, the reversion from intensive agriculture to<br>pasture or meadow grassland with additional<br>hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland habitat<br>creation will bring about positive effects for<br>wildlife. In particular, terrestrial and aquatic<br>invertebrates, botanical diversity, small mammals<br>and many species of bird all stand to benefit as<br>set out in paragraphs 9.7.185 to 9.7.188 in <b>6.2.9</b>  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|
|           |   |  |  | Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology<br>and Biodiversity [APP-047] .   |
| BVPM-05   | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Glint and Glare<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health) | Human Health<br>Impacts of the<br>Scheme | "The human impact of such a huge development<br>cannot be underestimated. Mental health and<br>well-being have been cornerstones of recovery<br>since the COVID pandemic. The residents of<br>Brampton Village deserve more than to be<br>surrounded by inefficient solar panels sited at an<br>outrageous cost on green belt land." | <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') includes a full and detailed<br>assessment that deals with both effects on the<br>landscape itself and effects on the visual amenity<br>of people, as well as interrelationships of these<br>with other related topics in the ES. The LVIA<br>process is iterative and as a result, the design of<br>the Scheme has changed to respond to the<br>findings of the assessment to ensure that<br>landscape mitigation is fully considered as part of<br>the process.  |
|           |   |  |  | The LVIA takes into account the effects on visual<br>amenity and landscape character, including<br>proximity to people's houses. This aspect of the<br>LVIA includes selected towns or villages, groups<br>of buildings and singular buildings (the<br>'Residential Receptors') within the 1km Study<br>Area. These towns or villages include the<br>settlement of Brampton. Specific residential<br>receptors within Brampton that have been taken<br>into consideration that include R70 Residents in<br>Brampton, R71 Grange Bungalow and R72 The<br>Grange Farm. These residential receptors have<br>been assessed and the effects, as a result of the<br>Scheme, are <b>Not Significant</b> . This is due to the |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | intervening vegetation (mostly tree cover) to the<br>east of the settlement, particularly associated with<br>the minor watercourse, Bellwood Grange Farm and<br>Manor Farm.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA has also considered the impacts to<br>landscape character and visual amenity for the<br>users of PRoW. This is set out in <b>6.3.8.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.3</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Visual Effects [APP-<br/>074]</b> .   |
|           |       |       |                         | Please refer specifically to Public Rights of Way<br>Receptors PR048 (Bram/956/1 – Tork/957/1),<br>PR049 (Tork/779/1) and PR050 (Tork/96/1 –<br>Tork/96/2) are located to the southwest of<br>Brampton outside the DCO limits with the West<br>Burton 3 (WB3) site being located to the<br>northeast of the settlement. With these PRoW,<br>any long-range views of the Site are prevented by<br>intervening vegetation and settlement and so<br>there is no mitigation specific to these receptors. |
|           |       |       |                         | Native hedgerows within and on the boundaries<br>of the WB3 Site would be retained and reinforced<br>with new native trees. Hedgerows would also be<br>maintained at a taller height (c5m). The landscape<br>proposals also include for a new native woodland  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | shelterbelt along the western boundary of the<br>Site. Once established, these measures,<br>combined with the additional tree planting, would<br>help break up views of the array, substation and<br>associated infrastructure. During the spring and<br>summer, when the vegetation is out in leaf, the<br>hedgerows and trees would soften and filter<br>views. Available views would be limited to<br>transient views through gate entrances and over<br>low hedgerows.   |
|           |       |       |                         | These mitigation measures will help improve the<br>landscape fabric. Newly planted trees and joined<br>up intact hedgerows in the landscape would also<br>help break up the flat arable fields These<br>mitigation measures are set out within <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] and will be secured<br>through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | level of effect to access, desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities is limited to short- to<br>medium-term moderate adverse effects on long<br>distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way<br>and National Byways) during construction (see<br>Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a<br><b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the<br>only significant effect anticipated, with no greater<br>than moderate-minor adverse effect anticipated<br>to any other recreational receptor during<br>construction (see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to<br>any recreational receptor during operation (see<br>paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to<br>18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be<br>significant. |
|           |       |       |                         | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant furthermore wishes to clarify that<br>no land within the Order Limits of West Burton, is<br>designated as Green Belt, as this is a specific<br>policy designation for areas around major urban<br>centres to prevent urban sprawl. The nearest<br>Green Belt is the South and West Yorkshire Green<br>Belt where it extends between Bawtry and<br>Tickhill, and is thus no closer than 15km from the<br>Order Limits for West Burton.   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------|---|---|---|
| BVPM-06   | General | Impact on<br>Property Value<br>and Exclusion<br>from Decision<br>Making | "Finally, the impact on the value & potential<br>demand for property in Brampton can only be<br>negative. The village is of the view that the<br>proposals will reduce the value of their<br>properties. This is a bad proposal, made worse by<br>the complete exclusion of residents views as a<br>result of decisions taken outside the usual<br>planning procedures. There will be winners and<br>losers; the winners will clearly be business and<br>land owners, but the local population/residents<br>will certainly be losers should any of these<br>schemes be approved." | Property value is not a consideration for decision<br>making on DCO applications. As such, impacts on<br>property values have not been assessed as part<br>of the application. Nonetheless, there is no strong<br>evidence to show solar farms negatively affect<br>nearby property value, and it is more likely that<br>other (including opposing) factors are more<br>significant to changes in property value.<br>As stated in paragraph 5.2.2 of <b>7.5 Planning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-313]</b> , the Scheme is defined as<br>an NSIP under Sections 14(1)(a), 15(1) and 15(2) of<br>the Planning Act 2008.<br>As such, under Section 103 of the Planning Act<br>2008, the Secretary of State has the function of<br>deciding an application for an order granting<br>development consent. |
|           |         |   |   | Local Authorities have a statutory role throughout the DCO process.   |
|           |         |   |   | • Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008<br>(PA2008) provides that the Applicant must<br>consult with each local authority, as<br>prescribed within Section 43 of the PA2008.  |
|           |         |   |   | • Section 56 of the PA2008 provides that the Applicant must give notice to each local authority, as prescribed within Section 56A, where the Secretary of State accepts an  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | application for an order granting development consent.  |
|           |       |       |                         | • Section 60 (2) of the PA2008 provides that<br>the Secretary of State must give notice in<br>writing to each local authority, as prescribed<br>within Section 56A, inviting them to submit<br>a local impact report.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The Planning Inspectorate's "Advice Note One:<br>Local Impact Reports", which was republished in<br>April 2012 on the Planning Inspectorate's website,<br>notes the importance of Local Impact Reports<br>and that "in coming to a decision, the Secretary of<br>State must have regard to any LIRs that are<br>submitted by the deadline".   |
|           |       |       |                         | Furthermore, Section 47 of the Planning Act 2008<br>sets out the duty for the Applicant to consult with<br>the local community prior to the DCO Application<br>being made. This Section 47 consultation was<br>held from June to August 2022, with materials<br>presented at consultation found at <b>5.7</b><br><b>Consultation Report - Appendix 5.7 Phase Two</b><br><b>Community Consultation Materials [APP-029</b><br>to <b>APP-031]</b> . The Applicant's response to<br>comments made at the Section 47 consultation is<br>presented in <b>5.12 Consultation Report -</b><br><b>Appendix 5.12 - Section 47 Applicant Response</b><br><b>[APP-036]</b> . |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | Members of the local community are afforded<br>the opportunity to register as Interested Parties,<br>so that they can attend hearings and make oral<br>and written representations to the examination<br>of a DCO Application, ensuring that there is<br>opportunity during the decision-making process<br>for the voice of the local community to be heard<br>and responded to. |



#### Table 2.4.2: Applicant's Response to Brattleby Parish Council [RR-030]

| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|
| BPC-01    | Energy Need<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Use of<br>agricultural land | "The solar project is using valuable farm land<br>required to provide food and use for animal<br>grazing" | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".   |
|           |   |                             |   | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |   |                             |   | Defra produce a UK food security report, the<br>most recent of which was published in 2021. It<br>notes that key risks to UK food security include<br>climate change and soil degradation.<br>Development of UK agricultural land is not noted<br>among these risks. In addition to renewable<br>energy, the Scheme is anticipated to enable the<br>recovery of soil organic matter through the<br>reversion of arable land to less intensive<br>agricultural uses for the duration of the operation<br>of the Scheme. For further details please see<br>Paragraph 19.9.14 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture</b><br>[APP-057]. |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| BPC-02    | Principle of<br>Development | Scale of the<br>Scheme | "the size is disproportionate particularly when<br>taking into account the other projects which<br>boundaries are adjacent to this project" | The Applicant notes this comment and seeks to<br>assure the Interested Party that a cumulative<br>effects assessment has been prepared for the<br>Application within the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> .  |
|           |                             |                        |   | Cumulative effects assessments for each<br>environmental topic are set out in each of the ES<br>Chapters and include the assessment of the<br>impacts of the Scheme cumulatively with the<br>NSIPs identified by 7000 Acres (Gate Burton<br>Energy Park, Cottam Solar Project and Tillbridge<br>Solar Project) (see paragraph 2.5.9 of <b>6.2.2</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 2 EIA<br>Process and Methodology [APP-040]. |
|           |                             |                        |   | This assessment has been carried out in<br>accordance with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA<br>Regulations and PINS Advice Note 17. The<br>mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.   |
|           |                             |                        |   | Section 7.5 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describes how suitable locations for large-scale solar are identified and assessed. Paragraph 7.5.2 outlines the broad criteria for determining site suitability.   |
|           |                             |                        |   | Figure 7.4 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows the level of photovoltaic power potential at the proposed location for the Scheme. Section 9 describes the   |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                                      | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
|           |                             |  |   | advantages of connecting large-scale solar to the<br>existing and robust National Electricity<br>Transmission System at the proposed Point of<br>Connection at West Burton Power Station, and<br>Paragraph 9.4.4 concludes that the Scheme will<br>contribute to national system adequacy and<br>decarbonisation targets.  |
|           |                             |  |   | 6.2.5 Environmental Statement - Chapter 5<br>Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043]<br>and its accompanying appendix WB6.3.5.1_A<br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site<br>Selection Assessment Revision A [AS-004]<br>explains how the sites for the Scheme was<br>chosen in light of the identified need for large<br>scale solar.  |
|           |                             |  |   | Specifically, paragraph 2.1.10 <b>[AS-004]</b> explains<br>the reasons why a site of the size proposed is<br>required to meet the 480MW grid connection<br>offer which the Applicant holds. The methodology<br>used for the site selection process is considered<br>reasonable and proportionate and complies with<br>the requirements of Overarching National Policy<br>Statement for Energy (NPS EN-1) paragraph 4.4.3,<br>as explained at Section 2.1 <b>[AS-004]</b> . |
| BPC-03    | Principle of<br>Development | Cumulative<br>Effects and<br>Scheme Design | "the total area subsumed by these projects will<br>cover 10,000 acres to a height of 4.5 m with black<br>reflective glass, this is put simply an un desirable<br>intrusion into the county side by domestic and | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the<br>Parish Council's comment and considers the<br>approach taken and subsequent conclusions<br>regarding assessing the landscape and visual   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------|---|---|
|           | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact |       | industrial paraphernalia which will blight this area<br>for years to come." | impacts of the Scheme would not result in<br>significant adverse effects on landscape character<br>and visual amenity over an extensive area as<br>demonstrated Section 8.7 in 6.2.8<br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] and 7.3_A Outline Landscape and<br>Ecological Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the dDCO<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
|           |   |       |   | The Scheme will also provide extensive areas of<br>mitigation along the existing sections of footpaths<br>and bridleways to enhance their amenity value<br>and benefit the public as a whole as<br>demonstrated in <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> .  |



## Table 2.4.3: Applicant's Response to Broxholme Parish Meeting [RR-031]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
| BPM-01    | General | Statement of<br>Opposition to<br>DCO Application | "The news that Island Green Power (IGP) was<br>seeking a development consent order- DCO- for a<br>series of solar farm developments which included<br>the West Burton 1 site at Broxholme caused<br>alarm and distress to the majority of the<br>parishioners of Broxholme. As a result of this the<br>Broxholme Parish Meeting appointed a team of<br>researchers to investigate the proposal. The<br>outcome of this research indicated that claims<br>made by IGP were open to challenge in terms of<br>their accuracy and validity." | The Applicant notes this comment, and is<br>confident that the DCO application and its<br>constituent documents are accurate and the<br>assessments therein are valid.   |
| BPM-02    | General | Quality of DCO<br>Application                    | "Further concerns were identified about the<br>argument for Solar Energy by IGP which<br>suggested that "solar farms are generating local<br>environmental benefits, supporting flora and<br>fauna and increasing biodiversity". There is little<br>to no scientific research to back up these<br>statements."   | The Applicant respectfully disagrees and directs<br>the Broxholme Parish Meeting to <b>6.2.9</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology</b><br><b>and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> and its associated<br>appendices <b>[APP-077</b> to <b>APP-088]</b> . These<br>documents set out the assessment of impacts<br>from the Scheme on ecology and biodiversity,<br>and contextualises the evidence base upon which<br>the assessment is made. |
|           |         |  |  | In many cases, the reversion from intensive<br>agriculture to pasture or meadow grassland with<br>additional hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland<br>habitat creation will bring about positive effects<br>for wildlife. In particular, terrestrial and aquatic<br>invertebrates, botanical diversity, small mammals<br>and many species of bird all stand to benefit.  |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                            | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                             |                                  |  | In this way, a substantial net gain for biodiversity<br>will be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net</b><br><b>Gain Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through<br>the creation of extensive low-input grassland<br>resulting in a net gain of 86.80% in habitat units,<br>but also several new ponds and wetland habitat<br>parcels resulting in a net gain of 33.25% in river<br>units, and the planting of several kilometres of<br>species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net gain of<br>54.71% in hedgerow units. |
|           |                             |                                  |  | This is secured through Requirement 9 of<br>Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , which states that "No<br>part of the authorised development may<br>commence until a biodiversity net gain strategy<br>has been submitted to and approved by the<br>relevant planning authority, in consultation with<br>the relevant statutory nature conservation body."   |
| BPM-03    | General                     | Quality of DCO<br>Application    | "As a result of our extensive research, we<br>concluded that the IGP West Burton proposal was<br>ill conceived and that it was to be opposed by the<br>Broxholme Parish Meeting" | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with<br>Broxholme Parish Meeting's conclusion, but<br>acknowledges their objection.   |
| BPM-04    | Principle of<br>Development | Location of Solar<br>Development | "As a community we accept that we need solar<br>development, but it needs to be in the right place.<br>The right place is domestic and commercial roof                           | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
|           | Energy Need<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution |                          | space, brownfield sites and to some extent poor grade land."   | required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".   |
|           |  |                          |  | Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> analyses the potential contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the national need for solar generation. Brownfield sites, including rooftop and other community energy systems, are likely to grow in the UK and will make a contribution to the decarbonisation of the UK energy system. However, the Statement of Need concludes that on their own, brownfield developments are unlikely to be able to meet the national need for solar. Section 8.5 of the Statement of Need describes and agrees with Government's view that decentralised and community energy systems are unlikely to lead to the significant replacement of large-scale infrastructure. It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with Government's view) that large scale solar must be deployed to meet the urgent national need for low-carbon electricity generation. |
| BPM-05    | General<br>(Consultation)                              | Consultation<br>Feedback | "Unfortunately, IGP have not been forthcoming<br>with meaningful answers to challenging<br>questions from parishioners and feedback from<br>their consultation has been poor to non-existent." | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but is<br>confident that the level of consultation<br>undertaken, and information presented<br>throughout the pre-application stage was in<br>accordance with the Planning Act 2008 and  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | associated guidance. This has been evidenced in<br><b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which was<br>submitted to the Planning Inspectorate and<br>accepted for examination.   |
|           |       |       |                         | For example, as described in Chapter 2 <b>[APP-022]</b> , the Applicant undertook two phases of community consultation to share information and invite feedback at different stages of Scheme development.   |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 7 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes the Applicant's<br>approach to statutory consultation, including<br>consulting with relevant authorities on a draft<br>Statement of Community Consultation. Table 7.1<br>sets out the comments received from authorities<br>on the Applicant's approach to consultation and<br>how the Applicant has had regard to these in<br>developing the Scheme. Table 7.3 in Chapter 7<br>describes how the Applicant complied with<br>commitments made in the Statement of<br>Community Consultation when undertaking<br>statutory consultation. |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 8 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes how the Applicant<br>undertook a six-week statutory phase two<br>consultation on the Scheme, during which the<br>Applicant presented consultees with<br>environmental information sufficient for<br>consultees to understand the potential likely<br>significant effects of the Scheme in a Preliminary   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | Environmental Impact Report (PEIR). A non-<br>technical summary was published to accompany<br>the PEIR, with public information events and free-<br>to-use communications channels open to help aid<br>accessibility and understanding of the Scheme. A<br>Consultation Summary Report for this phase of<br>statutory consultation was published on the<br>dedicated Scheme website, shared with elected<br>representatives and stakeholders and issued to<br>over 9,000 properties within the vicinity of the<br>Scheme, to help consultees understand how their<br>feedback was being considered. A copy of the<br>Phase Two Consultation Summary Report is<br>provided at pp.36-43 of <b>5.7 Consultation Report</b><br>- <b>Appendix 5.7 Phase Two Community</b><br><b>Consultation Materials - Part 3 of 3 [APP-031]</b> . |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> describes the significant volume of<br>responses received to Section 47 consultation<br>(local community), including the issues raised and<br>how the Applicant has had regard to these in<br>developing the Scheme. This is further evidenced<br>by <b>5.12 Consultation Report - Appendix 5.12 -</b><br><b>Section 47 Applicant Response [APP-036]</b> .<br>The host authorities have confirmed that the<br>statutory consultation process was adequate<br>through their <b>Adequacy of Consultation</b><br><b>Representations [AoC-001</b> to <b>AoC-013]</b> .  |



| Reference | Theme                                      | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| BPM-06    | General                                    | Request for<br>Issues to be<br>Examined                | "As a Parish we are concerned about the<br>following issues and would request that the<br>Planning Inquiry investigate them in depth.<br>Additional detail will be available at the next stage<br>of the inquiry:"  | The Applicant notes this comment and has responded to each of the issues in turn below.  |
| BPM-07    | Energy Need<br>Principle of<br>Development | Cumulative<br>Impacts from<br>NSIPs in Trent<br>Valley | "Scale: The number of NSIPS proposals for the<br>Trent Valley and their cumulative detrimental<br>impact on the environment and economy cannot<br>be justified upon in depth scrutiny of the West<br>Burton development and other current DCO<br>application proposals from other sites." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".<br>It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation. |
|           |  |  |   | Section 7 of <b>7.15 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>concludes with a consideration of the Planning<br>Balance and justifies how the overwhelming<br>national need, as demonstrated in the Statement<br>of Need outweighs any potential significant<br>adverse impacts which, as the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> sets out, are<br>limited.<br>Cumulative effects assessments for each   |
|           |  |  |   | environmental topic are set out in each of the ES<br>Chapters and include the assessment of the  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                        | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                              |  | impacts of the Scheme cumulatively with the Gate<br>Burton Energy Park, Cottam Solar Project and<br>Tillbridge Solar Project (see paragraph 2.5.9 of<br><b>6.2.2 Environmental Statement - Chapter 2 EIA</b><br><b>Process and Methodology [APP-040]</b> .   |
|           |   |                              |  | This assessment has been carried out in<br>accordance with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA<br>Regulations and PINS Advice Note 17. The<br>mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.   |
| BPM-08    | Soils and<br>Agriculture<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Loss of<br>Agricultural Land | "Loss of Agricultural land: BMV land which is not<br>permitted to be developed will be lost due to this<br>and other solar proposals. This land should be<br>removed from any development application.<br>There is a blatant land grab at West Burton 1 site<br>plot M1 which is 100% BMV3a Land and is<br>separated from the main site by Main Street." | Agricultural land resource is not lost to or<br>degraded by solar farm development.<br>Agricultural production can be maintained<br>through the operational period of the Scheme,<br>through, for example, grazing livestock on the<br>pasture below and between solar panels. See<br>paragraph 19.3.3 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture</b><br>[APP-057]. |
|           |   |                              |  | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the comment pertaining to West Burton 1. Field M1is the subject of an option agreement between the Applicant and the landowner along with the rest of West Burton 1 ALC surveys have concluded that field M1 is approximately 85% BMV (Grade 3a) as shown in <b>6.3.19.1 Environmental Statement - Appendix 19.1 Agricultural Land</b>                           |



|   |                |   | Quality, Soil Resources and Farming  |
|---|----------------|---|--|
|   |                |   | <b>Circumstances Report [APP-137]</b> . The remaining<br>area is Grade 3b and includes the existing field<br>entrance. Therefore, and as per the reasoning set<br>out Table 5.9 (pg.44) of <b>6.2.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 5_Alternatives and</b><br><b>Design Evolution [APP-043]</b> , the field was<br>retained in the Order Limits for the DCO<br>Application.  |
| BPM-09 Soils an<br>Agricult<br>Socio-<br>econom<br>Tourism<br>Recreat | nics,<br>n and | "Industrialisation of a rural landscape and net job<br>loss: Food producing land will be lost. Related<br>food producing industry employment in the area<br>will be negatively impacted. This impact will need<br>assessing in detail." | Agricultural land resource is not lost to or<br>degraded by solar farm development.<br>Defra produce a UK food security report, the<br>most recent of which was published in 2021. It<br>notes that key risks to UK food security include<br>climate change and soil degradation.<br>Development of UK agricultural land is not noted<br>among these risks. In addition to renewable<br>energy, the Scheme is anticipated to enable the<br>recovery of soil organic matter through the<br>reversion of arable land to less intensive<br>agricultural uses for the duration of the operation<br>of the Scheme. For further details please see<br>Paragraph 19.9.14 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture</b><br><b>[APP-057].</b><br>The Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
|           |                                |  |   | agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15 of<br>document <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b><br><b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The Scheme is estimated<br>to employ 8 full-time equivalent employees from<br>the local area during operation; see Table 18.16.<br>The net change in employment in the local area<br>(defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts)<br>during the Scheme's operational life is a loss of<br>approximately 2 full-time jobs, once<br>consideration of direct, indirect and induced<br>employment, and impacts on the tourism and<br>recreation industry is taken into account (see<br>para. 18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to<br>the local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per<br>year (see para. 18.7.99). |
| BPM-10    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Visual Impacts<br>and Mitigation<br>Measures | "Visual impact: Iconic rural Lincolnshire's "Big Sky<br>Landscape" and Visual Amenity will be destroyed<br>by Solar Farm Technology dominating the<br>landscape and making villages and dwellings<br>subordinate to the developments. This is not<br>good practice! Proposed mitigation measures are<br>inadequate and ineffective and at best will simply<br>produce green walls which will obscure landscape<br>views." | With regard to visual impacts and mitigation<br>measures, <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual Impact</b><br><b>Assessment [APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') considers both<br>the landscape and visual effects of the Scheme<br>on the local environment and any recognised<br>associations with the views across the landscape,<br>and has incorporated these into the baseline for<br>the assessment. The LVIA (para. 8.5.86)<br>recognises the importance of long-distance views<br>to the more elevated wooded skylines to the east,<br>and long views to the north and south, which are   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue           | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-----------------|--|--|
|           |   |                 |  | constrained only by the effects of distance, riverside vegetation and hedgerows.   |
|           |   |                 |  | The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> also includes a suite of<br>viewpoints that cover long range views across the<br>Till Vale encompassing the big expansive skies,<br>for example viewpoints VP12, VP15, VP16 and<br>VP35. There are also additional viewpoints at the<br>request of Lincolnshire County Council that were<br>agreed at the LVIA Workshops held prior to<br>submission that also include these long-range<br>views, for example LCC-C-A and LCC-C-J. The<br>visual effects for the long-range views are set out<br>in <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br/>8.3 Assessment of Potential Visual Effects</b><br><b>[APP-074]</b> .   |
| BPM-11    | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Tourism Impacts | "Tourism industry in Broxholme: Broxholme<br>Village is a tourist destination where four holiday<br>let businesses are in operation. The rural setting<br>and landscape views are imperative to the<br>survival of these businesses. There is a five acre<br>privately owned nature reserve within the village<br>which allows wild camping and daytime public<br>access. Impact of the Solar Farm upon this<br>reserve will need assessing. A popular public<br>footpath which runs through the village will have<br>views obscured and degrade the walking<br>experience." | <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') includes a full and detailed<br>assessment that deals with both effects on the<br>landscape itself and effects on the visual amenity<br>of people, as well as interrelationships of these<br>with the features that may appeal to visitors and<br>tourism. The LVIA process is iterative and as a<br>result, the design of the Scheme has changed to<br>respond to the findings of the assessment to<br>ensure that landscape mitigation is fully<br>considered as part of the process. For example,<br>the LVIA mitigation has had regard to the need to |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | consider the landscape character and visual amenity for the users of PRoW.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The Scheme will provide extensive areas of<br>mitigation along the existing sections of footpaths<br>and bridleways to enhance their amenity value<br>and benefit the public as a whole as<br>demonstrated in the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> (see<br>paragraphs 8.8.22 to 8.9.29). The proposed<br>mitigation would apply to public right of way<br>receptors including those in and around<br>Broxholme: PR006 (Brox/198/1), PR007<br>(Brox/197/1) and PR008 (Brox/196/1).   |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA also considers the impacts and effects<br>on residential receptors as part of the<br>assessment process. The relevant singular<br>buildings applying to Broxholme include R012,<br>R013, R014, R015 and R096 and groups of<br>buildings include R034 and R078. Those<br>properties in close proximity to Green Acres<br>include R034 Pingles and R078 Pool Cottage.<br>These residential receptors have been assessed<br>and the effects, as a result of the Scheme, are<br><b>Not Significant</b> . This is due to the distance and<br>intervening vegetation across the landscape<br>associated with the field boundaries and water |
|           |       |       |                         | <b>bodies</b> .Impacts on the accommodation sector, tourism and recreation have been assessed  |
|           |       |       |                         | across the Local Impact Area (Bassetlaw and West<br>Lindsey districts) as a whole in Section 18.7 of   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18</b><br><b>Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-<br/>056]</b> . Individual cases of impacts on rural<br>businesses nearby to the Scheme, unless they are<br>also identified as residential receptors in the LVIA<br><b>[APP-046]</b> , have therefore not been assessed<br>separately.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside on the desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities such as public rights of way.<br>As such, these have been assessed in Section 18.7<br>of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter</b><br><b>18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation</b><br><b>[APP-056]</b> . The greatest level of effect to access,<br>desirability and use of recreational facilities is<br>limited to short- to medium-term moderate<br>adverse effects on long distance recreational<br>routes (the Trent Valley Way and National<br>Byways) during construction (see Table 18.15 and<br>para. 18.7.62). This is a <b>significant adverse</b><br>effect. This is however the only significant effect<br>anticipated, with no greater than moderate-minor<br>adverse anticipated to any other recreational<br>receptor during construction (see paras. 18.7.60<br>to 18.7.69), or to any recreational receptor during<br>operation (see paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to<br>18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be<br>significant. |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| BPM-12    | Principle of<br>Development<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health / Major<br>Accidents and<br>Disasters) | BESS Security and<br>Safety Impacts | "Battery Storage technology and safety threat:<br>The scale of the battery proposals poses a real<br>security and safety threat to local communities.<br>How will this impact on them?" | The Applicant has submitted <b>7.9 Outline Battery</b><br><b>Storage Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b><br>and, through <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , has<br>secured by Requirement 6 of Schedule 2 that<br>"Work No. 2 must not commence until a battery<br>storage safety management plan has been<br>submitted to and approved by the relevant<br>planning authority."                                  |
|           | Air Quality  |                                     |   | Paragraph 4.1.18 of <b>7.9 Outline Battery Storage</b><br><b>Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b> explains<br>that the design of the BESS has integrated fire<br>detection and suppression systems that will<br>automatically operate to contain battery fires.<br>Paragraph 5.3 states that if fire spreads to<br>multiple units, external firefighting water facilities<br>are available by means of 228,000 litre water<br>storage tanks within the battery compounds. |
|           |  |                                     |   | Human health and other environmental impacts<br>resulting from plumes from potential battery fires<br>have been initially assessed in <b>6.2.17</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 17 Air</b><br><b>Quality [APP-055]</b> and are proposed to be<br>supplemented by additional information during<br>the examination process.  |
|           |  |                                     |   | Risks to human health as a result of fires or<br>unconfined explosions within the BESS<br>compound are set out in paragraphs 21.6.42 to   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                             | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
|           |   |                                   |  | 21.6.48 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 21 Other Environmental Matters</b><br><b>[APP-059]</b> which concludes that there is no<br>significant risk of harm to human health due to<br>the physical separation of the BESS compound<br>from publicly accessible areas.  |
| BPM-13    | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Disturbance to<br>Flora and Fauna | "Disturbance to flora and fauna: Claims made by<br>IGP for projected biodiversity gains need<br>challenging in pursuit of real scientific data to<br>substantiate the claims that have been made.<br>Parishioners are alarmed that despite informing<br>IGP WB1 developers that there are breeding pairs<br>of Mute Swans on the River Till there is no<br>mention of them in their survey work.<br>Lincolnshire Nature have been informed by us.<br>The WB1 site includes the foraging and flight path<br>range of these swans and pose a real threat to<br>their welfare. A resident farmer in Broxholme is<br>alarmed at the prospect of high fencing<br>channelling deer and other fauna onto their land<br>causing damage. They have received no<br>satisfactory response from the developer to<br>address their concerns." | <ul> <li>6.2.9 Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047] sets out the extensive findings of all ecological investigations undertaken within the Order Limits together with an appraisal of the relative importance of each species or species group, habitat or designated site. This survey scope has been formulated through consultation with Natural England as well as Lincolnshire and Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trusts and has deemed to be thorough and appropriate. A comprehensive package of mitigation has been provided, in tandem with embedded mitigation which is secured through the ecologically sensitive design of the Scheme (such as the wide buffering of all field boundaries and the use of existing hedgerow gaps for accesses). These measures are further detailed within 7.17 Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy [APP-326] (as secured by Requirement 8 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</li></ul> |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]) and 7.3_A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] (as secured<br>by Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]) which will ensure that<br>all identified impacts are minimised as far as<br>possible.   |
|           |       |       |                         | In many cases, the reversion from intensive<br>agriculture to pasture or meadow grassland with<br>additional hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland<br>habitat creation will bring about positive effects<br>for wildlife. In particular, terrestrial and aquatic<br>invertebrates, botanical diversity, small mammals<br>and many species of bird all stand to benefit as<br>set out in Section 9.7 in <b>6.2.9 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology and</b><br><b>Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> . |
|           |       |       |                         | In this way, a substantial net gain for biodiversity<br>will be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net</b><br><b>Gain Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through<br>the creation of extensive low-input grassland<br>resulting in a net gain of 86.80% in habitat units,<br>but also several new ponds and wetland habitat<br>parcels resulting in a net gain of 33.25% in river<br>units, and the planting of several kilometres of                |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net gain of 54.71% in hedgerow units.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Mute swan were recorded during 3 of 6 surveys<br>undertaken within the Order Limits (see Tables 6<br>& 7 within the <b>6.3.9.8 Environmental Statement</b><br>- Appendix 9.8 Breeding Bird Survey Report<br>[APP-084]). Suitable nesting habitats for mute<br>swan are not anticipated to be affected by the<br>Scheme through the implementation of<br>development free buffer zones along ditches and<br>watercourses. Mute swans are a common and<br>widespread breeding species within the UK and<br>are Green Listed on the Conservation Concern<br>Birds of Conservation Concern List prepared by<br>the British Trust for Ornithology. |
|           |       |       |                         | The design also takes account of the spatial<br>legibility for grazing and the migration of animal<br>species since the fence comprises an open<br>permeable mesh of a design that promotes the<br>passage of animals through and across its<br>bounds. The use of deer wire mesh fencing is set<br>out in <b>7.13_A Concept Design Parameters</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.13_A]</b> .   |
|           |       |       |                         | The impact on wildlife resulting from the erection<br>of fencing has been assessed within <b>6.2.9</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology</b><br><b>and Biodiversity [APP-047].</b> The majority of<br>animal species such as invertebrates, reptiles,   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
|           |  |   |  | birds, small mammals, larger mammals such as<br>badgers, hedgehogs, polecats and hares will not<br>be impeded by fencing as they will be able to<br>pass under, over or through the fencing and will<br>be able to freely move through the operational<br>sites. An impact on the movement of deer is likely<br>(see paragraph 9.6.5 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 9: Ecology and</b><br><b>Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> ), although it is<br>acknowledged from the ecological monitoring of<br>numerous active solar schemes that deer are<br>regularly noted within the fenced areas having<br>exploited locations of undulating terrain and<br>other opportunities for entry.  |
| BPM-14    | Principle of<br>Development<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Ecological<br>Disturbance from<br>Cable Route<br>Installation | "Cable routes and disturbance: Further ecological<br>disturbance will be caused by the installation of<br>infrastructure which is unacceptable and should<br>be challenged." | Potential and likely impacts on ecology resulting<br>from the cable installation works have been<br>identified and described within Section 9.5 of<br><b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement - Chapter 9</b><br><b>Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> , with<br>extensive mitigation measures the identified (in<br>Section 9.6 and 9.7) to minimise these effects.<br>These mitigation measures are further outlined in<br><b>7.17 Outline Ecological Protection and</b><br><b>Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b> – particularly<br>Section 2.4, Section 6 and Section 11. It is<br>acknowledged that, due to the length of the cable<br>route corridor, some adverse short to medium<br>term impacts on the hedgerows and associated<br>drainage ditches will occur in order to facilitate |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | the trenching works. However, use of Horizontal<br>Directional Drilling techniques will ensure that all<br>impacts upon hedgerows, trees and watercourses<br>assessed to be of elevated ecological importance<br>(streams, rivers, species-rich and ecologically<br>important hedgerows and mature trees) will be<br>avoided entirely. This will be secured through the<br>enaction of the final Ecological Protection and<br>Mitigation Strategy together with <b>WB7.15_A</b><br><b>Crossing Schedule Revision A [AS-001]</b> which<br>details the location of all features to be crossed<br>using HDD. A detailed Ecological Protection and<br>Mitigation Strategy has been secured via<br>Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |       |       |                         | It is therefore only the remaining species-poor<br>and intensively managed hedgerows which stand<br>to be directly impacted by open cut trenching.<br>These removed sections will be relatively short<br>(approximately up to 6.5m each). In addition,<br>cabling works are temporary and progressive<br>meaning that restoration and replanting will<br>follow once works in each section is complete<br>which is set out within the <b>7.17 Outline</b><br><b>Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy</b><br>[ <b>APP-326</b> ]. Residual impacts on these hedgerows<br>have been assessed as being adverse in the short<br>and medium term (significant at Site level only),   |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue            | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|------------------|---|---|
|           |   |                  |   | and neutral in the long term, see Paragraph<br>9.7.53 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> .  |
|           |   |                  |   | Habitats within fields which will be impacted by<br>the cabling works have been assessed as being of<br>lower ecological importance since the route itself<br>has been carefully designed to avoid all nearby<br>Local Wildlife Sites and as much priority habitat<br>as possible. See <b>6.3.9.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.4 Cable Route</b><br><b>Preliminary Ecological Assessment [APP-080]</b> .<br>Full details of replanting and reseeding will be<br>provided within the finalised Ecological Protection<br>and Mitigation Strategy. |
| BPM-15    | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Brownfield Sites | "Brownfield site alternatives should be used:<br>Where is the definitive evidence to support using<br>greenfield sites in the Trent Valley instead of<br>alternative brownfield sites?" | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".  |
|           |   |                  |   | Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> analyses the potential contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the national need for solar generation. Brownfield sites, including rooftop and other community energy systems, are likely to grow in the UK and will make a contribution to the decarbonisation of   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
|           |  |   |   | the UK energy system. However, the Statement of<br>Need concludes that on their own, brownfield<br>developments are unlikely to be able to meet the<br>national need for solar. Section 8.5 of the<br>Statement of Need describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that decentralised and<br>community energy systems are unlikely to lead to<br>the significant replacement of large-scale<br>infrastructure. It is the Applicant's view (and this<br>aligns with Government's view) that large scale<br>solar must be deployed to meet the urgent<br>national need for low-carbon electricity<br>generation. |
| BPM-16    | Principle of<br>Development<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health) | Impacts on<br>Residential<br>Amenity and<br>Wellbeing | "Disturbance to residents and their wellbeing: The<br>application has caused anxiety, distress and<br>alarm to residents affected by the proposal and<br>there should be questions asked about how this<br>has been assessed and what measures need<br>putting in place to address this issue." | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but is<br>confident that the level of consultation<br>undertaken, and information presented<br>throughout the pre-application stage was in<br>accordance with the Planning Act 2008 and<br>associated guidance. This has been evidenced in<br><b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which was<br>submitted to the Planning Inspectorate and<br>accepted for examination.  |
|           |  |   |   | For example, as described in Chapter 2 <b>[APP-022]</b> , the Applicant undertook two phases of community consultation to share information and invite feedback at different stages of Scheme development.   |



| Reference | Theme          | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------|--|---|---|
|           |                |  |   | The Applicant seeks to assure members of the community that the mitigation measures referred to throughout the Environmental Statement <b>[APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> are controlled through the following documents: |
|           |                |  |   | • 7.1_A Outline Construction<br>Environmental Management Plan<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A];   |
|           |                |  |   | <ul> <li>7.2 Outline Decommissioning<br/>Statement [APP-310];</li> </ul>  |
|           |                |  |   | • 7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological<br>Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A];   |
|           |                |  |   | <ul> <li>7.14_A Outline Operational<br/>Environmental Management Plan<br/>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A];<br/>and</li> </ul>  |
|           |                |  |   | • Any other document secured through the draft DCO.   |
|           |                |  |   | These documents are secured by their respective<br>Requirements in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| BPM-17    | Climate Change | Resource Use and<br>Embodied Carbon<br>Footprint | "Scale of resources needed to develop the sites:<br>The scale of resources needed for the proposals<br>are huge and the Carbon Footprint calculations | The 'Carbon Footprint' or embodied carbon<br>calculations are included within Section 7.8<br><b>Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Climate</b>   |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue         | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------|--|--|
|           |                         |               | need investigating in depth for an informed judgement on benefit to be made."  | <b>Change</b> with the assumptions used for calculations included.   |
| BPM-18    | Transport and<br>Access | Access to WB1 | "Transportation and access needed to develop<br>the sites: The movement of resources needed for<br>the proposals are of serious concern. The chosen<br>access route for the WB1 site is not the best<br>option and is unsuitable. Current plans would<br>impact detrimentally on the movement of traffic | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the<br>application at 6.3.14.2_A Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction<br>Traffic Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A].  |
|           |                         |               | through Broxholme."  | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the<br>management of construction vehicle movements<br>to and from the Scheme, to ensure that the<br>effects of the temporary construction phase on<br>the local highway network are minimised and<br>made acceptable. <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction</b><br><b>Traffic Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> covers: |
|           |                         |               |  | Construction methodology;  |
|           |                         |               |  | Site access;   |
|           |                         |               |  | Construction vehicle trip generation;  |
|           |                         |               |  | Construction vehicle routing;  |
|           |                         |               |  | Abnormal load movement; and  |
|           |                         |               |  | Mitigation and management measures.  |
|           |                         |               |  | Access to West Burton 1 is via the A1500 and the unnamed road to the south which connects to   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | Broxholme. Through the DCO, and in liaison with<br>Lincolnshire County Council, pass-by bays will be<br>provided to support the low level of daily HGV<br>deliveries (five per day on average). No<br>construction vehicles will travel through<br>Broxholme. |



## Table 2.4.4: Applicant's Response to Fillingham Parish Meeting [RR-091]

| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue                              | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| FPM-01    | Climate Change<br>Energy Need<br>General | Contribution to<br>decarbonisation | "Fillingham Parish Meeting (FPM) is against the<br>proposed West Burton Solar Project large-scale<br>development, because of its limited contribution<br>to decarbonisation and the adverse<br>consequences arising from using land in this<br>way." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". |
|           |  |                                    |  | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |  |                                    |  | Section 7 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>concludes with a consideration of the Planning<br>Balance and justifies how the overwhelming<br>national need, as demonstrated in the Statement<br>of Need outweighs any potential significant<br>adverse impacts which, as the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> sets out, are<br>limited.                   |
| FPM-02    | General<br>(Consultation)                | Adequacy of<br>Consultation        | "The Public Consultation was so insufficient and<br>inadequate as to be ineffective and the level of<br>general understanding in the community of the<br>scale and impact of the schemes remains very<br>low."                                       | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but<br>remains confident that the level of consultation<br>undertaken and information presented<br>throughout the pre-application stage is in<br>accordance with the Planning Act 2008 and<br>associated guidance. This has been evidenced in<br><b>WB5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate and accepted for examination.   |
|           |       |       |                         | For example, as described in Chapter 2 of <b>C5.1</b><br><b>Consultation Report [APP-022],</b> the Applicant<br>undertook a series of community consultation<br>phases to share information and invite feedback<br>at different stages of Scheme development.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 7 of <b>C5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> describes the Applicant's approach to<br>statutory consultation, including consulting with<br>relevant authorities on a draft Statement of<br>Community Consultation. Table 7.1 sets out the<br>comments received from authorities on the<br>Applicant's approach to consultation and how<br>these were considered by the Applicant. Table 7.3<br>in Chapter 7 describes how the Applicant<br>complied with commitments made in the<br>Statement of Community Consultation when<br>undertaking statutory consultation. |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 8 of <b>C5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> describes how the Applicant undertook a six-<br>week statutory phase two consultation on the<br>Scheme, during which the Applicant presented<br>consultees with environmental information<br>sufficient for consultees to understand the<br>potential likely significant effects of the Scheme in<br>a Preliminary Environmental Impact Report<br>(PEIR). A non-technical summary was published to   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | accompany the PEIR, with public information<br>events and free-to-use communications channels<br>open to help aid accessibility and understanding<br>of the Scheme. A Consultation Summary Report<br>for this phase of statutory consultation was<br>published on the dedicated Scheme website,<br>shared with elected representatives and<br>stakeholders and issued to over 9,000 properties<br>within the vicinity of the Scheme, to help<br>consultees understand how their feedback was<br>being considered. A copy of the Phase Two<br>Consultation Summary Report is provided as<br><b>WB5.7 Appendix 5.7: Phase Two Community</b><br><b>Consultation Materials [APP-029</b> to <b>APP-031].</b> |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 11 of <b>WB5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> describes the significant volume of<br>responses received to Section 47 consultation<br>(local community), including the issues raised and<br>how these were considered by the Applicant. This<br>is further evidenced by <b>WB5.12 Appendix 5.12:</b><br><b>Consultation Report Appendix – Section 47</b><br><b>Applicant Response [APP-036].</b><br>The host authorities have confirmed that the  |
|           |       |       |                         | The host authorities have confirmed that the statutory consultation process was adequate through their <b>Adequacy of Consultation</b><br><b>Representations [AoC-001</b> to <b>AoC-013]</b> .  |



| Landscape and<br>Visual Impactdominated by solar fields - at 4.5m panels could<br>never be adequately screened by hedgerows (at<br>all) or by trees (for many years), ruining much<br>loved views, walks and historic landscapes."effects, which is set out within the 6.2.8<br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>information can be found within the individual<br>receptor sheets at 6.3.8.2 Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 8.2 Assessment of<br>Potential Landscape Effects [APP-073] and<br>6.3.8 Assessment of Potential Visual Effects<br>[APP-074].The iterative design process has taken account of<br>the individual elements of the Scheme such as<br>the panels, fencing, battery storage, substations<br>and access arrangements to ensure the best<br>possible fit with the landscape. The photography<br>and photomontage information at 6.4.8.13.1 to<br>8.13.171 [APP-194 to APP-264] show ho<br>the fencing is integrated. For example, 6.4.8.13.2 | Reference | Theme  | Issue                             | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|---|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Viewpoint 26 Photography and Photomontag<br>[APP-219] shows the fencing and panels set bac  |           | Principle of<br>Development<br>Landscape and | Scale and Visual<br>Impact of the | "The scale of the IGP West Burton Solar Project<br>would change the visual aspect and character of<br>the region, which would undoubtedly be<br>dominated by solar fields – at 4.5m panels could<br>never be adequately screened by hedgerows (at<br>all) or by trees (for many years), ruining much | The effects of scale and visual impact of the<br>Scheme have been taken into consideration in<br>the assessment of both the landscape and visual<br>effects, which is set out within the <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA'). The detailed assessment<br>information can be found within the individual<br>receptor sheets at <b>6.3.8.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.2 Assessment of</b><br><b>Potential Landscape Effects [APP-073]</b> and<br><b>6.3.8.3 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br/>8.3 Assessment of Potential Visual Effects</b><br><b>[APP-074]</b> .<br>The iterative design process has taken account of<br>the individual elements of the Scheme such as<br>the panels, fencing, battery storage, substations<br>and access arrangements to ensure the best<br>possible fit with the landscape. The photography<br>and photomontage information at <b>6.4.8.13.1</b> to<br><b>6.4.8.13.71 Environmental Statement - Figure</b><br><b>8.13.1</b> to <b>8.13.71 [APP-194</b> to <b>APP-264]</b> show how<br>the fencing is integrated. For example, <b>6.4.8.13.26</b><br><b>Viewpoint 26 Photography and Photomontage</b><br><b>[APP-219]</b> shows the fencing and panels set back<br>from the highway and also from the existing and |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--|---|---|
|           |                             |  |   | photomontages also show how the planting<br>mitigation has been designed to enhance the<br>landscape character of this location with new<br>native tree and shrub planting, improvements to<br>existing hedgerows and new hedgerows.  |
|           |                             |  |   | The LVIA also sets out landscape mitigation<br>measures that have been designed to avoid and<br>reduce the likely adverse significant effects<br>anticipated from the Scheme. These mitigation<br>measures are set out in <b>7.3_A Outline</b><br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] and will be<br>secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| FPM-04    | Principle of<br>Development | Cumulative<br>Impacts and Joint<br>Examination | "The unprecedented situation of the four massive<br>NSIP solar projects within such a close area<br>means that to properly understand the<br>implications on the region, all the projects should<br>be considered together by the Planning<br>Inspectorate, i.e. Cottam Solar Project, West<br>Burton Solar Project, Gate Burton Energy and<br>Tillbridge Solar." | The Applicant notes this comment and seeks to<br>assure the Interested Party that a cumulative<br>effects assessment has been prepared for the<br>Application within the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> .<br>Cumulative effects assessments for each<br>environmental topic are set out in each of the ES<br>Chapters and include the assessment of the<br>impacts of the Scheme cumulatively with the Gate<br>Burton Energy Park, Cottam Solar Project and<br>Tillbridge Solar Project (see paragraph 2.5.9 of<br><b>6.2.2 Environmental Statement - Chapter 2 EIA</b><br><b>Process and Methodology [APP-040]</b> . |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                         |   | This assessment has been carried out in<br>accordance with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA<br>Regulations and PINS Advice Note 17. The<br>mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.   |
| FPM-05    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health)<br>Transport and | Health and<br>Wellbeing | "FPM is concerned that the scale of the WBSP will<br>have a massively harmful impact on the health<br>and wellbeing of residents, in particular their<br>mental health, by removing visual amenity,<br>changing views, and causing stress by destroying<br>agricultural jobs and livelihoods, as well as<br>disruption during construction and<br>decommissioning." | <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') includes a full and detailed<br>assessment that deals with both effects on the<br>landscape itself and effects on the visual amenity<br>of people, as well as interrelationships of these<br>with other related topics in the ES. The LVIA<br>process is iterative and as a result, the design of<br>the Scheme has changed to respond to the<br>findings of the assessment to ensure that<br>landscape mitigation is fully considered as part of<br>the process. |
|           | Access  |                         |   | For example, the LVIA mitigation has had regard<br>to the need to consider the landscape character<br>and visual amenity for the users of PRoW. This is<br>set out in <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 8.3 Assessment of Potential Visual</b><br><b>Effects [APP-074]</b> . Public Rights of Way Receptor<br>PR006 (Brox/198/1) on pp.907-909 [ <b>APP-074</b> ]<br>shows in this instance that the Embedded<br>Mitigation would include panels set a minimum of<br>15m from the adjacent PRoW. Secondary<br>Mitigation would also be implemented,                            |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | comprising of native hedgerows within and on<br>the boundaries of the West Burton 1 Site being<br>retained and reinforced with new native trees.<br>Hedgerows would also be maintained at a taller<br>height (c5m). The landscape proposals include for<br>a new native woodland shelterbelt and scattered<br>trees along the southern boundary of the WB1<br>Site. Once established, these measures,<br>combined with the additional tree planting across<br>the Site, would help break up the views of the<br>Array, substation and associated infrastructure.<br>During the spring and summer, when the<br>vegetation is out in leaf, the hedgerows and trees<br>would soften and filter views. Available views<br>would be limited to transient views through gate<br>entrances and over low hedgerows. |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest<br>level of effect to access, desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities is limited to short- to<br>medium-term moderate adverse effects on long<br>distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way<br>and National Byways) during construction (see   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a<br><b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the<br>only significant effect anticipated, with no greater<br>than moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any<br>other recreational receptor during construction<br>(see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any<br>recreational receptor during operation (see<br>paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to<br>18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be<br>significant. |
|           |       |       |                         | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].   |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant recognises the significance of the agricultural industry in the local economy and has assessed the economic impact of the Scheme in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement</b> - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056] and the direct impacts on local agriculture in Sections 19.9 and 19.10 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental Statement - Chapter 19</b> Soils and Agriculture [APP-057].  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum<br>loss of approximately 13 full-time equivalent<br>agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15 of<br>document <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b>  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | <b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The Scheme is estimated<br>to employ 8 full-time equivalent employees from<br>the local area during operation; see Table 18.16.<br>The net change in employment in the local area<br>(defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts)<br>during the Scheme's operational life is a loss of<br>approximately 2 full-time jobs, once<br>consideration of direct, indirect and induced<br>employment, and impacts on the tourism and<br>recreation industry is taken into account (see<br>para. 18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to<br>the local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per<br>year (see para. 18.7.99). |
|           |       |       |                         | The overall employment and economic benefit to<br>the local area from the two-year construction<br>period is anticipated to be 432 full-time<br>equivalent jobs (see para. 18.7.23), generating<br>£20.0 million per year (see para. 18.7.52).  |
|           |       |       |                         | The land included in the Scheme covers 4 farm<br>businesses, all of which are owner occupiers of<br>the land within the Sites comprising the Scheme.<br>This is detailed in full in para. 7.1.1-29 of <b>6.3.19.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 19.1</b><br><b>Agricultural Land Quality, Soil Resources and<br/>Farming Circumstances Report [APP-137]</b> .  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant seeks to assure members of the community that the mitigation measures referred to throughout the Environmental Statement  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | [APP-039 to APP-061] to limit impacts from the construction and decommissioning of the Scheme are controlled through the following documents:   |
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental<br/>Management Plan Revision A<br/>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A];</li> </ul>   |
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement -<br/>Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic<br/>Management Plan Revision A<br/>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A];</li> </ul>                                       |
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>6.3.14.3_A Environmental Statement -<br/>Appendix 14.3 Outline Public Rights of Way<br/>Management Plan Revision A<br/>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A];</li> </ul>                               |
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>6.3.19.2 Environmental Statement -<br/>Appendix 19.2 Outline Soil Management<br/>Plan [APP-138];</li> </ul>  |
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>7.10 Outline Skills Supply Chain and<br/>Employment Plan [APP-319]; and</li> </ul>   |
|           |       |       |                         | • 7.2 Outline Decommissioning Statement [APP-310].  |
|           |       |       |                         | These documents are secured by Requirements<br>13, 15, 18, 19, 20, and 21 respectively in Schedule<br>2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|
| FPM-06    | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Desirability/<br>Attractiveness of<br>Village | "As a small, rural community, Fillingham has few<br>opportunities for employment and very few<br>amenities – one of its few attractions is the open<br>countryside landscape that it sits in. The scale of<br>the WBSP would deny the village of this one key<br>attribute and erode the attractiveness of the<br>village and therefore the village's capacity to<br>sustain itself; driving some people away and<br>serving to deter people from moving in – the<br>village could die." | The likely impacts on the desirability and use of<br>the area surrounding the Scheme for tourism and<br>recreation have been assessed in Section 18.7 of<br><b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18</b><br><b>Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-<br/>056]</b> .<br>The greatest effect during construction is<br>anticipated to be a peak medium-term temporary<br>moderate adverse on the landscape setting of<br>tourism attractions (see para. 18.7.57), which is a<br><b>significant adverse</b> effect. During operation, the<br>greatest effects to tourism and recreation<br>receptors are anticipated to be long-term<br>moderate-minor adverse (see para. 18.7.101),<br>which is not considered to be significant. |
|           |   |   |  | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] (the 'LVIA) takes into account the<br>effects on residential receptors and this includes<br>singular buildings, groups of buildings and towns<br>or villages such as Fillingham. Table 8.20 of the<br>LVIA sets out the selection of initial residential<br>receptors for the purpose of the assessment and<br>the reason for their selection are those receptors<br>within the 1km Study Area for the Scheme and<br>the 0.5km Study Area from the outer boundary of<br>the Cable Route Corridor. The settlement of<br>Fillingham does not fall within the initial selection  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--------------------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                                |  | and has therefore not been considered further within the LVIA.  |
| FPM-07    | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Transport and<br>Access | Use of Public<br>Rights of Way | "For many people living in and around Fillingham,<br>the local network of footpaths, roads and<br>bridleways provides their routes for recreation<br>and exercise, such as cycling, walking, running<br>and horse-riding – and the benefit of being in the<br>fresh air, surrounded by greenery. Such benefit<br>will be lost through the extensive development of<br>the WBSP." | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] (the 'LVIA) takes into account the<br>effects on PRoW receptors and this includes<br>those that pass close villages such as Fillingham.<br>Table 8.24 of the LVIA sets out the selection of<br>initial PRoW receptors for the purpose of the<br>assessment and the reason for their selection are<br>those receptors within the 2km Study Area for the<br>Scheme and the 0.5km Study Area from the outer<br>boundary of the Cable Route Corridor. The PRoW<br>around the settlement of Fillingham do not fall<br>within the initial selection and have therefore not<br>been considered further within the LVIA. |
|           |  |                                |  | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest<br>level of effect to access, desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities is limited to short- to<br>medium-term moderate adverse effects on long<br>distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way<br>and National Byways) during construction (see             |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                           |   | Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a <b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the only significant effect anticipated, with no greater than moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any other recreational receptor during construction (see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any recreational receptor during operation (see paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to 18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be significant. |
|           |   |                           |   | Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].   |
| FPM-08    | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Local Rural<br>Employment | "There are few employment opportunities within<br>the immediate area of Fillingham, but the West<br>Burton Solar Project will adversely impact<br>agricultural jobs and provide few opportunities<br>for livelihoods in their place." | The Applicant recognises the significance of the agricultural industry in the local economy and has assessed the economic impact of the Scheme in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement</b> - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056] and the direct impacts on local agriculture in Sections 19.9 and 19.10 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental Statement - Chapter 19</b> Soils and Agriculture [APP-057].  |
|           |   |                           |   | The Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum<br>loss of approximately 13 full-time equivalent<br>agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15 of<br>document <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b>  |



| Reference | Theme                | Issue                        | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
|           |                      |                              |  | <b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The Scheme is estimated<br>to employ 8 full-time equivalent employees from<br>the local area during operation; see Table 18.16.<br>The net change in employment in the local area<br>(defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts)<br>during the Scheme's operational life is a loss of<br>approximately 2 full-time jobs, once<br>consideration of direct, indirect and induced<br>employment, and impacts on the tourism and<br>recreation industry is taken into account (see<br>para. 18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to<br>the local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per<br>year (see para. 18.7.99). |
|           |                      |                              |  | The overall employment and economic benefit to<br>the local area from the two-year construction<br>period is anticipated to be 432 full-time<br>equivalent jobs (see para. 18.7.23), generating<br>£20.0 million per year (see para. 18.7.52).  |
|           |                      |                              |  | The land included in the Scheme covers 4 farm<br>businesses, all of which are owner occupiers of<br>the land within the Sites comprising the Scheme.<br>This is detailed in full in para. 7.1.1-29 of <b>6.3.19.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 19.1</b><br><b>Agricultural Land Quality, Soil Resources and<br/>Farming Circumstances Report [APP-137]</b> .  |
| FPM-09    | Cultural<br>Heritage | Impact on<br>Heritage Assets | "Fillingham is part of an area of villages and<br>agriculture that with a long history. Fillingham St<br>Andrew's church is referenced in the Domesday | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this conclusion.  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | Book. The long heritage and character of the area<br>would be shattered by development at the scale<br>of the WBSP and other NSIP solar developments." | <ul> <li>6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement - Appendix</li> <li>13.5 Heritage Statement [APP-117 to APP-119],<br/>provides a detailed assessment of all Grade II<br/>Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas within</li> <li>2km of the Scheme, and all Grade I and II* Listed<br/>Buildings and Scheduled Monuments within a</li> <li>5km study area surrounding the Scheme.</li> <li>Fillingham parish is located almost wholly outside<br/>of the 5km study area for the Heritage Statement,<br/>and none of the heritage assets within Fillingham<br/>would be affected by the West Burton scheme.</li> <li>6.2.13 Environmental Statement - Chapter 13<br/>Cultural Heritage [APP-051] also provides an<br/>assessment of potential impacts caused by the<br/>Scheme upon archaeological remains and<br/>Historic Landscape Character (HLC). All of the<br/>identified impacts are located within the West<br/>Burton Order Limits and do not extend into the<br/>parish of Fillingham.</li> </ul> |
|           |       |       |  | Whilst one of the of the other NSIP solar<br>developments considered as part of the<br>cumulative assessment in the EIA is located<br>within Fillingham parish (the Cottam Scheme), the<br>West Burton Order Limits are sufficiently distant<br>from Fillingham so that there would be no<br>additional cumulative impacts to the historic<br>landscape character of Fillingham or any of the<br>heritage assets within the parish as a result of the   |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
|           |                             |   |  | West Burton Scheme (see section 13.10 [APP-051).   |
| FPM-10    | Principle of<br>Development | Definition of<br>Temporary and<br>Lifetime of<br>Scheme | "IGP repeatedly uses the technical definition of<br>"temporary" to hide the nature of the project.<br>Between construction, operation and<br>decommissioning, a life cycle of c. 50 years does<br>not reasonably constitute "temporary" in a<br>human lifetime. The developer should be<br>consistent and honest with the public about the<br>lifetime of the scheme." | Once the Scheme ceases to operate, it will be<br>decommissioned.<br>Decommissioning is estimated to be no earlier<br>than 2066 (see paras. 3.3.15 to 3.3.18 of <b>7.5</b><br><b>Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> ).<br>Decommissioning is expected to take between 12<br>and 24 months. A 24-month decommissioning<br>period has been assumed for the purposes of a<br>worst-case assessment in the ES, (See paragraph<br>4.3.6 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-042]</b> . In<br>addition, the agricultural land can remain in<br>productive use through the operational period,<br>by, for example, being grazed by livestock (see<br>paras 19.10.2, 19.10.6, 19.10.10 of <b>6.2.19</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils<br/>and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> ). |
| FPM-11    | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Impacts on<br>Existing Habitats                         | "Existing habitats rich with birds of prey, owls and<br>scarce farmland species, plus deer, brown hares<br>and badgers will be disturbed through the<br>massive scale of construction activities and<br>material movements the West Burton Solar<br>Project will require, and will be impacted through<br>the project's operational lifetime and<br>decommissioning."  | Section 9.6 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b><br>sets out the extensive findings of all ecological<br>investigations undertaken within the Order Limits<br>together with an appraisal of the relative<br>importance of each species or species group,<br>habitat or designated site. A comprehensive<br>package of mitigation measures has been<br>identified, in tandem with embedded mitigation   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | (see Section 9.6) which is secured through the<br>ecologically sensitive design of the Scheme (and<br>includes measures such as the wide buffering of<br>all field boundaries and the use of existing<br>hedgerow gaps for accesses). These measures<br>have been further detailed within <b>7.17 Outline</b><br><b>Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy</b><br><b>[APP-326]</b> and <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and</b><br><b>Ecological Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which will ensure that<br>all identified impacts are minimised as far as<br>possible. In many cases, the reversion from<br>intensive agriculture to pasture or meadow<br>grassland with additional hedgerow, scrub, tree<br>and wetland habitat creation will bring about<br>positive effects for wildlife. In particular,<br>terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, botanical<br>diversity, small mammals and many species of<br>bird all stand to benefit as set out in Section 9.7 in<br><b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement - Chapter 9</b> |
|           |       |       |                         | <b>Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> .<br>More specifically, in our experience of monitoring<br>over 100 active solar arrays, we find that brown<br>hare and badgers are highly active on solar arrays<br>owing to the improved foraging habitat within<br>them. Birds of prey, including owls, are one<br>species group likely to benefit from the provision<br>of large areas of optimal habitat for small<br>mammals such as field voles which require   |



| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
|           |  |  |   | tussocky and dense grassland which will be created within buffered field boundaries.  |
|           |  |  |   | Construction activities will be temporary, and<br>since they are limited primarily to the piling of<br>metal supports into the ground and laying of<br>electrical cabling, they are not considered to<br>create a level of disturbance which significantly<br>exceeds that generated by typical agricultural<br>sowing, spraying and harvesting operations. The<br>control of construction activities is managed<br>through <b>7.1 Outline Construction</b><br><b>Environmental Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] and secured by</b><br><b>Requirement 13</b> in Schedule 2 in the <b>dDCO</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> |
| FPM-12    | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Increased Risk of<br>Surface Water<br>Flooding | "There is a real risk for a significant increase in<br>water run-off from the huge surface area of solar<br>panels, leading to increased risk of flooding,<br>damage and isolation of properties and<br>communities." | As stated in paragraph 10.8.19 and 10.8.20 of<br>6.2.10 Environmental Statement - Chapter 10<br>Hydrology Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048]<br>maintaining the existing surface water run-off<br>regime by utilising permeable surfacing for the<br>Sites' accesses, linear infiltration trenches around<br>any proposed infrastructure (substations and<br>batteries) and wildflower planting at the leeward<br>edge of solar panels will ensure that the Scheme<br>is unlikely to generate surface water runoff rates<br>beyond the baseline scenario.  |
|           |  |  |   | As stated in 6.3.10.1 Environmental Statement – Appendix 10.1 Flood Risk Assessment and   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                                      |   | <b>Drainage Strategy Report [APP-089]</b> , any runoff<br>from hardstanding/small buildings on the<br>Scheme Sites will be captured on site, to prevent<br>increasing runoff from the Sites.   |
|           |   |                                      |   | Provision of a full surface water drainage scheme<br>is secured by Requirement 11 in Schedule 2 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
| FPM-13    | Transport and<br>Access   | Suitability of Local<br>Road Network | "The largest road near Fillingham is a "B" road,<br>and most others are single-track roads, which are<br>wholly unsuitable to the large volumes of traffic  | Construction vehicles associated with the West<br>Burton Scheme will not travel on local roads near<br>Fillingham.   |
|           |   |                                      | movements necessary to construct and decommission the WBSP."  | Information on the construction vehicle routes is<br>set out in 6.3.14.1_A Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 14.1 Transport Assessment Revision<br>A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A].  |
| FPM-14    | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health / Major<br>Accidents and<br>Disasters)<br>Air Quality<br>Ecology and | BESS Fire Safety<br>Impacts          | "The fire safety and environmental risk arising<br>from the failure of batteries is a concern,<br>including how community safety and<br>environmental integrity of wildlife and water<br>systems would be ensured in an emergency." | The Applicant has submitted <b>7.9 Outline Battery</b><br><b>Storage Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b><br>and, through <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , has<br>secured by Requirement 6 of Schedule 2 that<br>"Work No. 2 must not commence until a battery<br>storage safety management plan has been<br>submitted to and approved by the relevant<br>planning authority." |
|           | Biodiversity  |                                      |   | Paragraph 4.1.18 of <b>7.9 Outline Battery Storage</b><br><b>Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b> explains<br>that the design of the BESS has integrated fire  |



| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage |       |                         | detection and suppression systems that will<br>automatically operate to contain battery fires.<br>Paragraph 5.3 states that if fire spreads to<br>multiple units, external firefighting water facilities<br>are available by means of 228,000 litre water<br>storage tanks within the battery compounds.  |
|           |  |       |                         | Human health and other environmental impacts<br>resulting from plumes from potential battery fires<br>have been initially assessed in <b>6.2.17</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 17 Air</b><br><b>Quality [APP-055]</b> and are proposed to be<br>supplemented by additional information during<br>the examination process.  |
|           |  |       |                         | Risks to human health as a result of fires or<br>unconfined explosions within the BESS<br>compound are set out in paragraphs 21.6.42 to<br>21.6.48 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 21 Other Environmental Matters</b><br><b>[APP-059]</b> which concludes that there is no<br>significant risk of harm to human health due to<br>the physical separation of the BESS compound<br>from publicly accessible areas. |
|           |  |       |                         | As addressed in paragraphs 10.8.11 – 10.8.14 of<br>6.2.10 Environmental Statement – Chapter 10<br>Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048],<br>and section 3.10 of Appendix 10.5 of 6.3.10.5<br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 10.5 FRA<br>DS West Burton 3 [APP-093] given the nature of   |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
|           |                             |                                |   | the energy storage within the Scheme, there is a<br>potential risk of fire which could result in the<br>mobilisation of pollution within surface water<br>run-off. It is proposed that runoff from the energy<br>storage area will be contained by local bunding<br>and attenuated within gravel subgrade of lined<br>permeable SuDS features prior to being passed<br>forward to the local land drainage network. In the<br>event of a fire, a system of automatically self-<br>actuating valves at the outfalls from the battery<br>storage areas will be closed, isolating the battery<br>storage area's drainage from the wider<br>environment. As per paragraph 10.8.12 of <b>6.2.10</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Chapter 10</b><br><b>Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048]</b><br>and paragraph 3.10.5 pf <b>6.3.10.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 10.5 FRA DS West</b><br><b>Burton 3 [APP-093].</b> The water contained by the<br>valves will be tested and either treated and<br>released or tankered off-site as necessary and in<br>consultation with the relevant consultees<br>(including but not limited to Lincolnshire County<br>Council, as Lead Local Flood Authority, and the<br>Environment Agency) at the time. |
| FPM-15    | Principle of<br>Development | Securing of<br>Decommissioning | "Fillingham Parish Meeting is concerned that the<br>standards the developer would be held to at the<br>end of the life of the project are not clear." | <b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning Statement</b> [APP-310] forms part of the Application. Paragraphs 2.1.1 to 2.1.8 set out decommissioning activities for the removal of all the solar panels (PV),  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | structures, enclosures, equipment, and all other apparatus associated with the Scheme.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant confirms that the following is<br>secured through Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> : "Within 12<br>months of the date that the undertaker decides<br>to decommission any part of the authorised<br>development, the undertaker must submit to the<br>relevant planning authority for that part a<br>decommissioning plan for approval" where "The<br>decommissioning plan must be substantially in<br>accordance with the outline decommissioning<br>statement." |
|           |       |       |                         | <b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning Statement</b> [APP-<br>310] explains in paragraph 1.2.1 that a<br>Decommissioning Environmental Management<br>Plan (DEMP) (or multiple DEMPs) and a<br>Decommissioning Traffic Management Plan<br>(DTMP) will be produced and approved for the<br>Scheme following the appointment of a<br>contractor, prior to the commencement of the<br>decommissioning phase of the Scheme. Approval<br>and implementation of the DEMP and the DTMP<br>will be secured through a Requirement of the<br>DCO.  |
|           |       |       |                         | <b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning Statement</b> [APP-310] sets out the principles of decommissioning   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | and environmental considerations (see paras.<br>2.1.1 to 2.1.8) and provides a summary of<br>potential mitigation and management measures<br>during decommissioning in Table 3.1. It also sets<br>out how roles, responsibilities and actions<br>required in respect of implementation of the<br>mitigation measures will be managed, along with<br>principles for monitoring and reporting. Further<br>details will be provided in the final DEMPs and<br>DTMP submitted for approval prior to<br>decommissioning. The commitment for the final<br>DEMP and DTMP to be substantially in<br>accordance with the Outline Decommissioning<br>Statement.   |
|           |       |       |                         | In addition, to restore the land to its pre-<br>construction condition at the end of operation,<br>the soil resource within the Order Limits will be<br>managed through construction, operation, and<br>decommissioning. <b>6.3.19.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Appendix 19.2 Outline Soil</b><br><b>Management Plan [APP-138]</b> is included in the<br>Application and it identifies measures to be<br>implemented, through the general principles<br>outlined in paragraph 3.1.1, to ensure the<br>protection and conservation of soil resources<br>maintains the physical properties of the soils<br>during all phases of the Scheme and following<br>decommissioning. On site information relating to<br>the management of soil resources will be |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue         | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------|---------------|--|--|
|           |         |               |  | provided to the Site operators undertaking the works.  |
|           |         |               |  | A Soils Resource Management Plan, substantially<br>in accordance with <b>6.3.19.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Appendix 19.2 Outline Soil</b><br><b>Management Plan [APP-138]</b> will be submitted<br>and approved prior to the commencement of<br>development as secured by Requirement 19 of<br>Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |         |               |  | In combination, the above measures are<br>considered to provide sufficient clarity to ensure<br>that decommissioning of the Scheme will be<br>undertaken to a high standard.   |
| FPM-16    | General | The Applicant | "Fillingham Parish Meeting is concerned that IGP<br>has no track record of development at this scale,<br>in particular to be able to fulfil the obligation for<br>decommissioning and restoration of land at the<br>end of the project lifecycle, which could leave the<br>community with a significant liability to restore<br>the land to a useful purpose." | The Applicant is part of Island Green Power<br>Limited (IGP), a leading international developer of<br>renewable energy projects, established in 2013.<br>Further information on the Applicant can be<br>found in the <b>4.2 Funding Statement</b> [APP-020]<br>that has been submitted as part of the DCO<br>Application.  |
|           |         |               |  | IGP has delivered 26 solar projects worldwide<br>totalling more than 1GW of capacity. This includes<br>14 solar projects in the UK and Republic of<br>Ireland.   |



| Reference | Theme                         | Issue           | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
|           |                               |                 |  | Please see response FPM-15 above for further details regarding decommissioning.  |
| FPM-17    | Energy Need<br>Climate Change | Energy Benefits | "The energy and decarbonisation benefits made<br>by IGP for the West Burton Solar Project are<br>oversimplified, overstated and misleading." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". |
|           |                               |                 |  | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |                               |                 |  | Figure 7.3 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows that generating<br>power from solar capacity which grows in line<br>with "Future Energy Scenario" projections will<br>provide cumulative carbon emissions benefits<br>versus if that solar capacity growth was not<br>delivered, and instead power was generated from<br>conventional (higher carbon intensity) sources<br>(e.g. Combined Cycle Gas Turbines).         |
|           |                               |                 |  | The benefits of the Scheme. specifically in relation<br>to carbon savings it would bring forwards, are<br>presented in <b>6.2.7_A Environmental Statement</b><br>- Chapter 7 Climate Change Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.2.7_A]. This comparison<br>accounts for the variation between use of solar   |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue                      | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                            |  | power compared to fossil fuels and also includes<br>comparison with other renewable energy<br>production methods.  |
|           |   |                            |  | Section 7.4 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>provides evidence to support that wider<br>decarbonisation of the UK's electricity sector<br>requires large capacities of solar generation to be<br>developed.   |
|           |   |                            |  | Figures 8.1 and 8.2 <b>[APP-320]</b> demonstrate over<br>longer time periods how wind and solar are likely<br>to complement each other in the provision,<br>alongside other technologies, of a reliable<br>electricity supply to UK consumers.   |
| FPM-18    | Energy Need<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Food versus<br>Energy Need | "FPM is concerned that in the wake of major<br>disruption to food supplies in recent years,<br>displacing productive arable land in the UK with<br>solar panels that can make such a limited<br>contribution to the country's energy needs,<br>undermines the country's ability to source food<br>locally and maintain food security." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". |
|           |   |                            |  | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |   |                            |  | Section 7.4 <b>[APP-320]</b> provides evidence to support that wider decarbonisation of the UK's   |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue    | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|----------|---|---|
|           |   |          |   | electricity sector requires large capacities of solar generation to be developed.   |
|           |   |          |   | Figures 8.1 and 8.2 <b>[APP-320]</b> demonstrate over<br>longer time periods how wind and solar are likely<br>to complement each other in the provision,<br>alongside other technologies, of a reliable<br>electricity supply to UK consumers.  |
|           |   |          |   | Defra produce a UK food security report, the<br>most recent of which was published in 2021. It<br>notes that key risks to UK food security include<br>climate change and soil degradation.<br>Development of UK agricultural land is not noted<br>among these risks. In addition to renewable<br>energy, the Scheme is anticipated to enable the<br>recovery of soil organic matter through the<br>reversion of arable land to less intensive<br>agricultural uses for the duration of the operation<br>of the Scheme. For further details please see<br>Paragraph 19.9.14 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture</b><br><b>[APP-057].</b> |
| FPM-19    | Energy Need<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Land Use | "There are many and increasing demands on the<br>use of land, and in a situation of increasing<br>pressure on land use, the West Burton Solar<br>Project represents a highly inefficient use of land<br>for the region – as well as for the country." | The Applicant refers to its response to FPM-18<br>above.<br>Further, the Applicant notes that Paragraph 7.6.8<br>of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describes<br>Government's anticipated range of 2 to 4 acres<br>for each MW of output generally required for a<br>solar farm along with its associated   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue             | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                   |  | infrastructure. The Scheme as proposed delivers<br>a large-scale solar generation asset which is<br>consistent with this range.  |
|           |  |                   |  | Section 7.6 of the Statement of Need<br>demonstrates that large-scale solar is the most<br>efficient use of land for energy purposes.  |
| FPM-20    | Energy Need<br>Principle of                            | Alternative Sites | "Fillingham Parish Meeting has joined with other<br>local parishes to oppose the West Burton Solar   | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the conclusion that the Application benefits do not  |
|           | Development<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution |                   | Project, as the benefits of the development do<br>not outweigh the harms – and there are credible<br>alternatives that have not been adequately<br>pursued." | outweigh its harms.<br>Chapter 4 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>sets out the UK's legal requirement to<br>decarbonise and explains how that requirement<br>has created an increased need and urgency to<br>meet the UK's obligations under the Paris<br>Agreement (2015) as detailed within para. 4.2.7.<br>The chapter summarises the latest expert views<br>on the urgency for and depth of low-carbon<br>infrastructure needed to deliver the UK's Net Zero<br>legal obligations, and demonstrates that there is<br>an urgent need for the development of large-<br>scale solar schemes. |
|           |  |                   |  | Paragraphs 6.2.17 to 6.2.19 of <b>7.5 Planning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-313]</b> explain that it is against<br>this backdrop that NPS EN-1 paragraph 4.1.2 sets<br>a presumption in favour of granting permission<br>for energy NSIP projects. This is carried through<br>to Draft NPS EN-1 at paragraphs 4.1.2 to 4.1.5.  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | Section 6.2 <b>[APP-313]</b> sets out how the Scheme<br>will meet the compelling need for renewable<br>energy in accordance with relevant national<br>planning policies. In summary, the Scheme<br>would:   |
|           |       |       |                         | <ul> <li>Deliver a large amount of renewable<br/>generation capacity (35,590,658 MWh over<br/>the estimated 40-year assessed lifetime) (see<br/>para. 6.2.32) to deliver the Government's<br/>energy objectives and legally binding net zero<br/>commitments in line with the requirements of<br/>paragraph 1.1.1 of NPS EN-3 (see para. 6.2.3),<br/>paragraph 3.3.20 of draft NPS EN-1 (see para.<br/>6.2.10), section 3.4 of NPS EN-1 and the<br/>National Infrastructure Strategy 2020;</li> </ul> |
|           |       |       |                         | • Deliver a reduction of 5,974,155 tCO2e over<br>the lifetime of the Scheme compared to if it<br>did not go ahead (see para. 6.2.35) which<br>would make a significant contribution<br>towards reducing carbon emissions as<br>required by paragraph 1.1.1 of NPS EN-1,<br>paragraph 2.3.3 of Draft NPS EN-1, the<br>National Infrastructure Strategy 2020 and the<br>Energy White Paper: "Powering our net zero<br>future";  |
|           |       |       |                         | • Deliver in a timescale that is short in the context of the delivery of other forms of energy generation in line with the urgent   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | need to decarbonise set out in paragraphs<br>3.3.5, 3.3.15 (see para. 6.2.4) and 3.4.5 of NPS<br>EN-1 (see para. 6.2.1), Paragraph 2.3.3 (see<br>para. 6.2.8) of Draft NPS EN-1 and the<br>National Infrastructure Strategy 2020;   |
|           |       |       |                         | • Enable all consumers to benefit from the effect of low-marginal cost solar generation on reducing market prices, in line with the aim to provide affordable energy for consumers set out at Paragraph 2.3.3, Paragraph 2.3.6 and 3.3.20 of Draft NPS EN-1 (see paras. 6.2.8, 6.2.9 and 6.2.10); |
|           |       |       |                         | • Help ensure security and reliability of energy supply in line with Paragraph 2.3.2 and 2.3.5 of the Draft NPS EN-1.   |
|           |       |       |                         | NPS EN-1 paragraph 3.2.3. and Draft NPS EN-1 paragraph 3.1.1, acknowledge that it will not be possible to develop the necessary amounts of such infrastructure to deliver these benefits without some significant residual adverse impacts as explained at paragraph 6.2.20 <b>[APP-313]</b> .    |
|           |       |       |                         | Whilst it has not been possible for the Scheme to<br>avoid all significant residual impacts, these have<br>been identified within the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039 to APP-061]</b> and have been<br>minimised, where possible, through careful and                               |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | sensitive design and detailed mitigation strategies.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Section 6 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>demonstrates that when considered against<br>national planning policies, the Scheme accords<br>with the relevant policies, and with regard to<br>specific policy tests, the substantial benefits of<br>the Scheme are considered on balance to<br>outweigh its limited number of significant<br>residual adverse impacts. Therefore, it is<br>considered that development consent for the<br>Scheme should be granted.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant respectfully disagrees that credible<br>alternatives have not been adequately pursued.<br>The consideration of alternatives has been<br>undertaken within <b>6.2.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 5 Alternatives and Design</b><br><b>Evolution [APP-043]</b> . This includes the<br>consideration of alternative sites (Section 5.5),<br>alternative technologies (Section 5.6), alternative<br>layouts (Section 5.7), alternative substation<br>locations (section 5.8) and alternative cable<br>routes (Section 5.9). The ES chapter <b>[APP-043]</b><br>concludes in paragraphs 5.10.2, 5.10.3 and 5.10.4<br>that alternative potential development areas did<br>not perform as well as the Sites. |
|           |       |       |                         | The selection of the Scheme's location has followed a systematic step-by-step process as set  |



| Reference | Theme       | Issue          | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|--|---|
|           |             |                |  | out in detail within <b>WB6.3.5.1_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection</b><br><b>Assessment Revision A [AS-004]</b> . This took a<br>sequential approach to the consideration of<br>potential sites in terms of agricultural land<br>classification. As a result, paragraph 3.3.22 states<br>that the Scheme maximises the utilisation of low<br>grade, non-best and most versatile (BMV)<br>agricultural land with 95.9% of the land being<br>classified as non BMV land. |
|           |             |                |  | Paragraphs 2.1.23 to 2.1.32 <b>[AS-004]</b> detail the consideration of brownfield land and roof tops and sets out why these were discounted as unsuitable. The methodology used for the site selection process is considered reasonable and proportionate and complies with the requirements of NPS EN-1 4.4.3.  |
|           |             |                |  | The land required for the Scheme has been<br>demonstrated to perform better than 8 of the<br>assessed Potential Development Areas (PDAs)<br>and equal to the remaining one following the site<br>selection process. Consequently, paragraphs<br>4.1.1 to 4.1.8 <b>[AS-004]</b> conclude that there are no<br>obviously more suitable locations for the Scheme<br>within the Search Area.  |
| FPM-21    | Energy Need | Solar Land Use | "FPM believes that, given the pressure in the UK<br>on land use, solar on commercial and domestic<br>rooftops must be pursued as a matter of urgency | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently  |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------|--|--|
|           | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution |       | before large areas of land are used for<br>intermittent, low-load factor solar power." | required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".   |
|           |   |       |  | Section 7.6 of <b>[APP-320]</b> analyses the potential<br>contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the<br>national need for solar generation. Brownfield<br>sites, including rooftop and other community<br>energy systems, are likely to grow in the UK and<br>will make a contribution to the decarbonisation of<br>the UK energy system. However, the Statement of<br>Need concludes that on their own, brownfield<br>developments are unlikely to be able to meet the<br>national need for solar. Section 8.5 of the<br>Statement of Need describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that decentralised and<br>community energy systems are unlikely to lead to<br>the significant replacement of large-scale<br>infrastructure. It is the Applicant's view (and this |
|           |   |       |  | aligns with Government's view) that large scale<br>solar must be deployed to meet the urgent<br>national need for low-carbon electricity<br>generation.  |



## Table 2.4.5: Applicant's Response to Kexby Parish Council [RR-178]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|---------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| KePC-01   | General | Context of<br>Relevant<br>Representation | REPRESENTATION OF THE COMMUNITY VIEWS<br>ON THE PROPOSE 4 VARIOUS SOLAR FARMS IN<br>AND AROUND OUR COMMUNITIES TOTALLING<br>2GW OF POWER | The Applicant notes this comment. |



## Table 2.4.6: Applicant's Response to Knaith Parish Council [RR-179]

| Reference | Theme                       | Issue             | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| KnPC-01   | Principle of<br>Development |                   |   | The Applicant notes this comment and seeks to<br>assure the Interested Party that a cumulative<br>effects assessment has been prepared for the<br>Application within the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> .<br>Cumulative effects assessments for each<br>environmental topic are set out in each of the ES<br>Chapters and include the assessment of the<br>impacts of the Scheme cumulatively with the Gate<br>Burton Energy Park, West Burton Solar Project<br>and Tillbridge Solar Project (see paragraph 2.5.9<br>of <b>6.2.2 Environmental Statement - Chapter 2</b><br><b>EIA Process and Methodology [APP-040]</b> . |
|           |                             |                   |   | This assessment has been carried out in<br>accordance with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA<br>Regulations and PINS Advice Note 17. The<br>mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.   |
| KnPC-02   | Soils and<br>Agriculture    | Agricultural Land | "Why are there sites where land is unused and<br>does not affect anyone being considered, for<br>example moorland? This raises a number of<br>concerns/issues: The land in question is viable<br>agricultural land. Food producing land will be<br>lost." | Agricultural land is not lost permanently to a solar farm development. In addition, the agricultural land can remain in productive use through the operational period, by, for example, being grazed by livestock (see paras 19.3.3, 19.3.4, 19.10.2, 19.10.6, 19.10.10 of <b>6.2.19</b>   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|---|---|
|           |  |                           |   | Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-057]).  |
| KnPC-03   | Site Description<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Residential<br>Properties | "This raises a number of concerns/issues:The<br>proposed project will encompass the hamlets<br>within the proposed area. With the boundary of<br>some areas of the project being close to<br>residential property." | With regards to the visual amenity of<br>neighbouring communities, the Landscape and<br>Visual Impact Assessment contained within <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') takes into account the<br>effects on visual amenity and landscape<br>character, including proximity to people's houses.  |
|           |  |                           |   | The design of the Scheme has taken account of mitigation, including offsets and planting to address and minimise adverse effects on residential receptors. This has included off set distances to reduce impacts on these receptors. For example, the assessment has taken account of the 50m off set from residential properties to ensure the best possible fit with their setting. The photography and photomontage information at ES Figures 8.13.1 <b>[APP-194]</b> to 8.13.72 <b>[APP-265]</b> shows how the proposed landscape mitigation will play a key role in making sure the panels are comfortably accommodated. This aspect of the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> includes selected towns or villages, groups of buildings and singular buildings (the 'Residential Receptors') within the 1km Study Area. These towns or villages include |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue               | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---------------------|--|---|
|           |   |                     |  | the settlements of Bransby, Brampton and Marton.  |
|           |   |                     |  | The LVIA [APP-046] has identified the need for<br>landscape mitigation, which is set out in the 7.3_A<br>Outline Landscape and Ecological<br>Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule of the dDCO<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. The mitigation<br>measures are also shown on 6.4.8.18.1-6.4.8.18.3<br>Environmental Statement - Figures 8.18.1 to<br>8.18.3 - Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br>Enhancement Measures [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A]. This mitigation will enhance the<br>landscape character of the area and the setting of<br>these settlements and also reduce the visibility of<br>the Scheme from residential receptors. The<br>landscape mitigation measures include new<br>planting, including new native hedgerows and<br>tree cover,. The LEMP also includes provisions for<br>their management and maintenance. |
| KnPC-04   | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Jobs and<br>economy | "This raises a number of concerns/issues: The<br>affect this will have on jobs and skills within the<br>farming industry." | The Applicant recognises the significance of the<br>agricultural industry in the local economy and has<br>assessed the economic impact of the Scheme in<br>Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement</b><br>- Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and<br>Recreation [APP-056] and the direct impacts on<br>local agriculture in Sections 19.9 and 19.10 of   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | 6.2.19 Environmental Statement - Chapter 19<br>Soils and Agriculture [APP-057].  |
|           |       |       |                         | The Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum<br>loss of approximately 13 full-time equivalent<br>agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15 of<br>document <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b><br><b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The Scheme is estimated<br>to employ 8 full-time equivalent employees from<br>the local area during operation; see Table 18.16.<br>The net change in employment in the local area<br>(defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts)<br>during the Scheme's operational life is a loss of<br>approximately 2 full-time jobs, once<br>consideration of direct, indirect and induced<br>employment, and impacts on the tourism and<br>recreation industry is taken into account (see<br>para. 18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to<br>the local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per<br>year (see para. 18.7.99). |
|           |       |       |                         | The overall employment and economic benefit to<br>the local area from the two-year construction<br>period is anticipated to be 432 full-time<br>equivalent jobs (see para. 18.7.23), generating<br>£20.0 million per year (see para. 18.7.52).<br>The land included in the Scheme covers 4 farm  |
|           |       |       |                         | businesses, all of which are owner occupiers of the land within the Sites comprising the Scheme.   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                                      |   | This is detailed in full in para. 7.1.1-29 of <b>6.3.19.1</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 19.1<br>Agricultural Land Quality, Soil Resources and<br>Farming Circumstances Report [APP-137].   |
| KnPC-05   | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution   | Size and Height of<br>Solar Panels   | "The proposed solar panels are an<br>unprecedented 4.5m high. These structures will<br>not be able to blend into the landscape but<br>dominate 3,000 acres of land."  | The assessment of both the landscape and visual<br>effects of the 4.5m high solar panels is set out<br>within Section 8.6 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') and<br>within the detailed receptor sheets at <b>6.3.8.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.2</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Landscape Effects</b><br>[APP-073] and <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.3 Assessment of</b><br><b>Potential Visual Effects</b> [APP-074].   |
| KnPC-06   | Principle of<br>Development<br>Transport and<br>Access<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health)<br>Socio-<br>Economics, | Cumulative<br>Construction<br>Impact | "The combined construction time would be 4<br>years when combined with the other 3 projects.<br>This will undoubtedly cause disruption in and<br>around the proposed site, increased works traffic;<br>mental health issues/wellbeing issues for<br>residents and visitors to the area and will natural<br>habitats and wildlife are affected. These projects<br>will destroy not only the countryside but also the<br>way of life of the people who inhabit the villages<br>that these farms are going to engulf." | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest<br>level of effect to access, desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities is limited to short- to<br>medium-term moderate adverse effects on long<br>distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           | Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity |       |                         | and National Byways) during construction (see<br>Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a<br><b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the<br>only significant effect anticipated, with no greater<br>than moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any<br>other recreational receptor during construction<br>(see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any<br>recreational receptor during operation (see<br>paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to<br>18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be |
|           |  |       |                         | significant.<br>This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].  |
|           |  |       |                         | Cumulative effects on ecology have been<br>assessed within Section 9.9 of <b>6.2.9</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology<br>and Biodiversity [APP-047].  |
|           |  |       |                         | The Transport Assessment within <b>6.3.14.1_A</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1<br>Transport Assessment Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A] provides an<br>assessment of the transport effects of the<br>Scheme and concludes, through paragraphs 11.1<br>to 11.11, that the Scheme is acceptable from a<br>transport perspective.   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
|           |  |  |  | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the<br>application within <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction</b><br><b>Traffic Management Plan Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A].  |
|           |  |  |  | The 6.3.14.2 Environmental Statement –<br>Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic<br>Management Plan<br>[EN01032/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] and secured by<br>Requirement 13 Schedule 2 of the dDCO<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A] submitted as part of<br>the DCO application provides a framework for the<br>management of construction vehicle movements<br>to and from the Scheme, to ensure that the<br>effects of the temporary construction phase on<br>the local highway network are minimised and<br>made acceptable. |
| KnPC-07   | Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need           | Efficiency and<br>Requirement of<br>Solar Energy | "Solar panels are inefficient and a poor use of the<br>land. We were given figures of around 27%<br>efficient, which is a low level of energy gained.<br>Surely these would be more effective covering | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace   |
|           | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Soils and |  | the vast amount of commercial and domestic<br>roof space where it can directly help with energy<br>costs as opposed to inefficiently covering<br>farmland. The options should be exhausted             | output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".   |
|           | Agriculture  |  | before farmed land is used. It is clearly motivated<br>by profit under the guise of Green Energy."   | Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> analyses the potential contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | national need for solar generation. Brownfield<br>sites, including rooftop and other community<br>energy systems, are likely to grow in the UK and<br>will make a contribution to the decarbonisation of<br>the UK energy system. However, the Statement of<br>Need concludes that on their own, brownfield<br>developments are unlikely to be able to meet the<br>national need for solar. Section 8.5 of the<br>Statement of Need describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that decentralised and<br>community energy systems are unlikely to lead to<br>the significant replacement of large-scale<br>infrastructure. |
|           |       |       |                         | Figure 10.2 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows that many solar cell cells are over 20% efficient and some are within reach of 30% efficiency, meaning that more low-carbon electricity can be generated from the same area of land as was previously possible.   |
|           |       |       |                         | Table 7.1 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows the electricity<br>generated per Ha by different low-carbon<br>technologies. At the UK's average solar load<br>factor (11%), solar generation produces much<br>more energy per Ha than biogas, and generates a<br>similar amount of energy as onshore wind.   |
|           |       |       |                         | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue                      | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|--|---|
| KnPC-08   | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Quality of<br>Consultation | "Were important factors such as panel height,<br>glare, battery storage, generation capabilities,<br>flood risk and brownfield site use accurately | The Applicant undertook a six-week statutory<br>phase two consultation on the Scheme, during<br>which the Applicant presented consultees and  |
|           | The Proposal                            |                            | communicated."   | members of the public with environmental information sufficient for consultees to   |
|           | Energy Need                             |                            |  | understand the potential likely significant effects   |
|           | Hydrology and<br>Flood Risk             |                            |  | of the Scheme in a Preliminary Environmental<br>Impact Report (PEIR). A non-technical summary<br>was published to accompany the PEIR, with public   |
|           | Glint and Glare                         |                            |  | information events and free-to-use  |
|           | Soils and<br>Agriculture                |                            |  | communications channels open to help aid accessibility and understanding of the Scheme.   |
|           | General<br>(Consultation)               |                            |  | Within the DCO Application, the height of the<br>panels, the size and type of battery storage, and<br>the generation capability of the Scheme are<br>presented in Section 4.5 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme Description</b><br>[ <b>APP-042</b> ], with the maximum parameters of the<br>Scheme set out in <b>7.13_A Concept Design</b><br><b>Parameters Revision A</b><br>[ <b>EN010132/EX1/WB7.13_A</b> ]. |
|           |   |                            |  | Paragraphs 7.6.1-8 of 7.11 Statement of Need<br>[APP-320] analyses the potential contribution of<br>"brownfield" solar sites to the national need for<br>solar generation. Brownfield sites, including  |
|           |   |                            |  | rooftop and other community energy systems,<br>are likely to grow in the UK and will make a<br>contribution to the decarbonisation of the UK<br>energy system. However paras 7.6.5-8 conclude   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | that on their own, brownfield developments are<br>unlikely to be able to meet the national need for<br>solar. Paragraphs 8.5.1-10 express agreement<br>with Government's view that decentralised and<br>community energy systems are unlikely to lead to<br>the significant replacement of large-scale<br>infrastructure. The Applicant therefore supports<br>Government's view that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation. |
|           |       |       |                         | Paragraphs 2.1.23-2.1.31 of <b>WB6.3.5.1_A</b><br>Environmental Statement – Appendix 5.1 Site<br>Selection Assessment Revision A [AS-004] detail<br>the consideration of brownfield land and roof<br>tops and sets out why these were discounted as<br>unsuitable.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The flood risk at the Sites and within the Cable<br>Route Corridor is assessed and detailed within<br>6.3.10.1-6.3.10.6 Environmental Statement –<br>Appendices 10.1-10.6 Flood Risk Assessment<br>and Drainage Strategy Reports [APP-089 to<br>APP-094].   |
|           |       |       |                         | Glint and glare impacts upon nearby receptors<br>are assessed in detail within the <b>6.3.16.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Appendix 16.1</b><br><b>Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study [APP-<br/>132]</b> and summarised in <b>6.2.16 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 16 Glint and Glare [APP-</b>   |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue         | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|---|
|           |                             |               |  | <b>054</b> ]. The details of the Scheme and its impacts have been shared with the key consultees. Their responses are set out in Table 16.1 <b>[APP-054]</b> . For those receptors that are predicted to experience a "Moderate" impact the Applicant is committed to implement mitigation to reduce the effects to acceptable levels, these are set out in Section 16.6 and 16.8 <b>[APP-054]</b> , and in Table 3.5 of <b>7.14_A Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A]</b> which is secured by Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 of the <b>dDCO</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |
| KnPC-09   | Principle of<br>Development | Supply Chains | "The solar panels and precious minerals are to be<br>sourced and manufactured abroad in (China and<br>others) and the construction labour sourced<br>outside (and if world news reports are to be<br>believed under dubious conditions) of the area.<br>This begs the question as to whether this is an<br>ethically sound proposal. Will the human rights of<br>these overseas workers be respected and<br>checked upon?" | Paragraph 7.3.1 and 7.3.2 of <b>7.10 Outline Skills</b><br><b>Supply Chain and Employment Plan [APP-319]</b><br>sets out the safeguarding measures taken to<br>prevent human rights abuses. Production of the<br>final version of this Plan is secured by<br>Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .<br>Paragraph 5.4.7 of 7.10 Outline Skills Supply<br>Chain and Employment Plan [APP-319] states<br>that: 'Any procurement of supplies internationally<br>will comply with both national and international<br>law, and all policy and safety measures will be<br>adhered to in the transportation of supplies.' |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| KnPC-10   | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Ecology and | Ecological Impact<br>of Cable Works | "The distance from the Grid connection is<br>excessive and further ecological disruption would<br>be caused by the unnecessary civil works involved<br>in the cable connections." | The Applicant considers that wildlife and the<br>environment have been properly considered<br>within the site selection process and within the<br>subsequent design evolution of the Scheme.  |
|           | Biodiversity   |                                     |   | The selection of the Scheme's location has<br>followed a systematic step-by-step process as set<br>out in detail within <b>WB6.3.5.1_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection</b><br><b>Assessment Revision A [AS-004]</b> . This took a<br>sequential approach to the consideration of<br>potential sites in terms of agricultural land<br>classification and then involved undertaking a<br>desk based assessment of each of the identified<br>Potential Development Areas (PDAs) against a<br>range of planning, environmental and operational<br>criteria, which included ecology and biodiversity<br>(see Annex B: Assessment Indicators and<br>Evaluation Criteria <b>[AS-004]</b> ). Potential constraints<br>for each of the sites were mapped and are<br>contained in Annex D <b>[AS-004]</b> ). For ecology and<br>biodiversity, this included the mapping of<br>internationally, nationally and locally designated<br>sites of ecological, biological or geological<br>importance and identification of ancient<br>woodlands. |
|           |  |                                     |   | The results of the assessment are contained<br>within Annex E <b>[AS-004]</b> ). The land for the<br>Scheme has been demonstrated to perform<br>equal to, or better than all of the other PDAs in   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | terms of ecology and biodiversity. In terms of all<br>the assessment criteria combined, it performs<br>better than 8 of the assessed PDAs and equal to<br>the remaining one following the site selection<br>process.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The methodology used for the site selection<br>process is considered reasonable and<br>proportionate and complies with the<br>requirements of NPS EN-1 4.4.3 as explained at<br>Section 2.1 <b>[AS-004]</b> . It would not have been<br>reasonable and proportionate to undertake<br>ecological assessment fieldwork for all PDAs at<br>the site selection stage given the vast extent of<br>land under consideration. |
|           |       |       |                         | Following the identification of the draft site areas<br>through the site selection process, detailed<br>ecological assessment work was undertaken<br>across a broad spectrum of species groups. The<br>outcomes of the extensive ecological assessment<br>are reported in <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement</b><br>– Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047].  |
|           |       |       |                         | The ecological assessment work has resulted in<br>amendments to produce the final Order Limits<br>for the Scheme, including the cable route, and<br>has influenced the layout of solar panels and<br>other infrastructure within the Sites. The design<br>evolution of the Scheme is set out within <b>6.2.5</b>   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                           |  | Environmental Statement – Chapter 5<br>Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043].   |
| KnPC-11   | Site Description<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Principle of<br>Development | Site Selection<br>Process | "The Scheme comprises a number of land<br>parcels; West Burton 1, covering 90 hectares,<br>West Burton 2, covering 328 hectares, West<br>Burton 3, covering 370 hectares and West Burton<br>4, covering 247 hectares. The total area covered<br>by these sites is 1,035 hectares (2,484 acres) and<br>all is currently used as arable farmland. This<br>would suggest/show that the land has been<br>chosen by availability as opposed to suitability<br>and therefore has the project been planned well<br>and has the wildlife and environment really been<br>considered." | The selection of the Scheme's location has<br>followed a systematic step-by-step process as set<br>out in detail within <b>WB6.3.5.1_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection</b><br><b>Assessment Revision A [AS-004]</b> . This took a<br>sequential approach to the consideration of<br>potential sites in terms of agricultural land<br>classification and then undertook a desk based<br>assessment of each of the identified Potential<br>Development Areas (PDAs) against a range of<br>planning, environmental and operational criteria,<br>which included ecology and biodiversity (see<br>Annex B: Assessment Indicators and Evaluation<br>Criteria [AS-004]). Potential constraints for each<br>of the sites were mapped and are contained in<br>Annex D [AS-004]). The results of the assessment<br>are contained within Annex E [AS-004]). The land<br>for the Scheme has been demonstrated to<br>perform better than 8 of the assessed PDAs and<br>equal to the remaining one following the site<br>selection process. |
|           |  |                           |  | The methodology used for the site selection<br>process is considered reasonable and<br>proportionate and complies with the<br>requirements of NPS EN-1 4.4.3 as explained at<br>Section 2.1 <b>[AS-004]</b> . It would not have been<br>reasonable and proportionate to undertake   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | assessment fieldwork for all PDAs at the site selection stage given the vast extent of land under consideration. |



## Table 2.4.7: Applicant's Response to Marton & Gate Burton Parish Council [RR-213]

| Reference | Theme                                      | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|--|---|
| MGBPC-01  | Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need | Cumulative<br>Development | "Whilst it is recognised that the established Power<br>Station sites at West Burton and Cottam provide<br>the necessary infrastructure to connect the solar<br>farms to the grid, the number of proposed solar<br>farms in this area is excessive" | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".  |
|           |  |                           |  | Section 8.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |  |                           |  | Paragraphs 3.3.17-18 <b>[APP-320]</b> explains<br>Government's view that irradiance, site<br>topography and proximity to suitable connection<br>points to the transmission network, are likely to<br>be key inputs to site selection. Section 7.5 of the<br>Statement of Need describes the site selection<br>process for large scale solar more fully, and<br>Section 7.7 of the Statement of Need sets out<br>how the design of the Scheme has sought to<br>maximise utilisation of the existing available and<br>contracted grid connection capacity available at<br>the West Burton substation. |
|           |  |                           |  | Paragraph 7.6.8 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes<br>Government's anticipated range of 2 to 4 acres<br>for each MW of output generally required for a  |



| Reference | Theme                    | Issue                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
|           |                          |                        |   | solar farm along with its associated<br>infrastructure. The Scheme as proposed delivers<br>a large-scale solar generation asset which is<br>consistent with this range.  |
|           |                          |                        |   | Cumulative effects assessments for each<br>environmental topic are set out in each of the ES<br>Chapters and include the assessment of the<br>impacts of the Scheme cumulatively with the Gate<br>Burton Energy Park, Cottam Solar Project and<br>Tillbridge Solar Project (see paragraph 2.5.9 of<br><b>6.2.2 Environmental Statement – Chapter 2 EIA</b><br><b>Process and Methodology [APP-040]</b> . |
|           |                          |                        |   | This assessment has been carried out in<br>accordance with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA<br>Regulations and PINS Advice Note 17. The<br>mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.   |
| MGBPC-02  | Principle of             | Agricultural Land      | "[The] number of proposed solar farms in this   | Section 3.3 of 7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]  |
|           | Development              | for Food<br>Production | area is excessive, as is the combined acreage of good quality agricultural land that would be taken | describes Government's view that large capacities of low-carbon generation will be urgently  |
|           | Energy Need              | Production             | out of food production. Global prices and   | required to meet increased demand and replace  |
|           | Climate Change           |                        | availability of even basic crops such as wheat  | output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that  |
|           | Soils and<br>Agriculture |                        | show how vitally important it is that the UK produces as much of its own food as possible.          | "a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed   |
|           |                          |                        | War, flooding and drought have shown that we  | predominantly of wind and solar".  |
|           |                          |                        | cannot rely on other countries to grow our food.<br>Home food production also cuts down on "food    | Section 8.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes and agrees with Government's view that large scale solar must be  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | miles" thus reducing damage to the environment from the emissions associated with | deployed to meet the urgent national need for low-carbon electricity generation.  |
|           |       |       | transportation."  | Cumulative effects assessments for each<br>environmental topic are set out in each of the ES<br>Chapters and include the assessment of the<br>impacts of the Scheme cumulatively with the Gate<br>Burton Energy Park, Cottam Solar Project and<br>Tillbridge Solar Project (see paragraph 2.5.9 of<br><b>6.2.2 Environmental Statement – Chapter 2 EIA</b><br><b>Process and Methodology [APP-040]</b> .  |
|           |       |       |   | This assessment has been carried out in<br>accordance with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA<br>Regulations and PINS Advice Note 17. The<br>mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.  |
|           |       |       |   | Defra produce a UK Food Security report, the<br>most recent of which was published in 2021. It<br>notes that key risks to UK food security include<br>climate change and soil degradation.<br>Development of UK agricultural land is not noted<br>among these risks. In addition to renewable<br>energy, the Scheme is anticipated to enable the<br>recovery of soil organic matter through the<br>reversion of arable land to less intensive<br>agricultural uses for the duration of the operation<br>of the Scheme. For further details please see<br>Paragraph 19.9.14 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental</b> |



| Reference | Theme   | lssue                        | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                              |   | Statement – Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture<br>[APP-057].   |
| MGBPC-03  | Soils and<br>Agriculture<br>Energy Need           | Agricultural Land<br>Quality | "The solar farm developers say that the land<br>under consideration is low quality land, but this<br>land has still produced valuable crops for<br>generations. There is already a heavy loss on<br>productive agricultural land due to the demand<br>for more housing without more loss from solar<br>panels." | Agricultural land is not lost to or degraded by the<br>development of a solar farm.<br>The Agricultural Land Classification (ALC)<br>methodology was developed by Ministry of<br>Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF) specifically<br>to inform land use planning decisions.<br>Information on the ALC Methodology is given in<br>paragraphs 2.1.1 to 2.2.5 of <b>6.3.19.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Appendix 19.1</b><br><b>Agricultural Land Quality, Soil Resources and<br/>Farming Circumstances Report [APP-137]</b> . ALC<br>grade is determined by reference to specific<br>physical characteristics of the land, not by<br>cropping or yield.<br>The Applicant notes the Interested Party's<br>comment on the demand for more housing, and<br>notes that any other development proposals will<br>be subject to their own assessments and<br>application of the planning balance. |
| MGBPC-04  | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Agricultural<br>Economy      | "Agriculture is very important to the economy and<br>way of life to a rural County like Lincolnshire.<br>Many farmers are tenants on the land they farm<br>and so have no say over the land being turned<br>over to solar panels and they would lose their  | The Applicant recognises the significance of the agricultural industry in the local economy and has assessed the economic impact of the Scheme in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement</b> – Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056] and the direct impacts on local agriculture in Sections 19.9 and 19.10 of  |



| Reference | Theme | lssue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | livelihood, causing unemployment and increasing social deprivation." | 6.2.19 Environmental Statement – Chapter 19<br>Soils and Agriculture [APP-057].   |
|           |       |       |  | The Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum<br>loss of approximately 13 full-time equivalent<br>agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15 of<br>document <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b><br><b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The Scheme is estimated<br>to employ 8 full-time equivalent employees from<br>the local area during operation; see Table 18.16.<br>The net change in employment in the local area<br>(defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts)<br>during the Scheme's operational life is a loss of<br>approximately 2 full-time jobs, once<br>consideration of direct, indirect and induced<br>employment, and impacts on the tourism and<br>recreation industry is taken into account (see<br>para. 18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to<br>the local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per |
|           |       |       |  | year (see para. 18.7.99).<br>The overall employment and economic benefit to<br>the local area from the two-year construction<br>period is anticipated to be 432 full-time<br>equivalent jobs (see para. 18.7.23), generating<br>£20.0 million per year (see para. 18.7.52).<br>The land included in the Scheme covers 4 farm<br>businesses, all of which are owner occupiers of<br>the land within the Sites comprising the Scheme.   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
|           |   |                                      |  | This is detailed in full in para. 7.1.1-29 of <b>6.3.19.1</b><br>Environmental Statement – Appendix 19.1<br>Agricultural Land Quality, Soil Resources and<br>Farming Circumstances Report [APP-137].  |
| MGBPC-05  | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Soil and<br>Ecological<br>Conditions | "The solar farm developers maintain that soil<br>conditions and insect life improve as a result of<br>less human involvement in the land, but so will<br>pernicious and invasive weeds." | <ul> <li>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological<br/>Management Plan Revision A</li> <li>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] (OLEMP) contains<br/>grassland habitat management prescriptions<br/>(particularly Section 4.7) which will ensure that<br/>undesirable plant species such as docks, nettles,<br/>ragwort, rushes and thistles will be adequately<br/>managed through cutting to ensure they do not<br/>become dominant. Monitoring from a contracted<br/>ecologist (Section 4.10) is also programmed to<br/>ensure the management prescriptions can<br/>adequately adapt to the particular conditions<br/>across the operational Scheme.</li> <li>Measures set out in the OLEMP</li> <li>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] are secured through<br/>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft</li> <li>Development Consent Order Revision A</li> <li>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</li> <li>Paragraph 19.9.14 of 6.2.19 Environmental<br/>Statement - Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture</li> <li>[APP-057] references Defra R&amp;D project SP08016<br/>that identifies the soil health and wider<br/>environmental benefits obtained through<br/>reversion of arable land to grassland.</li> </ul> |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| MGBPC-06  | Ecology and                 | Migratory              | "Animal life would suffer as natural food supplies   | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| MGBPC-06  | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Migratory<br>Corridors | "Animal life would suffer as natural food supplies<br>would be reduced and their traditional migratory<br>corridors would be impeded." | Section 9.6 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b><br>sets out the extensive findings of all ecological<br>investigations undertaken within the Order Limits<br>together with an appraisal of the relative<br>importance of each species or species group,<br>habitat or designated site. A comprehensive<br>package of mitigation measures has been<br>identified, in tandem with embedded mitigation<br>(see Section 9.6) which is secured through the<br>ecologically sensitive design of the Scheme (and<br>includes measures such as the wide buffering of<br>all field boundaries and the use of existing<br>hedgerow gaps for accesses). These measures<br>have been further detailed within <b>7.17 Outline</b><br><b>Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy</b><br><b>[APP-326]</b> and <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and</b><br><b>Ecological Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which will ensure that<br>all identified impacts are minimised as far as<br>possible. In many cases, the reversion from<br>intensive agriculture to pasture or meadow<br>grassland with additional hedgerow, scrub, tree |
|           |                             |                        |  | and wetland habitat creation will bring about positive effects for wildlife. In particular,  |
|           |                             |                        |  | terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, botanical   |
|           |                             |                        |  | diversity, small mammals and many species of<br>bird all stand to benefit as set out in Section 9.7 in   |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------|---|--|
|           |                         |        |   | 6.2.9 Environmental Statement - Chapter 9<br>Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047].   |
|           |                         |        |   | More specifically, in our experience of monitoring<br>over 100 active solar arrays, we find that brown<br>hare and badgers are highly active on solar arrays<br>owing to the improved foraging habitat within<br>them. Birds of prey, including owls, are one<br>species group likely to benefit from the provision<br>of large areas of optimal habitat for small<br>mammals such as field voles which require<br>tussocky and dense grassland which will be<br>created within buffered field boundaries.   |
|           |                         |        |   | Construction activities will be temporary, and<br>since they are limited primarily to the piling of<br>metal supports into the ground and laying of<br>electrical cabling, they are not considered to<br>create a level of disturbance which significantly<br>exceeds that generated by typical agricultural<br>sowing, spraying and harvesting operations The<br>control of construction activities is managed<br>through <b>7.1 Outline Construction</b><br><b>Environmental Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] and secured by</b><br><b>Requirement 13</b> in Schedule 2 in the <b>dDCO</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> |
| MGBPC-07  | Landscape and<br>Visual | Vistas | "For centuries there has been a beautiful view<br>from Lincoln Ridge, across the Trent Valley and<br>over to Nottinghamshire. If the solar farm | The assessment of both the landscape and visual effects of the 4.5m high solar panels is set out within Section 8.6 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b>  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | companies get their way, this beautiful vista will<br>be replaced by a sea of ugly panels." | Statement – Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual<br>Impact Assessment [APP-046] (the 'LVIA') and<br>within the detailed receptor sheets at 6.3.8.2<br>Environmental Statement – Appendix 8.2<br>Assessment of Potential Landscape Effects<br>[APP-073] and 6.3.8.3 Environmental<br>Statement – Appendix 8.3 Assessment of<br>Potential Visual Effects [APP-074].   |
|           |       |       |   | The effects on the Ridge AGLV when viewed<br>across the low-lying Till Vale associated with the<br>substations, panels and associated infrastructure<br>such as fencing and cameras, and battery storage<br>have been taken into consideration in the<br>assessment of both landscape and visual effects.   |
|           |       |       |   | The LVIA takes into consideration the landscape<br>implications of the Scheme on the AGLV<br>designation, in particular the Ridge AGLV or<br>Laughton Wood AGLV (as identified in paragraphs<br>8.4.11, 8.5.125, 8.5.126, 8.5.142, 8.5.161, 8.5.162,<br>8.7.36, 8.7.38, 8.7.86, 8.7.88, 8.7.145, 8.7.147,<br>8.9.47, 8.9.48, 8.9.49) noting there will be positive<br>changes to the wider setting of the AGLVs due to<br>the additional vegetation enhancing the local<br>landscape character. |
|           |       |       |   | The LVIA also considers the impacts of the<br>Scheme on the AGLV designation alongside the<br>proposed Gate Burton proposal (see paragraphs   |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------|--|---|
|           |                         |         |  | 8.10.74 to 8.10.79) and has concluded that effects<br>will be <b>Not Significant</b> on landscape character<br>and visual amenity over an extensive area as a<br>result of the cumulative impacts of the schemes.   |
|           |                         |         |  | The LVIA [paras 8.5.86] also recognises the<br>importance of long-distance views to the more<br>elevated wooded skylines to the east, long views<br>to the north and south, which are constrained<br>only by the effects of distance, riverside<br>vegetation and hedgerows.  |
|           |                         |         |  | The LVIA includes a suite of viewpoints that cover<br>long range views across the Till Vale<br>encompassing the big expansive skies, for<br>example viewpoints VP12, VP15, VP16 and VP35.<br>There are also additional viewpoints at the<br>request of Lincolnshire County Council that were<br>agreed at the LVIA Workshops held prior to<br>submission that also include these long-range<br>views, for example LCC-A from the ridgeline to<br>the east and LCC-J from the wider Trent valley to<br>the west. |
| MGBPC-08  | Landscape and<br>Visual | Tourism | "In turn, this could impact on tourism for the<br>area, which could again result in loss of income<br>and increased social deprivation." | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement – Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] includes a full and detailed assessment  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation |       |                         | that deals with both effects on the landscape<br>itself and effects on the visual amenity of people,<br>as well as interrelationships of these with other<br>related topics in the ES. The LVIA process is<br>iterative and as a result, the design of the Scheme<br>has changed to respond to the findings of the<br>assessment to ensure that landscape mitigation<br>is fully considered as part of the process. |
|           |   |       |                         | Where the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> has identified<br>significant adverse effects, extensive landscape<br>mitigation is set out in <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape</b><br>and Ecological Management Plan Revision A<br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the dDCO<br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
|           |   |       |                         | is the mitigation measures are also shown on<br>6.4.8.18.1-6.4.8.18.3 Environmental Statement<br>– Figures 8.18.1 to 8.18.3 – Landscape and<br>Ecology Mitigation and Enhancement<br>Measures [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A].<br>This mitigation seeks to visually enhance the<br>landscape through the addition of new planting<br>and the positive management of the existing tree                            |
|           |   |       |                         | and hedgerow stock. This mitigation also seeks to<br>reduce the visibility of the Scheme and help with<br>its assimilation into the landscape from public<br>vantage points including transport routes, public<br>footpaths, permissive footpaths and green lane<br>networks. This mitigation is aimed to benefit the   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | community as a whole as well as tourists, visiting<br>walkers, local residents, ornithologists and<br>cyclists. The landscape mitigation measures<br>include new planting, including new native<br>hedgerows and tree cover,. The LEMP also<br>includes provisions for their management and<br>maintenance. The Applicant and its LVIA<br>consultants at Lanpro have worked closely with<br>the heritage and ecology consultants throughout<br>the application process to inform the LVIA <b>[APP-<br/>046]</b> and associated mitigation plans. The<br>mitigation proposals allow for flexibility, but they<br>are also fixed, where appropriate and applicable.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The likely impacts on the desirability and use of<br>the area surrounding the Scheme for tourism and<br>recreation have been assessed in Section 18.7 of<br><b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement – Chapter 18</b><br><b>Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-<br/>056]</b> . The greatest effects during construction are<br>anticipated to be medium-term temporary<br>moderate adverse effect on the landscape setting<br>of tourism attractions (see para. 18.7.57), and a<br>short- to medium-term temporary moderate<br>adverse effect on the Trent Valley Way and<br>National Byways long distance recreational<br>routes (see Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). These<br>effects are therefore both <b>significant adverse</b> .<br>These are however the only significant effect<br>anticipated, with no greater than moderate-minor |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | adverse anticipated to any other tourism and<br>recreational receptor during construction (see<br>paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any tourism and<br>recreational receptor during operation (see<br>paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to<br>18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be<br>significant.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The overall impacts on the level of Income as<br>measured by Gross Value Added per person, and<br>access to employment as a measured index of<br>deprivation has been assessed in Section 18.7<br>[APP-056]. The level of income generated by the<br>Scheme has been assessed to have an overall<br>beneficial effect on the local workforce during<br>construction (para. 18.7.53), operation (18.7.100)<br>and during decommissioning (18.7.141).   |
|           |       |       |                         | Access to employment during construction (see<br>para. 18.7.38 and 18.8.12) is anticipated to result<br>in a <b>significant</b> beneficial effect. During<br>operation, access to employment is anticipated to<br>be enhanced through the measures set out in<br>Section 5 of <b>7.10 Outline Skills Supply Chain</b><br><b>and Employment Plan [APP-319]</b> (maximising<br>local recruitment and enhancing opportunities<br>for local procurement (para. 5.3.1-5.4.7)), to<br>provide an overall beneficial effect. The Skills,<br>Supply Chain and Employment Plan is secured by<br>Requirement 20 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft</b> |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
|           |   |  |   | Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| MGBPC-09  | Principle of<br>Development<br>Cultural<br>Heritage | Cumulative Cable<br>Route Impacts on<br>Heritage | "Although not all the proposed solar farms are in<br>the immediate vicinity of our villages of Marton<br>and Gate Burton, the cable routes for ALL of the<br>proposed developments would pass through our<br>Parish in order to link to the Power Station sites<br>on the other side of the River Trent. This area has<br>Roman heritage related to it, the A1500 being the<br>Roman road known as Tillbridge Lane. The local<br>Planning Authority requires archaeological<br>surveys to be undertaken before any<br>development is allowed in the vicinity of the river<br>and we hope that our Roman heritage and the<br>potential for any archaeological remains will not<br>be overlooked if the cable routes are<br>underground." | The Applicant notes this comment and would like<br>to highlight that a full suite of archaeological<br>assessment, survey and field evaluation has been<br>undertaken for the Scheme. The results of<br>various assessments are detailed in the following<br>appendices:<br><b>6.3.13.1 Environmental Statement Appendix</b><br><b>13.1 Archaeological Desk-Based Assessments</b><br>[APP-105 to APP-108];<br><b>6.3.13.2 Environmental Statement Appendix</b><br><b>13.2 Archaeological Geophysical Survey</b><br>Reports [APP-109 to APP-114];<br><b>6.3.13.3 Environmental Statement Appendix</b><br><b>13.3 Geoarchaeological Desk Based</b><br>Assessment [APP-115];<br><b>6.3.13.4 Environmental Statement Appendix</b><br><b>13.4 Air Photo and LiDAR Report [APP-116];</b><br><b>6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement Appendix</b><br><b>13.5 Heritage Statement [APP-117 to APP-119];</b><br>and<br><b>6.3.13.6 Environmental Statement Appendix</b><br><b>13.6 Archaeological Evaluation Trenching</b><br>Reports [APP-120 to APP-121]. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | To minimise harm to potential archaeological<br>remains, the West Burton Solar Project, Cottam<br>Solar Project and Gate Burton Energy Park have<br>proposed a shared cable corridor route. The<br>assessments have identified concentrations of<br>previously unrecorded archaeological remains<br>associated with the former Roman Road (A1500),<br>which have been crucial in widening our<br>understanding of Roman settlement and activity<br>adjacent to the River Trent. The results of the<br>assessments have been used to formulate a<br>Mitigation Strategy aimed at safeguarding buried<br>archaeological remains (6.3.13.7 Environmental<br>Statement Appendix 13.7 Archaeological<br>Mitigation Written Scheme of Investigation<br>[APP-122]). This is secured through Requirement<br>12 of Schedule 2 in 3.1_A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
|           |       |       |                         | Where archaeological remains have been<br>identified within the proposed shared cable<br>corridor route, mitigation in the form of Strip Map<br>and Record has been agreed with the<br>Lincolnshire Historic Place Team (refer to Section<br>42 Response Table in <b>6.3.13.9 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Appendix 13.9 Consultation</b><br><b>Response Tables [APP-124]</b> ), who provide<br>archaeological advice to the West Lindsey district  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue              | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                    |  | of Lincolnshire and Bassetlaw district of Nottinghamshire.  |
| MGBPC-10  | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Energy Need | Alternative Siting | ""Brownfield" sites and rooftops should be<br>considered for solar panels before open,<br>productive agricultural land." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".  |
|           |  |                    |  | Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> analyses the potential<br>contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the<br>national need for solar generation. Brownfield<br>sites, including rooftop and other community<br>energy systems, are likely to grow in the UK and<br>will make a contribution to the decarbonisation of<br>the UK energy system. However, the Statement of<br>Need concludes that on their own, brownfield<br>developments are unlikely to be able to meet the<br>national need for solar. Section 8.5 <b>[APP-320]</b><br>describes and agrees with Government's view<br>that decentralised and community energy<br>systems are unlikely to lead to the significant<br>replacement of large-scale infrastructure. It is the<br>Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation. |



The Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations November 2023



## Table 2.4.8: Applicant's Response to Saxilby with Ingleby Parish Council [RR-299]

| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| SIPC-01   | General  | Context of<br>Relevant<br>Representation  | "This position statement sets out Saxilby with<br>Ingleby Parish Council and wider community view<br>on the principle of large ground-mounted solar<br>PV developments and identifies planning issues<br>that should be considered by the Planning<br>Inspectorate."   | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| SIPC-02   | Site Description<br>General<br>Cultural<br>Heritage<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation | Site Context and<br>Overview of<br>Parish | "Saxilby with Ingleby is a rural historic Parish with<br>the villages of Saxilby and Ingleby making up<br>most of the built up area. Several historic<br>buildings remain in Saxilby with Ingleby which<br>span the centuries: St Botolph's Church (C12th),<br>Saxilby Old Hall (C15th), the Manor Farm House<br>(C16th) and several cottages (C18th). The<br>Fossdyke canal is the oldest artificial waterway in<br>the country, connecting the River trent at Torksey<br>to the River Till at Odder. The Fossdyke has<br>influenced village life in Saxilby with Ingleby<br>significantly throughout the past two millennia.<br>These historic features are brought together<br>within the Bridge Street Conversation Area. The<br>rest of the Parish is rural and is located within the<br>rolling arable landscape of the Till Vale, a stretch<br>of land which runs north south radiating out from<br>the River Till and is bounded to the west by the<br>River Trent and to the east by the abrupt<br>escarpment of Lincoln Cliff, a Jurassic limestone<br>cliff." | The assessment of both the landscape and visual<br>effects of the 4.5m high solar panels is set out<br>within Section 8.6 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') and<br>within the detailed receptor sheets at <b>6.3.8.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Appendix 8.2</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Landscape Effects</b><br><b>[APP-073]</b> and <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Appendix 8.3 Assessment of</b><br><b>Potential Visual Effects [APP-074]</b> .<br>Theeffects on the Ridge AGLV when viewed<br>across the low-lying Till Vale associated with the<br>sub-stations, panels and associated infrastructure<br>such as fencing and cameras, and substation and<br>battery storage have been taken into<br>consideration in the assessment of both<br>landscape and visual effects.<br>The LVIA takes into consideration the landscape<br>implications of the Scheme on the AGLV<br>designation, in particular the Ridge AGLV or |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | Laughton Wood AGLV (as identified in paragraphs<br>8.4.11, 8.5.125, 8.5.126, 8.5.142, 8.5.161, 8.5.162,<br>8.7.36, 8.7.38, 8.7.86, 8.7.88, 8.7.145, 8.7.147,<br>8.9.47, 8.9.48, 8.9.49) noting there will be positive<br>changes to the wider setting of the AGLVs due to<br>the additional vegetation enhancing the local<br>landscape character.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA also considers the impacts of the<br>Scheme on the AGLV designation alongside the<br>proposed Gate Burton proposal (see paragraphs<br>8.10.74 to 8.10.79) and has concluded that effects<br>will be <b>Not Significant</b> on landscape character<br>and visual amenity over an extensive area as a<br>result of the cumulative impacts of the schemes.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The Fossdyke Navigation Canal has been<br>identified in <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b><br><b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> as a navigable waterway<br>used for recreational use and as such has been<br>assessed for impacts as a result of the Scheme on<br>its desirability and use. Paragraphs 18.7.64,<br>18.7.111, and 18.7.155 identify that there is no<br>greater than a minor adverse effect anticipated<br>as a result of the Scheme during construction,<br>operation, and decommissioning respectively.<br>These are therefore not significant effects. |
|           |       |       |                         | The Heritage Statement within 6.3.13.5<br>Environmental Statement – Appendix 13.5  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
|           |   |  |  | <b>[APP-117</b> to <b>APP-119]</b> , provides a detailed<br>assessment of all Grade II Listed Buildings and<br>Conservation Areas within 2km of the Scheme,<br>and all Grade I and II* Listed Buildings and<br>Scheduled Monuments with a 5km study area<br>surrounding the Scheme.  |
|           |   |  |  | St. Botolph's Church, Saxilby is discussed in<br>paragraphs. 3.1.79, 3.2.60 – 3.2.63, 3.3.42 – 3.3.47<br><b>[APP-117]</b> , and it is concluded that there would<br>be <i>Slight Adverse</i> impacts to this asset as a result<br>of the Scheme, but by Year 15 of the operating<br>phase this would be reduced to <i>Neutral</i> as the<br>landscape mitigation (screening) comes into<br>effect. Saxilby Old Hall is discussed in para.<br>3.1.49, and The Manor House and Saxilby Bridge<br>Conservation Area are discussed in para. 3.1.113.<br>Para. 3.1.113 concludes that there is no<br>intervisibility with the surrounding landscape<br>from any of these Listed Buildings or the<br>Conservation Area and there would be no visual<br>impact from the Scheme. |
| SIPC-03   | Site Description<br>Principle of<br>Development | Proposal and<br>Cumulative<br>Projects | "The West Burton Energy Project (Part 2) led by<br>Island Green Power covers a large area of the<br>Parish to the North of Saxilby and encompasses<br>the small village of Ingleby. Part 2 of the project is<br>linked to parts 1, 3 and 4 and to the Cottam<br>project. In total, the scheme will provide 480 MW<br>of renewable energy, and have the facility to<br>store 20 MW of energy. There are two other | The area consisting the Site at West Burton 2 is<br>described in detail in Section 3.4 of <b>6.2.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement Chapter 3 The</b><br><b>Order Limits [APP-041]</b> , whilst the rest of the<br>chapter <b>[APP-041]</b> describes the Order Limits of<br>the Scheme .   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
|           |       |       | interrelated projects Gate Burtin and Tillbridge that will have a culumative impact on the area.   | West Burton 4 was removed from the Scheme prior to the DCO application being made.   |
|           |       |       | The West Burton scheme and Cottam scheme are<br>each around 480MW, Cottam includes 600MW of<br>storage. Gate Burton and Tillbridge are around<br>500MW each. These four schemes will cover 1000<br>acres." | Cottam Solar Project is a separate DCO<br>application <b>[PINS Ref: EN010133]</b> , albeit applied<br>for by the same developer (Island Green Power)<br>as West Burton Solar Project. The two projects<br>share part of their cable route but are otherwise<br>functionally independent from each other.   |
|           |       |       |  | Section 2 of <b>7.7 Grid Connection Statement</b><br>[ <b>APP-316</b> ] demonstrates that the West Burton<br>Scheme has an export capacity of 480MW, and an<br>import capacity of 20MW, to and from the<br>National Grid. The quantum of energy storage is<br>defined only by the area allocated for Work No.2<br>on <b>2.3_B Works Plan Revision B</b><br>[ <b>EN010132/EX1/WB2.3_B</b> ], but is approximated<br>for the purpose of assessment in <b>6.2.7</b><br><b>Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Climate</b><br><b>Change [APP-045]</b> as 159MWh. |
|           |       |       |  | The comparative figures for nearby NSIPs is as follows:  |
|           |       |       |  | Cottam <b>[PINS Ref: EN010133]</b> : 600MW export capacity, 600MW import capacity, 1,200 or 2,400MWh battery storage;  |
|           |       |       |  | Gate Burton <b>[PINS Ref: EN010132]</b> : 500MW<br>export capacity, 500MW import capacity,<br>500MWh battery storage;  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|
|           |   |   |  | Tillbridge <b>[PINS Ref: EN010142]</b> : assumed<br>500MW export capacity, assumed 500MW import<br>capacity, assumed 2,300MWh battery storage.   |
|           |   |   |  | The four projects together will have a cumulative area of circa. 10,000 acres (4,000 hectares).  |
| SIPC-04   | Energy Need<br>Principle of<br>Development                                | Introduction to<br>Parish<br>Considerations | "This Statement sets out a number of<br>considerations to ensure that any schemes for<br>ground-mounted solar PV which come forward in<br>the parish of Saxilby with Ingleby: a) are<br>adequately justified; b) are of an appropriate<br>scale and location; c) respect local landscape,<br>heritage and visual amenity; d) assess the<br>cumulative impacts."  | The Applicant notes this comment and has<br>addressed the parish council's considerations in<br>turn in the responses below.   |
| SIPC-05   | Planning Policy<br>Energy Need<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | National Policy<br>and Siting of<br>NSIPs   | "The Government's drive for a zero carbon<br>economy by 2050 is supported by the Parish<br>Council which itself has a set of environment<br>related objectives upon which local decisions are<br>based. The Parish Council considers that<br>appropriate renewable energy infrastructure and<br>technology form a central part to achieving this<br>goal. However, the Parish Council also believe<br>that the right balance needs to be achieved<br>between the scale and location of renewable<br>energy infrastructure and losing valued<br>landscapes and biodiversity. Not all of this<br>infrastructure should be provided within a single<br>area. The impacts of such infrastructure should<br>be spread across the Country and in areas where | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".<br>Section 8.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes and agrees with<br>Government's view that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.<br>Paragraphs 3.3.17-18 <b>[APP-320]</b> explains<br>Government's view that irradiance, site |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | it causes the least impacts to the environment<br>and communities." | topography and proximity to suitable connection<br>points to the transmission network, are likely to<br>be key inputs to site selection. Section 7.5 <b>[APP-<br/>320]</b> describes the site selection process for large<br>scale solar more fully, and Section 7.7 <b>[APP-320]</b><br>sets out how the design of the Scheme has<br>sought to maximise utilisation of the existing<br>available and contracted grid connection capacity<br>available at the West Burton substation. |
|           |       |       |   | Section 7 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>concludes with a consideration of the Planning<br>Balance and justifies how the overwhelming<br>national need, as demonstrated in the Statement<br>of Need outweighs any potential significant<br>adverse impacts which, as the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> sets out, are<br>limited.  |
|           |       |       |   | Cumulative effects assessments for each<br>environmental topic are set out in each of the ES<br>Chapters and include the assessment of the<br>impacts of the Scheme cumulatively with the Gate<br>Burton Energy Park, West Burton Solar Project<br>and Tillbridge Solar Project (see paragraph 2.5.9<br>of <b>6.2.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2</b><br><b>EIA Process and Methodology [APP-040]</b> .   |
|           |       |       |   | This assessment has been carried out in<br>accordance with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA<br>Regulations and PINS Advice Note 17. The   |



| Reference | Theme           | Issue                          | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
|           |                 |                                |   | mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.  |
| SIPC-06   | Planning Policy | NPPF                           | "To help manage the impacts of renewable<br>energy developments, Paragraph 155 (a) and (b)<br>of the National Planning Policy Framework states<br>that Local Plans should: 'provide a positive<br>strategy for energy from these sources, that<br>maximises the potential for suitable<br>development, while ensuring that adverse<br>impacts are addressed satisfactorily (including<br>cumulative landscape and visual impacts)'; and<br>'consider identifying suitable areas for renewable<br>and low carbon energy sources, and supporting<br>infrastructure, where this would help secure their<br>development." | The Applicant notes this comment and refers to<br>paragraph 5 of the National Planning Policy<br>Framework (NPPF) which makes it clear that the<br>document does not contain specific policies for<br>Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects like<br>the Scheme, and therefore whilst the policies in<br>the NPPF should be considered and are<br>important, they are attributed less weight in the<br>Secretary of State's decision making process than<br>the relevant policies in the adopted Energy NPSs<br>and Draft Energy NPSs (Section 5.5 and 5.6 of <b>7.5</b><br><b>Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> ). |
| SIPC-07   | Planning Policy | Limitations of<br>Local Policy | "The Central Lincolnshire Local Plan 2017 (and the<br>emerging 2022 review Plan) fails to identify any<br>'preferred' locations for renewable energy<br>developments and therefore doesn't provide the<br>framework for guiding such large-scale schemes.<br>This lack of local guidance contributes towards<br>the continuation of an 'unplanned' approach to<br>large scale renewable energy infrastructure<br>across Central Lincolnshire leading to further<br>vulnerability to the environment and a set of<br>cumulative landscape related issues in the<br>future."  | Tables 1.1 and 1.2 of Appendix D: Local Planning<br>Policy Accordance Table to <b>7.5 Planning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-313]</b> sets out how the Scheme<br>accords with the planning policies set out in both<br>the adopted and emerging draft Central<br>Lincolnshire Local Plans.<br>The methodology used for the site selection<br>process is considered reasonable and<br>proportionate and complies with the<br>requirements of NPS EN-1 4.4.3 as explained at<br>Section 2.1 of WB6.3.5.1_A Environmental<br><b>Statement Appendix 5.1 Site Selection</b>  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
|           |   |  |  | <b>Assessment Revision A [AS-004]</b> . The failure of the Local Plan to identify any 'preferred' locations for renewable energy developments therefore cannot be given any weight in the decision making process.   |
|           |   |  |  | Please see the response to SIPC-06 above for<br>further details relating to the policy against which<br>the Scheme will be assessed.   |
| SIPC-08   | C-08 Principle of Parish Approach<br>Development to Renewable<br>Energy Need Energy | "The Parish Council works to ensure renewable<br>energy projects are carried out, in a way that<br>minimises impacts on our environment and<br>community, and makes sure that the<br>communities who host such schemes benefit<br>directly from them." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". |  |
|           |   |  |  | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |   |  |  | Section 7 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>concludes with a consideration of the Planning<br>Balance and justifies how the overwhelming<br>national need, as demonstrated in the Statement<br>of Need outweighs any potential significant<br>adverse impacts which, as the <b>Environmental</b> |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                       |  | <b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> sets out, are limited.  |
|           |   |                       |  | Whilst not a direct and targeted local energy<br>supply benefit, there is benefit to all UK citizens –<br>including local communities – from the UK<br>producing more clean, renewable electricity, in<br>terms of affordability and energy security and<br>resilience. This is considered further in detail in<br>Sections 7.4, 8.7, 8.8, 8.10, 10.2, 10.3 and 11.5 of<br><b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> .                 |
|           |   |                       |  | The Applicant has committed to providing a community benefit fund but this does not form part of the DCO application, and this funding is not required to mitigate the impacts of tan appropriate mechanism for the funding to be distributed. Further updates on this matter will be provided during the examination.   |
| SIPC-09   | Principle of<br>Development<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Cumulative<br>Impacts | "A recent increase in applications for large solar<br>farms within the wider area has increased the<br>potential for cumulative negative impact on the<br>rural flat and historic landscape around Saxilby." | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the<br>Councils comment and considers the approach<br>taken and subsequent conclusions regarding<br>assessing the impacts of the Scheme alongside<br>other applications for solar, including the<br>proposed Cottam, Gate Burton and Tillbridge<br>Solar proposals would not result in significant<br>adverse effects on landscape character and visual<br>amenity over an extensive area. |
|           |   |                       |  | The judgements on the likely significant cumulative effects and conclusions for the  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | landscape and visual receptors are set out within<br>Section 8.10 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement-</b><br>- Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual Impact<br>Assessment [APP-046] (the 'LVIA'), <b>6.3.8.2</b><br>Environmental Statement Appendix 8.2<br>Assessment of Potential Landscape Effects<br>[APP-073] and <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental</b><br>Statement Appendix 8.3 Assessment of<br>Potential Visual Effects [APP-074].   |
|           |       |       |                         | The cumulative effect with the Cottam scheme<br>are illustrated on <b>6.4.8.17.1 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Figure 8.17.1 Cumulative</b><br><b>Development Augmented ZTV Cottam [APP-<br/>277]</b> / These show the Cottam scheme as being<br>located to the north east of the settlements of<br>Stow and Willingham. This demonstrates that<br>cumulative effects between these projects would<br>not occur due to the significant distance between<br>them. The LVIA [APP-046] concludes that with<br>Regional Character Areas and Individual<br>Contributors to Landscape Character, there is<br>potential for cumulative effects, but that these<br>would be <b>Not Significant</b> . The LVIA sets out |
|           |       |       |                         | (para. 8.10.86) for example, with regard to<br>Viewpoint LCC-A-Middle Street that "There may be<br>opportunities (depending upon weather and<br>atmospheric visibility) for successional glimpses of<br>the West Burton and Cottam Sites. However, if<br>available, this would be very glimpsed, transient and   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | filtered by vegetation across the landscape and<br>would be regarded as two detached solar schemes<br>in two separate land parcels."   |
|           |       |       |                         | The cumulative effects with the Gate Burton<br>scheme are illustrated on <b>6.4.8.17.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement Figure 8.17.2</b><br><b>Cumulative Development Augmented ZTV</b><br><b>Gate Burton [APP-278]</b> . These show the Gate<br>Burton scheme as being located to the west of<br>the settlements of Willingham by Stow, Kexby and<br>Upton. This demonstrates that cumulative effects<br>of these projects would not occur due to the<br>significant distance between them. The LVIA<br><b>[APP-046]</b> concludes that with Regional Character<br>Areas and Individual Contributors to Landscape<br>Character, there is potential for cumulative<br>effects, but that these would be <b>Not Significant</b> .<br>The LVIA sets out (para. 8.10.88) for example,<br>with regard to transport receptor T058/Northern<br>Railway – Saxilby to Gainsborough that "The route<br>continues north through the Gate Burton Energy<br>Park development, with users having views of the<br>surrounding array as they pass through", but that |
|           |       |       |                         | the effects would be <b>Not Significant</b> .<br>The cumulative effects with the Tillbridge scheme<br>are illustrated on <b>6.4.8.17.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Figure 8.17.3 Cumulative</b><br><b>Development Augmented ZTV Tillbridge</b><br>[ <b>APP-279</b> ]. These show the Tillbridge scheme as  |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue                        | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                                |                              |  | being located to the west and east of the<br>settlement of Springthorpe and situated between<br>the settlements of Heapham, Hemswell Cliff and<br>Glentworth. This demonstrates that cumulative<br>effects between these projects would not occur<br>due to the significant distance between them. The<br>LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> concludes that with Regional<br>Character Areas and Individual Contributors to<br>Landscape Character, there is potential for<br>cumulative effects, but that these would be <b>Not</b><br><b>Significant</b> . The LVIA sets out (para. 8.10.22) for<br>example, with regard to location and proximity<br>that "The Tillbridge Solar Project continues from the<br>northern extent of the Cottam 1 Site north towards<br>the A631. The Cottam Solar Project is approximately<br>1.5km north of the West Burton 1 Site. The Tillbridge<br>Solar Project is approximately 7.25km north of the<br>West Burton 1 Site." |
| SIPC-10   | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Local Landscape<br>Character | "The West Lindsey Landscape Character<br>Assessment (WLLCA), published in 1999, provides<br>a detailed assessment of the special character,<br>distinctiveness and qualities of the various<br>landscape types found across the district. At a<br>strategic level, it divides West Lindsey into four<br>Broad Landscape Character Areas in respect of<br>scale, geology, topography, land-cover and<br>settlement pattern. Within these categories,<br>Saxilby falls into the Trent Valley grouping. Low-<br>lying predominantly agricultural and historic | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] (the 'LVIA') takes account of the<br>landscape and visual features that are part of the<br>special character, distinctiveness and qualities of<br>the various landscape types found across the<br>district. The LVIA also takes account of<br>intervisibility between the Scheme and Lincoln<br>Castle and Lincoln Cathedral, and key sightlines<br>along the River Trent and other water spaces.   |



| Reference | Theme | lssue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|--|
|           |       |       | landscapes of strong rural character, with large,<br>flat, open fields and hedgerows providing<br>enclosure to roads typify this wider Trent Valley<br>area with pockets of woodland scattered<br>intermittently. Due to the flatter nature of the<br>landscape, there are key sightlines and views<br>across the wider area to the Power Stations along<br>the River Trent to the west and Lincoln Cathedral<br>to the East as identified within the Saxilby<br>Character Assessment 2017. All of which are<br>sensitive to radical and rapid change or intensive<br>development." | Detailed consultation with the Heritage topic<br>experts has also been undertaken when<br>developing the landscape and visual baseline and<br>to assess landscape and visual effects for the<br>LVIA in the context of heritage receptors and this<br>is set out within <b>6.3.8.4.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Appendix 8.4 Consultation [APP-<br/>075]</b> .<br>The extent of the Study Area has been<br>determined in accordance with recognised LVIA<br>methodology to encompass all receptors that<br>may experience significant effects. In light of the<br>nature of the surrounding terrain with some<br>elevated viewpoints, the assessment has<br>considered the potential for landscape and visual<br>receptors to be affected that are close to but<br>outside the 5km buffer area. |
|           |       |       |   | Additional views within <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> suggested by the<br>Canal & River Trust, Lincolnshire County Council<br>and Bassetlaw District Council that take account<br>of locations where heritage assets may be<br>affected are taken into account at Section 8.2 of<br>chapter 8. This includes viewpoint VP35 that is<br>representative of views from the Fossdyke Canal,<br>in addition to viewpoints VP35 and VP49 which<br>are next to water spaces. This assessment has<br>included boaters as a receptor at low speed as  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | their users are likely to be impacted, as well as<br>walkers and horse riders. In addition, boaters<br>mooring on the Fossdyke Canal, who may be in<br>situ for long term, are also taken into account in<br>the LVIA.  |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA has also included views from the River<br>Trent as a visual receptor, with viewpoints VP49<br>and LCC-C-K, which are representative viewpoints<br>along this river corridor. For West Burton 3,<br>Bassetlaw District Council also suggested adding<br>Torksey Viaduct as viewpoint LCC-C-N, given it sits<br>at a higher elevation.                   |
|           |       |       |                         | The LVIA at section 8.5 has also taken account of recognised documents and guidance such as The Historic Landscape Character Assessment of the County of Lincolnshire (September 2011) to ensure the Scheme has been designed in a way that is sensitive to the historic landscape. The relevant section for the Scheme is TVL1 – The Northern Cliff Foothills. |
|           |       |       |                         | There are potential long-distance views to Lincoln<br>Cathedral and Lincoln Castle and while Lincoln<br>lies approximately 8.5km to the southeast of<br>West Burton 1 and West Burton 2, the<br>intervisibility between the Sites and the Study<br>Area have been taken into consideration in the<br>LVIA (paras. 8.4.11 and 8.5.77).                           |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
|           |   |  |  | 'LVIA specific' consultation has been undertaken<br>and it was agreed that the East Midlands Regional<br>Landscape Character Assessment, the West<br>Lindsey District Landscape Character Assessment<br>and The Historic Landscape Character<br>Assessment of the County of Lincolnshire<br>(September 2011) would provide sufficient and<br>relevant baseline for the LVIA.   |
| SIPC-11   | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Site Description<br>Cultural<br>Heritage | Scale of<br>Development and<br>Heritage Impact | "This application stretches far beyond the<br>boundary of Saxilby with Ingleby and affects the<br>historic settlements of Brampton, Torksey,<br>Broxholme, Willingham, Stow, Sturton by Stow<br>and up to areas like Glentworth all of which have<br>significant heritage assets." | 6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement Appendix<br>13.5 Heritage Statement [APP-117 to APP-119],<br>provides a detailed assessment of all Grade II<br>Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas within<br>2km of the Scheme, and all Grade I and II* Listed<br>Buildings and Scheduled Monuments within a<br>5km study area surrounding the Scheme.  |
|           |   |  |  | 6.2.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13<br>Cultural Heritage [APP-051] (Tables 13 32 and<br>13.33) conclude that in Brampton there would be<br><i>Slight Adverse</i> residual effects to one Grade II<br>Listed Building and two non-designated historic<br>buildings during the construction phase. At<br>Torksey it is concluded that there would be <i>Slight</i><br><i>Adverse</i> residual effects to one non-designated<br>historic building during the construction phase. In<br>Broxholme it was concluded that there would be<br><i>Slight Adverse</i> residual effects to five Listed<br>Buildings and one Scheduled Monument during |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                         |  | the construction phase and one Listed Building<br>during the operational phase. At Stow it was<br>concluded that there would be <i>Slight Adverse</i><br>residual effects to three non-designated historic<br>buildings during both the operational and<br>decommissioning phases. No impacts to historic<br>buildings or Scheduled Monuments were<br>identified at Sturton by Stow, and as Glentworth<br>is located <i>c</i> .9km to the north-east of the Scheme<br>(at its nearest point) it is considered that there<br>would be no adverse effects from the Scheme at<br>this distance.   |
| SIPC-12   | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Site Description<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Glint and Glare | Scale of<br>Development | "The impact of such a scale of development will<br>lead to a concentration of solar panel<br>infrastructure and, in some locations, nearly an<br>unbroken sea of artificial structures and reflective<br>glare." | Further information on the design process is<br>provided within <b>6.2.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Chapter 5 Alternatives and</b><br><b>Design Evolution [APP-043]</b> , which sets out that<br>the Applicant has followed a step by step site<br>selection process which demonstrates the<br>location of the Scheme is suitable for a large scale<br>solar farm. This has included the avoidance of<br>sensitive landscape and environmental<br>designations in confirming the site suitability and<br>consideration of alternative sites (para. 5.2.7).<br>There is a requirement to avoid significant harm<br>and development within nationally designated<br>landscapes as set out in section 5.9 of NPS EN1<br>Glint and glare impacts upon nearby receptors<br>are assessed in detail within the <b>6.3.16.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Appendix 16.1</b> |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
|           |   |  |  | Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study [APP-<br>132] and summarised in 6.2.16 Environmental<br>Statement – Chapter 16 Glint and Glare [APP-<br>054]. The details of the Scheme and its impacts<br>have been shared with the key consultees. Their<br>responses are set out in Table 16.1 [APP-054]. For<br>those receptors that are predicted to experience<br>a "Moderate" impact the Applicant is committed<br>to implement mitigation to reduce the effects to<br>acceptable levels, these are set out in Section<br>16.6 and 16.8 [APP-054], and in Table 3.5 of<br>7.14_A Outline Operational Environmental<br>Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 of the dDCO<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
| SIPC-13   | Energy Need<br>Principle of<br>Development<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Visual and<br>Ecological Cost of<br>Meeting Energy<br>Need | "The environmental objective of developing<br>renewable energy through large solar farms<br>should not come at the expense of the beauty,<br>character, biodiversity and tranquillity of the<br>countryside, especially in those flatter, more open<br>landscapes where more industrial developments<br>are harder to hide or more difficult to mitigate. In<br>fact, it is difficult to envisage how such a scheme<br>can be mitigated simply due to its shear<br>expanse." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".<br>It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | Section 7 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>concludes with a consideration of the Planning<br>Balance and justifies how the overwhelming<br>national need, as demonstrated in the Statement<br>of Need outweighs any potential significant<br>adverse impacts which, as the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> sets out, are<br>limited.   |
|           |       |       |                         | Further information on the design process is<br>provided within <b>6.2.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Chapter 5 Alternatives and</b><br><b>Design Evolution [APP-043]</b> , which sets out that<br>the Applicant has followed a step by step site<br>selection process which demonstrates the<br>location of the Scheme is suitable for a large scale<br>solar farm. This has included the avoidance of<br>sensitive landscape and environmental<br>designations in confirming the site suitability and<br>consideration of alternative sites (para. 5.2.7).<br>There is a requirement to avoid significant harm<br>and development within nationally designated<br>landscapes as set out in section 5.9 of NPS EN1 |
|           |       |       |                         | Section 9.6 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b><br>sets out the extensive findings of all ecological<br>investigations undertaken within the Order Limits<br>together with an appraisal of the relative<br>importance of each species or species group,<br>habitat or designated site. A comprehensive  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
|           |  |  |   | package of mitigation measures has been<br>identified, in tandem with embedded mitigation<br>(see Section 9.6) which is secured through the<br>ecologically sensitive design of the Scheme (and<br>includes measures such as the wide buffering of<br>all field boundaries and the use of existing<br>hedgerow gaps for accesses). These measures<br>have been further detailed within <b>7.17 Outline</b><br><b>Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy</b><br><b>[APP-326]</b> and <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and</b><br><b>Ecological Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which will ensure that<br>all identified impacts are minimised as far as<br>possible. In many cases, the reversion from<br>intensive agriculture to pasture or meadow<br>grassland with additional hedgerow, scrub, tree<br>and wetland habitat creation will bring about<br>positive effects for wildlife. In particular,<br>terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, botanical<br>diversity, small mammals and many species of<br>bird all stand to benefit as set out in Section 9.7 in<br><b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement - Chapter 9</b><br><b>Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> . |
| SIPC-14   | Soils and<br>Agriculture<br>Socio-<br>economics, | Agricultural<br>Economy and<br>Food Production | "This part of Lincolnshire provides a significant<br>contribution towards food production for the<br>wider economy. In fact, Lincolnshire is widely<br>known as the 'Bread Basket' of the UK due to the<br>quantity (12%) of food produced and the quality<br>of its agricultural land. Agriculture forms the | The Applicant recognises the significance of the<br>agricultural industry in the local economy and has<br>assessed the economic impact of the Scheme in<br>Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement</b><br>– Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and<br>Recreation [APP-056] and the direct impacts on   |



| Reference | Theme                     | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------|--|---|
|           | Tourism and<br>Recreation |       | backbone of the local economy and contributes<br>significantly towards the rural character of the<br>Parish and wider area." | local agriculture in Sections 19.9 and 19.10 of<br>6.2.19 Environmental Statement – Chapter 19<br>Soils and Agriculture [APP-057].  |
|           |                           |       |  | The Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum<br>loss of approximately 13 full-time equivalent<br>agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15 of<br>document <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b><br><b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The Scheme is estimated<br>to employ 8 full-time equivalent employees from<br>the local area during operation; see Table 18.16.<br>The net change in employment in the local area<br>(defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts)<br>during the Scheme's operational life is a loss of<br>approximately 2 full-time jobs, once<br>consideration of direct, indirect and induced<br>employment, and impacts on the tourism and<br>recreation industry are considered (see para.<br>18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to the<br>local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per year<br>(see para. 18.7.99). |
|           |                           |       |  | The overall employment and economic benefit to<br>the local area from the two-year construction<br>period is anticipated to be 432 full-time<br>equivalent jobs (see para. 18.7.23), generating<br>£20.0 million per year (see para. 18.7.52).  |
|           |                           |       |  | The land included in the Scheme covers 4 farm businesses, all of which are owner occupiers of   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue            | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                  |  | the land within the Sites comprising the Scheme.<br>This is detailed in full in para. 7.1.1-29 of 6.3.19.1<br>Environmental Statement – Appendix 19.1<br>Agricultural Land Quality, Soil Resources and<br>Farming Circumstances Report [APP-137].  |
| SIPC-15   | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Energy Need<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture<br>Planning Policy | Loss of BMV Land | "The proposed loss of this agricultural land across<br>the area which is mostly Grade 1, 2 and 3a will<br>ultimately change agricultural practices and the<br>agricultural history of the Parish and wider area<br>forever. Grade 1, 2 and 3a farmland is in itself a<br>major renewable energy resource as well as<br>supporting the supply of food, and is defined as<br>being 'the Best and Most Versatile (BMV)<br>agricultural land in the NPPF. It is undesirable to<br>take one renewable energy resource out of<br>effective use in order to develop another." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".<br>It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation. |
|           |   |                  |  | Table 7.1 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows the electricity<br>generated per Ha by different low carbon<br>technologies. At the UK's average solar load<br>factor (11%), solar generation produces much<br>more energy per Ha than biogas, and generates a<br>similar amount of energy as onshore wind.   |
|           |   |                  |  | Paragraph 7.6.9 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes<br>Government's anticipated range of 2 to 4 acres<br>for each MW of output generally required for a<br>solar farm along with its associated<br>infrastructure. The Scheme as proposed will  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                         | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                               |  | deliver a large-scale solar generation asset which is consistent with this range.   |
|           |  |                               |  | Section 7 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>concludes with a consideration of the Planning<br>Balance and justifies how the overwhelming<br>national need, as demonstrated in the Statement<br>of Need outweighs any potential significant<br>adverse impacts which, as the <b>Environmental</b><br><b>Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-051]</b> sets out, are<br>limited.  |
|           |  |                               |  | Agricultural land will not be permanently lost to<br>the proposed Scheme, and agricultural<br>production can be maintained through its<br>operational phase through uses such as the<br>grazing of sheep. Energy crops can be and are<br>grown on agricultural land. However such crops<br>produce fewer MWh per hectare than solar PV. It<br>is the Applicant's view that attempting to meet<br>renewable energy need with energy crops instead<br>of solar PV will therefore result in a greater<br>displacement of food crops. |
| SIPC-16   | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Energy Need<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Siting and Land<br>Management | "Poorer quality land, brownfield land or<br>retrofitting existing building roof-space should be<br>used in preference to higher quality land, and the<br>BMV agricultural land (grades 1, 2 and 3a) should<br>be avoided. Where possible and viable,<br>agricultural activity and other environmental/land | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised                          | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | management services should be able to continue." | system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind and solar".   |
|           |       |       |  | Section 7.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> analyses the potential<br>contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the<br>national need for solar generation. Brownfield<br>sites, including rooftop and other community<br>energy systems, are likely to grow in the UK and<br>will make a contribution to the decarbonisation of<br>the UK energy system. However, the Statement of<br>Need concludes that on their own, brownfield<br>developments are unlikely to be able to meet the<br>national need for solar. Section 8.5 <b>[APP-320]</b><br>describes and agrees with Government's view<br>that decentralised and community energy<br>systems are unlikely to lead to the significant<br>replacement of large-scale infrastructure. It is the<br>Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation. |
|           |       |       |  | Paragraph 7.6.9 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes<br>Government's anticipated range of 2 to 4 acres<br>for each MW of output generally required for a<br>solar farm along with its associated<br>infrastructure. The Scheme as proposed delivers<br>a large-scale solar generation asset which is<br>consistent with this range.   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue            | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                  |  | In addition, the agricultural land can remain in<br>productive use through the operational period of<br>the Scheme by, for example, being grazed by<br>livestock (see paras 19.3.3, 19.3.4, 19.10.2,<br>19.10.6, 19.10.10 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture</b><br><b>[APP-057]</b> ).   |
| SIPC-17   | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Planning Policy | Existing Ecology | "The wide open greenfield land supports an<br>abundance of wildlife. The network of waterways,<br>woodlands and hedgerows are home to a<br>number of native species. These habitats are<br>sensitive to change and provide a series of green<br>corridors for animals to move between habitats.<br>The Parish Council will not support large scale<br>solar PV arrays on sites with high ecological<br>importance. Solar PV arrays will have implications<br>for habitat loss, fragmentation and modification<br>and for displacement of species. The NPPF sets<br>out the approach to ecology in the planning | Section 9.6 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b><br>sets out the extensive findings of all ecological<br>investigations undertaken within the Order Limits<br>together with an appraisal of the relative<br>importance of each species or species group,<br>habitat or designated site. A comprehensive<br>package of mitigation measures has been<br>identified, in tandem with embedded mitigation<br>(see Section 9.6) which is secured through the<br>ecologically sensitive design of the Scheme. This<br>includes the wide buffering of all higher value |
|           |  |                  | process through a number of guiding principles."   | habitats such field boundaries, waterways and<br>woodlands.<br>The mitigation measures have been further<br>detailed within 7.17 Outline Ecological<br>Protection and Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]<br>and 7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological<br>Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which will ensure that<br>all identified impacts are minimised as far as<br>possible. In many cases, the reversion from  |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
|           |                             |  |  | intensive agriculture to pasture or meadow<br>grassland with additional hedgerow, scrub, tree<br>and wetland habitat creation will bring about<br>positive effects for wildlife. In particular,<br>terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, botanical<br>diversity, small mammals and many species of<br>bird all stand to benefit as set out in Section 9.7 in<br><b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement - Chapter 9</b><br><b>Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> .   |
|           |                             |  |  | In this way, a substantial net gain for biodiversity<br>will be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net</b><br><b>Gain Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through<br>the creation of extensive low-input grassland<br>resulting in a net gain of 86.80% in habitat units,<br>but also several new ponds and wetland habitat<br>parcels resulting in a net gain of 33.25% in river<br>units, and the planting of several kilometres of<br>species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net gain of<br>54.71% in hedgerow units. |
| SIPC-18   | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Ecological<br>Impacts from the<br>Scheme | "The potential impact from all stages of the<br>development, including construction, operation<br>and decommissioning stages, will need to be<br>addressed. Ecological impact assessments,<br>including specific protected species surveys, may<br>need to be submitted to inform planning<br>decisions. These should follow best practice | <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement – Chapter 9</b><br><b>Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> sets out the<br>extensive findings of all ecological investigations<br>undertaken within the Order Limits together with<br>an appraisal of the relative importance of each<br>species or species group, habitat or designated<br>site. This survey scope has been formulated   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | guidelines and refer to the Natural England<br>Standing Advice. They should also inform and<br>influence the design to ensure potential adverse<br>impacts are mitigated and to maximise<br>biodiversity enhancement opportunities to<br>encourage more wildlife to thrive within the area<br>and protect those species that are endangered." | through consultation and engagement with<br>Natural England as well as Lincolnshire and<br>Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trusts and has deemed<br>to be thorough and appropriate. The extent of<br>consultation between the parties is set out in<br>Section 9.21 in <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement</b><br>– Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047].                       |
|           |       |       |   | A comprehensive package of mitigation has been<br>provided, in tandem with embedded mitigation<br>which is secured through the ecologically<br>sensitive design of the Scheme (and includes<br>measures such as the wide buffering of all field<br>boundaries and the use of existing hedgerow<br>gaps for accesses).   |
|           |       |       |   | ES Chapter 9 <b>[APP-047]</b> assesses potential impacts from all stages of the development, including construction, operation and decommissioning stages.  |
|           |       |       |   | Habitat enhancements are detailed within <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which includes the<br>large-scale reversion of arable land to meadow<br>with additional hedgerow, scrub, tree and<br>wetland habitat creation which will bring about<br>positive effects for wildlife. |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
| SIPC-19   | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Suitability of<br>Ecological<br>Mitigation<br>Measures | "The implementation of an ecological<br>mitigation/management/monitoring plan can<br>result in Solar PV arrays delivering environmental<br>gains such as the creation of enhanced wildlife<br>habitats including wildflower meadows,<br>hedgerows and woodland buffers. However these<br>may not always be appropriate in terms of<br>landscape character and advice should be sought<br>from the District and other relevant authorities<br>when preparing these plans." | Habitat enhancements are detailed within <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which includes the<br>large-scale reversion of arable land to meadow<br>with additional hedgerow, scrub, tree and<br>wetland habitat creation which will bring about<br>positive effects for wildlife.<br>Planting mitigation can be found within ES Figure<br>8.18.1 to Figure 8.18.3 [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A] that illustrate the existing<br>hedgerows and key areas of mitigation within the<br>Scheme. The Applicant and its LVIA consultants at<br>Lanpro have worked closely with the ecology<br>consultant throughout the application process to<br>inform the LVIA and associated mitigation plans<br>to ensure that both complement each other.<br>The Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>(LVIA) contained within <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> takes into<br>account the effects on the landscape character in<br>detail, from the national scale, through regional,<br>county district and local scales to the landscape<br>character areas within the 5km Study Area. For<br>further information, please refer to <b>6.3.8.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.2</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Landscape Effects</b><br>[ <b>APP-073</b> ]. These associated appendices provide |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue                                 | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                                |                                       |  | a detailed assessment of landscape effects on each landscape receptor.   |
|           |                                |                                       |  | Mitigation, including offsets and planting, has<br>been proposed to address and minimise adverse<br>effects on the character of the landscape. This is<br>in line with the agreed methodology and the<br>hierarchy of approach advocated by the<br>Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact<br>Assessment, 3rd Edition and relevant published<br>landscape character assessments. The mitigation<br>was also agreed with Lincolnshire County Council<br>at the series of workshops, as set out in <b>6.3.8.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.4</b><br><b>Consultation [APP-075]</b> . |
| SIPC-20   | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Visual and Glint<br>and Glare Impacts | "Solar farms will impact nearby residents,<br>particularly those in Ingleby, and the wider local                         | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
|           | Glint and Glare                | and diare impacts                     | community hosting the development. Concerns  | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment  |
|           | Transport and                  |                                       | about loss of amenity, visual impact including<br>glint and glare from panels and linked to this                         | [APP-046] (the 'LVIA') considers both the  |
|           | Access                         |                                       | road safety will need to be appropriately<br>considered as part of determining the<br>acceptability of the development." | landscape and visual effects of the Scheme,<br>including the implications of other related topics<br>such as glint and glare.  |
|           |                                |                                       |  | Glint and glare impacts road safety are assessed in detail within the <b>6.3.16.1 Environmental</b>  |
|           |                                |                                       |  | Statement – Appendix 16.1 Solar Photovoltaic   |
|           |                                |                                       |  | Glint and Glare Study [APP-132] and summarised in 6.2.16 Environmental   |
|           |                                |                                       |  | Statement – Chapter 16 Glint and Glare [APP-   |
|           |                                |                                       |  | <b>054]</b> . The details of the Scheme and its impacts  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue            | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                  |   | have been shared with the key consultees. Their<br>responses are set out in Table 16.1 <b>[APP-054]</b> . For<br>those receptors that are predicted to experience<br>a "Moderate" impact the Applicant is committed<br>to implement mitigation to reduce the effects to<br>acceptable levels, these are set out in Section<br>16.6 and 16.8 <b>[APP-054]</b> , and in Table 3.5 of<br><b>7.14_A Outline Operational Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A]</b> which is secured by<br>Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 of the <b>dDCO</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |   |                  |   | The landscape mitigation measures include new<br>planting, including new native hedgerows and<br>tree cover,. The LEMP also includes provisions for<br>their management and maintenance.   |
| SIPC-21   | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health / Major<br>Accidents and<br>Disasters)<br>Air Quality<br>Noise and | BESS Fire Safety | "There are also health impacts associated with<br>such schemes where lithium battery storage<br>facilities are also being proposed such as fire,<br>noise and air pollution." | The Applicant has submitted <b>7.9 Outline Battery</b><br><b>Storage Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b><br>and, through <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , has<br>secured by Requirement 6 of Schedule 2 that<br>"Work No. 2 must not commence until a battery<br>storage safety management plan has been<br>submitted to and approved by the relevant<br>planning authority."   |
|           | Vibration   |                  |   | Paragraph 4.1.18 of <b>7.9 Outline Battery Storage</b><br><b>Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b> explains<br>that the design of the BESS has integrated fire  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | detection and suppression systems that will<br>automatically operate to contain battery fires.<br>Paragraph 5.3 states that if fire spreads to<br>multiple units, external firefighting water facilities<br>are available by means of 228,000 litre water<br>storage tanks within the battery compounds.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Human health and other environmental impacts<br>resulting from plumes from potential battery fires<br>have been initially assessed in <b>6.2.17</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 17 Air</b><br><b>Quality [APP-055]</b> and are proposed to be<br>supplemented by additional information during<br>the examination process.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Risks to human health as a result of fires or<br>unconfined explosions within the BESS<br>compound are set out in paragraphs 21.6.42 to<br>21.6.48 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 21 Other Environmental Matters</b><br><b>[APP-059]</b> which concludes that there is no<br>significant risk of harm to human health due to<br>the physical separation of the BESS compound<br>from publicly accessible areas. |
|           |       |       |                         | The likely impacts of noise and vibration,<br>including any anticipated impacts to residential<br>properties, have been assessed in Section 15.7 of<br><b>6.2.15 Environmental Statement – Chapter 15</b><br><b>Noise and Vibration [APP-056]</b> . The noise and   |



| Reference | Theme                     | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
|           |                           |                             |   | vibration effects are not anticipated to be significant.  |
| SIPC-22   | General<br>(Consultation) | Adequacy of<br>Consultation | "The Parish Council consider that, for such a large<br>project, there has been inadequate public<br>consultation with only two events being held<br>within Saxilby itself. One of these events clashed<br>with the Lincolnshire Show. The developers have<br>also only met with the Parish Council virtually<br>despite several requests for individual face-to-<br>face meetings. The Parish Council considers that<br>community involvement should be an integral<br>part of the development process. The local<br>community should be consulted by the developer<br>at the conceptual stage with more than just a<br>couple of meetings, ideally utilising local<br>exhibitions and presentations where community<br>views can be sought and recorded. To support<br>this statement, the Parish Council organised a<br>Public meeting on the 17th January 2023 to seek<br>residents' views on the proposal and make sure<br>local views have been considered." | Throughout the consultation process the<br>Applicant has welcomed feedback from a range<br>of stakeholders, including communities,<br>landowners and occupiers, on the Scheme<br>proposals. The outcome of this consultation and<br>engagement is evidenced in <b>5.1 Consultation</b><br><b>Report [APP-022]</b> , which was submitted to the<br>Planning Inspectorate and accepted for<br>examination.<br>For example, Table 1.1 of Chapter 1 [ <b>APP-022</b> ],<br>details how the cable route corridor for the<br>Scheme has been refined through engagement<br>and consultation with landowners and the<br>community.<br>Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 [ <b>APP-022</b> ], details how the<br>Applicant provided consultation documents to<br>landowners to inform them regarding upcoming<br>statutory consultation and methods to provide<br>feedback. |
|           |                           |                             |   | Chapter 4 <b>[APP-022]</b> details how the Applicant<br>undertook early engagement with consultees.<br>Table 4.1 details the early engagement meetings<br>which took place between the Applicant and the<br>relevant stakeholders. This table includes the<br>dates of two meetings with Saxilby with Ingleby<br>Parish Council and a summary of what was   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | discussed at the meetings. Table 4.2 details the<br>meetings which took place between the Applicant<br>and near neighbours to the Scheme. Included in<br>this table are the dates the stakeholders met<br>representatives of the Applicant and a summary<br>of what was discussed at the meetings.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Table 5.2 within Chapter 5 <b>[APP-022]</b> details the public information events which took place for the first phase of community consultation. The table includes the date, venue and number of attendees to each information event.   |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 7 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes the Applicant's<br>approach to statutory consultation, including<br>consulting with relevant authorities on a draft<br>Statement of Community Consultation. Table 7.1<br>sets out the comments received from authorities<br>on the Applicant's approach to consultation and<br>how these were considered by the Applicant.<br>Table 7.3 in Chapter 7 describes how the<br>Applicant complied with commitments made in<br>the Statement of Community Consultation when<br>undertaking statutory consultation. |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 8 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes how the Applicant<br>undertook a six-week statutory phase two<br>consultation on the Scheme, to provide<br>communities, stakeholders and landowners a<br>sufficient period of time to provide feedback. A<br>Consultation Summary Report for this phase of   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | statutory consultation was published on the<br>dedicated Scheme website, shared with elected<br>representatives and stakeholders and issued to<br>over 9,000 properties within the vicinity of the<br>Scheme, to help consultees understand how their<br>feedback was being considered. A copy of the<br>Phase Two Consultation Summary Report is<br>provided as <b>5.7 Appendix 5.7: Phase Two</b><br><b>Community Consultation Materials [APP-029</b><br>to <b>APP-031].</b> |
|           |       |       |                         | This chapter further details the public<br>information events which took place for the<br>second phase of community consultation. Table<br>8.2 includes the date, venue and number of<br>attendees to each information event during this<br>phase of consultation.   |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> describes the significant volume of<br>responses received to Section 47 consultation<br>(local community), including the issues raised and<br>how these were considered by the Applicant. This<br>is further evidenced by <b>5.12 Appendix 5.12:</b><br><b>Consultation Report Appendix – Section 47</b><br><b>Applicant Response [APP-036].</b>   |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 12 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> describes the significant volume of<br>responses received to the Section 42 consultation<br>(statutory stakeholders), including the issues   |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
|           |                             |                       |  | raised and how these were considered by the<br>Applicant. This is further evidenced by <b>5.13</b><br><b>Appendix 5.13: Consultation Report Appendix</b><br><b>– Section 42 Applicant Response [APP-037].</b>   |
|           |                             |                       |  | The host authorities have confirmed that the statutory consultation process was adequate through their <b>Adequacy of Consultation Representations [AoC-001</b> to <b>AoC-013]</b> .  |
| SIPC-23   | Principle of<br>Development | Community<br>Benefits | <ul> <li>"The opportunities for community gain are encouraged and should be explored as part of developing projects. Such opportunities can include:</li> <li>Establishing a Community Benefits Trust with funds being contributed annually by the developer for local projects for the lifetime of the project.</li> <li>Local or community ownership of panels.</li> <li>Local share issue.</li> <li>Investment in green infrastructure such as public rights of way provision and management."</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The Applicant is committed to providing a Community Benefit Fund (see paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b>). This fund will be available for community-based benefits such as (but not limited to) community-led energy related projects. No further details were given as a part of the Application because the fund will be progressed separately from the Application and it will not be taken into account in the planning balance. The Applicant is working with the Host Authorities to identify an appropriate mechanism for the funding to be distributed. Further updates on this matter will be provided during the examination.</li> <li>The Applicant has also been in discussions with Saxilby Nature Project to agree the inclusion of habitat management land for community use in the DCO application.</li> <li>A total of 0.8ha of land has been allocated as a habitat management area (Work No.10 in</li> </ul> |



| Reference | Theme                                      | Issue                                   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|
|           |  |   |   | Schedule 1 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ). This area has been<br>designed to assure it aligns with the objectives of<br>Saxilby Nature Project and their neighbouring<br>Hardwick Scrub site (see para. 4.5.90 of <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042]</b> ). |
|           |  |   |   | Any financial contributions towards the use of<br>this land by Saxilby Nature Project will be agreed<br>outside the scope of the DCO through the<br>Community Benefit Fund, as described in<br>paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement</b><br>[ <b>APP-313</b> ].  |
|           |  |   |   | Paragraph 4.6.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement</b><br>[ <b>APP-313</b> ] goes on to explain that a new<br>permissive path from Track off Sykes Lane along<br>the Codder Lane Belt and then south and west to<br>rejoin Sykes Lane opposite Hardwick Scrub will be<br>in place during the operational phase of the<br>Scheme, thus improving local amenity.  |
| SIPC-24   | Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need | Location and<br>Need for<br>Development | "Saxilby with Ingleby Parish Council, is in<br>principle, not supportive of the installation of a<br>large scale solar farm within the Parish.<br>Development should be appropriate to the<br>locality and avoid adverse planning and<br>environmental impacts. The Parish Council does<br>not consider that the need for renewables should | Section 7.5 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes how suitable locations for large-scale<br>solar are identified and assessed. Paragraph 7.5.2<br>outlines the broad criteria for determining Site<br>suitability.<br>Figure 7.4 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows the level of  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | automatically override environmental protections<br>and an application will only be supported if the<br>impact is or can be made acceptable." | location. Section 9 describes the advantages of<br>connecting large-scale solar to the existing and<br>robust National Electricity Transmission System<br>at the proposed Point of Connection at West<br>Burton Power Station, and Paragraph 9.4.4<br>concludes that the Scheme will contribute to<br>national system adequacy and decarbonisation<br>targets.  |
|           |       |       |   | 6.2.5 Environmental Statement - Chapter 5<br>Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043]<br>and its accompanying appendix WB6.3.5.1_A<br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site<br>Selection Assessment Revision A [AS-004]<br>explain how the Sites for the Scheme were<br>chosen in light of the identified need for large<br>scale solar.   |
|           |       |       |   | Specifically, paragraph 2.1.10 <b>[AS-004]</b> explains<br>the reasons why a site of the size proposed is<br>required to meet the 480MW grid connection<br>offer which the Applicant holds. The methodology<br>used for the site selection process is considered<br>reasonable and proportionate and complies with<br>the requirements of NPS EN-1 4.4.3 as explained<br>at Section 2.1 <b>[AS-004]</b> . |
|           |       |       |   | The Scheme's compliance with national and local planning policy is detailed in <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> . It is concluded that the   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
|           |  |   |  | benefits of the Scheme outweigh its adverse impacts (para. 7.1.14 <b>[APP-313]</b> ).   |
|           |  |   |  | Furthermore, the <b>Environmental Statement</b><br>[ <b>APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061</b> ] details the beneficial and<br>adverse environmental effects anticipated from<br>the Scheme, with those that are both significant<br>beneficial and adverse effects summarised at<br><b>6.2.23_A Environmental Statement - Chapter</b><br><b>23 Summary of Significant Effects Revision A</b><br>[ <b>EN010132/EX1/WB6.2.23_A</b> ]. |
| SIPC-25   | Energy Need<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Alternative Siting                          | "As a preference, the Parish Council will support<br>solar arrays mounted on existing roofs or<br>integrated into new roofs/buildings.<br>Developments on previously developed and/or<br>contaminated and industrial land are also<br>preferable. Community owned projects would be<br>particularly welcomed." | Please refer to Applicant's Response to SIPC-16   |
| SIPC-26   | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact                         | Landscape<br>Character<br>Designations      | "The Parish Council will not support the<br>development of large scale Solar PV arrays in<br>areas identified for their special character or<br>other importance."   | Please refer to Applicant's Responses to SIPC-10<br>& 11  |
| SIPC-27   | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Cultural<br>Heritage    | Existing<br>Designations and<br>Constraints | "The Parish Council will not support large scale<br>solar PV arrays on sites with ecological<br>importance, archaeological or historic interest, or<br>classified as the best and most versatile grades of<br>agricultural land (1, 2, and 3a)."   | Please refer to Applicant's Responses to SIPC-14-<br>15, 17-19  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                              | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
|           | Soils and<br>Agriculture                                |                                    |   |  |
|           | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution                 |                                    |   |  |
| SIPC-28   | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact                          | Landscape Impact<br>and Mitigation | "For greenfield proposals outside of protected<br>areas, the Parish Council expect proposals to<br>demonstrate the landscape's suitability to receive<br>such a development. Proposals must show how<br>the design of the scheme has accounted for<br>landscape character. Developments should avoid<br>both landscape and visual impacts, or<br>demonstrate appropriate mitigation." | Please refer to Applicant's Response to SIPC-13 & 20                 |
| SIPC-29   | Principle of<br>Development<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Land<br>Management                 | "In addition, land management around panels<br>should allow for continued agricultural use<br>and/or encourage biodiversity improvements."  | Please refer to Applicant's Response to SIPC-03-<br>05, 08 and 13-19 |
|           | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity                             |                                    |   |  |
| SIPC-30   | Principle of<br>Development                             | Cumulative<br>Impacts              | "In formulating its views on proposals, the Parish<br>Council has regard to cumulative impacts of<br>multiple solar arrays on landscape character and<br>visual amenity. The impact from a single<br>development when combined with other impacts<br>from similar developments could have a much<br>wider impact within the area, as is the case here."                               | Please refer to Applicant's Response to SIPC-109                     |



| Reference | Theme                     | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response                            |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| SIPC-31   | General<br>(Consultation) | Community<br>Engagement | "The consultation and involvement of local<br>communities should be an integral part of the<br>development process and the Parish Council<br>believe that the recent consultation was<br>insufficient in terms of providing clear and<br>meaningful engagement with all sectors of the<br>community." | Please refer to Applicant's Response to SIPC-22 |



## Table 2.4.9: Applicant's Response to Scampton Parish Council [RR-300]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue       | Comments / Issue Raised                        | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------------|--|---|
| ScPC-01   | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Major<br>Accidents and<br>Disasters) | BESS Safety | "Concerns with the safety of battery storage." | The Applicant has submitted <b>7.9 Outline Battery</b><br><b>Storage Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b><br>and, through <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , has<br>secured by Requirement 6 of Schedule 2 that<br>"Work No. 2 must not commence until a battery<br>storage safety management plan has been<br>submitted to and approved by the relevant<br>planning authority."                                  |
|           |   |             |  | Paragraph 4.1.18 of <b>7.9 Outline Battery Storage</b><br><b>Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b> explains<br>that the design of the BESS has integrated fire<br>detection and suppression systems that will<br>automatically operate to contain battery fires.<br>Paragraph 5.3 states that if fire spreads to<br>multiple units, external firefighting water facilities<br>are available by means of 228,000 litre water<br>storage tanks within the battery compounds. |
|           |   |             |  | Human health and other environmental impacts<br>resulting from plumes from potential battery fires<br>have been initially assessed in <b>6.2.17</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Chapter 17 Air</b><br><b>Quality [APP-055]</b> and are proposed to be<br>supplemented by additional information during<br>the examination process.  |
|           |   |             |  | Risks to human health as a result of fires or unconfined explosions within the BESS   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
|           |  |  |   | compound are set out in paragraphs 21.6.42 to<br>21.6.48 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 21 Other Environmental Matters</b><br><b>[APP-059]</b> which concludes that there is no<br>significant risk of harm to human health due to<br>the physical separation of the BESS compound<br>from publicly accessible areas.   |
| ScPC-02   | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health)<br>Principle of<br>Development | Cumulative<br>Impact on Mental<br>Health | "The amount of solar farm applications in<br>Lincolnshire and the effects on local residents<br>mental health." | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of<br>the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing<br>and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and<br>use of recreational facilities in the countryside,<br>such as public rights of way, have been assessed<br>in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest<br>level of effect to access, desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities is limited to short- to<br>medium-term moderate adverse effects on long<br>distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way<br>and National Byways) during construction (see<br>Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a<br><b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the<br>only significant effect anticipated, with no greater<br>than moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any<br>other recreational receptor during construction<br>(see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any<br>recreational receptor during operation (see<br>paras. 18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and<br>decommissioning (see paras. 18.7.147 to |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                             |  | 18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be significant.  |
|           |   |                             |  | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement – Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].   |
| ScPC-03   | Energy Need<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Use of<br>Agricultural Land | "[Concern with] The amount of good quality<br>agricultural land being proposed for theses<br>applications, especially with a cost of living crisis<br>and potential food shortages, now and in the<br>future." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". |
|           |   |                             |  | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |   |                             |  | Paragraph 7.6.9 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes<br>Government's anticipated range of 2 to 4 acres<br>for each MW of output generally required for a<br>solar farm along with its associated<br>infrastructure. The Scheme as proposed will<br>deliver a large-scale solar generation asset which<br>is consistent with this range.  |
|           |   |                             |  | Further, there is a benefit to all UK electricity consumers from the UK producing more clean, renewable electricity, in terms of affordability and   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | energy security and resilience. This is considered further in detail in Sections 7.4, 8.7, 8.8, 8.10, 10.2, 10.3 and 11.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> .   |
|           |       |       |                         | Paragraph 8.9.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> provides a quote from<br>the British Energy Security Strategy which<br>demonstrates the benefit to UK consumers of<br>developing renewable energy generation<br>schemes on UK land: <i>"If we're going to get prices</i><br><i>down and keep them there for the long term, we</i><br><i>need a flow of energy that is affordable, clean and</i><br><i>above all, secure. We need a power supply that's</i><br><i>made in Britain, for Britain."</i> |



## Table 2.4.10: Applicant's Response to Stow Parish Council [RR-319]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------|--|--|---|
| StPC-01   | General | Note of<br>registration of<br>Interest | "As part of it lays in the Stow area I would like to<br>register for the Council. I will know if there are<br>any comments at the next meeting." | The Applicant notes this response and awaits the Parish Council's comments. |



## Table 2.4.11: Applicant's Response to Sturton by Stow Parish Council [RR-322]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue                         | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| SSPC-01   | Principle of<br>Development<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Cumulative Scale              | "This project is one of four currently under<br>development. The project of West Burton should<br>be considered alongside; Cottam, Gate Burton<br>and Tillbridge Solar. The estimated land loss for<br>these projects is circa 10000 acres." | The Applicant notes this comment.<br>Development of a solar farm does not result in<br>permanent loss of or degradation to the<br>agricultural land resource. The land can return to<br>the current range of agricultural management<br>options on decommissioning, and during its<br>operational life can remain in agricultural use<br>through activities such as livestock grazing (see<br>paras 19.3.3, 19.3.4, 19.10.2, 19.10.6, 19.10.10 of<br><b>6.2.19 Environmental Statement – Chapter 19</b><br><b>Soils and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> ). |
| SSPC-02   | General   | Commonality of<br>Developer   | "The village of Sturton by Stow is going to be<br>surrounded by Cottam Solar Project. West Burton<br>is being developed by the same company."  | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| SSPC-03   | Principle of<br>Development<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Temporary<br>Nature of Scheme | "The developers have sought to infer that the<br>land use is temporary and for the life of the<br>project."  | Once the Scheme ceases to operate, it will be<br>decommissioned.<br>Decommissioning is estimated to be no earlier<br>than 2066 (see paras. 3.3.15 to 3.3.18 of <b>7.5</b><br><b>Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> ).<br>Decommissioning is expected to take between 12<br>and 24 months. A 24-month decommissioning<br>period has been assumed for the purposes of a<br>worst-case assessment in the ES, (See paragraph<br>4.3.6 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-042]</b> .                         |



| Reference | Theme                  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|------------------------|---|---|--|
| SSPC-04   | Development and Restor | Decommissioning<br>and Restoration<br>Safeguarding "Why is the draft DCO being utilised to carry out<br>CPO's of the land and cable routes. This is surely<br>not the best way forward. What will happen to<br>the acquired land once the project is deemed<br>finished? How will it be repatriated to being<br>actively farmed and not subsequently be deemed<br>as brownfield and then developed either<br>commercially or for housing? Safeguards need to<br>be in place." | The Applicant is seeking compulsory acquisition<br>powers in the <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> to enable the Scheme<br>to be delivered. <b>4.1 Statement of Reasons:</b><br><b>Compulsory Acquisition Information [APP-019]</b><br>sets out the reasons why the powers sought over<br>land are necessary and proportionate to deliver<br>the Scheme. Wherever possible, the Applicant is<br>seeking to enter voluntary agreements with<br>landowners and only where this is not possible<br>will powers of compulsory acquisition be<br>exercised. |  |
|           |                        |   |   | The <b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning Statement</b><br>[APP-310] forms part of the Application.<br>Paragraphs 2.1.1 to 2.1.8 set out<br>decommissioning activities for the removal of all<br>the solar panels (PV), structures, enclosures,<br>equipment, and all other apparatus associated<br>with the Scheme. It also sets out how roles,<br>responsibilities and actions required in respect of<br>implementation of the mitigation measures will<br>be managed, along with principles for monitoring<br>and reporting. |
|           |                        |   |   | The Applicant confirms that decommissioning is<br>secured through Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | In addition, to restore the land to its pre-<br>construction condition at the end of operation,<br>the soil resource within the Order Limits will be<br>managed through construction, operation, and<br>decommissioning. <b>6.3.19.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Appendix 19.2 Outline Soil</b><br><b>Management Plan [APP-138]</b> is included in the<br>Application and it identifies measures to be<br>implemented, through the general principles<br>outlined in paragraph 3.1.1, to ensure the<br>protection and conservation of soil resources<br>maintains the physical properties of the soils<br>during all phases of the Scheme and following<br>decommissioning. On site information relating to<br>the management of soil resources will be<br>provided to the Site operators undertaking the<br>works. |
|           |       |       |                         | A Soils Resource Management Plan, substantially<br>in accordance with <b>6.3.19.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Appendix 19.2 Outline Soil</b><br><b>Management Plan [APP-138]</b> will be submitted<br>and approved prior to the commencement of<br>development as secured by Requirement 19 of<br>Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                                     |  | In combination, the above measures are<br>considered to provide sufficient safeguards to<br>ensure that decommissioning of the Scheme will<br>be undertaken to a high standard.  |
| SSPC-05   | Energy Need<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture | Energy Need vs.<br>Agriculture Need | "The current hysteria over cost of utilities is being<br>used to push this form of renewable electricity<br>through. Whilst there is a need for a mix of<br>renewable energy; the use of so much valuable<br>and productive land is not feasible." | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be urgently<br>required to meet increased demand and replace<br>output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that<br>"a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". |
|           |   |                                     |  | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for<br>low-carbon electricity generation.   |
|           |   |                                     |  | Further, there is a benefit to all UK electricity consumers from the UK producing more clean, renewable electricity, in terms of affordability and energy security and resilience. This is considered further in detail in Sections 7.4, 8.7, 8.8, 8.10, 10.2, 10.3 and 11.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> .  |
|           |   |                                     |  | Paragraph 8.9.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> provides a quote from<br>the British Energy Security Strategy which<br>demonstrates the benefit to UK consumers of<br>developing renewable energy generation<br>schemes on UK land: <i>"If we're going to get prices</i><br><i>down and keep them there for the long term, we</i>   |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
|           |                                      |                                     |  | need a flow of energy that is affordable, clean and<br>above all, secure. We need a power supply that's<br>made in Britain, for Britain."   |
|           |                                      |                                     |  | Paragraph 7.6.9 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes<br>Government's anticipated range of 2 to 4 acres<br>for each MW of output generally required for a<br>solar farm along with its associated<br>infrastructure. The Scheme as proposed will<br>deliver a large-scale solar generation asset which<br>is consistent with this range.   |
| SSPC-06   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Compulsory<br>Acquisition<br>Powers | "The draft CPO makes reference to; Compulsory<br>land acquisition including air and subsoil the right<br>to removed hedgerows and trees irrespective of<br>TPO status the rights to block and divert roads;<br>the right to cancel any covenants and rights<br>currently on the affected land. The right to<br>remove any footpath. The right to transfer to<br>another company. There is too broad a sweep<br>and lack of detail for specific circumstances in the<br>draft order." | The Applicant notes this comment and directs the<br>Interested Party to 3.2 Draft Explanatory<br>Memorandum <b>[APP-18].</b> This explains in detail<br>the purposes of each of the articles and<br>schedules of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> and explains why the<br>scope of the powers sought in the DCO is<br>considered necessary and proportionate for the<br>Applicant to carry out the Scheme. It is noted that<br>the compulsory acquisition powers in the DCO<br>can only be exercised for the purpose of carrying<br>out the Scheme, and their exercise is limited to<br>the land shown on the Land Plan <b>[AS-006]</b> . As<br>noted at SSPC-04 above, the Applicant is seeking<br>to enter voluntary agreements with landowners<br>and only where this is not possible will powers of<br>compulsory acquisition be exercised. |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
|           |   |  |   | The Applicant also directs the Interested Party to<br>the requirements in Schedule 2 to the DCO <b>[APP-</b><br><b>17]</b> and the associated outline management<br>plans referred to therein which are designed to<br>manage and limit how the powers in the DCO can<br>be exercised.  |
| SSPC-07   | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Impact of<br>Removal of Trees<br>and Hedgerows | "How can there be any biodiversity net gain if<br>hedgerows and trees are felled, removed or<br>lopped? This makes no sense. The countryside is<br>already under great stress with a lack of<br>migration corridors and the removal of<br>hedgerows is something which should have<br>special consideration; Not only for wildlife but the<br>amount of water which these hedges and trees<br>consume would have a tremendous impact on<br>the areas concerned if they were to be removed." | 6.2.9 Environmental Statement - Chapter 9<br>Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047] assesses the<br>potential impact of the Scheme on hedgerows<br>and trees. A comprehensive package of<br>mitigation has been provided, in tandem with<br>embedded mitigation which is secured through<br>the ecologically sensitive design of the Scheme<br>(and includes measures such as the wide<br>buffering of all field boundaries and the use of<br>existing hedgerow gaps for accesses). The<br>potential for loss of hedgerows and trees to the<br>construction of the array Sites is very limited as<br>the design process has continuously sought to<br>refine down the number of new crossings or gaps<br>required in existing field boundaries. It is<br>anticipated that seven hedgerow gaps will be<br>required during the construction and operation<br>phases. These gaps will measure between 3-6.5m<br>wide. In the context of the Scheme's hedgerow<br>network which comprises approximately 45km<br>within the Sites, such losses are proportionately<br>extremely small. Adherence to these minor<br>hedgerow works (pruning and removal) is set out |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | within 7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological<br>Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] and will be secured<br>through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A<br>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |       |       |                         | Similarly, for the cable installation works, new<br>crossings and incursions into hedgerows have<br>been minimised where possible through sensitive<br>siting of the Cable Route Corridor as a result of<br>iterative refinement. The precise route to be<br>taken within the Cable Route Corridor has not yet<br>been proposed, and it is acknowledged that this<br>will be subject to future refinement as the impact<br>of constraints such as ground conditions,<br>vehicular access and construction practicalities<br>cannot be completely fixed at this stage.<br>Nevertheless, it is anticipated that approximately<br>20 locations will be subject to open-cut crossings<br>along the Cable Route Corridor, and these are set<br>out in a schedule of cable route crossing within<br><b>WB7.15_A Crossing Schedule Revision A [AS-<br/>001]</b> . The majority of species rich hedgerows have<br>been avoided through committing to horizontal<br>directional drilling under these hedgerows which<br>is secured within the <b>7.17 Outline Ecological</b> |
|           |       |       |                         | Protection and Mitigation Strategy [APP-326].   |
|           |       |       |                         | Assuming potential gap widths of between 4.1m   |
|           |       |       |                         | and 7.1m, total lengths of between approximately  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | 82 and 142m of hedgerow may be affected by the<br>cabling works, which, in the context of the entire<br>length of the Cable Route Corridor is considered<br>to be a minor or moderate magnitude impact.<br>The cable route installation will result in<br>temporary hedgerows losses, as following<br>installation of the cable these hedgerows will be<br>reinstated through translocation or replanting<br>once the trench is backfilled which is secured<br>within the <b>7.17 Outline Ecological Protection</b><br><b>and Mitigation Strategy [APP-326</b> .<br>Consequently, such impacts are considered to be<br>reversible, with mitigation reducing timescales<br>from the long-term replacement (natural<br>succession) to short to medium term, potentially<br>with a long-term positive effect where re-planting<br>exceeds baseline species diversity. |
|           |       |       |                         | Significant enhancement of hedgerows and trees<br>is proposed which is set out within the <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> (the 'oLEMP') and will<br>be secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision</b><br><b>A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . This includes the<br>planting of new trees with a total area of new<br>woodland (approximately 13.7ha) proposed<br>across the Scheme (para.4.4.4). The oLEMP also<br>focuses on the gapping up of currently defunct  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
|           |  |   |  | hedgerows, creation of new hedgerows<br>(approximately 7.1km) at boundaries where none<br>exist (para. 4.3.11). There will also beplanting<br>around Public Rights of Way and where<br>landscape and visual impact mitigation is<br>required. In addition, limited opportunities for<br>the replanting of old, removed field boundaries<br>(where appropriate) have been pursued, historic<br>hedgerow on West Burton 1 has been identified<br>using 1940s Ordnance survey maps and will be<br>re-planted (para 4.3.2).   |
|           |  |   |  | In this way, a substantialnet gain for biodiversity<br>will be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net</b><br><b>Gain Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through<br>the creation of extensive low-input grassland<br>resulting in a net gain of 86.80% in habitat units,<br>but also several new ponds and wetland habitat<br>parcels resulting in a net gain of 33.25% in river<br>units, and the planting of several kilometres of<br>species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net gain of<br>54.71% in hedgerow units. |
| SSPC-08   | General<br>(Consultation)<br>Principle of<br>Development | Consultation and<br>Community<br>Benefits | "There has been no meaningful consultation<br>between the developer and communities for<br>reparation of detriment should this project be<br>granted. Sturton by Stow Parish Council would<br>ask that a reparation scheme does not only<br>involve an initial lump sum, but is also in receipt<br>of regular sums to be paid over the entire lifetime | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but is<br>confident that the level of consultation<br>undertaken, and information presented<br>throughout the pre-application stage was in<br>accordance with the Planning Act 2008 and<br>associated guidance. This has been evidenced in<br><b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which was  |



| Reference | Theme | lssue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
|           |       |       | of the project and that preferably the Lincolnshire<br>Communities Fund are involved to help                                       | submitted to the Planning Inspectorate and accepted for examination.   |
|           |       |       | administer the funding. (Lincolnshire<br>Communities Fund administer Tritton Knoll).<br>There is much detail still not specified." | For example, as described in Chapter 2 <b>[APP-022]</b> , the Applicant undertook two phases of community consultation to share information and invite feedback at different stages of Scheme development.   |
|           |       |       |  | Chapter 7 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes the Applicant's<br>approach to statutory consultation, including<br>consulting with relevant authorities on a draft<br>Statement of Community Consultation. Table 7.1<br>sets out the comments received from authorities<br>on the Applicant's approach to consultation and<br>how the Applicant has had regard to these in<br>developing the Scheme. Table 7.3 in Chapter 7<br>describes how the Applicant complied with<br>commitments made in the Statement of<br>Community Consultation when undertaking<br>statutory consultation. |
|           |       |       |  | Chapter 8 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes how the Applicant<br>undertook a six-week statutory phase two<br>consultation on the Scheme, during which the<br>Applicant presented consultees with<br>environmental information sufficient for<br>consultees to understand the potential likely<br>significant effects of the Scheme in a Preliminary<br>Environmental Impact Report (PEIR). A non-<br>technical summary was published to accompany   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | the PEIR, with public information events and free-<br>to-use communications channels open to help aid<br>accessibility and understanding of the Scheme. A<br>Consultation Summary Report for this phase of<br>statutory consultation was published on the<br>dedicated Scheme website, shared with elected<br>representatives and stakeholders and issued to<br>over 9,000 properties within the vicinity of the<br>Scheme, to help consultees understand how their<br>feedback was being considered. A copy of the<br>Phase Two Consultation Summary Report is<br>provided at pp.36-43 of <b>5.7 Consultation Report</b><br><b>- Appendix 5.7 Phase Two Community</b><br><b>Consultation Materials - Part 3 of 3 [APP-031]</b> . |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> describes the significant volume of responses received to Section 47 consultation (local community), including the issues raised and how the Applicant has had regard to these in developing the Scheme. Table 11.1 of this chapter shows the questions shared to the public in the Section 47 consultation feedback form and details that Question 10b asked for feedback on community benefits. Table 11.2 provides a summary of feedback received for this question. Complete response tables for this consultation can be found in <b>5.12 Consultation Report - Appendix 5.12 - Section 47 Applicant Response [APP-036]</b> .   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | The host authorities have confirmed that the statutory consultation process was adequate through their <b>Adequacy of Consultation Representations [AoC-001</b> to <b>AoC-013]</b> .   |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant is committed to providing a<br>Community Benefit Fund (see paragraph 4.8.1 of<br><b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> ). This fund<br>will be available for community-based benefits<br>such as (but not limited to) community-led energy<br>related projects. No further details were given as<br>a part of the Application because the fund will be<br>progressed separately from the Application and it<br>will not be taken into account in the planning<br>balance. The Applicant is working with the Host<br>Authorities to identify an appropriate mechanism<br>for the funding to be distributed. Further<br>updates on this matter will be provided during<br>the examination. |



## 2.5 The Applicant's Responses to Persons Whose Interests would be Affected by the Order

## Table 2.5.1: Applicant's Response to Catrin Fieldson [RR-038]

| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| CF-01     | General  | Context of<br>Relevant<br>Representation      | "Our small 350 acre family farm will effectively be<br>surrounded by 7000 acres of solar panels for the<br>next 40 years. I think it's obvious what the<br>practical, social and emotional impact will be for<br>us:"  | The Applicant notes this comment. A response to each of the specific issues raised is set out below.   |
| CF-02     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Industrialisation<br>and Enclosure of<br>Land | "The proposals will effectively industrialize the<br>countryside around us. The freedom of<br>movement for us and wildlife will come to an end<br>over- night. The proposed surrounding fencing<br>will effectively coral Deer, traditionally free to<br>roam, in ways yet to be determined or measured.<br>Its reasonable to fear that their numbers will<br>increase on our 350 acres as they will have<br>limited means of escape as they will obviously<br>also be excluded from the surrounding 7000<br>acres!!. There will be a negative impact over time<br>and we have been offered no channel to deal<br>with this consequence, should our worst fears<br>prevail." | <ul> <li>6.2.8 ES Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual<br/>Impact Assessment [APP-046] (the 'LVIA') looks<br/>to provide landscape mitigation that seeks to<br/>enhance the landscape character of the Study<br/>Area and to reduce the visibility of the Scheme<br/>from residential properties and other public<br/>vantage points including transport routes, public<br/>footpaths, permissive footpaths and green lane<br/>network. This mitigation is aimed to benefit the<br/>community as a whole as well as enhancing green<br/>infrastructure (see paras. 8.1.1 and 8.8.3).</li> <li>The majority of animal species will be able to<br/>freely move through the operational site and the<br/>boundary fencing in the same way as they are<br/>currently able to in other locations where deer<br/>fencing is used. An impact on the movement of<br/>deer is likely (Bullet point 9 within paragraph<br/>9.6.5 of 6.2.9 Environmental Statement -<br/>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047],<br/>although it is acknowledged from the ecological<br/>monitoring of numerous active solar schemes</li> </ul> |



| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue                        | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|------------------------------|---|--|
|           |  |                              |   | that deer are regularly noted within the fenced<br>areas having exploited locations of undulating<br>terrain and other opportunities for entry.<br>Habitats of highest suitability for deer, such as<br>woodland, will not be fenced and will continue to<br>be accessible to these species.   |
| CF-03     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Management of<br>Flood Water | "Drainage: This is already a contentious issue, no<br>more so than in these flatlands of Lincolnshire,<br>with ever more housing needing evermore<br>drainage and an over stretched environment<br>authority and infrastructure. Its reasonable to<br>assume that once agriculture is taken out of play,<br>so will the demand placed on the authority<br>tasked with managing it." | Paragraph 10.8.19 of <b>6.2.10 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 10 Hydrology Flood Risk</b><br><b>and Drainage [APP-048]</b> details maintaining the<br>existing surface water run-off regime by utilising<br>permeable surfacing for the site accesses, linear<br>infiltration trenches around any proposed<br>infrastructure (substations and batteries) and<br>wildflower planting at the leeward edge of solar<br>panels to ensure that the Scheme is unlikely to<br>generate surface water runoff rates beyond the<br>baseline scenario. |
|           |  |                              |   | Lincolnshire County Council have stated (pg. 3<br>[ <b>RR-188</b> ]) that ' <i>The surface water drainage strategy</i><br><i>is appropriate for the development and an</i><br><i>appropriate worded requirement can be included</i><br><i>within the DCO for the full details.</i> '   |
|           |  |                              |   | Requirement 11 'Surface and foul water drainage'<br>in Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> secures the provision<br>of a detailed surface water drainage scheme   |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                         |  | following consent and before the Scheme is implemented.  |
| CF-04     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage<br>General | Lincoln Flood<br>Scheme | "We are very concerned that the Lincoln Flood<br>Scheme ( another Government decision forced<br>upon this small family farm some years ago) will<br>be used more and more going forward as<br>Lincolnshire battles with climate change. When<br>initiated, many acres of our land is deliberately<br>flooded to protect the city of Lincoln, for which<br>we receive NO compensation for loss of crops.<br>Again, we have been given no assurances that<br>any future losses will ever be considered or<br>compensated for." | The Applicant notes this comment. Whilst climate<br>change may lead to the more regular use of the<br>River Till Flood Storage Area, the Scheme itself<br>will not contribute to this.<br>Paragraph 10.8.19 of <b>6.2.10 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 10 Hydrology Flood Risk<br/>and Drainage [APP-048]</b> details maintaining the<br>existing surface water run-off regime by utilising<br>permeable surfacing for the site accesses, linear<br>infiltration trenches around any proposed<br>infrastructure (substations and batteries) and<br>wildflower planting at the leeward edge of solar<br>panels to ensure that the Scheme is unlikely to<br>generate surface water runoff rates beyond the<br>baseline scenario.<br>Requirement 11 'Surface and foul water drainage'<br>in Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> secures the provision<br>of a detailed surface water drainage scheme<br>following consent and before the Scheme is<br>implemented. |
| CF-05     | Principle of<br>Development                         | On-site Lighting        | "Lighting: Lincolnshire has some amazing night<br>skies with very low light pollution. We are only 6<br>miles away from Lincoln but all our lives, we have<br>been able to look up and see the stars. Miles of   | Paragraph 4.5.61 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme Description</b><br><b>[APP-042]</b> states that "Lighting is not required<br>within the solar arrays. Lighting will be provided  |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                         | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
|           | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact  |                               | expensive industrialized high fencing will need<br>security through lighting which will have an<br>impact on our ability to enjoy the wonder of our<br>night skies. To have this taken away (when better<br>planning could have negated the need) will be  | within substations and within the Energy Storage site<br>to be used only in the event of it being required for<br>maintenance and security purposes. Down lighting<br>would be used on lighting columns of a maximum<br>height of 3m."   |
|           |   |                               | devastating."  | <b>6.2.8 ES Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual</b><br><b>Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') takes<br>account of lighting and sets out that during the<br>operation of the Scheme (Table 8.49), mitigation<br>will assist in mitigating any potential for light<br>pollution and that " <i>New planting along the</i><br><i>boundary of substations and energy storage areas</i><br><i>to filter the presence in the landscape and provide</i><br><i>softening and screening"</i> .   |
| CF-06     | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Impact on Holiday<br>Business | "Income: We have diversified our business (at our<br>own expense which entailed borrowing) into<br>holiday cottage lets. People come to stay here<br>because it is peaceful, they are surrounded by the<br>sound of Birds by day and Owls by night, they can<br>look up and see stars clearly and walk along our<br>farm paths and take in the countryside scenery.<br>The very things they come for will be taken away<br>and so, over time, they will stop coming as no one<br>would knowingly book a farm stay on the edges<br>of industry. We fully expect our business will be<br>lost and we have been offered no channel to deal<br>with this loss should our worst fears prevail." | Impacts on businesses, including those within the<br>accommodation sector, or tourism and recreation<br>sector have been assessed across the Local<br>Impact Area (Bassetlaw and West Lindsey<br>districts) as a whole in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio</b><br><b>Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> .<br>Individual cases of impacts on rural businesses<br>nearby to the Scheme, unless they are identified<br>as a directly impacted receptor in <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br>[ <b>APP-046</b> ] (the 'LVIA'), are therefore not assessed<br>separately. |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|
|           |  |                                     |   | With regard to landscape and visual matters and<br>links to tourism, the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> considers<br>both the landscape and visual effects of the<br>Scheme on the local environment and any<br>recognised associations with the views across the<br>landscape. Paragraph 8.5.65 of the LVIA<br>recognises the importance of long-distance views<br>to the more elevated wooded skylines to the east,<br>long views to the north and south, which are<br>constrained only by the effects of distance,<br>riverside vegetation and hedgerows.   |
| CF-07     | Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health) | Wellbeing and<br>Business Viability | "Wellbeing: We are not wealthy absentee<br>Landowners. We are the small family farm left<br>behind to continue to struggle to survive against<br>all the odds. The odds have been placed before<br>us largely due to Government decisions and this<br>latest is another blow to hope. (Please note: it has<br>also been decided this week that plans to develop<br>the local airfield into a visitor attraction, which we<br>hoped would greatly improve our prospects, have<br>been cancelled by the Government due to other<br>Government plans for it) so you see, little scope<br>for hope for this small farm and these small<br>communities." | The Applicant seeks to assure the Interested<br>Party that the only identified significant adverse<br>effect on human health and wellbeing as a result<br>of the Scheme is anticipated to be a short- to<br>medium-term temporary moderate adverse<br>effect during construction (see Table 18.15 and<br>para. 18.7.62 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> ). No other<br>significant adverse effects to human health and<br>wellbeing have been identified in the<br>Environmental Statement, as summarised in<br>Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement</b><br><b>- Chapter 21 Other Environmental Matters</b><br><b>[APP-059]</b> . Mitigation measures to ensure safety,<br>human health and wellbeing are maintained<br>throughout the Scheme's construction, operation, |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | and decommissioning are secured through the<br>control documents secured by Requirements 13,<br>14 and 21 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |       |       |                         | Impacts on the agricultural industry have been<br>assessed in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> and the<br>direct impacts on local agriculture in <b>6.2.18</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils</b><br><b>and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> . Together, these<br>assessments do not identify any significant<br>adverse impacts to farm businesses neighbouring<br>the Scheme with regard to economic<br>performance or agricultural circumstances.   |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant is also aware of the conflict<br>regarding the proposed use of RAF Scampton:<br>this being West Lindsey District Council's policy<br>ambitions for a mixed use redevelopment of the<br>site versus the current proposals by Government<br>for use as accommodation for asylum seekers.<br>Assessments of either proposal have not been<br>included in the cumulative assessment of socio-<br>economic effects due to the limited available<br>information from Government at the point of the<br>DCO Application being submitted (January 2023).<br>Nonetheless, the Applicant is cognisant of the<br>emerging information regarding the site and as |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue            | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                  |  | such will seek to ensure any cumulative impacts from this site are understood as the examination progresses.   |
| CF-08     | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health)<br>Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Mental Wellbeing | "A sense of helplessness has crept into our<br>everyday lives as we witness the reality of so<br>called consultation. After many generations of<br>farming and being part of this idyllic rural<br>community, we feel time is up for us. Our voices<br>are unheard, our needs are diminished and our<br>future in farming squeezed between lit fencing,<br>the sound of batteries and silver horizons instead<br>of green and the constant worry of floods. These<br>proposals are very depressing and I am<br>concerned about the impact on my husbands<br>[REDACTED], as a farmer, he simply cannot<br>envisage being fenced in for the rest of his life." | The Applicant is confident that the methods used,<br>level of consultation undertaken and information<br>presented throughout the pre-application stage<br>met the legislative requirements of the Planning<br>Act 2008 and associated guidance, which makes<br>clear the importance of consulting local<br>communities and parish councils. This is set out<br>in detail in the <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> , which was submitted to the Planning<br>Inspectorate and accepted for examination.<br>For example, Table 1.1 of Chapter 1 <b>[APP-022]</b> ,<br>details how the West Burton 4 site and the<br>associated cabling infrastructure was removed<br>from the Scheme in its entirety by considering the<br>extensive consultation feedback received<br>alongside a range of factors as part of the design<br>refinement process of the Scheme.<br>Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 <b>[APP-022]</b> , details how the<br>Applicant provided a six-week community<br>consultation, the publication of materials for this<br>and methods to provide feedback.<br>Chapter 7 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes the Applicant's<br>approach to statutory consultation, including |
|           |   |                  |  | consulting with relevant authorities on a draft<br>Statement of Community Consultation. Table 7.1  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | sets out the comments received from local<br>authorities on the Applicant's approach to<br>consultation and how these were considered by<br>the Applicant. Table 7.3 in Chapter 7 describes<br>how the Applicant complied with commitments<br>made in the Statement of Community<br>Consultation when undertaking statutory<br>consultation.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 8 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes how the Applicant<br>undertook a six-week statutory phase two<br>consultation on the Scheme, to provide<br>communities, stakeholders and landowners a<br>sufficient period of time to provide feedback. A<br>Consultation Summary Report for this phase of<br>statutory consultation was published on the<br>dedicated Scheme website, shared with elected<br>representatives and stakeholders and issued to<br>over 9,000 properties within the vicinity of the<br>Scheme, to help consultees understand how their<br>feedback was being considered. A copy of the<br>Phase Two Consultation Summary Report is<br>provided as <b>5.7 Appendix 5.7: Phase Two</b><br><b>Community Consultation Materials [APP-029</b><br>to <b>APP-031].</b> |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> describes the significant volume of responses received to Section 47 consultation (local community), including the issues raised and how these were considered by the Applicant. This  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | is further evidenced by <b>5.12 Appendix 5.12:</b><br><b>Consultation Report Appendix – Section 47</b><br><b>Applicant Response [APP-036].</b>  |
|           |       |       |                         | With regard to landscape and visual matters and<br>links to health and well-being, <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') includes a full and detailed<br>assessment that deals with both effects on the<br>landscape itself and effects on the visual amenity<br>of people, as well as interrelationships of these<br>with other related topics in the ES. The LVIA<br>process is iterative and as a result, the design of<br>the Scheme changed to respond to the findings<br>of the assessment to ensure that landscape<br>mitigation is fully considered as part of the<br>process. |
|           |       |       |                         | For example, the LVIA mitigation has had regard<br>to the need to consider the landscape character<br>and visual amenity for the users of Public Rights<br>of Way ('PRoW'). This is set out in <b>6.3.8.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.3</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Visual Effects [APP-<br/>074]</b> . Public Rights of Way Receptor PR006<br>(Brox/198/1) on sheet [EN010132/APP/WB6.3.8.3]<br>shows in this instance that the Embedded<br>Mitigation would include panels set a minimum of<br>15m from the adjacent PRoW. Secondary<br>Mitigation would also be implemented,  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | comprising of native hedgerows within and on<br>the boundaries of the West Burton 1 Site being<br>retained and reinforced with new native trees.<br>Hedgerows would also be maintained at a taller<br>height (c5m). The landscape proposals include for<br>a new native woodland shelterbelt and scattered<br>trees along the southern boundary of the WB1<br>Site. Once established, these measures,<br>combined with the additional tree planting across<br>the Site, would help break up the views of the<br>Array, substation and associated infrastructure.<br>During the spring and summer, when the<br>vegetation is out in leaf, the hedgerows and trees<br>would soften and filter views. Available views<br>would be limited to transient views through gate<br>entrances and over low hedgerows. |



## Table 2.5.2: Applicant's Response to Christine Warren [RR-046]

| Reference | Theme  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| CW-01     | General<br>(Consultation)  | Accuracy of<br>Information<br>Conveyed In<br>Person | Mrs Warren has now spoken to two different<br>representatives on different occasions posting<br>notices relating to the current stage of the DCO<br>application on Gainsborough Road. Both<br>representatives identified themselves to be from<br>Dalcour Maclaren and both have told Mrs Warren<br>that the private lane known as Sandhill Lane is to<br>be used for HGV access for a period of 9 months<br>for the construction of the Grid Connection for<br>the West Burton Solar Project. We understand<br>that on both occasions the representatives<br>looked at plans before reiterating to Mrs Warren<br>that access was definitely going via [REDACTED]. | The Applicant is aware that Dalcour Maclaren<br>representatives have been in communication<br>with Mrs Warren in person, phone calls and email<br>exchanges. At no point during the pre-submission<br>stage has Sandhill Lane been proposed to be<br>used for construction access for the grid<br>connection. This was reiterated to Mrs Warren<br>during that communication.<br>The proposed route for construction from<br>Gainsborough Road is the existing quarry access<br>which is the to the south of Sandhill Lane and<br>then runs parallel to it as it goes northwards. This<br>is shown in <b>2.3_B Works Plan Sheet 10 of 10</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB2.3_B].</b> |
| CW-02     | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Site Description<br>Principle of<br>Development | Location and<br>Scope of Works                      | "This has obviously come as a surprise to Mrs<br>Warren as during the consultation on the<br>proposed West Burton Solar Project scheme; as<br>although reference was made to underground<br>electricity cables connecting West Burton 1 to 4 to<br>the West Burton Substation and from there to the<br>National Grid substation at West Burton Power<br>Station. The scheme consultation had referred to<br>a new West Burton 400kV Substation and energy<br>storage facility being located to the northwest of<br>West Burton Power Station. As such the<br>consultation on the proposed scheme has not<br>involved land to the south of the Power Station        | Chapter 8 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b><br>describes how the Applicant undertook a six-<br>week statutory phase two consultation on the<br>Scheme, during which the Applicant presented<br>consultees with environmental information<br>sufficient for consultees to understand the<br>potential likely significant effects of the Scheme in<br>the PEIR. A non-technical summary was published<br>to accompany the PEIR. The PEIR presented the<br>areas for the solar panels and associated<br>development, cable route search corridor and<br>potential area for energy storage and associated<br>development. The area to the northwest of West              |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
|           |                             |   | becoming the siting of the Grid Connection as<br>now proposed."  | Burton Power Station was not shown as the main<br>grid connection point, that was always proposed<br>to be within the power station. The proposed<br>Scheme substation within the vicinity of West<br>Burton Power Station was removed from the<br>Scheme design when the West Burton 4 site was<br>removed from the Scheme. The land to the south<br>of the power station was included within the<br>cable route search corridor at PEIR stage. This is<br>still shown within the Application as Works No 5A<br>on Sheet 10 of <b>2.3_B Works Plan Revision B</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB2.3_B]</b> , which is works to lay<br>electrical cables, accesses and temporary<br>laydown areas for the electrical cables as set out<br>in <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> |
| CW-03     | General<br>Site Description | Location of<br>Residential<br>Property              | "The property St Ives is a residential property<br>whose western and northern site boundaries<br>abut the EDF owned Power Station site. The<br>eastern boundary of St Ives abuts the West<br>Burton Meadow Local Wildlife Site owned by the<br>Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust. The southern<br>boundary of St Ives abuts Sandhill Lane." | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| CW-04     | General<br>(Consultation)   | Accuracy of<br>Information<br>Conveyed In<br>Person | "We have represented Mrs Warren for many<br>years in relation to planning matters, she has<br>asked us to look into this matter. It is therefore<br>concerning that the information that has been<br>given to Mrs Warren by representatives of the   | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but is<br>confident that the level of consultation<br>undertaken, and information presented<br>throughout the pre-application stage met the<br>legislative requirements of the Planning Act 2008<br>and associated guidance. This has been   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|--|
|           |       |       | applicants is directly contradictory to the material<br>that has been submitted for the DCO application." | evidenced in <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> ,<br>which was submitted to the Planning<br>Inspectorate and accepted for examination.   |
|           |       |       |   | For example, as described in Chapter 2 <b>[APP-022]</b> , the Applicant undertook two phases of community consultation to share information and invite feedback at different stages of Scheme development.   |
|           |       |       |   | Chapter 7 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes the Applicant's<br>approach to statutory consultation, including<br>consulting with relevant authorities on a draft<br>Statement of Community Consultation. Table 7.1<br>sets out the comments received from local<br>authorities on the Applicant's approach to<br>consultation and how the Applicant has had<br>regard to these in developing the Scheme. Table<br>7.3 in Chapter 7 describes how the Applicant<br>complied with commitments made in the<br>Statement of Community Consultation when<br>undertaking statutory consultation. |
|           |       |       |   | Chapter 8 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes how the Applicant<br>undertook a six-week statutory phase two<br>consultation on the Scheme, during which the<br>Applicant presented consultees with<br>environmental information sufficient for<br>consultees to understand the potential likely<br>significant effects of the Scheme in a Preliminary<br>Environmental Impact Report (PEIR). A non-   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | technical summary was published to accompany<br>the PEIR, with public information events and free-<br>to-use communications channels open to help aid<br>accessibility and understanding of the Scheme. A<br>Consultation Summary Report for this phase of<br>statutory consultation was published on the<br>dedicated Scheme website, shared with elected<br>representatives and stakeholders and issued to<br>over 9,000 properties within the vicinity of the<br>Scheme, to help consultees understand how their<br>feedback was being considered. A copy of the<br>Phase Two Consultation Summary Report is<br>provided as <b>5.7 Appendix 5.7: Phase Two</b><br><b>Community Consultation Materials [APP-029</b><br>to <b>APP-031]</b> . |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> describes the significant volume of<br>responses received to Section 47 consultation<br>(local community), including the issues raised and<br>how the Applicant has had regard to these in<br>developing the Scheme. This is further evidenced<br>by <b>5.12 Appendix 5.12: Consultation Report</b><br><b>Appendix - Section 47 Applicant Response</b><br><b>[APP-036].</b><br>The host authorities have confirmed that the<br>statutory consultation process was adequate<br><b>[AoC-001</b> to <b>AoC-014].</b>   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
|           |  |  |  | Dalcour Maclaren have been making attempts to<br>communicate with Ms Warren since 29 <sup>th</sup><br>September 2021, when initial Landowner<br>Questionnaires were issued. Subsequent follow<br>up methods have included reminder letters, Land<br>Interest Questionnaires, and site visits. Ms<br>Warren was also in receipt of a Statutory<br>Consultation Notice issued on the 14 <sup>th</sup> June 2022.<br>Dalcour Maclaren have received 3 phone calls<br>from Ms Warren and an email in response to site   |
|           |  |  |  | notices erected to identify land interests of<br>unregistered land, details of which were passed<br>to the Engagement team .  |
| CW-05     | General<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Ease of<br>Navigation<br>through DCO<br>Application<br>Documents | "The nature and format of the information<br>submitted for the DCO application which<br>encompasses 356 documents is impractical for<br>the general public to circumnavigate and trying to<br>locate information even for ourselves is not a<br>straightforward task. It is noted that the<br>submitted Design and Access Statement Part 1<br>(Document APP-314) on page 100 still includes<br>the 'Substation and Energy Storage Area' plan<br>(unreferenced) being to the north-west of the<br>Power Station site. This adds to the confusion<br>regarding the grid connection." | The Applicant is confident that the methods used,<br>level of consultation undertaken and information<br>presented throughout the pre-application stage<br>met the legislative requirements of the Planning<br>Act 2008 and associated guidance, which makes<br>clear the importance of consulting local<br>communities and parish councils. This is set out<br>in detail in the <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which was submitted to the Planning<br>Inspectorate and accepted for examination.<br>The page referenced in the Design and Access<br>Statement <b>[APP-314]</b> is showing the PEIR layouts<br>which did show the energy storage and a<br>substation to the north west of the power station |



| Reference | Theme                     | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
|           |                           |                         |  | which has been changed in the submission,Please<br>refer to Section 5.5 which explains the layout<br>which was submitted and 6.4.4.2 Environmental<br>Statement Figure 4.3 Illustrative Site Layout<br>West Burton 4 [APP-144]. The Design and Access<br>Statement sets out the narrative behind how the<br>design evolved throughout the pre-submission<br>stage.  |
| CW-06     | General<br>(Consultation) | Private<br>Consultation | "Mrs Warren is disappointed that nobody from<br>the project has ever sought to visit her, given that<br>her property is located immediately adjacent to<br>the Power Station complex." | The Applicant is confident that the methods used,<br>level of consultation undertaken and information<br>presented throughout the pre-application stage<br>met the legislative requirements of the Planning<br>Act 2008 and associated guidance, which makes<br>clear the importance of consulting local<br>communities and parish councils. This is set out<br>in detail in the <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> , which was submitted to the Planning<br>Inspectorate and accepted for examination.<br>Voluntary consultation with individual property |
|           |                           |                         |  | owners was undertaken throughout the duration<br>of the Scheme development and the preparation<br>of the ES including discussion over bespoke<br>mitigation relevant to individual properties.  |
|           |                           |                         |  | Dalcour Maclaren have made the following<br>attempts to communicate with Ms Warren<br>regarding the project to date:  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant | 's Response                                 |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|-----------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | 24/09/21  | Landowner Questionnaire (LOQ)<br>issued     |
|           |       |       |                         | 19/10/21  | LOQ reminder letter 1 issued                |
|           |       |       |                         | 08/11/21  | LOQ reminder letter 2 issued                |
|           |       |       |                         | 23/11/21  | Site visit to follow up LOQ                 |
|           |       |       |                         | 07/12/21  | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Site visit to follow up LOQ |
|           |       |       |                         | 17/03/22  | Land Interest Questionnaire (LIQ)<br>issued |
|           |       |       |                         | 20/03/22  | LIQ for further land issued                 |
|           |       |       |                         | 07/04/22  | LIQ reminder letter issued                  |
|           |       |       |                         | 10/06/22  | Phone call incoming                         |
|           |       |       |                         | 14/06/22  | S.48 Notice issued                          |
|           |       |       |                         | 24/07/22  | Statutory Consultation letter issued        |
|           |       |       |                         | 01/03/23  | Phone call incoming                         |
|           |       |       |                         | 17/03/23  | Phone call incoming                         |
|           |       |       |                         | 30/05/23  | Email incoming                              |
|           |       |       |                         | 30/05/23  | Discussion while notice monitoring          |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue                               | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| CW-07     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Identification of<br>Property in ES | "Mrs Warren has noted that photographs have<br>been taken of her property, which she assumes<br>may be connected with the DCO application. The<br>property St lves is not indicated to be a 'Singular<br>building' on Figure 8.8.4 West Burton 3 to West<br>Burton Power Station - Residential Receptors. As<br>such it must be assumed that no assessment of<br>the impact of the proposal on this property has<br>been made at all in the Environmental Statement.<br>It calls into question whether the applicants are<br>actually aware of the presence of St lves as a<br>residential property that lies less than 20m from<br>the DCO Limits boundary." | The Applicant was in contact with Mrs Warren at<br>the time she reported that somebody was taking<br>photographs of her property. The Applicant<br>checked with all of their consultant team and it<br>was confirmed to her that the person taking<br>photographs was not related to the Scheme.<br><b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment</b><br><b>[APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') takes into account the<br>effects on residential receptors and this includes<br>singular buildings, groups of buildings and towns<br>or villages. Table 8.16 of the LVIA sets out the<br>selection of initial residential receptors for the<br>purpose of the assessment, the reason for their<br>selection being that the receptors are all within<br>the 1km Study Area for the Scheme and the<br>0.5km Study Area from the outer boundary of the<br>Cable Route Corridor. This property is taken into<br>account as part of Residential Receptor R094<br>Sturton le Steeple. Please refer to individual<br>receptor assessment sheets at <b>6.3.8.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Assessment of</b><br><b>Potential Visual Effects [APP-074]</b> .Paragraph<br>8.3.10 of the LVIA notes the [Secretary of State's]<br>need to "judge whether the visual effects on<br>sensitive receptors, such as visitors to the local area,<br>outweigh the benefits of the project". The LVIA also<br>sets out details of the offsets that are proposed |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | around sensitive receptors such as settlement<br>edges, individual residential properties, PRoW<br>and transport routes (see section 8.11) which aim<br>to assist in the integration and dispersion of the<br>Scheme across the landscape.   |
|           |       |       |                         | In respect of noise, the nearest noise activities<br>are associated with construction, and the<br>transport assessment indicates that up to two to<br>three vehicle movements per hour are<br>anticipated. Noise effects related to these<br>activities are considered to be negligible at the<br>St.lves property. This is set out in "Assessment of<br>Construction Traffic Noise Cable Route Corridor"<br>Section 15.7 of <b>6.2.15 Environmental Statement</b><br><b>- Chapter 15 Noise and Vibration [APP-053]</b> .  |
|           |       |       |                         | The property is close to the cable route access<br>101, the location of which is set out and assessed<br>in Section 14.7 of <b>6.2.14 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 14 Transport and Access</b><br>[APP-052] and in the associated <b>6.3.14.1_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1</b><br><b>Transport Assessment Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A], pages 24 to 27<br>and pages 32 and 33. The access would be used<br>for around 90 days during the construction phase<br>with an estimated 4 HGV's , 4 LGV's and 10 cars<br>arriving per day. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response                                     |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | Whilst there may not be specific receptors at the        |
|           |       |       |                         | St lves property , it has been incorporated into         |
|           |       |       |                         | the construction dust assessments in accordance          |
|           |       |       |                         | with the relevant guidance. The mitigation               |
|           |       |       |                         | measures outlined within the assessments are             |
|           |       |       |                         | sufficient to reduce the potential impacts during        |
|           |       |       |                         | the construction phase to a negligible impact as         |
|           |       |       |                         | outlined in 6.3.17.1 – 6.3.17.3 Environmental            |
|           |       |       |                         | Statement - Appendices 17.1 to 17.3 QDA and              |
|           |       |       |                         | CDMP [APP-133 to APP-135]. The vehicle                   |
|           |       |       |                         | movements associated with the Scheme within              |
|           |       |       |                         | the vicinity of the St. lves property are                |
|           |       |       |                         | significantly below the relevant thresholds for          |
|           |       |       |                         | detailed modelling assessment, and are                   |
|           |       |       |                         | considered to not have any significant effects, as       |
|           |       |       |                         | outlined in paragraph 17.4.6 of <b>6.2.17</b>            |
|           |       |       |                         | Environmental Statement - Chapter 17 Air                 |
|           |       |       |                         | Quality [APP-055]. An Outline Construction               |
|           |       |       |                         | Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) has been                  |
|           |       |       |                         | prepared to support the application at <b>6.3.14.2_A</b> |
|           |       |       |                         | Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2                  |
|           |       |       |                         | Construction Traffic Management Plan                     |
|           |       |       |                         | Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]. The              |
|           |       |       |                         | measures set out in the CTMP are secured                 |
|           |       |       |                         | through Requirement 15 in Schedule 2 of the              |
|           |       |       |                         | 3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order                    |
|           |       |       |                         | Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].                       |
|           |       |       |                         |  |
|           |       |       |                         |  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|
| CW-08     | Site Description<br>Air Quality<br>Transport and<br>Access<br>Noise and<br>Vibration | Use of Quarry<br>Track to Access<br>Works | "It is understood from the DCO Limits Plan that in<br>fact Sandhill Lane is not included within the DCO<br>application and instead construction access will<br>be taken from the access track that was<br>constructed in parrel to the south of Sandhill<br>Lane for the quarry that has not yet been<br>commenced (referred to as Access 101 on<br>submitted plans). However, HGV movements on<br>that access track would adversely affect the living<br>conditions of the occupiers of St Ives through<br>noise, disturbance and dust." | The anticipated worst-case (combined West<br>Burton Sites 1, 2 and 3 and Battery Energy<br>Storage System (BESS)) peak day vehicle<br>movements associated with the Scheme during<br>the construction phase are forecast to be 46 HGV<br>movements, and 326 car and LGV movements,<br>which are therefore below the relevant<br>thresholds for detailed modelling assessment,<br>and are considered to not have any significant<br>effect. Additionally, mitigation measures for the<br>construction and decommissioning phases are<br>outlined within the Dust Management Plans<br><b>6.3.17.1 – 6.3.17.3 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendices 17.1</b> to <b>17.3 QDA and CDMP [APP-</b><br><b>133</b> to <b>APP-135]</b> . These mitigation measures will<br>reduce and minimise the potential for adverse<br>effects associated with fugitive dust emissions.<br>An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the<br>application within <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction</b><br><b>Traffic Management Plan Revision A</b><br>[ <b>EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> which is secured<br>through Requirement 15 in Schedule 2 of the<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .<br>The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the<br>management of construction vehicle movements |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                           | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                                 |   | to and from the Scheme, to ensure that the<br>effects of the temporary construction phase on<br>the local highway network are minimised and<br>made acceptable.  |
|           |   |                                 |   | The construction vehicle movements associated<br>with the installation of cables are set out in<br>paragraph 9.14 of the Outline CTMP. This states,<br>"Each access along the Cable Route Corridor will<br>only generate traffic flows for 90 days. Each access is<br>only forecast to generate eight arrivals and eight<br>departures per day for the delivery of material and<br>equipment (half by 10m tipper, half by LGV), and<br>around 10 construction workers arriving by car and<br>shuttle bus. These movements will again be spread<br>throughout the day, and will avoid the network peak<br>hours".<br>A handful of larger vehicle movements associated |
|           |   |                                 |   | with the cable drum are expected, although this will not be a daily movement.  |
| CW-09     | Transport and<br>Access<br>Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Information on<br>Use of Access | "It is unclear from the submitted information as<br>to how long this construction access route would<br>be used. It appears that this access would be<br>used for Works Package 4 (National Grid<br>Connection), Works Package 5 (Grid Connection<br>Cable Route) and Works Package 5A(vii) as shown<br>on Application Doc No. WB2.3. It is slightly<br>unclear what Works Package 5A(vii) actually | The final design of the works which will take place<br>within West Burton Power Station are dependent<br>on agreement with National Grid at the time of<br>construction. The works associated with Work No.<br>4 are works to the National Grid substation and<br>within their operational boundary.<br>Work No. 5 relates to works in connection with   |
|           |   |                                 | involves."  | electrical cabling. Detailed design work relating to this works package will be secured through a  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | number of the management plans including<br>Requirement 13 for the Construction<br>Environmental Management Plan and<br>Requirement 15 for the Construction Traffic<br>Management Plan as contained in <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |       |       |                         | The construction vehicle movements associated<br>with the installation of cables are set out in<br>paragraph 9.14 of the Outline CTMP. This states,<br>"Each access along the Cable Route Corridor will<br>only generate traffic flows for 90 days. Each access is<br>only forecast to generate eight arrivals and eight<br>departures per day for the delivery of material and<br>equipment (half by 10m tipper, half by LGV), and<br>around 10 construction workers arriving by car and<br>shuttle bus. These movements will again be spread<br>throughout the day, and will avoid the network peak<br>hours". |
|           |       |       |                         | A handful of larger vehicle movements associated<br>with the cable drum are expected, although this<br>will not be a daily movement.   |
|           |       |       |                         | For clarity, Work No. 5A(vii) refers solely to<br>temporary construction laydown areas in<br>connection with electrical cabling. The area to the<br>south of West Burton Power Station was<br>erroneously shown as Work No. 5A(vii) only, and<br>as such has been updated to be designated for   |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
|           |                             |                         |  | Work No. 5A, so that all works to lay electrical<br>cables, accesses, and temporary construction<br>laydown areas for the electrical cables (as<br>described in Schedule 1 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]) can take place in this<br>location. This has been amended and presented<br>in <b>22.3_B Works Plan Revision B</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB2.3_B].   |
| CW-10     | Principle of<br>Development | Engineering<br>Drawings | "In Appendix 4.1 (Engineering Drawings) we can<br>find no drawings which details any of the actual<br>works involved at the West Burton Power Station<br>end of the proposal." | The final design of the works which will take place<br>within West Burton Power Station is dependent<br>on agreement with National Grid at the time of<br>construction. The works associated with Work No.<br>4 are works to the National Grid substation and<br>within their operational boundary.   |
|           |                             |                         |  | Work No. 5 relates to works in connection with<br>electrical cabling. Information pertaining to cable<br>construction works is set out in paragraphs 4.5.40<br>– 4.5.56 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-042]</b> .<br>Detailed design work relating to this works<br>package will be secured through a number of the<br>management plans including Requirement 13 for<br>the Construction Environmental Management<br>Plan and Requirement 15 for the Construction<br>Traffic Management Plan as contained in 3.1_A<br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| CW-11     | Principle of<br>Development | Scope of Works at<br>Grid Connection<br>Point | "In Section 4 of the ES (Scheme Description)<br>paragraph 4.5.39 indicates that the: "Works at the<br>existing National Grid West Burton 400kV<br>substation Site to facilitate connection to the<br>Scheme will include extending an existing busbar<br>and associated gas zones to allow for the<br>connection of a new generator bay with a 400kV<br>circuit breaker, current transformers, metering<br>current transformer/voltage transformer (CT/VT)<br>units and line disconnector for the 400kV<br>connection to the West Burton 3 Solar Site.<br>Provision of a stand-alone building to house<br>duplicate feeder protection systems, commercial<br>metering systems, National Grid owned<br>protection and control equipment and User<br>Remote Control and data acquisition apparatus."<br>Despite our best efforts to look we can find not<br>drawings that details these works within Works<br>Packages 4 and 5 within the Power Station site<br>further." | The final design of the works which will take place<br>within West Burton Power Station are dependent<br>on agreement with National Grid at the time of<br>construction. As such, no detailed design<br>drawings are able to be presented for Work No.<br>4.<br>As set out at response CW-10 above, Work No. 5<br>relates to works in connection with electrical<br>cabling. Information pertaining to cable<br>construction works are set out in paragraphs<br>4.5.40 – 4.5.56 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme Description</b><br>[ <b>APP-042</b> ]. Detailed design work relating to this<br>works package will be secured through a number<br>of the management plans including Requirement<br>13 for the Construction Environmental<br>Management Plan and Requirement 15 for the<br>Construction Traffic Management Plan as<br>contained in <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> |
| CW-12     | Principle of<br>Development | Scope of Works at<br>Grid Connection<br>Point | "The Grid Connection Statement in section 3.8<br>describes the West Burton National Grid<br>Substation Works as: "Works will be required<br>within the existing 400kV GIS extension building<br>attached to the southwest of the main indoor<br>West Burton Air Insulated Switchgear (AIS)<br>substation, to create a new generation bay<br>enabling the Scheme to connect to the grid. The   | The final design of the works which will take place<br>within West Burton Power Station are dependant<br>on agreement with National Grid at the time of<br>construction. The works associated with Work No.<br>4 are works to the National Grid substation and<br>within their operational boundary.<br>Works at the existing National Grid West Burton<br>400kV substation Site to facilitate connection to   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | <ul> <li>works required are anticipated to consist of the provision of:</li> <li>Extending Main Busbar 4 and reserve busbar ¾ gas zones to allow for the connection of a new Island Green Power GIS substation bay comprising the below;</li> <li>A 400kV 3phase 4000A circuit breaker for control and protection of the outgoing circuit serving the new scheme;</li> <li>A 3phase set of current transformers for protection of the new outgoing 400kV feeder circuit and the overlap with the National Grid system;</li> <li>A 3phase High Accuracy Metering Current and Voltage Transformer assembly for commercial metering of the connection;</li> <li>A 3phase 400kV Line disconnector/earth switch for isolation and earthing of the outgoing 400kV feeder circuit;</li> <li>A 3phase set of 400kV high voltage cable sealing ends and cables connecting the National Grid site with the Scheme's site at West Burton 3; and</li> <li>A 3phase Power Quality ready Capacitor Voltage transformer.</li> </ul> | the Scheme will include extending an existing<br>busbar and associated gas zones to allow for the<br>connection of a new generator bay with a 400kV<br>circuit breaker, current transformers, metering<br>current transformer/voltage transformer (CT/VT)<br>units and line disconnector for the 400kV<br>connection to the West Burton 3 Solar Site.<br>Provision of a stand-alone building to house<br>duplicate feeder protection systems, commercial<br>metering systems, National Grid owned<br>protection and control equipment and User<br>Remote Control and data acquisition apparatus.<br>This is set out <b>in 6.2.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Chapter 4 Scheme Description</b><br>[APP-042]. These works will be similar to the<br>existing apparatus that are currently located in<br>the Power Station.<br>Work No. 5 relates to works in connection with<br>electrical cabling and the detailed design work<br>relating to this works package will be secured<br>through a number of the management plans<br>including Requirement 13 for the Construction<br>Environmental Management Plan and<br>Requirement 15 for the Construction Traffic<br>Management Plan as contained in <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
|           |                             |   | Also required is protection, control and ancillary<br>apparatus for the circuit to be housed within a<br>stand-alone building sized approximately 6m x 3<br>m, comprising duplicate feeder protection<br>systems, commercial metering systems, National<br>Grid owned protection and control equipment<br>and User Remote Control and data acquisition<br>apparatus." Unfortunately, the Grid Connection<br>Statement does not include any drawings of<br>these works in order to allow their impact on the<br>property St Ives to be assessed." |  |
| CW-13     | Principle of<br>Development | Scope of Works at<br>Grid Connection<br>Point | "In Section 4 of the ES (Scheme Description)<br>paragraph 4.5.40 indicates that the Works<br>Package 5A involves: "A 400kV cable circuit<br>(consisting of up to 3 No. cables) cables will<br>export the power generated by the Scheme and<br>power stored at the BESS from the substation at<br>West Burton 3, to the National Grid substation at<br>West Burton Power Station. The length of this<br>cable is approximately 9.93 km.""   | The Applicant notes this comment.  |
| CW-14     | Principle of<br>Development | Scope of Works at<br>Grid Connection<br>Point | "No specific indication of what works are precisely<br>involved in the area marked as Works Package<br>5A(vii). It is assumed that as part of the cable<br>route phase, all works will be underground with<br>no above ground structures, however, from the<br>information available we cannot be certain of<br>this."   | The area to the south of West Burton Power<br>Station was erroneously shown as Work No. 5A<br>(vii) only, and as such has been updated to be<br>designated for Work No. 5A, so that all works to<br>lay electrical cables, accesses, and temporary<br>construction laydown areas for the electrical<br>cables (as described in Schedule 1 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]) can take place in this |



| Reference | Theme                       | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
|           |                             |  |  | location. This has been amended and presented<br>in <b>2.3_B Works Plan Revision B</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB2.3_B]. As such, this will<br>include below ground works and some surface<br>structures and works (in temporary construction<br>laydowns areas) as set out in paragraphs 4.5.40 –<br>4.5.56 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental Statement -</b><br>Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-042].   |
| CW-15     | Principle of<br>Development | Length and<br>Intensity of<br>Access Use | "There is contradictory information about the<br>length of time the construction access routes will<br>be used. The timetable given for the grid   | The proposed construction access arrangements<br>are set out in 6.3.14.1_A Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 14.1 Transport  |
|           | Transport and<br>Access     | Access 036                               | connection is specified as being an overall 21 months. Paragraph 6.13.15 of the Planning   | Assessment Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A].  |
|           |                             |  | Statement states: "In relation to the construction<br>of the cable within the Cable Route Corridor, it is<br>anticipated that this will be built out in phases<br>and each of the 19 accesses for the Cable<br>Corridor Route will be used for approximately 90<br>days during the construction phase. It is likely<br>that four or five accesses will be in use<br>concurrently. It is forecast that each access will<br>generate up to eight arrivals and eight departures<br>per day for the delivery of material and<br>equipment. Around half of these will be HGV trips<br>and half LGV trips. There will also be around 10<br>construction workers per access, arriving by car<br>and shuttle bus." However, the Planning<br>Statement does not give any details of the | The construction vehicle movements associated<br>with the installation of cables are set out in<br>paragraph 9.14 of the Outline <b>6.3.14.2_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2</b><br><b>Construction Traffic Management Plan</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> which<br>is secured by Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of<br>the <b>dDCO [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . This states,<br><i>"Each access along the Cable Route Corridor will</i><br><i>only generate traffic flows for 90 days. Each access is</i><br><i>only forecast to generate eight arrivals and eight</i><br><i>departures per day for the delivery of material and</i><br><i>equipment (half by 10m tipper, half by LGV), and</i><br><i>around 10 construction workers arriving by car and</i> |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | construction access impacts associated with the Grid Connection in Works Package 4." | shuttle bus. These movements will again be spread<br>throughout the day, and will avoid the network peak<br>hours". At this stage the same assumptions are<br>assumed for Works Package 4.  |
|           |       |       |  | A handful of larger vehicle movements associated<br>with the cable drum are expected, although this<br>will not be a daily movement. As a worst-case<br>considering all movements to be HGVs the<br>resulting noise impact at the St. Ives property will<br>be insignificant.   |
|           |       |       |  | Assessment of the cable corridors has been<br>included within <b>6.3.17.1 – 6.3.17.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendices 17.1</b> to<br><b>17.3 QDA and CDMP [APP-133</b> to <b>APP-135]</b> .<br>Whilst there may not be specific receptors at the<br>construction accesses , they have been<br>incorporated into the assessments in accordance<br>with the relevant guidance. The mitigation<br>measures outlined within the assessments are<br>sufficient to reduce the potential impacts during<br>the construction phase to negligible. |
|           |       |       |  | The vehicle movements associated with the cable<br>corridors are significantly below the relevant<br>thresholds for detailed modelling assessment,<br>and are considered to not have any significant<br>effects, as outlined in paragraph 17.4.6 of <b>6.2.17</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 17 Air</b><br><b>Quality [APP-055]</b> . Additionally, mitigation   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
|           |  |  |  | measures for the construction and<br>decommissioning phases are outlined within the<br>Construction Dust Management Plans <b>[APP-133</b><br>to <b>APP-135]</b> as part of the DCO application. These<br>mitigation measures will reduce and minimise the<br>potential for adverse effects associated with<br>fugitive dust emissions. Dust Management Plans<br>are further secured through <b>7.1_A Outline</b><br><b>Construction Environmental Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> which<br>is secured through Requirement 13 of the <b>dDCO</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
| CW-16     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Transport and<br>Access | Length and<br>Intensity of<br>Access Use | "We do of course note that the representatives<br>from Dalcour Maclaren posting the notices<br>spoken to by Mrs Warren have said access 101<br>will be used for a 9-month period. The Transport<br>Statement details different vehicle movements<br>for the: "Cable Route Corridor • HGV – 16 (32 total<br>movements) • LGV – 16 (32 total movements) •<br>Car/Shuttle associated with construction workers<br>– 40 (80 total movements)" There is no<br>information in the Transport Statement that<br>seems to deal with the construction access<br>impacts associated with the Grid Connection in<br>Works Package 4. This is an important omission." | The construction vehicle movements associated<br>with the installation of cables are set out in<br>paragraph 9.14 of the Outline <b>6.3.14.2_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2</b><br><b>Construction Traffic Management Plan</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> which<br>is secured by Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of<br>the <b>dDCO [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . This states,<br><i>"Each access along the Cable Route Corridor will</i><br><i>only generate traffic flows for 90 days. Each access is</i><br><i>only forecast to generate eight arrivals and eight</i><br><i>departures per day for the delivery of material and</i><br><i>equipment (half by 10m tipper, half by LGV), and</i><br><i>around 10 construction workers arriving by car and</i><br><i>shuttle bus. These movements will again be spread</i><br><i>throughout the day, and will avoid the network peak</i><br><i>hours".</i> |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue            | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                  |  | A handful of larger vehicle movements associated<br>with the cable drum are expected, although this<br>will not be a daily movement. |
| CW-17     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Site Description<br>Transport and<br>Access | Choice of Access | "There is also no explanation or consideration as<br>to why the reasonable alternative of utilising the<br>access Power Station access gate and 'South<br>Road' within the Power station site cannot<br>actually be used to access both Works Package 4<br>and Works Package 5A(vii) instead of access 101.<br>To get from the temporary access route into the<br>Power Station site will already necessitate<br>removal of part of the hedgerow boundary to the<br>Power Station site to get to Works Package 4. This<br>would be no different to the situation if access<br>was instead taken through the Power Station<br>access gate and 'South Road' with part of the<br>hedgerow boundary then removed to get to the<br>area of Works Package 5A(vii). This alternative<br>option would remove vehicle movements from<br>being immediately adjacent to St Ives and the<br>Local Wildlife Site and instead use the existing<br>Power Station road network that was specifically<br>created to facilitate two-way HGV vehicle<br>movements." |  |



## Table 2.5.3: Applicant's Response to E C Morgan [RR-076]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
| ECM-01    | Site Description<br>General<br>(Consultation) | Consultation<br>Regarding Use of<br>Land for Cable<br>Route | Part of the cable route seems to cross the south<br>west corner of my land and I have not had any<br>communication or the chance to discuss. With a<br>large field to the south and west why is this<br>required. I have no objection to the whole<br>project. | The Applicant can confirm that the cable corridor<br>does not cross the respondent's land but abuts<br>the south west corner of it as shown on Sheet 8<br>of <b>2.2_A Land Plans Revision A [AS-006].</b><br>The Applicant has previously issued the<br>Interested Party with notices and correspondence<br>required under Section 42 and Section 56 of the<br>Planning Act 2008, as this Interested Party is a<br>landowner with land interests that are adjacent<br>to the Scheme's Order Limits. |



# Table 2.5.4: Applicant's Response to Emma Hill [RR-087]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
| EH-01     | Planning History<br>Principle of<br>Development<br>Socio-<br>economics,<br>Tourism and<br>Recreation<br>General<br>(Consultation) | Planning<br>Permission<br>Impacted by<br>Cable Route | "my husband and i own a field on the grid<br>connection corridor to the proposed solar panels<br>, we have obtained planning permission to build 2<br>agricultural buildings and yard on our field to<br>create an agricultural business the cable<br>positions could have a devastating impact to our<br>business and future development of it This is<br>causing me and my family untold stress and<br>holding our business back due to all the<br>uncertainties , we feel we are being bullied and<br>pressured , please read all the documents<br>relating to this planning application west lindsey<br>145882."" | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but is<br>confident that the level of consultation and<br>engagement undertaken and information<br>presented throughout the pre-application stage<br>met the legislative requirements of the Planning<br>Act 2008 and associated guidance. This has been<br>evidenced in <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> ,<br>which was submitted to the Planning<br>Inspectorate and accepted for examination.<br>The Applicant notes that the business referred to<br>has recently been granted planning permission<br>for the erection of 2 agricultural barns. This<br>permission was granted on 18 <sup>th</sup> January 2023<br>The Applicant has undertaken technical modelling<br>work to confirm that the cables for the Scheme<br>and the other NSIP projects would all fit within<br>the order limits without impacting upon the<br>barns, and intends to discuss this in detail with<br>the landowner. |
|           |   |  |   | The Environmental Statement has sought to<br>identify adverse effects to the surrounding area<br>where it can be reasonably assessed. Impacts on<br>the agricultural industry are assessed in Section<br>18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and</b><br><b>Recreation [APP-056]</b> and the direct impacts on  |



| Reference | Theme                   | Issue                           | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
|           |                         |                                 |   | local agriculture in <b>6.2.19 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture</b><br><b>[APP-057]</b> . Together, these assessments do not<br>identify any significant adverse impacts to farm<br>businesses neighbouring the Scheme with regard<br>to economic performance or agricultural<br>circumstances.   |
| EH-02     | Transport and<br>Access | Construction<br>Traffic Impacts | "my other concerns are the amount of new traffic<br>that we will see on an already busy road when<br>they are putting the cables in and the solar<br>panels up" | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the<br>application within 6.3.14.2_A Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction<br>Traffic Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] which is secured<br>through Requirement 15 in Schedule 2 of the<br>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
|           |                         |                                 |   | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the<br>management of construction vehicle movements<br>to and from the Scheme, to ensure that the<br>effects of the temporary construction phase on<br>the local highway network are minimised and<br>made acceptable.  |
|           |                         |                                 |   | The Transport Assessment within <b>6.3.14.1_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1</b><br><b>Transport Assessment Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]</b> provides an<br>assessment of the transport effects of the   |



| Reference | Theme                                    | Issue                             | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                                   |  | Scheme and concludes, through paragraphs 11.1 to 11.11, that the Scheme is acceptable from a transport perspective.  |
| EH-03     | Ecology and<br>Biodiversity              | Impact on<br>Hedgerows            | "other concerns are the ancient hedgerows that<br>will be in jeopardy"   | In certain locations where existing accesses do<br>not exist, some very minor hedgerow removal is<br>necessary to accommodate access roads<br>between fields, land parcels and solar panel<br>areas. Hedgerow removal is to be permanent in<br>limited circumstances where required to retain<br>access to the solar sites, but all hedgerow<br>removal will only be temporary for the<br>installation of the electrical cabling. The extent of<br>hedgerow removal is set out in <b>Hedgerow</b><br><b>Removal Plans</b> which are contained within <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A].</b> This removal will<br>involve only very short sections of hedgerow to<br>accommodate internal access roads and will not<br>involve loss of trees, in particular trees protected<br>under any Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs). |
| EH-04     | Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Impact on Major<br>Flood Defences | "other concerns are the flood defences for the<br>villages because they will be going through a<br>major flood bank and under the river" | The development of the proposed Scheme layout<br>included consideration of statutory easements<br>from watercourses. This is stated in Paragraph<br>10.7.1 of <b>6.2.10 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 10 Hydrology Flood Risk and Drainage</b><br><b>[APP-048]</b> , which details the embedded<br>mitigation including the required easements.  |



| Reference | Theme                          | Issue                             | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
|           |                                |                                   |  | Trenchless construction techniqueswill be utilised<br>where the required cable connection crosses<br>watercourses. Therefore, the flood defences will<br>not be impacted by the proposed cable crossing<br>points. The impacts of using this technique have<br>been assessed in the <b>6.2.9 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement in Chapter 9 Ecology and</b><br><b>Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> in Section 9.7 and <b>6.2.10</b><br><b>Chapter 10 Hydrology, Flood Risk and</b><br><b>Drainage [APP-048]</b> .   |
| EH-05     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact | Visual Impacts<br>from the Scheme | "other concerns are the batteries and the solar<br>panels will be an eyesore and all the fencing that<br>will be surrounding them" | With regard to landscape and visual matters,<br>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] has taken account of the requirement<br>for fencing around the Sites to ensure the best<br>possible fit with their landscape setting. The<br>photography and photomontage information at<br>6.4.8.13.1 - 6.4.8.13.72 Environmental<br>Statement Figures 8.14.1 to Figure 8.14.72<br>[APP-194 to APP-265] shows how the proposed<br>landscape mitigation will play a key role in making<br>sure the battery storage, fencing and panels are<br>comfortably accommodated within the existing<br>landscape to minimise significant effects. For<br>example, 6.4.8.13.24 Environmental Statement<br>- Figure 8.13.24 Viewpoint 24 Photography and<br>Photomontage [APP-217] shows the fencing and<br>panels set back from the existing hedgerows to<br>allow for their proposed thickening and growth. |



| Reference | Theme                                      | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
|           |  |   |  | The photomontage also shows how the planting<br>mitigation has been designed with new native<br>tree and shrub planting, improvements to<br>existing hedgerows and new hedgerows.   |
| EH-06     | General (Supply<br>Chain)<br>Climate Chain | Environmental<br>Impact of<br>Importing<br>Infrastructure | "other concerns are if they are imported from<br>another country that would be an environmental<br>disaster shipping them over here ." | Paragraph 5.4.7 of <b>7.10 Outline Skills Supply</b><br><b>Chain and Employment Plan [APP-319]</b> states<br>that "Any procurement of supplies internationally<br>will comply with both national and international<br>law, and all policy and safety measures will be<br>adhered to in the transportation of supplies."<br>The environmental impact of international and<br>intercontinental shipping of materials has been<br>assessed in paragraph 7.8.28 of <b>6.2.7_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Chapter 7 Climate</b><br><b>Change_ Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.2.7_A]</b> .<br>As such, this has already been considered in<br>calculating the environmental cost (in terms of<br>greenhouse gas emissions) of construction of the<br>Scheme. |



## Table 2.5.5: Applicant's Response to Jill Cowley [RR-147]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| JC-01     | General | Registration of<br>Interest | "I live next to the proposed site and am<br>concerned to have my views heard during the<br>consultation period." | The Applicant acknowledges this comment and is<br>confident that the level of consultation and<br>engagement undertaken and information<br>presented throughout the pre-application stage<br>met the legislative requirements of the Planning<br>Act 2008 and associated guidance. This has been<br>evidenced in <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> ,<br>which was submitted to the Planning<br>Inspectorate and accepted for examination. |



# Table 2.5.6: Applicant's Response to Kate Skelton [RR-172]

| Reference | Theme                                      | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|--|---|
| KS-01     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Energy Need | Cumulative<br>Development | "The West Burton Solar Project is one of four<br>NSIP proposals in a small area of West Lindsey,<br>covering 10,000 acres of farmland. With<br>Lincolnshire already earmarked for around<br>20,000 acres of solar development including 8<br>NSIPs, and having a solar NSIP already at "Little<br>Crow" in Scunthorpe. This is a disproportionate<br>amount of giant solar power plants in one<br>district and indeed one county." | Paragraph 12.1.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b><br>[APP-320] concludes that "Large-scale solar<br>generation is essential to support the urgent<br>decarbonisation of the GB electricity sector" and<br>paragraph 4.4.11 describes that the location of<br>the Scheme presents a "highly suitable solution<br>for the efficient delivery of solar at scale over<br>timeframe which will provide significant<br>decarbonisation benefits". It concludes that this<br>Scheme and others located near it will all be<br>essential for the decarbonisation of the UK<br>electricity sector. |
|           |  |                           |  | Paragraph 8.5.10 and Section 8.5 more generally<br>of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describe<br>and express agreement with the Government's<br>view that decentralised and community energy<br>systems are unlikely to lead to the significant<br>replacement of large-scale infrastructure. The<br>Applicant therefore supports Government's view<br>that large scale solar must be deployed to meet<br>the urgent national need for low-carbon<br>electricity generation.   |
|           |  |                           |  | Paragraphs 3.3.17 and 3.3.18 of <b>7.11 Statement</b><br>of Need [APP-320] explain the Government's<br>view that irradiance, site topography and<br>proximity to suitable connection points to the<br>transmission network are likely to be key inputs  |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                          |  | into site selection. Section 7.5 of <b>7.11</b><br><b>Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describes the<br>site selection process for large-scale solar more<br>fully, and Section 7.7 of <b>7.11 Statement of</b><br><b>Need [APP-320]</b> sets out how the design of the<br>Scheme seeks to maximise utilisation of the grid<br>connection capacity available at West Burton<br>Substation.  |
|           |  |                          |  | Chapter 9 of the <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describes the suitability of the proposed location for the Scheme and its proximity to a point of connection to the National Grid, which enables it to contribute to the urgent need for increased energy security and a low-carbon electricity supply. The Applicant has secured an agreement to connect to the grid at West Burton substation as demonstrated in <b>7.7 Grid Connection Statement [APP-316]</b> .   |
| KS-02     | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Soils and<br>Agriculture<br>Energy Need | Agricultural Land<br>Use | "Solar should not be given such preference over<br>all this farmland, it is so land hungry and its<br>generating profile so poor at just 10% of its<br>rated capacity that roof tops are the only<br>sensible option for these installations. The<br>farmland taken up by these proposals would be<br>far better used continuing to grow the nations<br>crops than the limited amount of electricity<br>generation that would be yielded by these solar<br>projects. This is "robbing Peter to pay Paul".<br>Nuclear and Wind are far less land hungry low | The selection of the Scheme's location has<br>followed a systematic step-by-step process as<br>set out in detail within <b>6.3.5.1 ES Appendix 5.1</b><br><b>Site Selection Assessment [AS-004].</b> This took<br>a sequential approach to the consideration of<br>potential sites in terms of agricultural land<br>classification. As a result, the Scheme maximises<br>the utilisation of low grade, non-best and most<br>versatile (BMV) agricultural land with 73.76% of<br>the land being classified as non BMV land. |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|---|
|           |       |       | carbon alternatives with more impressive generating statistics." | Paragraphs 2.1.23 to 2.1.31 of <b>6.3.5.1 ES</b><br><b>Appendix 5.1 Site Selection Assessment [AS-<br/>004]</b> detail the consideration of brownfield land<br>and roof tops and sets out why these were<br>discounted as unsuitable. The methodology<br>used for the site selection process is considered<br>reasonable and proportionate and complies<br>with the requirements of NPS EN-1 4.4.3.   |
|           |       |       |  | <b>Chapter 4 of 7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> sets out the UK's legal requirement to decarbonise and explains how that requirement has created an increased need and urgency to meet the UK's obligations under the Paris Agreement (2015) as detailed within paragraph 4.2.7.   |
|           |       |       |  | The Chapter summarises the latest expert views<br>on the urgency for, and amount of, low-carbon<br>infrastructure needed to deliver the UK's Net<br>Zero legal obligations and demonstrates that<br>there is an urgent need for the development of<br>large-scale solar schemes. Large-scale solar is<br>especially relevant given the closure by 2028 of<br>all but 1.2GW of existing nuclear power stations,<br>and the closure by September 2024 of the last of<br>the UK's operating coal fired power stations. The |
|           |       |       |  |   |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                                      | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
|           |  |  |  | developments, are analysed in Chapter 5 of <b>7.11</b><br><b>Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> .  |
| KS-03     | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Energy Need | Alternative energy<br>technologies/sources | "We should also safeguard our scarce Grid<br>connections from such inadequate proposals<br>and save them for the more reliable and<br>effective power generators. Hundreds of<br>thousands of acres of farmland and countryside<br>is now at threat due to the UK solar industry's<br>flagrant drive to put the vast majority of the<br>proposed 70GW of solar on farmland. This will<br>be shortsighted folly and the root cause of<br>other issues in a few years time." | Section 3.3 of document <b>7.11 Statement of</b><br><b>Need [APP-320]</b> , specifically paragraphs 3.3.2,<br>3.3.5 and 3.3.11, describes the Government's<br>view that large capacities of low-carbon<br>generation will be required to meet increased<br>demand and replace output from retiring (fossil<br>fuel) plants, and that "a secure, reliable,<br>affordable, Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is<br>likely to be composed predominantly of wind<br>and solar". This support for large scale solar as<br>part of the 'answer' to net zero and energy<br>security has been repeated in its recent policy<br>documents published in March 2023.<br>Solar is now a leading low-cost generation<br>technology and Figure 10.3 of <b>7.11 Statement</b><br><b>of Need [APP-320]</b> shows that on a levelised<br>cost of energy basis (the estimated cost per unit<br>of energy across the productive lifetime of an<br>electricity generating station), large scale solar is<br>already cheaper than offshore wind, and the<br>Government's projections are that it will remain<br>cheaper in the future. In 2021, Great Britain<br>sourced 42% of its electricity from renewables,<br>of which approximately 9.4% was from solar. |



| Reference | Theme                                   | Issue            | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                  |   | Section 8.8 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describes the energy security benefits of solar generation when it is deployed alongside a portfolio of wind.   |
|           |   |                  |   | Alternative low carbon technologies to utilise the<br>West Burton Power Station point of connection<br>were considered but were not considered<br>suitable in the Order limits as set out in<br>paragraphs 5.6.1-5.6.5 in <b>6.2.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement- Chapter 5 Alternatives and</b><br><b>Design Evolution [APP-043].</b>   |
|           |   |                  |   | The selection of the Scheme's location has<br>followed a systematic step-by-step process as<br>set out in detail within <b>6.3.5.1 ES Appendix 5.1</b><br><b>Site Selection Assessment [AS-004].</b> This took<br>a sequential approach to the consideration of<br>potential sites in terms of agricultural land<br>classification. As a result, the Scheme maximises<br>the utilisation of low grade, non-best and most<br>versatile (BMV) agricultural land with 73.76% of<br>the land being classified as non BMV land. |
| KS-04     | Soils and<br>Agriculture<br>Energy Need | Loss of farmland | "Land competition is too great in the UK. We<br>must not be railroaded down this ruinous path!<br>We must protect the "Bread basket of England"<br>This is madness! Let us do the right thing?" | The Applicant does not consider that the<br>Scheme would result in adverse food security<br>impacts either alone or cumulatively. The UK<br>annual balance of domestically produced food is  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | sensitive to non-planning factors including<br>weather and markets. The relevant assessment<br>for policy purposes (and therefore decision-<br>making purposes under the Planning Act 2008)<br>is one that is based on the grade of the<br>agricultural land, rather than its current use and<br>the intensity of that use. As such, it should be<br>noted that the Scheme Sites are predominantly<br>ALC Grade 3b, not "best and most versatile"<br>agricultural land (see para 19.8.4 of <b>6.2.19 ES</b><br><b>Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> ). |
|           |       |       |                         | In terms of key threats to UK food security, the Defra UK Food Security Report <sup>4</sup> highlights that the main threat is climate change.  |
|           |       |       |                         | As the Scheme will be decommissioned, there<br>will not be a permanent loss of agricultural land<br>resource. In addition, the agricultural land can<br>remain in productive use through the<br>operational period, being grazed by livestock<br>(see paras 19.10.2, 19.10.6, 19.10.10 of <b>6.2.19 ES</b><br><b>Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> ).<br>The decommissioning is secured through<br>Schedule 2, Requitement 21 of the <b>3.1 dDCO</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b>  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> UK Food Security Report 2021, Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | Section 3.3 of document <b>7.11 Statement of</b><br><b>Need [APP-320]</b> , specifically paragraphs 3.3.2,<br>3.3.5 and 3.3.11, describes the Government's<br>view that large capacities of low-carbon<br>generation will be required to meet increased<br>demand and replace output from retiring (fossil<br>fuel) plants, and that "a secure, reliable,<br>affordable, Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is<br>likely to be composed predominantly of wind<br>and solar". This support for large scale solar as<br>part of the 'answer' to net zero and energy<br>security has been repeated in its recent policy<br>documents published in March 2023. |
|           |       |       |                         | Section 7.5 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describes how suitable locations for large-scale solar are identified and assessed.<br>Paragraph 7.5.2 outlines the broad criteria for determining site suitability.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Figure 7.4 shows the level of photovoltaic power<br>potential at the proposed location. Section 9<br>describes the advantages of connecting large-<br>scale solar to the existing and robust National<br>Electricity Transmission System at the proposed<br>Point of Connection at West Burton Power<br>Station, and Paragraph 9.4.4 concludes that the<br>Scheme will contribute to national system<br>adequacy and decarbonisation targets.  |



The Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations November 2023



# Table 2.5.7: Applicant's Response to Lanpro Services (on behalf of Cottam Solar Project) [RR-181]

| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| CSP-01    | General                              | Context of<br>Relevant<br>Representation | Cottam Solar Project Limited is the undertaker for<br>the Cottam Solar Project DCO (PINS reference<br>EN010133). The DCO application for the Cottam<br>Solar Project was accepted for examination on<br>the 9th February 2023. Cottam Solar Project<br>Limited wishes to register as an Interested Party<br>for the West Burton Solar Project DCO<br>Examination, as it may wish to participate in the<br>Examination given the proximity of the two<br>schemes, the commonality of certain<br>stakeholders and the potential for similar or<br>cumulative environmental effects and<br>coordination of mitigation measures. | The Applicant notes the comment.   |
| CSP-02    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Draft DCO                                | Protective provisions for the benefit of Cottam<br>Solar Project Limited have also been included<br>within the draft DCO for the West Burton Solar<br>Project.   | The Applicant notes the comment. A Cooperation<br>Agreement has also been entered into between<br>the Applicant, Cottam Solar Project Limited, Gate<br>Burton Energy Park Limited and Tillbridge Solar<br>Limited. |
| CSP-03    | General<br>(Procedure)               | Examination<br>Process                   | The Examining Authority for the West Burton<br>Solar Project DCO Examination may also wish to<br>direct related questions to Cottam Solar Project<br>Limited.  | The Applicant notes the comment.   |



# Table 2.5.8: Applicant's Response to Nicholas Hill [RR-238]

| Reference | Theme  | Issue                   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|--|---|
| NH-01     | Principle of<br>Development<br>Planning History<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution | Nature of the<br>Scheme | "I have been granted planning permission (west<br>Lindsey application number 145882)for 2<br>agricultural buildings and a yard for my new<br>agricultural business I had great support for my<br>application and the only negative objection was<br>from Gate Burton energy park please read the<br>planning application and all the comments and all<br>the documents relating to this application my<br>new agricultural business agricultural buildings<br>and yard are directly on the grid connection at its<br>narrowest point if this proposal goes a forward it<br>could totally wreck my new agricultural business I<br>will not allow this to happen and will have no<br>choice but to fight this proposal till the bitter end<br>please understand the devastating impact this<br>proposal will have on mine and my family's life if<br>granted." | The design of the cable route for the Scheme, and<br>the consideration of alternatives that has been<br>undertaken is set out in <b>6.2.5 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 5 Alternatives and Design</b><br><b>Evolution [APP-043]</b> . This document includes the<br>consideration of alternative sites (Section 5.5),<br>alternative technologies (Section 5.6), alternative<br>layouts (Section 5.7), alternative substation<br>locations (section 5.8) and most importantly, in<br>relation to the Party's comment, alternative cable<br>routes (Section 5.9). Paragraphs 5.10.2, 5.10.3<br>and 5.10.4 [APP-043] conclude that alternative<br>potential development areas did not perform as<br>well as the Sites. As such, the routing of the cable<br>is reflective of the land required for the Scheme<br>which has been demonstrated (within <b>6.3.5.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Appendix 5.1 Site</b><br><b>Selection Assessment [AS-004]</b> ) to perform<br>better than 8 of the assessed Potential<br>Development Areas (PDAs) and equal to the<br>remaining one following the site selection<br>process.<br>The Applicant is aware of the recent grant of<br>planning permission for the erection of 2<br>agricultural barns, on 18 <sup>th</sup> January 2023. The<br>Applicant has undertaken technical modelling<br>work to confirm that the cables for the Scheme |



| Reference | Theme                  | Issue                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
|           |                        |                          |  | and the other NSIP projects would all fit within<br>the order limits without impacting upon the<br>barns, and intends to discuss this in detail with<br>the landowner.   |
| NH-02     | General<br>(Procedure) | Cumulative<br>Assessment | "This single application should be looked at with<br>Gate Burton energy park,cottom 1,2,3 and till<br>bridge solar park" | Cumulative effects assessments have been<br>prepared for the Application within <b>the</b><br><b>Environmental Statement [APP-039 to APP-<br/>061]</b> . Cumulative effects assessments for each<br>environmental topic are set out in each of the ES<br>Chapters and include the assessment of the<br>impacts of the Scheme cumulatively with the<br>NSIPs identified in paragraph 2.5.9 of <b>6.2.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 2 EIA</b><br><b>Process and Methodology [APP-040]</b> . This<br>assessment is in accordance with Schedule 4 of<br>the 2017 EIA Regulations and PINS Advice Note<br>17. The mitigation measures set out across the ES<br>therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects. |
|           |                        |                          |  | A cumulative effects assessments summary table<br>which has the assessments for West Burton<br>alongside Cottam, Gate Burton and Tillbridge will<br>be provided as part of the <b>WB8.1.9_A Joint</b><br><b>Interrelationships with other Nationally</b><br><b>Significant Infrastructure Projects</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB8.1.9_A]</b> being drafted during<br>examination stage to ensure updates from the   |



| Reference | Theme                     | Issue         | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------------|--|--|
|           |                           |               |  | publication of the PEIR for Tillbridge Solar are included in the decision-making process.  |
| NH-03     | General<br>(Consultation) | The Applicant | "having had dealing with these company's I feel<br>these company's will bully and pressure to<br>achieve there objectives and in my opinion are<br>not to be trusted its all about them making<br>money in my opinion" | <ul> <li>The Applicant notes this comment and refers the Party to Figure 1.1 and Table 1.2 in 5.1</li> <li>Consultation Report [APP-022] which detail the key stages of pre-application consultation.</li> <li>Chapter 7 of 5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022] describes the Applicant's approach to statutory consultation, including consulting with relevant authorities on a draft Statement of Community Consultation. Table 7.1 sets out the comments received from local authorities on the Applicant's approach to consultation and how these were considered by the Applicant. Table 7.3 in Chapter 7 describes how the Applicant complied with commitments made in the Statement of Community Consultation.</li> <li>The Applicant shared the results of consultation with consultees and communities following each phase of consultation by publishing interim Consultation Summary Reports. The Applicant has taken an issue-led approach to considering comments, in order to incorporate feedback and address concerns where practicable.</li> </ul> |



| Image: submitted adequacy of ConsultationImage: submitted adequac | Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|--|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
| [AoC-001 to AoC-014].  |           |       |       |                         | met the legislative requirements of the Planning<br>Act 2008 and associated guidance, which makes<br>clear the importance of consulting local<br>communities and parish councils. This is set out<br>in detail in <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> ,<br>which was submitted to the Planning<br>Inspectorate and accepted for examination.<br>When deciding whether to accept an application<br>for examination, the Planning Inspectorate takes<br>into account any Adequacy of Consultation<br>Representations from relevant local authorities<br>on whether the Applicant has complied with s42,<br>s47 and s48 of the Planning Act 2008. The<br>Applicant notes that 24 relevant local authorities<br>submitted Adequacy of Consultation<br>Representations to confirm the statutory<br>consultation process was considered adequate |



## Table 2.5.9: Applicant's Response to Philip Bartle [RR-259]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---------|--|---|--|
| PB-01     | General | Context of<br>Relevant<br>Representation | "I am Registering as I am a tenant farmer who<br>farms the land on which will be used to take the<br>cable line to Cottam and I would like to be kept in<br>formed of all situation which may affect my<br>cropping etc." | The Applicant notes the comment and<br>acknowledges that the land subject to this<br>comment is within the Cable Route Corridor for<br>the Scheme.<br>The Applicant has issued the respondent with the<br>notices and correspondence required under<br>Section 42 and Section 56 of the Planning Act<br>2008, as they are registered as a tenant having<br>land interests falling within the Order Limits for<br>the Scheme within the Cable Route Corridor. |



## Table 2.5.10: Applicant's Response to Rodger Brownlow [RR-281]

| Reference | Theme  | Issue          | Comments / Issue Raised                          | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|----------------|--|---|
| RB-01     | General  | Context of     | Cable crossing my land. Concern about disrupting | The Applicant notes the comment.  |
|           | Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Ecology and<br>Biodiversity | Representation | wet marshland                                    | The Applicant has issued the respondent with the<br>notices and correspondence required under<br>Section 42 and Section 56 of the Planning Act<br>2008, as they are registered as a tenant, having<br>land interests falling within the Order Limits for<br>the Scheme within the Cable Route Corridor. The<br>potential impacts resulting from installation of<br>the cable are assessed within <b>6.2.9</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology<br/>and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> . The <b>7.17 Outline</b><br><b>Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy</b><br><b>[APP-326]</b> , which is secured by Requirement 8 in<br>Schedule of the <b>dDCO [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ,<br>sets out how grassland habitat types, such as<br>floodplain grazing, will be reinstated following<br>installation of the cable. There are commitments<br>to enhance the habitats that are affected during<br>the cable route installation in instances where the<br>original turf cannot be reinstated. In these cases,<br>an appropriate seed mix will be used which will<br>be in keeping with, or of greater diversity than,<br>the habitat type and species assemblage as<br>recorded during baseline habitat surveys. |



# Table 2.5.11: Applicant's Response to Sally Elliott [RR-289]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                  | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
| SE-01     | Landscape and<br>Visual Impact<br>Scheme<br>Description | Loss of Views and<br>Impact on Privacy | "1. VIEWS & PRIVACY Our property and farming<br>business is in a beautiful rural location. The<br>proposed solar panels project is only 59 metres<br>away from our garden boundary overlooking<br>directly into our kitchen, lounge, private patio<br>bedrooms. The 4.5 m solar panels are a total blot<br>on the landscape and will completely ruin our<br>private views to the south towards Lincoln and<br>Easterly aspects. Furthermore the security<br>cameras on the fences will be a total invasion of<br>our privacy. This is causing our whole family<br>anxiety and stress. Our family's future is heavily<br>invested in our arable farm and this will greatly<br>affect our future plans moving forward." | 6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment<br>[APP-046] (the 'LVIA') has considered both the<br>landscape and visual effects of the Scheme,<br>including the proximity to people's houses, views<br>and privacy, to ensure the impacts and effects on<br>the views and visibility have been taken into<br>account (paras. 8.4.28 to 8.4.32). This includes<br>singular buildings, groups of buildings and towns<br>or villages. Table 8.15 of the LVIA sets out the<br>selection of initial residential receptors for the<br>purpose of the assessment and the reason for<br>their selection are those receptors within the 1km<br>Study Area for the Scheme and the 0.5km Study<br>Area from the outer boundary of the Cable Route<br>Corridor (para. 8.4.12). The detailed analysis is set<br>out at 6.3.8.3 Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 8.3 Assessment of Potential Visual<br>Effects [APP-074]. Please refer to Residential<br>Receptor R024 Castle Farm which was one of the<br>residential viewpoints assessed in the LVIA.<br>Mitigation, including offsets and planting, has<br>been proposed to address and minimise adverse<br>effects on the character of the landscape and<br>promote wildlife conservation. This is in line with<br>the agreed methodology and the hierarchy of<br>approach advocated by the Guidelines for<br>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment, 3rd |



| Reference | Theme   | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
|           |   |                           |  | Edition and matters agreed with LCC at the series<br>of workshops set out in <b>6.3.8.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.4 Consultation [APP-<br/>075]</b> .   |
|           |   |                           |  | For example, the assessment has taken account<br>of the 50m off set from residential properties to<br>ensure the best possible fit with their setting. The<br>photography and photomontage information at<br><b>6.4.8.13.1 – 6.4.8.13.72 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement Figures 8.14.1</b> to <b>Figure 8.14.72</b><br><b>[APP-194</b> to <b>APP-265]</b> shows how the proposed<br>landscape mitigation will play a key role in making<br>sure the panels are comfortably accommodated<br>within the existing landscape. |
|           |   |                           |  | Security CCTV will be mounted on poles no more<br>than 3m in height, and will be directed to face<br>into the site, as stated in paragraph 4.5.59 of<br><b>6.2.4 Environmental Statement - Chapter 4</b><br><b>Scheme Description [APP-042]</b> . The Applicant<br>has no need to view and monitor land using CCTV<br>beyond the Order Limits.   |
| SE-02     | Other<br>Environmental<br>Matters (Human<br>Health,<br>Electromagnetic<br>Fields) | lmpact on Human<br>Health | "2. HEALTH RISKS My husband Neil Elliott suffers<br>with Electromagnetic Hyper Sensitivity- to the<br>extent of severe headaches, dizziness and<br>nausea. The electrical inverters are very close to<br>our property boundary with the proposed solar<br>panels area. According to the World Health<br>Organisation, the range of symptoms that are | The Applicant has corresponded with the Party<br>during the Section 42 consultation on this matter.<br>The Applicant and was emailed the Party on the 2<br>September 2022, stating the following:<br>"Looking at our preliminary site layout plans, we can<br>confirm the panels will be at least 70 metres from   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|
|           |       |       | triggered by exposure to electromagnetic<br>radiation fields (EMF) These are:- headaches,<br>fatigue, skin rashes and sleep disturbance. All are<br>very serious and will affect quality of life if<br>exposure is increases to where we live. They<br>further state that the people who are affected by<br>EMF are not to live close to solar panels project." | your property and at least 50 metres from your<br>curtilage.<br>As part of our scoping work, we undertook an<br>electromagnetic fields assessment. The conclusions<br>of the assessment were that the electrical equipment<br>would not generate high enough electromagnetic<br>fields to require further investigation work or<br>mitigation. Levels of electromagnetic radiation are<br>all predicted to be well below the 1998 International<br>Commission on the Non-Ionising Radiation<br>Protection (ICNIRP) reference levels at all<br>surrounding locations where, if exceeded, further<br>investigation into impacts to human health is<br>warranted.  |
|           |       |       |   | We understand your concerns about the potential<br>visual impacts of the proposals. As part of<br>Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)<br>the project team have undertaken a full Landscape<br>and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA). The full details<br>of our LVIA can be found in chapter 8 of West Burton<br>PEIR online here. To minimise the visual impact of<br>our proposals as much as possible features such as<br>and hedgerows, trees and ditches will be protected<br>and enhanced where possible. Our secondary<br>mitigation measures will also look to achieve the<br>retention of all trees and hedgerows across the site<br>in addition to a scheme of planting. These measures<br>are currently being developed in addition to the<br>layouts for each Site. These measures will look to |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | add inherent value to the landscape character and<br>visual amenity of the site and its environment and to<br>exceed planning policy expectations.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Regarding the proposals potential effect on property<br>prices, we understand that this is a very important<br>issue to the local community, as was conveyed in the<br>feedback that we received during both phases of our<br>consultation process. As far as we are aware, there is<br>no empirical research or evidence that suggests<br>solar farms affect property values. As such, we do<br>not expect this to happen. However, our first<br>principle is to ensure that we design a project which<br>minimises effects on residential properties to an<br>acceptable level, thereby mitigating any potential<br>effect on property value. " |
|           |       |       |                         | The Applicant's position regarding EMF has not<br>changed since the above response was provided<br>to the Party and is set out in Section 21.2 of<br><b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement – Chapter 21-</b><br><b>Other Environmental Matters [APP-059].</b>   |
|           |       |       |                         | The World Health Organisation have published<br>information and guidance surrounding<br>electromagnetic fields <sup>5</sup> which recognises that<br>"short-term exposure to very high levels of  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> World Health Organisation (2016). Radiation: Electromagnetic fields. Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/radiationelectromagnetic-fields [Accessed 31 May 2023].



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                 | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|---|---|
|           |  |                       |   | electromagnetic fields can be harmful to health",<br>but that "despite extensive research, to date<br>there is no evidence to conclude that exposure to<br>low level electromagnetic fields is harmful to<br>human health."   |
| SE-03     | Scheme<br>Description<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution<br>Hydrology,<br>Flood Risk and<br>Drainage | Cable<br>Construction | "3. CABLES The 1430 metres of trenches for the<br>cabling to the proposed solar park to be dug<br>across our agricultural fields to a Width of 3<br>metres and Depth of 1.2 metres. This will cause a<br>lot of damage to our essential valuable land<br>drainage system. Once it has all been dug<br>through by the contractors and then our land is<br>to be supposedly reinstated to it's original<br>condition. The method is entirely unsatisfactory<br>because the drains will sink and stop working,<br>therefore not clearing the excess water away<br>from our land where the crops are planted. This<br>will be catastrophic for our agricultural land , as it<br>will become waterlogged and cost us 100's of<br>thousands of pounds to rectify. The percentage<br>level of compensation stated in the documents is<br>UN-WHOLLY UNACCEPTABLE. It is no-where near<br>the true amount of costs to rectify the damage." | Landowners along the proposed Cable Route<br>Corridor, including this Party, have been<br>identified and contacted in order to commence<br>discussions regarding the laying of cables as part<br>of the Scheme. An in-person site meeting took<br>place with these landowners on 22nd February<br>2023. The landowners have concerns with the solar<br>site layout adjacent to their property and so do not<br>wish to negotiate terms on the cable route any<br>further. The Applicant regularly continues to<br>confirm this is the case via contact with their land<br>agent.<br>The Applicant recognises that as the comment<br>refers to private land drains, these have not been<br>identified in <b>7.15_A Crossing Schedule Revision</b><br><b>A [AS-001]</b> . Nevertheless, land drains on the land<br>subject to which this comment relates have been<br>identified through <b>6.3.13.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 13.2 Archaeological</b><br><b>Geophysical Survey Reports - Part 5 of 6 [APP-<br/>113]</b> , specifically pages 58-63.<br>Impacts on utility infrastructure will be mitigated<br>through the measures set out in Table 3.14 in |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue                     | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|---|--|
|           |  |                           |   | 7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental<br>Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A] (CEMP) and have been<br>expanded to include for private land drains.<br>These measures are secured as part of the<br>Scheme through Requirement 13 of Schedule 2 to<br>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. The<br>Applicant is confident that the soil retention and<br>reinstatement proposals set out in 6.3.19.2<br>Environmental Statement Appendix 19.2<br>Outline Soil Management Plan [APP-138] are<br>sufficient to ensure long-term damage to buried<br>infrastructure as a result of trenching on the<br>Cable Route is mitigated. This is secured through<br>Schedule 2, Requirement 19 of 3.1 dDCO<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
| SE-04     | Principle of<br>Development<br>General<br>(Consultation) | Financial<br>Compensation | "4. LEVEL OF COMPENSATION These have been<br>no where near the level is should have been,<br>there has not been enough contact directly with<br>Island Green Power to ourselves, as land owners,<br>or to the wider community. There should have<br>been more detailed meetings taken place with<br>the landowners directly affected. The<br>arrangement of consultations between Island<br>Green Power and the landowners has not been | The Applicant has a statutory obligation under<br>the Planning Act 2008 to identify, contact and<br>consult with landowners affected by the Scheme.<br>Throughout the consultation process the<br>Applicant has welcomed feedback from a range<br>of stakeholders, including communities,<br>landowners and occupiers, on the Scheme<br>proposals. The outcome of this consultation and<br>engagement is evidenced in <b>5.1 Consultation</b><br><b>Report [APP-022]</b> , which was submitted to the  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|--|--|
|           |       |       | dealt with adequately, it should have been a two-<br>way procedure." | Planning Inspectorate and accepted for examination.  |
|           |       |       |  | For example, Table 1.1 of Chapter 1 <b>[APP-022]</b> , details how the Cable Route Corridor for the Scheme has been refined through engagement and consultation with landowners and the community.   |
|           |       |       |  | Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 <b>[APP-022]</b> , details how the Applicant provided consultation documents to landowners to inform them regarding upcoming statutory consultation and methods to provide feedback.  |
|           |       |       |  | Chapter 7 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes the Applicant's<br>approach to statutory consultation, including<br>consulting with relevant authorities on a draft<br>Statement of Community Consultation. Table 7.1<br>sets out the comments received from local<br>authorities on the Applicant's approach to<br>consultation and the Applicant has had regard to<br>these in developing the Scheme. Table 7.3 in<br>Chapter 7 describes how the Applicant complied<br>with commitments made in the Statement of<br>Community Consultation when undertaking<br>statutory consultation. |
|           |       |       |  | Chapter 8 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes how the Applicant<br>undertook a six-week statutory phase two<br>consultation on the Scheme, to provide<br>communities, stakeholders and landowners a   |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |       |       |                         | sufficient period of time, and sufficient<br>environmental information about the Scheme, to<br>provide meaningful feedback. A Consultation<br>Summary Report for this phase of statutory<br>consultation was published on the dedicated<br>Scheme website, shared with elected<br>representatives and stakeholders and issued to<br>over 9,000 properties within the vicinity of the<br>Scheme, to help consultees understand how their<br>feedback was being considered. A copy of the<br>Phase Two Consultation Summary Report is<br>provided as <b>5.7 Appendix 5.7: Phase Two</b><br><b>Community Consultation Materials [APP-029</b><br>to <b>APP-031].</b> |
|           |       |       |                         | Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-<br/>022]</b> describes the significant volume of<br>responses received to Section 47 consultation<br>(local community), including the issues raised and<br>how the Applicant has had regard to these in<br>developing the Scheme. This is further evidenced<br>by <b>5.12 Appendix 5.12: Consultation Report</b><br><b>Appendix – Section 47 Applicant Response</b><br>[ <b>APP-036</b> ].  |
|           |       |       |                         | 20 responses were received to Section 42<br>consultation from Section 44 landowners. How<br>the Applicant has had regard to these in<br>developing the Scheme is evidenced in <b>5.13</b>  |



| Reference | Theme                    | Issue                       | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant   | 's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------|---|
|           |                          |                             |   |             | 5.13: Consultation Report Appendix<br>2 Applicant Response [APP-037].                                       |
|           |                          |                             |   | attempts to | claren have made the following<br>communicate with Mr Elliot (as the<br>reeholder) regarding the project to |
|           |                          |                             |   | 24/09/21    | Landowner Questionnaire (LOQ)<br>issued   |
|           |                          |                             |   | 28/09/21    | Phone call incoming (1)   |
|           |                          |                             |   | 28/09/21    | Phone call incoming (2)   |
|           |                          |                             |   | 11/10/21    | LOQ response received   |
|           |                          |                             |   | 17/03/22    | Land Interest Questionnaire (LIQ)<br>issued   |
|           |                          |                             |   | 14/04/22    | LIQ response received   |
|           |                          |                             |   | 14/06/22    | S.48 Notice issued  |
|           |                          |                             |   | 27/04/23    | S.56 Notice issued  |
|           |                          |                             |   |             |   |
| SE-05     | Soils and<br>Agriculture | Use of<br>Agricultural Land | "5. REMOVING VALUABLE AGRICULTURAL LAND<br>OUT OF USE This is completely unacceptable, as |             | icy tests for the decision maker in<br>he Scheme's impact upon agricultural                                 |



| Reference | Theme  | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           | Energy Need<br>Alternatives and<br>Design<br>Evolution |       | an independent county of the United Kingdom,<br>we are striving for self-sufficiency to support our<br>British Farmers and supply our own population<br>with essential food. It is absolute lunacy for this<br>level of solar panel projects in our area to be<br>considered." | land are found in NPS EN-1, paragraph 5.10.8,<br>Revised Draft NPS EN-1 (2023), para 5.11.22, and<br>Revised Draft NPS EN-3 (2023), para. 3.10.15<br>states <i>"Whilst the development of ground mounted</i><br><i>solar arrays is not prohibited on agricultural land</i><br><i>classified 1, 2 and 3a, or sites designated for their</i><br><i>natural beauty, or recognised for ecological or</i><br><i>archaeological importance, the impacts of such are</i><br><i>expected to be considered and are discussed under</i><br><i>paragraphs 2.10.66 – 2.10.83 and 2.10.98 –</i><br><i>2.10.110."</i> Paragraph 5.10.15 (adopted NPS EN-1)<br>then states that the Secretary of State should give<br>little weight to loss of ALC grades 3b, 4 and 5<br>agricultural land, while Revised Draft NPS EN-3,<br><i>para.</i> 3.10.136 requires the Secretary of State to<br>ensure mitigation measures to minimise impacts<br>on soils and soil resources are appropriately<br>provided by the Applicant. This is addressed in<br>Appendix 3 (pages 62 and 63) <b>7.5 Planning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-313]</b> . |
|           |  |       |  | The Applicant does not consider that the Scheme<br>would result in adverse food security impacts<br>either alone or cumulatively. The UK annual<br>balance of domestically produced food is<br>sensitive to non-planning factors including<br>weather and markets. The relevant assessment<br>for policy purposes (and therefore decision-<br>making purposes under the Planning Act 2008) is<br>one that is based on the grade of the agricultural  |



| Reference | Theme | Issue | Comments / Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |       |       |                         | land, rather than its current use and the intensity<br>of that use. As such, it should be noted that the<br>Scheme Sites are predominantly ALC Grade 3b,<br>not "best and most versatile" agricultural land<br>(see para 19.8.4 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture</b><br>[ <b>APP-057</b> ]).   |
|           |       |       |                         | In terms of key threats to UK food security, the Defra UK Food Security Report <sup>6</sup> highlights that the main threat is climate change.  |
|           |       |       |                         | Paragraph 3.3.7 of document <b>7.11 Statement of</b><br><b>Need [APP-320]</b> describes Government's view<br>that "a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero<br>consistent system in 2050 is likely to be<br>composed predominantly of wind and solar". This<br>support for large scale solar as part of the<br>'answer' to net zero and energy security has been<br>repeated in its recent policy documents<br>published in March 2023. |
|           |       |       |                         | Figure 7.2 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows National Grid<br>Electricity System Operator's projections for the<br>future installed capacity of different electricity<br>generation technologies in Net-Zero consistent<br>scenarios. In all scenarios, solar is required to   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> UK Food Security Report 2021, Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs



| Reference | Theme                                  | Issue             | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                   |  | make a significant contribution to the future generation mix.   |
|           |  |                   |  | Section 7.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes how suitable<br>locations for large-scale solar are identified and<br>assessed. Figure 7.4 shows the level of<br>photovoltaic power potential at the proposed<br>location and Section 7.4 describes the<br>decarbonisation benefits of solar energy. Section<br>8.8 and Section 8.9 describe the energy security<br>benefits of solar energy. Chapter 9 describes the<br>advantages of connecting large-scale solar to the<br>existing and robust National Electricity<br>Transmission System at the proposed Point of<br>Connection at West Burton Power Station.<br>Section 9.4 concludes that the Scheme will<br>contribute to national system adequacy and<br>decarbonisation targets. |
|           |  |                   |  | Section 10.2 and Section 10.3 <b>[APP-320]</b> describe<br>the economic benefits of solar energy within the<br>UK electricity system.   |
| SE-06     | General<br>Principle of<br>Development | Overall Objection | "6. IN SUMMARY These are very important factors<br>directly affecting the entire community within our<br>agricultural business area, ALL our homes and<br>the villages surrounding. Please read the<br>objections and consider clearly the massive<br>impact on our Lincolnshire historical and<br>EXTREMELY VALUABLE FARMLAND." | The Applicant notes this comment.   |



The Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations November 2023



## Table 2.5.12: Applicant's Response to SNSE Ltd [RR-308]

| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| SNSE-01   | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Impact on Use of<br>Land | "We object to the proposals for inclusion of<br>infrastructure across the landowner client's land<br>which affects their existing and future use of the<br>land in question, south of West Burton Power<br>Station." | The Applicant is aware of the permitted sand and<br>gravel quarry at Sturton Le Steeple. This was<br>discussed with Nottinghamshire County Council<br>during engagement with them as set out <b>6.2.12</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Chapter 12</b><br><b>Minerals [APP-050].</b> The Cable Route Corridor<br>has been positioned so that it crosses the quarry<br>access road, but will not be located in the<br>permitted area of mineral working. |



## Table 2.5.13: Applicant's Response to SNSED Ltd [RR-309]

| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                    | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|
| SNSED-01  | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Impact on Use of<br>Land | "We object to the proposals for inclusion of<br>infrastructure across the landowner client's land<br>which affects their existing and future use of the<br>land in question, south of West Burton Power<br>Station." | The Applicant is aware of the permitted sand and<br>gravel quarry at Sturton Le Steeple. This was<br>discussed with Nottinghamshire County Council<br>during engagement with them as set out <b>6.2.12</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Chapter 12</b><br><b>Minerals [APP-050].</b> The Cable Route Corridor<br>has been positioned so that it crosses the quarry<br>access road but will not be located in the<br>permitted area of mineral working. |



### Table 2.5.14: Applicant's Response to Tillbridge Solar Limited [RR-333]

| Reference | Theme   | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|---------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| TSL-01    | General | Context of<br>Relevant<br>Representation | "Tillbridge Solar Limited is proposing to build a<br>project for the construction, operation (including<br>maintenance) and decommissioning of ground<br>mounted solar photovoltaic (PV) panel arrays and<br>a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS),<br>connecting to the National Grid (the Scheme). The<br>Scheme is defined as a Nationally Significant<br>Infrastructure Project under Sections 14(1)(a) and<br>15(2) of the Planning Act 2008 as an onshore<br>generating station in England exceeding 50<br>megawatts and Tillbridge Solar will therefore<br>make an application to the Secretary of State for<br>a Development Consent Order (DCO) for the<br>Scheme. The Scheme is currently in the pre-<br>application stage and Tillbridge Solar intends to<br>commence Statutory Consultation in Q2 2023<br>with the application for development consent to<br>be submitted later in 2023. The Scheme is located<br>approximately five kilometres to the east of<br>Gainsborough and approximately 13 kilometres<br>to the north of Lincoln. The Scheme comprises<br>two distinct sections, which are: - 'the Principal<br>Site', which is the location where ground<br>mounted solar photovoltaic (PV) panels, electrical<br>sub-stations and BESS will be installed; and - 'the<br>Cable Route Corridor', which will comprise the<br>underground electrical infrastructure required to<br>connect the Principal Site to national | The Applicant notes this comment. |



| Reference | Theme                                | Issue                                    | Comments / Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|
|           |                                      |  | transmission system. The Principal Site covers an<br>area of approximately 1,400 hectares and is<br>located to the south of Harpswell Lane (A631), to<br>the west of Middle Street (B1398) and largely to<br>the north of Kexby Road and to the east of<br>Springthorpe. The Cable Route Corridor is<br>approximately 16km long and crosses the<br>administrative areas of West Lindsey District<br>Council and Bassetlaw District Council - design<br>refinement is on-going." |   |
| TSL-02    | General<br>(Procedure)               | Registration of<br>Interest              | "Tillbridge Solar Limited wishes to register as an<br>Interested Party for the West Burton Solar Project<br>DCO Examination, as it may wish to participate in<br>the Examination given the proximity of the two<br>schemes, the commonality of certain<br>stakeholders and the potential for similar or<br>cumulative environmental effects and<br>coordination of mitigation measures."  | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
| TSL-03    | Principle of<br>Development<br>(DCO) | Protective<br>Provisions in<br>Draft DCO | "Tillbridge Solar Limited proposes to include<br>protective provisions for the benefit of West<br>Burton Solar within its development consent<br>order and requests that West Burton Solar<br>includes reciprocal protective provisions for the<br>benefit of Tillbridge Solar Limited within the<br>development consent order."  | A Cooperation Agreement has been entered into<br>between the Applicant, Cottam Solar Project<br>Limited, Gate Burton Energy Park Limited and<br>Tillbridge Solar Limited.<br>Protective provisions for the benefit of Tillbridge<br>will be added to the draft DCO as and when the<br>Tillbridge DCO Application has been submitted to<br>the Planning Inspectorate (acting on behalf of the<br>Secretary of State) and accepted for examination. |



| Reference | Theme                  | Issue   | Comments / Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response              |
|-----------|------------------------|---------|--|-----------------------------------|
| TSL-04    | General<br>(Procedure) | Process | "The Examining Authority for the West Burton<br>Solar DCO Examination may also wish to direct<br>related questions to Tillbridge Solar Limited." | The Applicant notes this comment. |



# **3** Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations

### 3.1 Air Quality

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | lssue          | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|----------------|--|---|
| AIR-01    | RR-010; RR-019; RR-021;<br>RR-022; RR-034; RR-040;<br>RR-042; RR-044; RR-053;<br>RR-054; RR-062; RR-063;<br>RR-056; RR-067; RR-071;<br>RR-075; RR-079; RR-088;<br>RR-095; RR-097; RR-102;<br>RR-106; RR-108; RR-110;<br>RR-115; RR-118; RR-121;<br>RR-124; RR-137; RR-139;<br>RR-152; RR-153; RR-154;<br>RR-156; RR-157; RR-175;<br>RR-190; RR-194; RR-202;<br>RR-211; RR-220; RR-222;<br>RR-224; RR-235; RR-246;<br>RR-247; RR-252; RR-255;<br>RR-272; RR-273; RR-274;<br>RR-275; RR-276; RR-278;<br>RR-292; RR-294; RR-301;<br>RR-312; RR-316; RR-319; | BESS/Fire risk | Concern that the Scheme will<br>cause a fire risk.<br>Some comments refer to the BESS<br>which may cause this risk,<br>including comments referring to<br>lithium ion batteries.<br>Some comments cite examples of<br>previous exploded batteries.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>Leeds battery facility dropped by<br>the developers due to the West<br>Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Service<br>stating that there is a very real risk<br>of an explosion. | The risk to human health as a result of fires or<br>unconfined explosions within the BESS compound has<br>been assessed asset out in paragraphs 21.6.42 to<br>21.6.48 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Chapter</b><br><b>21 Other Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> This<br>concludes that there is no significant risk of harm to<br>human health due to the physical separation of the<br>BESS compound from publicly accessible areas.<br>The Applicant has submitted <b>7.9 Outline Battery</b><br><b>Storage Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b> and,<br>through <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , secures through<br>Requirement 6 (1) of Schedule 2 that "Work No. 2 (the<br>BESS facility) must not commence until a battery<br>storage safety management plan has been submitted<br>to and approved by the relevant planning authority"<br>and (3) of Requirement 6 which requires "The relevant<br>planning authority must consult with Lincolnshire Fire<br>and Rescue and the Environment Agency before<br>determining an application for approval of the battery<br>storage safety management plan". |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           | RR-325; RR-327; RR-328;<br>RR-340          |       | Some comments refer to a<br>perceived lack of arrangements in<br>place from the developer to deal<br>with a potential fire.  | Paragraph 4.1.18 of <b>7.9 Outline Battery Storage</b><br><b>Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b> explains that the<br>design of the BESS has integrated fire detection and<br>suppression systems that will automatically operate to<br>contain any battery fires. Section 5.2 of the Plan<br>demonstrates how the Site has been designed to allow<br>for firefighting access. Paragraph 5.3.1 states that if fire<br>spreads to multiple units, external firefighting water<br>facilities are available by means of 228,000 litre water<br>storage tanks within the battery compounds. The<br>design of firefighting and fire suppression systems has<br>been informed by consultation with both Lincolnshire's<br>and Nottinghamshire's fire and rescue authorities<br>throughout the pre-submission process. Furthermore,<br>Lincolnshire County Council state they are "satisfied<br>that the details meet the requirements the Council set<br>out in Fire Safety Position statement" <b>[RR-188].</b> |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the BESS<br>as a risk to children in the local<br>area.<br>Some comments refer to locating<br>the BESS in close proximity to<br>residential properties as<br>dangerous. | The technical report <b>6.3.17.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 17.4 BESS Fire Technical Note</b><br><b>[APP-136]</b> assesses potential impacts associated with a<br>battery fire at the West Burton BESS Site (located within<br>the West Burton 3 Site). The closest residential<br>receptors are located more than 490m away from the<br>BESS Site boundary. The assessment report concluded<br>that there is low risk of adverse effects at the closest<br>receptors and good practice BESS fire safety measures   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to potential<br>of releasing poisonous gas if a<br>fault with the BESS should occur.<br>Some comments refer to potential<br>fires causing asthma attacks. | have been produced in the case of a BESS fire at the<br>proposed development.<br>The Applicant is undertaken additional fire risk and<br>plume assessment for the BESS. This document is<br>intended to provided consistency in approach to air<br>quality assessment across NSIPs in the West Lindsey<br>area as requested by UKHSA. This will be submitted at<br>Deadline 2.<br>Potential battery fire impacts have been assessed using<br>dispersion modelling tools to ensure the protection of<br>human health and the health of workers. The predicted<br>BESS fire pollutant concentrations at relevant sensitive<br>receptor locations are assessed and compared against<br>relevant UK Air Quality Standards for the protection of<br>human health, relevant British occupational exposure<br>limits for the protection of the health of workers, and<br>the UK Daily Air Quality Index (DAQI). The DAQI tells the<br>public about levels of air pollution and provides<br>recommended actions and health advice for at-risk<br>individuals including adults and children with lung<br>problems and adults with heart problems. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the BESS<br>located at Willingham by Stow as<br>one of the world's largest BESS.   | The Applicant understands this refers to the BESS as<br>part of the nearby Cottam Solar Project ( <b>PINS</b><br><b>reference: EN010133</b> ), which is not part of this<br>Scheme. The Applicant therefore refers the Interested  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | Parties to the documents available on the Cottam Solar<br>Project page on the PINS website.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the BESS<br>being a danger to health due to<br>EMR (electromagnetic radiation).                  | Guidance for controlling levels of electromagnetic fields<br>(EMF) generated by electrical infrastructure is based on<br>ICNIRP (1998) monitoring levels detailed in para. 21.2.3<br>of <b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Chapter 21</b><br><b>Other Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> . EMF<br>strength decreases rapidly with distance away from<br>sources, and the BESS compound is located more than<br>300m from any residential property or location<br>accessible to the public. EMF generated by the BESS is<br>not anticipated to exceed ICNIRP monitoring levels even<br>within the BESS compound, and thus it is concluded<br>there is no impact on human health resulting from EMF<br>from the BESS. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to a need<br>for an independent study to take<br>place to provide rigorous scrutiny<br>of the BESS. | The documentation submitted by the Applicant will be<br>reviewed and scrutinised through the examination<br>process by: the Examining Authority on behalf of the<br>Planning Inspectorate, the host Local Planning<br>Authorities, any relevant Statutory Undertakers such as<br>the UK Health Security Agency and the Health and<br>Safety Executive and any other interested parties, as<br>required.   |
|           |  |       |   | Furthermore, <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A] secures<br>through Requirement 6 of Schedule 2 "Work No. 2 (the  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | BESS facility) must not commence until a battery<br>storage safety management plan has been submitted<br>to and approved by the relevant planning authority"<br>and (3) of Requirement 6 which requires "The relevant<br>planning authority must consult with Lincolnshire Fire<br>and Rescue and the Environment Agency before<br>determining an application for approval of the battery<br>storage safety management plan". This therefore<br>provides a further opportunity for detailed<br>management of the BESS to be scrutinised. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to BESS<br>materials being untested and<br>from dubious quality sources. | Paragraph 5.4.7 of <b>7.10 Outline Skills Supply Chain</b><br><b>and Employment Plan [APP-319]</b> states that "Any<br>procurement of supplies internationally will comply<br>with both national and international law, and all policy<br>and safety measures will be adhered to in the<br>transportation of supplies."  |
|           |  |       |  | The Applicant confirms that a detailed Skills, Supply<br>Chain and Employment Plan is secured by Requirement<br>20 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . This<br>requirement states that "No part of the authorised<br>development may commence until a skills, supply chain<br>and employment plan in relation to that part has been<br>submitted to and approved by the relevant planning<br>authority for that part or, where the part falls within the         |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue        | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--------------|--|---|
|           |  |              |  | administrative areas of multiple planning authorities, each of the relevant planning authorities."  |
|           |  |              | Some comments refer to impacts<br>on the quality of agricultural land<br>in China due to lithium ion<br>batteries and warn not to follow in<br>their footsteps with this Scheme. | The impacts on the quality of agricultural land in China<br>have not been assessed within the Environmental<br>Statement. The Applicant assumes the Party is making<br>reference to the sourcing of raw materials. Paragraph<br>5.4.7 of <b>7.10 Outline Skills Supply Chain and</b><br><b>Employment Plan [APP-319]</b> states that "Any<br>procurement of supplies internationally will comply<br>with both national and international law, and all policy<br>and safety measures will be adhered to in the<br>transportation of supplies."<br>A detailed Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan is |
|           |  |              |  | secured through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |
| AIR-02    | RR-007; RR-019                             | Microclimate | Concern that a microclimate will<br>be created.<br>Some comments refer to a<br>microclimate in Saxilby if the<br>Scheme goes ahead.  | Solar farms have the potential to affect the micro-<br>climate beneath panels, which could impact on the<br>potential growth of certain plant species. The planting<br>and monitoring for the Scheme is provided within <b>7.3_A</b><br><b>Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> , as secured by<br>Requirement 7 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |
|           |  |              |  | The ecological assessments carried out within 6.2.9<br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology and  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | <b>Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> are based on the considered professional judgment of an experienced ecological consultant who has been involved with the monitoring of nearly 200 active solar farms in the UK. At present, the Order Limits almost entirely occupy intensively managed arable systems which constitute a relatively modern and man-made habitat. The proposed Scheme will result in the reversion of this system to low-input and extensively-managed semi-natural grassland types. While it is true that the presence of solar panels has the potential to cause local shading directly beneath them, valuable grassland habitat mosaics can be successfully created in a relatively short space of time, and this is what is proposed as part of this Scheme, which will result in an anticipated biodiversity net gain (See paragraphs 2.2.2, 4.7.6 and Appendix B of <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A].</b> |



# 3.2 Alternatives and Design Evolution

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue             | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------------------|---|---|
| ALT-01    | RR-003; RR-006; RR-010;<br>RR-011; RR-013; RR-015;<br>RR-020; RR-021; RR-022;<br>RR-026; RR-027; RR-036;<br>RR-040; RR-045; RR-049;<br>RR-052; RR-053; RR-061;<br>RR-064; RR-066; RR-067;<br>RR-070; RR-072; RR-074;<br>RR-078; RR-079; RR-082;<br>RR-085; RR-096; RR-097;<br>RR-100; RR-102; RR-104;<br>RR-105; RR-106; RR-107;<br>RR-108; RR-110; RR-112;<br>RR-108; RR-110; RR-112;<br>RR-115; RR-116; RR-121;<br>RR-129; RR-131; RR-132;<br>RR-134; RR-136; RR-138;<br>RR-134; RR-136; RR-138;<br>RR-142; RR-143; RR-145;<br>RR-150; RR-159; RR-161;<br>RR-166; RR-163; RR-164;<br>RR-166; RR-168; RR-169;<br>RR-170; RR-173; RR-174;<br>RR-175; RR-180; RR-183;<br>RR-185; RR-187; RR-190; | Alternative sites | <ul> <li>Comments that brownfield sites should have been considered or chosen to host solar panels instead.</li> <li>Some comments refer to industrial build locations as possible sites e.g. commercial roofs.</li> <li>Some comments refer to new build houses as possible sites.</li> <li>Some comments refer to existing houses as possible sites.</li> <li>Some comments refer to retired airfields, e.g. RAF Scampton, as a possible site.</li> <li>Some comments refer to ex Ministry Of Defence sites.</li> <li>Some comments refer to car parks as a possible site, including roofs on car parks, and cite French examples of this in practice.</li> </ul> | Section 3.3 of document <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b><br>[ <b>APP-320</b> ], specifically paragraphs 3.3.5 and 3.3.11,<br>describes the Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be required to meet<br>increased demand and replace output from retiring<br>(fossil fuel) plants, and that "a secure, reliable, affordable,<br>Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar". This support for large<br>scale solar as part of the 'answer' to net zero and<br>energy security has been repeated in the draft national<br>policy statements EN-1 and EN-3,published in March<br>2023.<br>Paragraph 7.6.3 [APP-320] analyses the potential<br>contribution of "brownfield" solar sites to the national<br>need for solar generation. Brownfield sites, including<br>rooftop and other community energy systems, are likely<br>to grow in the UK and will make a contribution to<br>decarbonisation of the UK energy system.<br>However, <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>concludes in Section 7.6, that on their own, brownfield<br>developments are unlikely to be able to meet the<br>national need for solar. Paragraph 8.5.10 and Section<br>8.5 more generally [ <b>APP-320</b> ] describe and express |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           | RR-191; RR-192; RR-194;<br>RR-196; RR-199; RR-202;<br>RR-203; RR-206; RR-209;<br>RR-210; RR-214; RR-217;<br>RR-221; RR-222; RR-223;<br>RR-227; RR-228; RR-229;<br>RR-239; RR-241; RR-247;<br>RR-252; RR-254; RR-257;<br>RR-252; RR-264; RR-267;<br>RR-278; RR-264; RR-267;<br>RR-278; RR-284; RR-290;<br>RR-292; RR-295; RR-301;<br>RR-303; RR-305; RR-306;<br>RR-307; RR-311; RR-312;<br>RR-318; RR-325; RR-330;<br>RR-332; RR-339; RR-343;<br>RR-348 |       | Some comments refer to the<br>decommissioned power station<br>sites as possible sites.<br>Some comments refer to central<br>reservations on motorways as<br>possible sites.<br>Some comments refer to nearby<br>moors housing sheep as possible<br>sites.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>North Sea as a possible site.<br>Some comments refer to placing<br>solar panels in a different location<br>in a general manner.<br>Some comments request solar<br>panels on buildings to become UK<br>law. | agreement with Government's view that decentralised<br>and community energy systems are unlikely to lead to<br>the significant replacement of large-scale infrastructure.<br>The Applicant therefore supports the Government's<br>view that large scale solar must be deployed to meet<br>the urgent national need for low-carbon electricity<br>generation.<br>The consideration of alternatives has been undertaken<br>within <b>6.2.5 Environmental Statement - Chapter 5</b><br><b>Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043]</b> and its<br>accompanying appendix <b>6.3.5.1_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection Assessment</b><br><b>Revision A [AS-004]</b> . Specifically, paragraphs 2.1.23 to<br>2.1.31 detail the consideration of brownfield land and<br>roof tops and sets out why these were discounted as<br>unsuitable. The methodology used for the site selection<br>process is considered reasonable and proportionate<br>and complies with the requirements of NPS EN-1 4.4.3. |



| Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | Issue  | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |   |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| RR-003; RR-005; RR-007;<br>RR-008; RR-010; RR-011;<br>RR-013; RR-015; RR-019;<br>RR-021; RR-022; RR-026;<br>RR-034; RR-040; RR-045;<br>RR-053; RR-054; RR-057;<br>RR-062; RR-063; RR-066;<br>RR-069; RR-072; RR-080;<br>RR-082; RR-083; RR-095;<br>RR-103; RR-106; RR-110;<br>RR-111; RR-115; RR-119;<br>RR-126; RR-129; RR-139; | Efficiency of Solar  | Solar is an inefficient source of<br>power compared to alternatives,<br>for a variety of reasons.  | Section 3.3 of document <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b><br>[APP-320], specifically paragraphs 3.3.5 and 3.3.11,<br>describes the Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be required to meet<br>increased demand and replace output from retiring<br>(fossil fuel) plants, and that " <i>a secure, reliable, affordable,</i><br><i>Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed</i><br><i>predominantly of wind and solar</i> ". This support for large<br>scale solar as part of the 'answer' to net zero and<br>energy security has been repeated in the draft national<br>policy statements EN-1 and EN-3,published in March<br>2023.  |   |
| RR-160; RR-164; RR-168;<br>RR-171; RR-173; RR-175;<br>RR-194; RR-196; RR-198;<br>RR-202; RR-205; RR-210;   |  |  | Some comments refer to solar<br>inefficiency in terms of energy<br>output.  | Paragraph 5.5.8 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>states that solar generated 11.7TWh of energy in 2019,<br>over 12.1TWh in 2020 and 2021 generation was<br>11.2TWh: an important and reliable annual contribution<br>to national demand. |
| RR-225; RR-226; RR-228;<br>RR-247; RR-251; RR-252;<br>RR-257; RR-269; RR-271;<br>RR-272; RR-278; RR-303;<br>RR-304; RR-307; RR-312;<br>RR-325; RR-334; RR-336;<br>RR-338   |  | Some comments refer to statistics<br>which indicate that solar is an<br>inefficient source of energy.  | Table 7.1 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> shows<br>the electricity generated per hectare by different low-<br>carbon technologies. At the UK's average solar load<br>factor (11%), solar generation produces much more<br>energy per hectare than biogas, and generates a similar<br>amount of energy as onshore wind.<br>Furthermore, paragraph 7.6.8 of <b>7.11 Statement of</b><br><b>Need [APP-320]</b> states that: "Draft NPS EN-3 includes  |   |
|  | Representation(s)<br>Reference           RR-003; RR-005; RR-007;<br>RR-008; RR-010; RR-011;<br>RR-013; RR-015; RR-019;<br>RR-021; RR-022; RR-026;<br>RR-034; RR-040; RR-045;<br>RR-053; RR-054; RR-057;<br>RR-062; RR-063; RR-066;<br>RR-069; RR-072; RR-080;<br>RR-082; RR-083; RR-095;<br>RR-103; RR-106; RR-110;<br>RR-111; RR-115; RR-119;<br>RR-126; RR-129; RR-139;<br>RR-155; RR-156; RR-157;<br>RR-160; RR-164; RR-168;<br>RR-171; RR-173; RR-175;<br>RR-194; RR-196; RR-198;<br>RR-202; RR-205; RR-210;<br>RR-220; RR-222; RR-224;<br>RR-225; RR-269; RR-217;<br>RR-257; RR-269; RR-271;<br>RR-272; RR-278; RR-303;<br>RR-304; RR-307; RR-312;<br>RR-325; RR-334; RR-336; | Representation(s)<br>ReferenceEfficiency of SolarRR-003; RR-005; RR-007;<br>RR-008; RR-010; RR-011;<br>RR-013; RR-015; RR-019;<br>RR-021; RR-022; RR-026;<br>RR-034; RR-040; RR-045;<br>RR-053; RR-054; RR-057;<br>RR-062; RR-063; RR-066;<br>RR-069; RR-072; RR-080;<br>RR-103; RR-106; RR-110;<br>RR-111; RR-115; RR-119;<br>RR-126; RR-129; RR-139;<br>RR-155; RR-156; RR-157;<br>RR-160; RR-164; RR-168;<br>RR-171; RR-173; RR-175;<br>RR-194; RR-196; RR-198;<br>RR-202; RR-205; RR-210;<br>RR-202; RR-226; RR-228;<br>RR-247; RR-251; RR-252;<br>RR-271; RR-269; RR-271;<br>RR-272; RR-278; RR-303;<br>RR-304; RR-307; RR-312;<br>RR-325; RR-334; RR-336;Efficiency of Solar | Representation(s)<br>ReferenceEfficiency of SolarSolar is an inefficient source of<br>power compared to alternatives,<br>for a variety of reasons.RR-003; RR-010; RR-011;<br>RR-013; RR-015; RR-019;<br>RR-021; RR-022; RR-026;<br>RR-034; RR-040; RR-045;<br>RR-053; RR-054; RR-057;<br>RR-062; RR-063; RR-066;<br>RR-069; RR-072; RR-083; RR-095;<br>RR-103; RR-106; RR-110;<br>RR-111; RR-115; RR-119;<br>RR-126; RR-129; RR-139;<br>RR-155; RR-156; RR-157;<br>RR-160; RR-168;<br>RR-164; RR-168;<br>RR-105; RR-173; RR-175;<br>RR-106; RR-166;<br>RR-202; RR-202; RR-224;<br>RR-220; RR-225; RR-226; RR-224;<br>RR-225; RR-226; RR-224;<br>RR-225; RR-226; RR-226;<br>RR-271; RR-325; RR-303;<br>RR-304; RR-307; RR-312;<br>RR-325; RR-334; RR-336;Efficiency of Solar<br>Solar is an inefficient source of power compared to alternatives,<br>for a variety of reasons.Some comments refer to statistics<br>which indicate that solar is an<br>inefficient source of energy.Some comments refer to statistics<br>which indicate that solar is an<br>inefficient source of energy. |   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | output generally required for a solar farm along with its<br>associated infrastructure." The Scheme as proposed<br>delivers a large-scale solar generation asset which is<br>consistent with this range, as is described through<br>paragraphs 4.2.1 to 4.2.3 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-</b><br><b>042]</b> . This demonstrates that the proposed locations for<br>the Scheme are suitable sites which can accommodate<br>an asset which is consistent with government's view of<br>best practice ratios of land take and installed capacity.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>operation length of 40 years<br>exacerbating the negative feeling<br>towards solar inefficiency. | The Applicant notes this comment and is cognisant that<br>the operational lifetime of the Scheme will be felt as<br>substantial to those living in the surrounding area. the<br>assessments within the <b>Environmental Statement</b><br><b>[APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> assess the effects of the Scheme<br>throughout its operational lifetime. Furthermore, the<br>mitigation and enhancement measures set out in the ES<br>and secured through the relevant control documents<br>will be in place for the lifetime of the Scheme. This<br>therefore means that enhancement measures that<br>form part of the Scheme will also be in place for at least<br>the assessed operational lifetime. These enhancement<br>measures include: |
|           |  |       |  | <ul> <li>Enhancements to local employment and to<br/>qualification and skills attainment (please refer to<br/>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18</li> </ul>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Арј | olicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|-----|---|
|           |  |       |                         |     | Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-<br>056] and 7.10 Outline Skills Supply Chain and<br>Employment Plan [APP-319]);  |
|           |  |       |                         |     | Enhancements to recreational walking as a result of<br>a new permissive path (please refer to <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042]</b> and <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics</b><br><b>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> );                      |
|           |  |       |                         |     | Biodiversity Net Gain (please refer to 6.2.9<br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology<br>and Biodiversity [APP-047] and 6.3.9.12<br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 9.12<br>Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-088]);   |
|           |  |       |                         |     | Landscape and ecological enhancements (please<br>refer to 6.4.8.18.1_A-6.4.8.18.3_A Environmental<br>Statement – Figures 8.18.1-A to 8.18.3-A –<br>Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br>Enhancement Measures [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A] and 7.3_A Outline Landscape and<br>Ecological Management Plan<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]); and |
|           |  |       |                         |     | Community Benefit Fund (refer to paragraph 4.8.1<br>of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> ) (it is noted<br>that this does not form part of the Scheme, but is   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | being delivered as a separate commitment by the Applicant).  |
|           |  |       |   | The environmental benefits from the Scheme as<br>identified in the Environmental Statement, its<br>appendices, and other documents supporting the DCO<br>Application, are secured by the requirements as set out<br>in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to solar<br>inefficiency due to location of the<br>Scheme in comparison to other<br>locations, examples used are<br>southern England and other<br>countries such as Australia.<br>Some comments refer to solar<br>inefficiency due to change in<br>weather conditions, seasonal<br>changes and lack of operation at<br>night. | Paragraphs 3.3.17 and 3.3.18 of <b>7.11 Statement of</b><br><b>Need [APP-320]</b> explain the Government's view that<br>irradiance, site topography and proximity to suitable<br>connection points to the transmission network are<br>likely to be key inputs to site selection. Section 7.5 of<br><b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describes the site<br>selection process for large-scale solar more fully, and<br>Section 7.7 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> sets<br>out how the design of the Scheme seeks to maximise<br>utilisation of the grid connection capacity available at<br>the West Burton National Grid Substation. Figure 7.4 of<br><b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> shows the level of<br>photovoltaic power potential at the proposed location. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to solar<br>inefficiency in relation to the cost<br>of construction of panels and<br>installing them.   | Solar panels and electrical infrastructure have become<br>larger and more efficient. Figure 10.2 of <b>7.11</b><br><b>Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> shows that many solar<br>cells are over 20% efficient and some are within reach<br>of 30% efficiency, meaning that more low-carbon   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | electricity can be generated from the same area of land compared to what was previously possible.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to solar<br>inefficiency in relation to the<br>amount of land being used for the<br>Scheme. | The quoted 20-30% efficiency figures refer directly to<br>the panels' ability to convert the sunlight that is striking<br>them at any given moment to electrical energy. The<br>UK's average solar load factor of 11% refers to the<br>actual level of electricity output over the course of a<br>year in comparison to the maximum possible output by<br>the panels. This is dependent on such factors as<br>weather and hours of daylight over the course of the<br>year. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the generation figures provided by the developer being misleading.                       | <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b> Figure 10.2 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows that many solar cell cells are over 20% efficient and some are within reach of 30% efficiency, meaning that more low-carbon electricity can be generated from the same area of land as was previously possible.  |
|           |  |       |   | Table 7.1 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows the electricity generated per<br>Ha by different low-carbon technologies. At the UK's<br>average solar load factor (11%), solar generation<br>produces much more energy per Ha than biogas, and<br>generates a similar amount of energy as onshore wind.   |
|           |  |       |   | It is the Applicant's view (and this aligns with<br>Government's view) that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for low-<br>carbon electricity generation.   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>inefficiency of other green energy<br>technologies, and claim this will be<br>the same for solar and this<br>Scheme. | Table 7.1 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> shows<br>the electricity generated per hectare by different low-<br>carbon technologies. At the UK's average solar load<br>factor (11%), solar generation produces much more<br>energy per hectare than biogas, and generates a similar<br>amount of energy as onshore wind.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to a<br>sacrifice the community is having<br>to make due to the unpredictable<br>behaviour of solar generation.                | The Applicant notes this comment and is cognisant that<br>the operational lifetime of the Scheme will be felt as<br>substantial to those living in the surrounding area. the<br>assessments within the <b>Environmental Statement</b><br>[ <b>APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061</b> ] assess the effects of the Scheme<br>throughout its operational lifetime. Furthermore, the<br>mitigation and enhancement measures set out in the ES<br>and secured through the relevant control documents<br>will be in place for the lifetime of the Scheme. This<br>therefore means that enhancement measures that<br>form part of the Scheme will also be in place for at least<br>the assessed operational lifetime. These enhancement<br>measures include:<br>• Enhancements to local employment and to |
|           |  |       |  | qualification and skills attainment (please refer to<br>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18<br>Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-<br>056] and 7.10 Outline Skills Supply Chain and<br>Employment Plan [APP-319]);   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>Enhancements to recreational walking as a result of<br/>a new permissive path (please refer to 6.2.4<br/>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme<br/>Description [APP-042] and 6.2.18 Environmental<br/>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics<br/>Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]);</li> </ul>   |
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>Biodiversity Net Gain (please refer to 6.2.9<br/>Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology<br/>and Biodiversity [APP-047] and 6.3.9.12<br/>Environmental Statement - Appendix 9.12<br/>Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-088]);</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>Landscape and ecological enhancements (please<br/>refer to 6.4.8.18.1_A-6.4.8.18.3_A Environmental<br/>Statement – Figures 8.18.1 to 8.18.3 – Landscape<br/>and Ecology Mitigation and Enhancement<br/>Measures [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A] and<br/>7.3-A Outline Landscape and Ecological<br/>Management Plan [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]); and</li> </ul> |
|           |  |       |                         | • Community Benefit Fund (refer to paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> ) (it is noted that this does not form part of the Scheme, but is being delivered as a separate commitment by the Applicant).  |
|           |  |       |                         | The environmental benefits from the Scheme as identified in the Environmental Statement, its appendices, and other documents supporting the DCO  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue   | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
|           |  |   |  | Application, are secured by the requirements as set out<br>in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
| ALT-03    | RR-303                                     | Appropriateness<br>of use of<br>"greenfield" land | Comment that just because the<br>fields are available does not mean<br>that solar PV is appropriate.<br>Comment goes on to compare<br>appropriateness to that of air<br>source heat pumps, in that they<br>are not appropriate for draughty<br>older houses with poor insulation,<br>but are appropriate for modern<br>houses built to modern standards<br>with good insulation and good air<br>tightness because they only<br>provide a moderate level of<br>background heat. | Paragraph 8.9.15 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>states that the bringing forward electricity into home<br>heating, and supporting the rollout of electric vehicles<br>as part of Government's electric vehicle infrastructure<br>strategy, will increase demand for electricity in future<br>years.<br>Section 3.3 of document <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b><br><b>[APP-320]</b> , specifically paragraphs 3.3.2, 3.3.5 and<br>3.3.11, describes the Government's view that large<br>capacities of low-carbon generation will be required to<br>meet that increased demand and replace output from<br>retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that "a secure, reliable,<br>affordable, Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to<br>be composed predominantly of wind and solar". This<br>support for large scale solar as part of the 'answer' to<br>net zero and energy security has been repeated in the<br>draft national policy statements EN-1 and EN-3,<br>published in March 2023. |
|           |  |   |  | Section 7.5 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes how suitable locations for large-scale solar   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | are identified and assessed. Paragraph 7.5.2 outlines the broad criteria for determining Site suitability.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Figure 7.4 shows the level of photovoltaic power<br>potential at the proposed locations for the Scheme.<br>Section 9 describes the advantages of connecting large-<br>scale solar to the existing and robust National Electricity<br>Transmission System at the proposed Point of<br>Connection at West Burton Power Station, and<br>Paragraph 9.4.4 concludes that the Scheme will<br>contribute to national system adequacy and<br>decarbonisation targets.                              |
|           |  |       |                         | 6.2.5 Environmental Statement - Chapter 5<br>Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043] and its<br>accompanying appendix 6.3.5.1_A Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection Assessment<br>Revision A [AS-004] explain how the Scheme Sites were<br>chosen in light of that need.  |
|           |  |       |                         | Specifically, paragraph 2.1.10 of <b>6.3.5.1_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site</b><br><b>Selection Assessment Revision A [AS-004]</b> explains<br>the reasons why sites of the size proposed are required<br>to meet the 480MW grid connection offer. The<br>methodology used for the site selection process is<br>considered reasonable and proportionate and complies<br>with the requirements of NPS EN-1 4.4.3, as explained<br>at Section 2.1 <b>[AS-004]</b> . |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                     | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|---|---|
| ALT-04    | RR-277                                     | Location of<br>Substation | Question regarding the feasibility<br>of the substation being placed<br>underground.                                  | Underground substations have been developed as a solution where above ground space is limited e.g. in city centres. It is not considered a cost-effective solution for the Scheme, nor do the assessments as set out in the <b>Environmental Statement [APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> show that this would be required to mitigate significant environmental effects arising from the siting of the substations on the Scheme. As such, underground substations have not been considered as part of the Scheme and are not part of the application.  |
| ALT-05    | RR-135                                     | West Burton 2             | Concern that West Burton 2 is too<br>close to Saxilby and the site<br>should be moved away from<br>residential areas. | <ul> <li>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-046] (the 'LVIA') takes into account the effects on residential receptors and this includes singular buildings, groups of buildings and towns or villages. Table 8.20 of the LVIA sets out the selection of initial residential receptors for the purpose of the assessment, the reason for their selection being that the receptors are all within the 2km Study Area for the Scheme and the 0.5km Study Area from the outer boundary of the Cable Route Corridor. This assessment has included residential areas in Saxilby. </li> <li>The visual effects are set out in 6.3.8.3 Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.3 Assessment of Potential Visual Effects [APP-074], which shows that some effects on visual receptors would be significant </li> </ul> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | <b>adverse</b> at construction and year 1 of operation, but<br>with mitigation this is reduced across the majority of<br>the landscape receptors to <b>not significant</b> at year 15 of<br>operation.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Public vantage points from in and around the villages<br>and residential areas are also considered within the<br>LVIA, for example viewpoints VP01 and VP08 associated<br>with the settlement of Broxholme, VP18 and VP28 the<br>settlement of Ingleby and VP21, VP22 and VP23 with the<br>settlement of Saxilby. These public vantage points are<br>shown on <b>6.4.8.12.1-A</b> – <b>6.4.8.12.3-A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Figures 8.12.1-A</b> to <b>8.12.3-A [APP-190</b> to<br><b>APP-192]</b> . |
|           |  |       |                         | With regard to other views, Table 8.76 of the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> notes in respect to Viewpoints VP18 and VP28 and Transport Receptor T009 that "Views of open arable farmland to the north of Saxilby would remain reinforcing the rural location of this settlement".  |
|           |  |       |                         | The landscape mitigation measures are shown on <b>EN010132/EX1/WB6.4.8.18.1_A</b> - to <b>EN010132/EX1/WB6.4.8.18.3_A</b> Figures 8.18.1-A to 8.18.3-A Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and Enhancement Plans <b>[WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A</b> ].  |
|           |  |       |                         | Please also refer to EN010132/EX1/WB7.3-A <b>Outline</b><br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                               | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|
|           |  |                                     |   | [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| ALT-06    | RR-088                                     | Routing of Grid<br>Connection Cable | Comment refers to cable route<br>causing the loss of use of fields for<br>holding pregnant mares and for<br>producing grass and hay for<br>feeding horses | The Applicant notes this comment and has identified<br>that the West Burton Solar Project does not impact<br>directly upon the commenter's land. Agricultural land<br>will not be permanently lost to the proposed Scheme,<br>and agricultural production can be maintained through<br>its operational phase through. |



# 3.3 Climate Change

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue          | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|----------------|--|---|
| CLI-01    | RR-340                                     | Carbon Capture | Concern that there will be a loss of<br>carbon capture due to the<br>Scheme. | The Applicant is unclear as to what it is the Party is<br>alluding to. The Applicant assumes the party is referring<br>to an assumed loss of plants, vegetation and temporary<br>loss of crop growing capabilities (as facilitators of<br>carbon capture).  |
|           |  |                |  | In any case, the reversion from intensive agriculture to<br>pasture or meadow grassland with additional<br>hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland habitat creation will<br>bring about positive effects for carbon capture.  |
|           |  |                |  | A substantial net gain for biodiversity is anticipated to<br>be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-</b><br><b>088]</b> ), predominantly through the creation of extensive<br>low-input grassland resulting in a net gain of 86.80% in<br>habitat units, but also several new ponds and wetland<br>habitat parcels resulting in a net gain of 33.25% in river<br>units, and the planting of several kilometres of species-<br>rich hedgerow resulting in a net gain of 54.71% in<br>hedgerow units. |



# 3.4 Cultural Heritage

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | lssue         | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------------|--|--|
| CUL-01    | RR-019; RR-021; RR-075;<br>RR-082; RR-130; RR-133;<br>RR-156; RR-165; RR-202;<br>RR-244; RR-247; RR-306 | Heritage loss | Concern that cultural and<br>historical heritage will be lost. | 6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.5<br>Heritage Statement [APP-117 to APP-119], provides a<br>detailed assessment of all Grade II Listed Buildings and<br>Conservation Areas within 2km of the Scheme, and all<br>Grade I and II* Listed Buildings and Scheduled<br>Monuments within a 5km study area surrounding the<br>Scheme. 6.2.13 Environmental Statement - Chapter<br>13 Cultural Heritage [APP-051] (see paragraphs.<br>13.5.11 to 13.5.22, 13.7.23 to 13.7.26, 13.7.45 to 13.7.46<br>and 13.8.5 to 13.8.8) provides further detailed<br>assessment of the predicted impacts upon the historic<br>built environment. The assessment identifies that there<br>would be negligible to minor adverse (i.e. not<br>significant) impacts to the settings of nine Listed<br>Buildings during the construction phase: <i>Negligible</i><br><i>Adverse</i> impacts were identified at four Grade II Listed<br>Buildings, potential for <i>Minor Adverse</i> impacts at four<br>Grade II Listed buildings and one Grade I Listed<br>building resulting in <i>Slight Adverse</i> effects. During the<br>operational phase, there would be impacts to the<br>settings of nine Listed Buildings, two of which were<br>scored as effects of <i>Neutral</i> significance, whilst three<br>were scored as <i>Slight Adverse</i> . In addition to this, it was<br>concluded that there would be impacts of <i>Minor Adverse</i> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | magnitude at four Grade II Listed Buildings and one<br>Grade II* Listed Building, all of which would result in<br>effects of <i>Slight Adverse</i> significance, i.e., 'not significant'<br>in EIA terms.  |
|           |  |       |                         | <b>6.2.13 Environmental Statement - Chapter 13</b><br><b>Cultural Heritage [APP-051]</b> also provides an<br>assessment of potential impacts caused by the Scheme<br>upon archaeological remains and Historic Landscape<br>Character (HLC).  |
|           |  |       |                         | Assessment works <b>[APP-051]</b> have identified numerous<br>new archaeological sites and have greatly enhanced the<br>archaeological and historic record. During the field<br>evaluation it was identified that ploughing was causing<br>a high level of destruction to archaeological deposits.<br>Consequently, the Applicant considers that the Scheme<br>will provide an opportunity to protect archaeological<br>remains that are currently at risk of destruction from<br>agricultural activity (Paragraphs 13.7.43 and 13.7.44<br><b>[APP-051]</b> ). |
|           |  |       |                         | <b>6.3.13.7 Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.7</b><br><b>Archaeological Mitigation WSI</b> (Written Scheme of<br>Investigation) <b>[APP-122]</b> details the mitigation proposed<br>by the Applicant, enabling a mechanism for<br>archaeological remains to be recorded or preserved in<br>situ. This WSI is secured in Requirement 12 of Schedule  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | 2 to the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>proximity of the site to a<br>scheduled monument.   | <b>6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.5</b><br><b>Heritage Statement [APP-117</b> to <b>APP-119]</b> , provides a detailed assessment of all Grade II Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas within 2km of the Scheme, and all Grade I and II* Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monuments within a 5km study area surrounding the Scheme.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to a<br>cultural heritage site near or on<br>the site of West Burton 3. This is:<br>Medieval Bishop's Palace and<br>Deer Park. List Entry Number:<br>1019229. | As stated in Paragraph 3.3.34 of the Heritage Statement<br>(6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.5<br>Heritage Statement [APP-117 to APP-119]), the<br>Applicant acknowledges that the Scheme has the<br>potential to physically and visually isolate the three<br>Scheduled areas that make up the medieval bishop's<br>palace and deer park Scheduled Monument (NHLE<br>1019229). However as identified in Paragraph 3.3.35 of<br>the Heritage Statement [APP-117 to APP-119], the<br>Applicant believes that the relationship between the<br>three surviving components of the deer park has<br>already been adversely compromised. Modern activity<br>including the ex MOD petroleum storage facility and a<br>railway line completely bisect the deer park, resulting in<br>there being no intervisibility between the west park<br>pale, and the Bishop's Palace and east park pale. While<br>intervisibility exists between the Bishop's Palace and |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | the east park pale, their historical relationship can only<br>be experienced through the fossilisation of the<br>parkland boundary by later mature trees and<br>hedgerow. Conversely although this intervisibility exists,<br>the Applicant highlights that the overall legibility of the<br>northern section of the deer park is problematic. Desk-<br>based research has demonstrated that there are<br>several possibilities for the locations of the pales in the<br>north of the deer park, which would have each joined<br>the east and west park pales to the Bishop's Palace<br>(Paragraphs 3.2.27-3.2.48 <b>[APP-117 to APP-119]</b> ). |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to Gate<br>Burton Hall and the Chateau as<br>particular sites of historic value. | Burton Chateau (NHLE 1064085) and Gate Burton Hall<br>(NHLE 1359458) are both Grade II* listed buildings<br>located within the 5km study area surrounding the<br>Scheme as identified in Table 13.15 of <b>6.2.13</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 13 Cultural</b><br><b>Heritage [APP-051]</b> . Due to their separation from the<br>Scheme and intervening screening, both assets were<br>scoped out of further consideration (para. 3.1.56 and<br>3.1.76 of <b>6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 13.5 Heritage Statement [APP-117]</b> ).  |



# 3.5 Ecology and Biodiversity

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue   | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|---------|--|--|
| ECO-01    | RR-004; RR-005; RR-007;<br>RR-010; RR-015; RR-017;<br>RR-019; RR-021; RR-022;<br>RR-023; RR-024; RR-025;<br>RR-027; RR-040; RR-044;<br>RR-053; RR-055; RR-056;<br>RR-059; RR-051; RR-063;<br>RR-064; RR-067; RR-068;<br>RR-069; RR-070; RR-071;<br>RR-072; RR-075; RR-079;<br>RR-081; RR-083; RR-084;<br>RR-088; RR-095; RR-097;<br>RR-100; RR-102; RR-103;<br>RR-106; RR-113; RR-114;<br>RR-115; RR-116; RR-117;<br>RR-118; RR-120; RR-121;<br>RR-124; RR-125; RR-128;<br>RR-131; RR-132; RR-139;<br>RR-141; RR-142; RR-148;<br>RR-150; RR-153; RR-156;<br>RR-158; RR-159; RR-160;<br>RR-162; RR-163; RR-166;<br>RR-169; RR-171; RR-174; | Ecology | Comments that the Scheme will<br>detrimentally impact local<br>biodiversity, wildlife, habitats and<br>ecosystems. | Section 9.7 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> sets out<br>the extensive findings of all ecological investigations<br>undertaken within the Order Limits together with an<br>appraisal of the relative importance of each species or<br>species group, habitat or designated site. This survey<br>scope has been formulated through consultation with<br>Natural England as well as Lincolnshire and<br>Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trusts and has deemed to be<br>thorough and appropriate (see <b>6.3.9.1 Environmental<br/>Statement - Appendix 9.1 Consultation Responses</b><br><b>[APP-077]</b> ).<br>A comprehensive package of mitigation has been<br>provided, in tandem with embedded mitigation (see<br>Section 9.6 which sets out the details of the embedded<br>mitigation which has been incorporated into the<br>ecologically sensitive design of the Scheme, such as the<br>wide buffering of all field boundaries and the use of<br>existing hedgerow gaps for accesses).<br>These additional mitigation measures are further<br>detailed within <b>7.17 Outline Ecological Protection and<br/>Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b> and <b>7.3_A Outline</b><br><b>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</b> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           | RR-175; RR-176; RR-182;<br>RR-183; RR-184; RR-186;<br>RR-187; RR-189; RR-190;<br>RR-193; RR-194; RR-195;<br>RR-196; RR-197; RR-198;<br>RR-202; RR-204; RR-205;<br>RR-206; RR-207; RR-208;<br>RR-209; RR-210; RR-211;<br>RR-214; RR-217; RR-218;<br>RR-220; RR-222; RR-227;<br>RR-229; RR-235; RR-237;<br>RR-239; RR-240; RR-246;<br>RR-247; RR-248; RR-252; |       |                         | [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which will ensure that all<br>identified impacts are minimised as far as possible. This<br>is secured through Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 of<br>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].<br>In many cases, the reversion from intensive agriculture<br>to pasture or meadow grassland with additional<br>hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland habitat creation will<br>bring about beneficial effects for wildlife. In particular,<br>terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, botanical diversity,<br>small mammals and many species of bird all stand to<br>benefit.                   |
|           | RR-253; RR-254; RR-255;<br>RR-256; RR-257; RR-258;<br>RR-262; RR-263; RR-264;<br>RR-268; RR-269; RR-270;<br>RR-271; RR-274; RR-275;<br>RR-277; RR-278; RR-280;<br>RR-286; RR-287; RR-288;<br>RR-290; RR-292; RR-301;<br>RR-302; RR-303; RR-304;<br>RR-305; RR-306; RR-312;<br>RR-314; RR-315; RR-316;   |       |                         | In this way, a substantial net gain for biodiversity is<br>anticipated to be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net Gain</b><br><b>Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through the creation<br>of extensive low-input grassland resulting in a net gain<br>of 86.80% in habitat units, but also several new ponds<br>and wetland habitat parcels resulting in a net gain of<br>33.25% in river units, and the planting of several<br>kilometres of species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net<br>gain of 54.71% in hedgerow units.<br>Requirement 9 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b> |
|           | RR-317; RR-318; RR-319;<br>RR-327; RR-329; RR-336;  |       |                         | <b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A] provides that "No part of the<br>authorised development may commence until a  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           | RR-337; RR-339; RR-340;<br>RR-343; RR-345  |       |  | biodiversity net gain strategy has been submitted to<br>and approved by the relevant planning authority, in<br>consultation with the relevant statutory nature<br>conservation body."   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to hares,<br>deer, birds of prey etc. as wildlife<br>that will be affected by the<br>Scheme. | Section 9.7 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> sets out<br>the extensive findings of all ecological investigations<br>undertaken within the Order Limits together with an<br>appraisal of the relative importance of each species or<br>species group, habitat or designated site.                      |
|           |  |       |  | In many cases, the reversion from intensive agriculture<br>to pasture or meadow grassland with additional<br>hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland habitat creation will<br>bring about beneficial effects for wildlife. In particular,<br>terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, botanical diversity,<br>small mammals and many species of bird all stand to<br>benefit. |
|           |  |       |  | In the Applicant's consultant's experience of monitoring<br>over 100 active solar arrays, the findings are that brown<br>hare are highly active on solar arrays owing to the<br>improved foraging habitat within them.  |
|           |  |       |  | An impact on the movement of deer is likely (see bullet<br>point 9 within paragraph 9.6.5 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity</b><br>[APP-047]), although it is acknowledged from the<br>ecological monitoring of numerous active solar   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | schemes that deer are regularly noted within the<br>fenced areas having exploited locations of undulating<br>terrain and other opportunities for entry. The reversion<br>from intensive agriculture to pasture or meadow<br>grassland with additional hedgerow, scrub, tree and<br>wetland habitat creation will likely improve habitat for<br>deer.<br>Birds of prey, including owls, are one species group  |
|           |  |       |   | likely to benefit from the provision of large areas of<br>optimal habitat for small mammals such as field voles<br>which require tussocky and dense grassland which will<br>be created within buffered field boundaries.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to a<br>negative impact towards<br>endangered species in the local<br>area. | Section 9.7 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> sets out<br>the extensive findings of all ecological investigations<br>undertaken within the Order Limits together with an<br>appraisal of the relative importance of each species or<br>species group, habitat or designated site. This survey<br>scope has been formulated through consultation with<br>Natural England as well as Lincolnshire and<br>Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trusts and has deemed to be<br>thorough and appropriate (see <b>6.3.9.1 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.1 Consultation Responses</b><br><b>[APP-077]</b> ). |
|           |  |       |   | A comprehensive package of mitigation has been provided, in tandem with embedded mitigation (see  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | Section 9.6 which sets out the details of the embedded<br>mitigation which has been incorporated into the<br>ecologically sensitive design of the Scheme, such as the<br>wide buffering of all field boundaries and the use of<br>existing hedgerow gaps for accesses).   |
|           |  |       |   | These additional mitigation measures are further<br>detailed within <b>7.17 Outline Ecological Protection and</b><br><b>Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b> and <b>7.3_A Outline</b><br><b>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which will ensure that all<br>identified impacts are minimised as far as possible.<br>These two management documents are secured<br>through Requirements 8 and 7 respectively of Schedule<br>2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b>              |
|           |  |       | Some comments state that wildlife<br>will be more restricted due to the<br>fencing required for the Scheme. | The majority of animal species will be able to freely<br>move through the operational Sites and the boundary<br>fencing in the same way as they are currently able to in<br>other locations where deer fencing is used. An impact<br>on the movement of deer is likely (see bullet point 9<br>within paragraph 9.6.5 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity</b><br>[ <b>APP-047</b> ]), although it is acknowledged from the<br>ecological monitoring of numerous active solar<br>schemes that deer are regularly noted within the |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | fenced areas having exploited locations of undulating terrain and other opportunities for entry.   |
|           |  |       |   | The details of fencing and other means of enclosure are<br>secured through Requirement 10 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> where it is stated that "No<br>part of the authorised development may commence until<br>written details of all proposed temporary fences, walls or<br>other means of enclosure, including those set out in the<br>construction environmental management plan, for that<br>part have been submitted to and approved by the relevant<br>planning authority or, where the part falls within the<br>administrative areas of multiple relevant planning<br>authorities, each of the relevant planning authorities." |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to concern<br>regarding the loss of hedgerows,<br>as well as the importance/benefits<br>of hedgerows to wildlife and<br>human activity. Some comments<br>referring to a loss of hedgerows<br>cite a statistic of 55km of<br>hedgerows being lost, and include<br>requests for hedgerows to be<br>protected as a condition of<br>planning. | The Applicant is aware of the landscape, ecological, and<br>heritage importance of hedgerows, and as such, the<br>importance of these hedgerows is set out in <b>2.9</b><br><b>Important Hedgerows Plan [APP-014]</b> . Schedule 13 of<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> seeks powers of removal of<br>hedgerows over the extents referred to in the relevant<br>representation responses. However, the Applicant<br>seeks to assure these parties that there is no intention<br>for the removal of the entirety of the extents<br>referenced. The reason for the inclusion of this power<br>in the draft DCO is to include the extent of any                      |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>removal of hedgerows as<br>contradictory to government<br>policy on planting new hedgerows. | hedgerow that <i>may</i> need to be removed, where the<br>exact location of the removal has not yet been<br>determined and will be identified as part of the detailed<br>design if the DCO is granted. For example, where<br>existing accesses do not exist, some very minor<br>hedgerow removal is necessary to accommodate access<br>roads between fields, land parcels and solar panel<br>areas. The approximate location and extent of this<br>removal is set out in <b>Hedgerow Removal Plans</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> , which demonstrates that a<br>far smaller amount of hedgerow removal (both<br>temporary and permanent) will take place as part of the<br>Scheme as compared with the extent of the power<br>included in article 38 and Schedule 13 to the Draft DCO<br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . This removal will involve<br>only very short sections of hedgerow to accommodate<br>internal access roads and will not involve loss of trees,<br>in particular trees protected under any Tree<br>Preservation Orders (TPOs). This will be secured at<br>detailed design, and secured through the Landscape<br>and Ecological Management Plan required by<br>Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |  |       |   | A substantial net gain for biodiversity is anticipated to<br>be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | <b>088]</b> ), including the planting of several kilometres of species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net gain of 54.71% in hedgerow units.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to research<br>on migratory birds and the<br>negative impact solar panels have<br>on them.<br>Some comments refer to<br>alternative options for renewable<br>energy, which do not affect<br>wildlife or biodiversity, and that<br>these should be prioritised<br>instead of this Scheme.<br>Some comments refer to statistics<br>from wider sources detailing the<br>loss of birdlife in the UK. | The current guidance on this subject indicates that the<br>risk posed to birds from solar panels is low, including<br>the conclusions of a 2016 Natural England literature<br>review (NEER012 – Evidence Review of the Impact of<br>Solar Farms on Birds, Bats and General Ecology 2016).<br>Furthermore, Natural England have responded in<br>Section 42 consultation on the Scheme to confirm that<br>impacts upon birds associated with the Humber Estuary<br>SPA are unlikely (see pg.219-220 of <b>5.13 Consultation<br/>Report - Appendix 5.13 - Section 42 Applicant<br/>Response [APP-037].</b><br>The Applicant is not aware of any glint and glare issues<br>affecting local wildlife and captive animals. Solar<br>reflections originating from solar panels will be similar<br>to the one originating from a body of water. Therefore,<br>effects upon animals are likely to be similar to those<br>assessed in Appendix <b>B of 6.3.16.1 Environmental<br/>Statement - Appendix 16.1 Solar Photovoltaic Glint<br/>and Glare Study [APP-132].</b><br>Section 9.6 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement -</b> |
|           |  |       |  | <b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> sets out<br>the extensive findings of all ecological investigations<br>undertaken within the Order Limits together with an   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | appraisal of the relative importance of each species or<br>species group, habitat or designated site. A<br>comprehensive package of mitigation measures has<br>been identified, in tandem with embedded mitigation<br>(see Section 9.6) established through the ecologically<br>sensitive design of the Scheme (such as the wide<br>buffering of all field boundaries and the use of existing<br>hedgerow gaps for accesses). These measures have<br>been further detailed within <b>7.17 Outline Ecological</b><br><b>Protection and Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b> and<br><b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which<br>will ensure that all identified impacts are minimised as<br>far as possible. In many cases, the reversion from<br>intensive agriculture to pasture or meadow grassland<br>with additional hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland<br>habitat creation will bring about positive effects for<br>wildlife. In particular, terrestrial and aquatic<br>invertebrates, botanical diversity, small mammals and<br>many species of bird all stand to benefit. These two<br>management documents are secured through<br>Requirements 8 and 7 respectively of Schedule 2 to<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> |
|           |  |       |                         | In the Applicant's consultant's experience of monitoring<br>over 100 active solar arrays, birds of prey, including<br>owls, are one species group likely to benefit from the   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | provision of large areas of optimal habitat for small<br>mammals such as field voles which require tussocky<br>and dense grassland which will be created within<br>buffered field boundaries.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the loss<br>of the hawthorn hedge, which<br>provides good habitat for birds. | Some very minor hedgerow removal is necessary to<br>accommodate access roads between fields, land parcels<br>and solar panel areas. The approximate location and<br>extent of this removal is set out in <b>Hedgerow Removal</b><br><b>Plans [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> . This removal will<br>involve only very short sections of hedgerow to<br>accommodate internal access roads and will not involve<br>loss of trees, in particular trees protected under any<br>Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs). This will be secured at<br>detailed design, and secured through the Landscape<br>and Ecological Management Plan required by<br>Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 to 3.1_A Draft<br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .<br>Mitigation measures to ensure birds and other species |
|           |  |       |   | are protected during the removal of sections of<br>hedgerow are further detailed within the <b>7.17 Outline</b><br><b>Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy [APP-<br/>326]</b>  |
|           |  |       |   | A substantial net gain for biodiversity is anticipated to<br>be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | <b>088]</b> ), including the planting of several kilometres of species-rich hedgerow resulting in an overall net gain of 54.71% in hedgerow units.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to wildlife<br>mitigation taking 15 years to grow,<br>meaning the first 15 years will see<br>no mitigation. | The LVIA Methodology (set out in <b>6.3.8.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.1 LVIA</b><br><b>Methodology [APP-072]</b> ) that underpins the<br>assessment places a reliance on planting to mitigate<br>adverse effects setting out the three ways in which this<br>mitigation has been approached (para. 1.1.34) being<br>'primary', 'secondary' and 'tertiary' mitigation. The<br>methodology considers that 'secondary mitigation'<br>measures will be established for Year 15 of the Scheme<br>and that "Assessing the impacts of the Scheme at Year 15<br>is considered to be appropriate in the context of landscape<br>character and visual amenity, since it is judged to be the<br>most effective in terms of effectiveness of maturation of<br>planting and the 'time depth' of the receiving landscape".<br>These 'secondary' measures look to add inherent value<br>to the landscape character and reduce visual impacts of<br>the Scheme and its environs and to exceed planning<br>policy expectations.<br>Mitigation measures are also set out in 7.3_A Outline<br>heard bases and Scales is a prosent plan. |
|           |  |       |   | Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] and they are secured<br>through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1-A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | <b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> and will ensure that all identified impacts are minimised as far as possible on existing trees, hedgerows and other habitats.  |
|           |  |       |   | As part of the Biodiversity Net Gain calculations for the<br>Scheme Sites, a temporal risk multiplier is automatically<br>applied to all proposed habitat enhancement and<br>creation. This represents the average time lag,<br>measured in years, between the start of habitat<br>creation or enhancement works and the target<br>outcome. This is known known as 'time to target<br>condition'. This multiplier is automatically applied by<br>the metric and changes depending on data input. In this<br>way, the calculations take into account any temporal<br>losses of habitat function and biodiversity value. |
|           |  |       |   | With the temporal risk multiplier applied, the Scheme<br>has been calculated to achieve a substantial net gain for<br>biodiversity is anticipated to be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 9.12</b><br><b>Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-088]</b> ).  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the loss<br>of key species in the food chain,<br>for example predators and<br>pollinators. | The vast majority of the footprint of the solar array<br>within the Order Limits occupies intensive arable land<br>with highly managed hedgerows and minimal field<br>margins. At present, therefore, the majority of the land<br>is of very limited value to pollinator invertebrate species<br>and the species assemblage is likely to be of low<br>abundance and diversity. The reversion of this arable  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | land almost entirely to low-input grassland managed<br>sensitively to promote its species diversity and create a<br>mosaic of tussocky or flowering grasslands will be of<br>great benefit to the local pollinator species assemblage.<br>This is discussed in more detail in paragraphs 9.7.185 to<br>9.7.195 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement - Chapter 9</b><br><b>Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047].</b>   |
|           |  |       |   | In the Applicant's consultant's experience of monitoring<br>over 100 active solar arrays, birds of prey, including<br>owls, are one species group likely to benefit from the<br>provision of large areas of optimal habitat for small<br>mammals such as field voles which require tussocky<br>and dense grassland which will be created within<br>buffered field boundaries.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment<br>as guesswork rather than facts. | 6.3.9.12 Environmental Statement - Appendix 9.12<br>Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-088]) sets out how<br>a significant net gain for biodiversity has been<br>calculated. Requirement 9 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A] provides that "No part of the<br>authorised development may commence until a<br>biodiversity net gain strategy has been submitted to and<br>approved by the relevant planning authority, in<br>consultation with the relevant statutory nature<br>conservation body." |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | The Biodiversity Net Gain assessment has been carried<br>out using the Defra Biodiversity Metric which is a<br>recognised method for determining the likely change in<br>habitat value through development.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the lack<br>of consideration for EMF<br>frequencies on marine life. | Guidance for controlling levels of electromagnetic fields<br>is restricted to the potential impacts on human health,<br>and as such, effects on animal and plant life were not<br>included as matters to be considered in Section 3.12 of<br><b>6.3.2.2 Environmental Statement - Appendix 2.2 EIA</b><br><b>Scoping Opinion [APP-068]</b> , hence they have not been<br>assessed in the ES. That notwithstanding, the EMF<br>generated by the panels is very low level static fields as<br>a result of their generation at 400V DC. The peak EMF<br>generated by the Scheme is from the Shared Cable<br>Route Corridor, where three 400kV circuits will run in<br>parallel, which is detailed in para. 21.2.3 to 21.2.9 of<br><b>6.2.21 Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br/>Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> . This is not likely to<br>produce significant adverse effects to marine life.<br>Assessment of the impacts from EMF were scoped out<br>of the Environmental Statement on this basis (see<br>Section 3.12 of <b>6.3.2.2 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 2.2 EIA Scoping Opinion [APP-068]</b> ). |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the construction period amplifying wildlife destruction.            | A comprehensive package of mitigation has been<br>provided, in tandem with embedded mitigation (see<br>Section 9.6 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement -</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | <b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> sets out<br>the details of the embedded mitigation which has been<br>incorporated into the ecologically sensitive design of<br>the Scheme, such as the wide buffering of all field<br>boundaries and the use of existing hedgerow gaps for<br>accesses).  |
|           |  |       |  | These additional mitigation measures are further<br>detailed within <b>7.17 Outline Ecological Protection and</b><br><b>Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b> and <b>7.3_A Outline</b><br><b>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] (as secured by Requirement<br>8 and Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A] which will ensure that all<br>identified impacts are minimised as far as possible. |
|           |  |       |  | Mitigation and enhancement measures to avoid or<br>reduce construction impacts are set out in <b>7.1_A</b><br><b>Outline Construction Environmental Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A],</b> which is<br>secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to animals<br>such as deer being displaced by<br>the Scheme and forced to go | An impact on the movement of deer is likely (see bullet<br>point 9 within paragraph 9.6.5 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement -Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-<br/>047]</b> ), although it is acknowledged from the ecological   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       | elsewhere and being likely to cause road traffic accidents.                         | monitoring of numerous active solar schemes that deer<br>are regularly noted within the fenced areas having<br>exploited locations of undulating terrain and other<br>opportunities for entry.   |
|           |  |       |   | The details of fencing and other means of enclosure are<br>secured through Requirement 10 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> where it is stated that "No<br>part of the authorised development may commence until<br>written details of all proposed temporary fences, walls or<br>other means of enclosure, including those set out in the<br>construction environmental management plan, for that<br>part have been submitted to and approved by the relevant<br>planning authority or, where the part falls within the<br>administrative areas of multiple relevant planning<br>authorities, each of the relevant planning authorities." |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to animals<br>around the moat being disturbed<br>by the Scheme. | No specific location details have been provided on the<br>moat but the Applicants answer is based on this<br>meaning the moat which forms part of the medieval<br>bishops palace and deer park at Stow Park. Section 9.7<br>of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement - Chapter 9</b><br><b>Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> sets out the<br>extensive findings of all ecological investigations<br>undertaken within the Order Limits together with an<br>appraisal of the relative importance of each species or<br>species group, habitat or designated site. This survey   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | scope has been formulated through consultation with<br>Natural England as well as Lincolnshire and<br>Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trusts and has deemed to be<br>thorough and appropriate (see <b>6.3.9.1 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.1 Consultation Responses</b><br>[APP-077]).  |
|           |  |       |                         | A comprehensive package of mitigation has been<br>provided, in tandem with embedded mitigation (see<br>Section 9.6 which sets out the details of the embedded<br>mitigation which has been incorporated into the<br>ecologically sensitive design of the Scheme, such as the<br>wide buffering of all field boundaries and the use of<br>existing hedgerow gaps for accesses).   |
|           |  |       |                         | These additional mitigation measures are further<br>detailed within <b>7.17 Outline Ecological Protection and</b><br><b>Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b> and <b>7.3_A Outline</b><br><b>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which will ensure that all<br>identified impacts are minimised as far as possible.<br>These two management documents are secured<br>through Requirements 8 and 7 respectively of Schedule<br>2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> |
|           |  |       |                         | The habitats and associated species within the Bishop's<br>Palace will be protected during the construction phase<br>according to the measures set out within the EPMS   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised                           | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | <b>[APP-326]</b> and the woodland and wetland habitats in this area will be buffered by at least 20m from the solar array.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to a loss of fauna and flora. | In many cases, the reversion from intensive agriculture<br>to pasture or meadow grassland with additional<br>hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland habitat creation will<br>bring about beneficial effects for wildlife. In particular,<br>terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, botanical diversity,<br>small mammals and many species of bird all stand to<br>benefit.   |
|           |  |       |   | In this way, a substantialnet gain for biodiversity is<br>anticipated to be achieved (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net Gain</b><br><b>Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through the creation<br>of extensive low-input grassland resulting in a net gain<br>of 86.80% in habitat units, but also several new ponds<br>and wetland habitat parcels resulting in a net gain of<br>33.25% in river units, and the planting of several<br>kilometres of species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net<br>gain of 54.71% in hedgerow units. |
|           |  |       |   | Requirement 9 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> provides that "No part of the<br>authorised development may commence until a<br>biodiversity net gain strategy has been submitted to and<br>approved by the relevant planning authority, in   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | consultation with the relevant statutory nature conservation body."   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to<br>inadequate provision for wildlife.  | The landscape proposals for the Scheme are set out<br>within the <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> and include 7.1km of newly<br>planted native hedgerow, 13.7ha of native shelter belt /<br>woodland and existing hedgerows being reinforced<br>with native planting. This will improve habitat<br>connectivity within the Order Limits for a range of<br>species. This is secured through Requirement 7 of<br>Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b> |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to spotting<br>Montagu's harrier from footpaths<br>in this area and that the Scheme<br>will detrimentally impact this<br>species. | Montagu's harrier are a scarce passage migrant bird<br>and summer visitor to Lincolnshire with irregular<br>breeding occurring within the county. No sightings of<br>Montagu's harrier were recorded during the breeding<br>bird surveys as set out in <b>6.3.9.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.8 - Breeding Bird Survey</b><br><b>Report [APP-084]</b> and is not thought that this species<br>breeds within the Order Limits.  |
|           |  |       |   | In terms of foraging habitat, it is not considered that the<br>Scheme will have a significant impact on this species. In<br>the Applicant's consultant's experience of monitoring<br>over 100 active solar arrays, the findings regularly result<br>in observations of hunting barn owls, sparrowhawk,  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | kestrel, buzzard and other birds of prey. The presence<br>of the panel structures themselves is therefore not<br>considered to be a significant impediment to hunting,<br>and species such as owls and sparrowhawk thrive<br>within orchard environments which have a similar 3D<br>structure. As set out in <b>6.2.9 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity</b><br><b>[APP-047]</b> , the reversion from arable to low-input<br>grassland will result in a significantly more optimal<br>habitat for the proliferation of small mammals such as<br>field voles which make up a large proportion of the diet<br>of many birds of prey, including Montagu's harrier.<br>Similarly, the creation of tussocky, or flower rich<br>marginal habitats within buffer zones which will be far<br>wider than the present arable field margins will bring<br>about improved habitat for seed-eating passerine birds<br>as well as invertebrates such as dragonflies. In turn,<br>raptor species such as kestrel, hobby and sparrowhawk<br>all stand to benefit. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to<br>herbicide sprays being used to<br>keep infrastructure maintained,<br>but at the expense of wildlife that<br>will be forced away and cannot<br>recolonise. | Herbicide sprays are routinely used on arable land, and<br>as such the cessation of arable use will reduce the<br>requirement for herbicides. The use of herbicides on<br>the Scheme is controlled through <b>7.3_A Outline</b><br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which in Section<br>4 states that herbicides are to be avoided, and only<br>used in spot-treatments for high levels of injurious   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue      | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|------------|---|---|
|           |  |            |   | weeds, or where invasive non-native plant species<br>cannot be hand-pulled. These measures as set out in<br>the Outline LEMP are secured through Requirement 7<br>of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
| ECO-02    | RR-082; RR-271                             | Mitigation | Concern that mitigation for the<br>Scheme is limited or non-existent. | Whilst it has not been possible for the Scheme to avoid<br>all significant residual adverse impacts, these have been<br>identified within <b>the Environmental Statement [APP-<br/>039 to APP-061]</b> and have been minimised, where<br>possible, through careful and sensitive design and<br>detailed mitigation strategies.  |
|           |  |            |   | Section 9.7 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> sets out<br>the extensive findings of all ecological investigations<br>undertaken within the Order Limits together with an<br>appraisal of the relative importance of each species or<br>species group, habitat or designated site. A<br>comprehensive package of mitigation measures has<br>been identified, in tandem with embedded mitigation<br>(see Section 9.6) established through the ecologically<br>sensitive design of the Scheme (such as the wide<br>buffering of all field boundaries and the use of existing<br>hedgerow gaps for accesses). These measures are<br>further detailed within <b>7.17 Outline Ecological</b><br><b>Protection and Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b> and<br><b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological</b> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue          | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|----------------|--|--|
|           |  |                |  | Management Plan [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] (as<br>secured by Requirement 8 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]) which will ensure that all<br>identified impacts are minimised as far as possible. In<br>many cases, the reversion from intensive agriculture to<br>pasture or meadow grassland with additional<br>hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland habitat creation will<br>bring about beneficial effects for wildlife. In particular,<br>terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, botanical diversity,<br>small mammals and many species of bird all stand to<br>benefit. |
| ECO-03    | RR-254                                     | Photosynthesis | Concern that the Scheme will<br>reduce photosynthesis greatly,<br>CO2 uptake will also be reduced,<br>which will result in a greater<br>greenhouse effect. | The Scheme is anticipated to result in a substantial net<br>gain for biodiversity (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net Gain</b><br><b>Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through the creation<br>of extensive low-input grassland resulting in an<br>anticipated net gain of 86.80% in habitat units, but also<br>several new ponds and wetland habitat parcels<br>resulting in an anticipated net gain of 33.25% in river<br>units, and the planting of several kilometres of species-<br>rich hedgerow resulting in an anticipated net gain of<br>54.71% in hedgerow units.    |
|           |  |                |  | This will be secured through the management and ecological monitoring prescriptions contained within <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological</b>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue              | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--------------------|---|---|
|           |  |                    |   | Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] as secured by Requirement 7<br>of 3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision<br>A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |  |                    |   | Invariably, such an increase in habitat, hedgerow and river units will result in an increase of oxygen generating plants.   |
| ECO-04    | RR-262                                     | Wildlife corridors | Concern that the BESS/ operating<br>plant will shut off a large portion<br>of area to wildlife. | The Scheme is anticipated to result in a substantial net<br>gain for biodiversity (see <b>6.3.9.12 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 9.12 Biodiversity Net Gain</b><br><b>Report [APP-088]</b> ), predominantly through the creation<br>of extensive low-input grassland resulting in a net gain<br>of 96.09% in habitat units, but also several new ponds<br>and wetland habitat parcels resulting in a net gain of<br>10.69% in river units, and the planting of several<br>kilometres of species-rich hedgerow resulting in a net<br>gain of 70.22% in hedgerow units.<br>Requirement 9 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> provides that "No part of the<br>authorised development may commence until a<br>biodiversity net gain strategy has been submitted to<br>and approved by the relevant planning authority, in<br>consultation with the relevant statutory nature<br>conservation body." |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | Section 9.7 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b> sets out<br>the extensive findings of all ecological investigations<br>undertaken within the Order Limits together with an<br>appraisal of the relative importance of each species or<br>species group, habitat or designated site. A<br>comprehensive package of mitigation measures has<br>been identified, in tandem with embedded mitigation<br>(see Section 9.7) established through the ecologically<br>sensitive design of the Scheme (such as the wide<br>buffering of all field boundaries and the use of existing<br>hedgerow gaps for accesses). These measures are<br>further detailed within <b>7.19 Outline Ecological</b><br><b>Protection and Mitigation Strategy [APP-326]</b> (as<br>secured by Requirement 8 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ) and <b>7.3_A Outline</b><br><b>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> (as secured by Requirement<br>7 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ) which will<br>ensure that all identified impacts are minimised as far<br>as possible. In many cases, the reversion from intensive<br>agriculture to pasture or meadow grassland with<br>additional hedgerow, scrub, tree and wetland habitat<br>creation will bring about beneficial effects for wildlife. In<br>particular, terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates, |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | botanical diversity, small mammals and many species of bird all stand to benefit.  |
|           |  |       |                         | More specifically, in the Applicant's consultant's<br>experience of monitoring over 100 active solar arrays,<br>the findings are that brown hare and badgers are highly<br>active on solar arrays owing to the improved foraging<br>habitat within them. Birds of prey, including owls, are<br>one species group likely to benefit from the provision of<br>large areas of optimal habitat for small mammals such<br>as field voles which require tussocky and dense<br>grassland which will be created within buffered field<br>boundaries. |
|           |  |       |                         | Construction activities will be temporary, and since they<br>are limited primarily to the piling of metal supports into<br>the ground and laying of electrical cabling, they are not<br>considered to create a level of disturbance which<br>significantly exceeds that generated by typical<br>agricultural sowing, spraying and harvesting operations.<br>Please see Paragraphs 9.7.86 and 9.7.149 of <b>6.2.9</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 9 Ecology and<br/>Biodiversity [APP-047].</b>                                   |



## 3.6 Energy Need

| R  | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue                            | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---|--|
| R<br>R<br>R<br>R<br>R<br>R<br>R<br>R<br>R<br>R<br>R<br>R<br>R<br>R | RR-003; RR-005; RR-021;<br>RR-039; RR-062; RR-073;<br>RR-075; RR-078; RR-080;<br>RR-082; RR-095; RR-106;<br>RR-107; RR-115; RR-119;<br>RR-121; RR-126; RR-156;<br>RR-160; RR-168; RR-186;<br>RR-191; RR-205; RR-220;<br>RR-257; RR-271; RR-272;<br>RR-279; RR-285; RR-293;<br>RR-303; RR-307; RR-334;<br>RR-338; RR-339 | Alternative<br>sources of energy | Comments on a perception that<br>other sources of generating<br>electricity are a better choice than<br>Solar.<br>Some comments refer to technical<br>innovations within the green<br>sector making solar a weak choice<br>compared with other renewable<br>energy technologies.<br>Some comments refer to wind<br>power as a suitable alternative.<br>Some comments refer to tidal and<br>wave power as a suitable<br>alternative.<br>Some comments refer to nuclear<br>power as a suitable alternative.<br>Some comments refer to nuclear<br>power as a suitable alternative.<br>Some comments refer to<br>continued use of coal fired power<br>stations as a suitable alternative.<br>Some comments refer to SMR<br>(Small Modular Reactors) as a<br>suitable alternative. | Chapter 4 of 7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320] sets<br>out the UK's legal requirement to decarbonise and<br>explains how that requirement has created an<br>increased need and urgency to meet the UK's<br>obligations under the Paris Agreement (2015) as<br>detailed within paragraph 4.2.7.<br>The Chapter summarises the latest expert views on the<br>urgency for, and amount of, low-carbon infrastructure<br>needed to deliver the UK's Net Zero legal obligations<br>and demonstrates that there is an urgent need for the<br>development of large-scale solar schemes. Large-scale<br>solar is especially relevant given the closure by 2028 of<br>all but 1.2GW of existing nuclear power stations, and<br>the closure by September 2024 of the last of the UK's<br>operating coal fired power stations. The current and<br>future UK electricity generation mix, and risks<br>associated with technology developments, are analysed<br>in Chapter 5 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> .<br>Both the 2021 and 2023 Draft Revised NPS EN-1<br>articulate the prudence of planning infrastructure<br>development on a conservative basis to ensure that<br>there is sufficient supply of electricity to meet demand<br>across a wide range of future scenarios. Chapter 5 of<br><b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describes the risks<br>associated with the development of nuclear and Carbon |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | Capture, Usage and Storage (CCUS) technology. This<br>supports the Government's identification, included at<br>Paragraph 3.3.8 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> ,<br>"for sustained growth in the capacity of onshore wind and<br>solar in the next decade" and further that a Net-Zero<br>consistent [energy] system in 2050 "is likely to be<br>composed predominantly of wind and solar" (Paragraph<br>3.3.7). This point is reiterated in the newly published<br>March 2023 Draft Revised National Policy Statement<br>EN-3. Figure 7.1 shows National Grid Electricity System<br>Operator's projections of the capacity of solar<br>generation required to deliver a net-zero consistent<br>system, which, as stated in para. 7.2.10, are 25 – 42GW<br>by 2030, and 57 – 92GW by 2050, compared to just<br>14GW today (Section 7.2). |
|           |  |       |                         | The consideration of alternatives has been undertaken<br>within <b>6.2.5 Environmental Statement - Chapter</b><br><b>5_Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043].</b> This<br>includes the consideration of alternative sites (Section<br>5.5), alternative technologies (Section 5.6), alternative<br>layouts (Section 5.7), alternative substation locations<br>(section 5.8) and alternative cable routes (Section 5.9).<br><b>6.2.5 Environmental Statement - Chapter</b><br><b>5_Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043]</b><br>concludes in paragraphs 5.10.3, 5.10.4 and 5.10.5 that<br>alternative potential development areas did not<br>perform as well as the Sites.   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                       | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------|---|--|
|           |  |                             | Some comments refer to<br>improving home energy efficiency<br>as a suitable alternative<br>Some comments refer to nuclear<br>fusion, including at West Burton<br>Power Station, as a suitable<br>alternative. | <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> does not seek to<br>justify or promote the exclusion of any generation (or<br>home energy efficiency) technologies other than solar<br>power from the future GB generation mix. But it does<br>identify that within the context of an urgent need for<br>large capacities of low-carbon generation, risks<br>associated with long-lead time technologies cannot be<br>ignored and therefore supports the Government's<br>prudent approach to planning infrastructure on a<br>conservative basis to ensure that there is sufficient<br>supply of electricity to meet demand across a wide<br>range of future scenarios, I.e. considering the possibility<br>that long-lead time, currently unproven, unconsented<br>or unfunded technologies are either delayed in delivery<br>or cannot ultimately be delivered. |
| ENE-02    | RR-271                                     | Electricity storage<br>need | Concern that in summer when<br>demand is lower there is currently<br>only limited effective power<br>storage available to harvest excess<br>energy.   | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> ,<br>specifically paragraphs 3.3.5 and 3.3.11, describe the<br>Government's view that large capacities of low-carbon<br>generation will be required to meet increased demand<br>and replace output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and<br>that "a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of<br>wind and solar". This support for large scale solar as<br>part of the 'answer' to net zero and energy security has<br>been repeated in the draft national policy statements<br>EN-1 and EN-3, published in March 2023.   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | Figure 7.1 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows National Grid Electricity<br>System Operator's projections of the capacity of solar<br>generation required to deliver a net-zero consistent<br>system, which are 25 – 42GW by 2030, and 57 – 92GW<br>by 2050, compared to just 14GW today, as stated in<br>paragraph 7.2.10.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Chapter 11 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes the need for flexibility<br>to support the integration of renewable energy<br>schemes into the national energy system, including<br>electricity storage and hydrogen, among other<br>technologies. Section 11.6 <b>[APP-320]</b> addresses relevant<br>points in relation to projections of storage capacity in<br>the UK.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Paragraph 11.5.1 <b>[APP-350]</b> explains that the Scheme's grid connection agreement provides 20MW of import power capacity which explains the inclusion of 20MW (as opposed to a greater capacity) of electricity storage capability as part of the Scheme. The Applicant's inclusion of a battery energy storage facility which makes use of the available import power capacity from the Grid provides the capability to deliver flexibility as part of the Scheme. |



## 3.7 General Comments

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | Issue        | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--------------|---|---|
| GEN-01    | RR-005; RR-010; RR-021;<br>RR-022; RR-053; RR-066;<br>RR-082; RR-088; RR-095;<br>RR-098; RR-114; RR-152;<br>RR-156; RR-161; RR-175;<br>RR-194; RR-210; RR-222;<br>RR-247; RR-278; RR-284 | Consultation | <ul><li>Belief that there has not been<br/>enough information presented or<br/>opportunities for discussion<br/>regarding the Scheme.</li><li>Some comments refer to the<br/>Applicant team not being able to<br/>answer basic questions about the<br/>project.</li></ul> | The Applicant is confident that the level of consultation<br>undertaken, and information presented throughout the<br>pre-application stage was in accordance with the<br>Planning Act 2008 and associated guidance. This has<br>been evidenced in <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> ,<br>which was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate and<br>accepted for examination.   |
|           |  |              | Some comments refer to Scheme<br>maps being too small or lacking<br>detail.   | The Applicant is confident that the plans ("maps") and<br>information as a whole submitted to the Planning<br>Inspectorate presents sufficient detail and is of<br>sufficient size, as demonstrated by the Planning<br>Inspectorate's decision to accept the Scheme for<br>examination.   |
|           |  |              | Some comments refer to<br>members of the public not having<br>any knowledge of the Scheme at<br>this point in the application<br>process.<br>Some comments suggest that the<br>Applicant has disregarded the  | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but is<br>confident that the level of consultation undertaken, and<br>information presented throughout the pre-application<br>stage was in accordance with the Planning Act 2008 and<br>associated guidance. This has been evidenced in <b>5.1</b><br><b>Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which was submitted<br>to the Planning Inspectorate and accepted for<br>examination. |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       | community during the application<br>process.<br>Some comments refer to a lack of<br>meetings in villages to discuss the<br>impacts of the Scheme. | For example, as described in Chapter 2 <b>[APP-022]</b> , the<br>Applicant undertook two phases of community<br>consultation to share information and invite feedback<br>at different stages of Scheme development.<br>Chapter 7 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes the Applicant's approach<br>to statutory consultation, including consulting with<br>relevant authorities on a draft Statement of Community<br>Consultation. Table 7.1 sets out the comments received<br>from authorities on the Applicant's approach to<br>consultation and how the Applicant has had regard to<br>these in developing the Scheme. Table 7.3 in Chapter 7<br>describes how the Applicant complied with<br>commitments made in the Statement of Community<br>Consultation when undertaking statutory consultation.<br>Chapter 8 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes how the Applicant<br>undertook a six-week statutory phase two consultation<br>on the Scheme, during which the Applicant presented<br>consultees to understand the potential likely<br>significant effects of the Scheme in a Preliminary<br>Environmental Impact Report (PEIR). A non-technical<br>summary was published to accompany the PEIR, with<br>public information events and free-to-use<br>communications channels open to help aid accessibility<br>and understanding of the Scheme. A Consultation<br>Summary Report for this phase of statutory<br>consultation was published on the dedicated Scheme |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | <ul> <li>website, shared with elected representatives and<br/>stakeholders and issued to over 9,000 properties within<br/>the vicinity of the Scheme, to help consultees<br/>understand how their feedback was being considered.<br/>A copy of the Phase Two Consultation Summary Report<br/>is provided at pp.36-43 of <b>5.7 Consultation Report</b> -<br/><b>Appendix 5.7 Phase Two Community Consultation</b><br/><b>Materials - Part 3 of 3 [APP-031]</b>.</li> <li>Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b><br/>describes the significant volume of responses received<br/>to Section 47 consultation (local community), including<br/>the issues raised and how the Applicant has had regard<br/>to these in developing the Scheme. This is further<br/>evidenced by <b>5.12 Consultation Report - Appendix</b><br/><b>5.12 - Section 47 Applicant Response [APP-036]</b>.</li> <li>The host authorities have confirmed that the statutory<br/>consultation process was adequate [<b>AoC-001</b> to <b>AoC-<br/>013</b>].</li> </ul> |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to<br>documents such as the PEIR, and<br>believe the information presented<br>to the public was misleading.<br>Some comments refer to<br>perceived misleading facts and | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but is<br>confident that the level of consultation undertaken, and<br>information presented throughout the pre-application<br>stage was in accordance with the Planning Act 2008 and<br>associated guidance. This has been evidenced in <b>5.1</b><br><b>Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which was submitted<br>to the Planning Inspectorate and accepted for<br>examination.   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       | figures shown in consultation leaflets.  |   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to not<br>having their feedback reported<br>following targeted consultation on<br>West Burton 3. | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but is<br>confident that the level of consultation undertaken, and<br>information presented throughout the pre-application<br>stage was in accordance with the Planning Act 2008 and<br>associated guidance. This has been evidenced in <b>5.1</b><br><b>Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which was submitted<br>to the Planning Inspectorate and accepted for<br>examination.   |
|           |  |       |  | Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b><br>describes the significant volume of responses received<br>to Section 47 consultation (local community), including<br>the issues raised and how the Applicant has had regard<br>to these in developing the Scheme. This is further<br>evidenced by <b>5.12 Consultation Report - Appendix</b><br><b>5.12 - Section 47 Applicant Response [APP-036]</b> .<br><b>Table 5.12.12</b> of this appendix details the responses<br>received to Section 47 regarding targeted consultation<br>on updated proposals for the West Burton 3 site area. |
|           |  |       |  | Chapter 12 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b><br>describes the significant volume of responses received<br>to Section 42 consultation (statutory consultees),<br>including the issues raised and how the Applicant has<br>had regard to these in developing the Scheme. <b>Table</b><br><b>5.13.2</b> of <b>Consultation Report – Appendix 5.13-</b>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | Section 42 Applicant Response [APP-037] details the<br>responses received to consultation under Section 42 of<br>the Planning Act 2008 regarding targeted consultation<br>on updated proposals for the West Burton 3 site area.<br>The host authorities have confirmed that the statutory<br>consultation process was adequate [AoC-001 to AoC-<br>013].  |
|           |  |       | Some comments claim local<br>businesses have not been<br>consulted.<br>Some comments claim local<br>farmers/ landowners have not<br>been consulted. | The Applicant acknowledges this comment but is<br>confident that the level of consultation undertaken, and<br>information presented throughout the pre-application<br>stage was in accordance with the Planning Act 2008 and<br>associated guidance. This has been evidenced in <b>5.1</b><br><b>Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which was submitted<br>to the Planning Inspectorate and accepted for<br>examination. |
|           |  |       |   | Throughout the consultation process the Applicant has<br>welcomed feedback from a range of stakeholders,<br>including communities, landowners and occupiers, on<br>the Scheme proposals. The outcome of this<br>consultation and engagement is evidenced in <b>5.1</b><br><b>Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which was submitted<br>to the Planning Inspectorate and accepted for<br>examination.                     |
|           |  |       |   | For example, Table 1.1 of Chapter 1 to <b>5.1</b><br><b>Consultation Report [APP-022],</b> details how the cable<br>route corridor for the Scheme has been refined through  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | engagement and consultation with landowners and the community.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 to <b>5.1 Consultation Report</b><br>[ <b>APP-022</b> ], details how the Applicant provided<br>consultation documents to Landowners to inform them<br>regarding upcoming statutory consultation and<br>methods to provide feedback.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Chapter 7 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes the Applicant's approach<br>to statutory consultation, including consulting with<br>relevant authorities on a draft Statement of Community<br>Consultation. Table 7.1 sets out the comments received<br>from authorities on the Applicant's approach to<br>consultation and how the Applicant has had regard to<br>these in developing the Scheme. Table 7.3 in Chapter 7<br>describes how the Applicant complied with<br>commitments made in the Statement of Community<br>Consultation when undertaking statutory consultation. |
|           |  |       |                         | Chapter 8 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes how the Applicant<br>undertook a six-week statutory phase two consultation<br>on the Scheme, during which the Applicant presented<br>consultees with environmental information sufficient<br>for consultees to understand the potential likely<br>significant effects of the Scheme in a Preliminary<br>Environmental Impact Report (PEIR). A non-technical<br>summary was published to accompany the PEIR, with<br>public information events and free-to-use   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | communications channels open to help aid accessibility<br>and understanding of the Scheme. A Consultation<br>Summary Report for this phase of statutory<br>consultation was published on the dedicated Scheme<br>website, shared with elected representatives and<br>stakeholders and issued to over 9,000 properties within<br>the vicinity of the Scheme, to help consultees<br>understand how their feedback was being considered.<br>A copy of the Phase Two Consultation Summary Report<br>is provided at pp.36-43 of <b>5.7 Consultation Report -</b><br><b>Appendix 5.7 Phase Two Community Consultation</b><br><b>Materials - Part 3 of 3 [APP-031]</b> .<br>Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> |
|           |  |       |  | describes the significant volume of responses received<br>to Section 47 consultation (local community), including<br>the issues raised and how the Applicant has had regard<br>to these in developing the Scheme. This is further<br>evidenced by <b>5.12 Consultation Report - Appendix</b><br><b>5.12 - Section 47 Applicant Response [APP-036]</b> .   |
|           |  |       |  | The host authorities have confirmed that the statutory consultation process was adequate <b>[AoC-001 to AoC-013]</b> .  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>Scheme being placed out of the<br>community's hands. | The Applicant is confident that the level of consultation<br>undertaken and information presented throughout the<br>pre-application stage is in accordance with the Planning<br>Act 2008 and associated guidance. For example, as   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | described in Chapter 2 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report</b><br>[ <b>APP-022</b> ], the Applicant undertook two phases of<br>community consultation to share information and invite<br>feedback at different stages of Scheme development.   |
|           |  |       |   | Additionally, as stated in paragraph 5.2.2 of <b>7.5</b><br><b>Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> , the Scheme is defined<br>as a nationally significant infrastructure project(NSIP)<br>under Sections 14(1)(a), 15(1) and 15(2) of the Planning<br>Act 2008.   |
|           |  |       |   | As such, under Section 103 of the Planning Act 2008,<br>the Secretary of State has the responsibility of deciding<br>an application for an order granting development<br>consent, rather than the local planning authority.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the Stow<br>Park Solar Scheme, indicating that<br>schemes where the local<br>community / authority decides<br>should be prioritised. | Section 3.3 of document <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b><br>[ <b>APP-320</b> ] describes the Government's view that "a<br>secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent system<br>in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind<br>and solar".   |
|           |  |       |   | Paragraph 8.5.10 and Section 8.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> more<br>generally describe and express agreement with the<br>Government's view that decentralised and community<br>energy systems are unlikely to lead to the significant<br>replacement of large-scale infrastructure, leading to<br>support for large scale solar within the emerging draft<br>National Policy Statements (March 2023) and |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue            | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|------------------|--|---|
|           |   |                  |  | Government's view that large scale solar must be<br>deployed to meet the urgent national need for low-<br>carbon electricity generation. This means that both<br>nationally significant infrastructure project proposals<br>(determined by the relevant Secretary of State), and<br>smaller proposals that will be determined by the local<br>planning authority are required to meet the national<br>need for renewable energy generating capacity.  |
| GEN-02    | RR-021; RR-156  | Disclosure       | Belief that there is no reference in<br>the EIA to disclosure by the<br>companies used to produce the<br>document, nor reference to<br>conflict of interest to assess<br>whether there is impartial<br>transparency. | The Applicant has appointed a range of professionally<br>qualified consultants to undertake and compile the<br>assessment work required in the preparation and<br>submission of a DCO. Within <b>6.3.1.1 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 1.1 Statement of Competence</b><br><b>[APP-062]</b> sets out the qualifications and experiences of<br>the EIA technical leads and coordinators. This is<br>provided in order to comply with Paragraph 14(4)(b) of<br>the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact<br>Assessment) Regulations 2017. |
| GEN-03    | RR-058; RR-082; RR-088;<br>RR-092; RR-099; RR-106;<br>RR-120; RR-132; RR-169;<br>RR-324; RR-336 | General concerns | Belief that the Scheme will<br>generally have a disproportionate<br>negative impact on local<br>individuals and communities.<br>Comments on disruption to a<br>phenomenal number of people.                          | The Applicant is committed to providing a Community<br>Benefit Fund (see paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>7.5 Planning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-313]</b> ). This fund will be available for<br>community-based benefits such as (but not limited to)<br>community-led energy related projects.<br>With regard to local employment and the local<br>economic environment, it is acknowledged that the<br>majority of employment and economic benefit will be  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>application as being unfair.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>Scheme being a 'con', or a case of<br>'greenwashing'. | experienced in the construction industry (see para 4.6.1<br>bullet point 3 <b>[APP-313]</b> ). That notwithstanding, there<br>are wider anticipated benefits through indirect<br>employment and spending which will benefit local<br>manufacturers, suppliers, maintenance workers, and<br>induced employment and spending which will benefit<br>the wider local economy through increased spending by<br>employees of the Scheme and its supply chains (see<br>para 4.6.1). The full assessment of the extent of these<br>likely effects is set out in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio</b><br><b>Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> .  |
|           |  |       |   | Impacts on the local socio-demographic environment<br>across the Scheme's construction, operation, and<br>decommissioning phases have also been assessed in<br>Section 18.7 <b>[APP-056]</b> . This includes assessment of the<br>existing resident demographic profile, access to<br>primary healthcare, population health and wellbeing,<br>deprivation, and skills and qualifications. Subject to<br>mitigation and enhancement measures as set out in<br>Section 18.8 <b>[APP-056]</b> , the Scheme is not anticipated to<br>have any significant adverse impacts on the socio-<br>demographic environment. The Scheme is however<br>anticipated to have significant beneficial effects on<br>access to employment (para. 18.8.12) and education<br>(para. 18.8.13) as measures indices of deprivation<br>during construction. A full table of the anticipated |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | impacts on the socio-economic environment of the<br>Local Impact Area (West Lindsey and Bassetlaw<br>districts) can be found at Table 18.23 <b>[APP-056]</b> .  |
|           |  |       |                         | Section 5 of <b>7.10 Skills Supply Chain and Employment</b><br><b>Plan [APP-319]</b> demonstrates what additional<br>measures are being pursued as part of the Scheme to<br>provide local economic benefits. These include<br>providing additional skills training (paras. 5.2.1 to<br>5.2.12), maximising local recruitment and enhancing<br>opportunities for local procurement (paras. 5.3.1 to<br>5.4.6). |
|           |  |       |                         | The Applicant confirms that a Skills, Supply Chain and<br>Employment Plan is secured by Requirement 20 of<br>Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
|           |  |       |                         | Whilst the Scheme has not been able to avoid all<br>significant residual adverse impacts, when considered<br>against the community benefits (as above and<br>explained in full through Section 4.6 of <b>7.5 Planning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-313]</b> , the Applicant does not consider<br>that the Scheme will disproportionately adversely<br>impact the local community.                                 |
|           |  |       |                         | The environmental benefits from the Scheme as<br>identified in the Environmental Statement, its<br>appendices, and other documents supporting the DCO<br>Application, are secured by the requirements as set out  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue  | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
|           |  |  |   | in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| GEN-04    | RR-063; RR-320                             | General concerns<br>and PEIR<br>inaccuracies | Respondent provides list of<br>sections in the PEIR in which they<br>believe is inaccurate. Respondent<br>has also provided a list of general   | The Applicant is confident that the information<br>presented in the DCO application submission<br>documents is accurate.<br>With regard to information regarding the generating   |
|           | concerns as                                | concerns associated with these.              | capacity of the Scheme, and the benefit of the Scheme<br>towards national electricity generation, the Applicant is<br>confident that the information presented in <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042], WB6.2.7_A ES Chapter 7</b><br><b>Climate Change Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.2.7_A]</b> , and <b>7.11 Statement of</b><br><b>Need [APP-320]</b> is accurate. |   |
|           |  |  |   | The Applicant affirms to the Party that the consideration of alternatives and the Scheme's design evolution has been undertaken and is detailed within <b>6.2.5 Environmental Statement - Chapter 5</b><br><b>Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043]</b> . This includes the consideration of alternative substation locations (section 5.8) and alternative cable routes (Section 5.9). |
|           |  |  |   | With regard to comments regarding the adequacy of<br>the consultation process, throughout the consultation<br>process the Applicant has welcomed feedback from a<br>range of stakeholders, including communities,   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | landowners and occupiers, on the Scheme proposals.<br>The outcome of this consultation and engagement is<br>evidenced in <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b> , which<br>was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate and<br>accepted for examination.  |
|           |  |       |                         | For example, Chapter 7 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes the Applicant's approach to statutory consultation.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Chapter 8 <b>[APP-022]</b> describes how the Applicant<br>undertook a six-week statutory phase two consultation<br>on the Scheme, during which the Applicant presented<br>consultees with environmental information sufficient<br>for consultees to understand the potential likely<br>significant effects of the Scheme in a Preliminary<br>Environmental Impact Report (PEIR). A non-technical<br>summary was published to accompany the PEIR, with<br>public information events and free-to-use<br>communications channels open to help aid accessibility<br>and understanding of the Scheme. A Consultation<br>Summary Report for this phase of statutory<br>consultation was published on the dedicated Scheme<br>website, shared with elected representatives and<br>stakeholders and issued to over 9,000 properties within<br>the vicinity of the Scheme, to help consultees<br>understand how their feedback was being considered.<br>A copy of the Phase Two Consultation Summary Report<br>is provided as <b>5.7 Appendix 5.7: Phase Two</b> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                              | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|------------------------------------|---|---|
|           |  |                                    |   | Community Consultation Materials [APP-029 – APP-031].   |
|           |  |                                    |   | Chapter 11 of <b>5.1 Consultation Report [APP-022]</b><br>describes the significant volume of responses received<br>to Section 47 consultation (local community), including<br>the issues raised and how these were considered by the<br>Applicant. This is further evidenced by <b>5.12 Appendix</b><br><b>5.12: Consultation Report Appendix – Section 47</b><br><b>Applicant Response [APP-036]</b> .  |
| GEN-05    | RR-167                                     | Keep informed                      | Respondent would like to be kept<br>informed of developments with<br>the Scheme.                        | The Applicant recommends that the Party utilises the<br>Planning Inspectorate's "Email Updates" service, as can<br>be accessed from the Planning Inspectorate's West<br>Burton Solar Project home page.   |
| GEN-06    | RR-184                                     | Moving to<br>location of<br>Scheme | Since becoming aware of the<br>Scheme, the respondent believes<br>this may affect whether they<br>move. | The direct impact on the desirability of the area<br>surrounding the Scheme to live within has not been<br>assessed in the Environmental Statement. That<br>notwithstanding, the likely impacts on the desirability<br>and use of the area surrounding the Scheme for<br>recreation has been assessed in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio</b><br><b>Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056].</b> The<br>assessment identifies a short- to medium-term<br>moderate adverse effect on long distance recreational<br>routes during the construction phase of the Scheme<br>(para. 18.7.62). This effect is <b>significant adverse</b> , but is<br>the only identified significant adverse effect to |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | desirability and use of recreation sites nearby to the Scheme.  |
|           |  |       |                         | The layout of the Sites has been informed by a series of design parameters and include offset distances as a result of needing to balance the functionality of the Scheme against environmental considerations (see paragraph 8.6.21 of <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement -Chapter 8_Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment[APP-046]</b> ).  |
|           |  |       |                         | Paragraph 8.3.10 <b>[APP-046]</b> notes the [Secretary of<br>State's] need to "judge whether the visual effects on<br>sensitive receptors, such as local residents, and other<br>receptors, such as visitors to the local area, outweigh the<br>benefits of the project". The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> sets out<br>details of the offsets that are proposed around<br>sensitive receptors such as settlement edges, individual<br>residential properties, PRoW and transport routes (see<br>section 8.11 <b>[APP-046]</b> ) which aim to assist in the<br>assimilation and dispersion of the Scheme across the<br>landscape. |
|           |  |       |                         | Mitigation, including offsets and planting are in line with<br>the agreed methodology and the hierarchy of approach<br>advocated by the Guidelines for Landscape and Visual<br>Impact Assessment, 3rd Edition and was agreed with<br>Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) at the series of   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue        | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--------------|---|--|
|           |  |              |   | workshops, as set out in <b>6.3.8.4 Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.4 Consultation [APP-075]</b> .  |
|           |  |              |   | The LVIA (para. 8.6.21) <b>[APP-046]</b> notes with regard to<br>ES Topic overlaps that "With Ecology, the aim was to<br>improve the value of the landscape and reflect appropriate<br>local and regional aims and objectives for ecology and<br>biodiversity. <b>The Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan (LEMP) [EN010132/APP/WB7.3]</b><br>sets out a framework for the establishment of planting on<br>Site for the duration of the Scheme; together with the<br>management and monitoring of the landscape and<br>ecological mitigation and enhancement of habitats on<br>which this framework is based". |
| GEN-07    | RR-279                                     | Pilot Scheme | Suggestion for reducing the<br>Scheme area, and the proposed<br>area for Cottam Solar Project, by<br>two-thirds, and treating the<br>remainder as a pilot scheme. | Figure 7.1 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> shows<br>National Grid Electricity System Operator's projections<br>of the capacity of solar generation required to deliver a<br>net-zero consistent system, which are 25 – 42GW by<br>2030, and 57 – 92GW by 2050, compared to just 14GW<br>today as stated in paragraph 7.2.10.  |
|           |  |              |   | Paragraph 12.1.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> concludes that "Large-scale solar generation is essential to support the urgent decarbonisation of the GB electricity sector" and paragraph 4.4.11 describes that the location of the Scheme presents a "highly suitable solution for the efficient delivery of solar at scale over timeframe which will provide significant   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue               | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                     |  | decarbonisation benefits". Resultingly, it concludes that<br>this Scheme and others located near it will all be<br>essential for the decarbonisation of the UK electricity<br>sector.  |
| GEN-08    | RR-037; RR-267                             | Scheme<br>operation | Concern regarding<br>ownership/operation of the<br>Scheme if current Applicant goes<br>out of business.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>ownership of Botley West Solar<br>Farm and perceived links to<br>Russia. Belief that this speaks for<br>the wider solar industry in the UK. | Section 2.1 of <b>4.2 Funding Statement [APP-020]</b> sets<br>out the corporate structure of the Applicant Island<br>Green Power, Foresight Group and Macquarie Group<br>have significant experience in developing and financing<br>renewable energy projects including ground mounted<br>solar. The Funding Statement also sets out the<br>estimated costs of the Scheme and how it will be<br>funded.<br>The development consent order is personal to the<br>Applicant (and National Grid in respect of Work No. 4).<br>Article 35 requires the Secretary of State's consent to be<br>obtained before the benefit of the draft DCO can be<br>transferred to another company except in certain<br>limited circumstances.<br>Article 47 requires a parent company guarantee or<br>other form of security that has been approved by the<br>Secretary of State to be in place before the compulsory<br>acquisition and temporary use powers can be<br>exercised. |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | Decommissioning of the Scheme is sufficiently secured<br>by Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 of the draft DCO<br><b>[EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . Decommissioning must take place<br>when any part of the Scheme has permanently ceased<br>to generate electricity on a commercial basis. Prior to<br>decommissioning, the Applicant must submit a<br>decommissioning plan to the relevant local planning<br>authority for approval. The decommissioning plan must<br>be substantially in accordance with the Outline<br>Decommissioning Statement <b>[APP-310]</b> which is a<br>certified document pursuant to Schedule 14 of the draft<br>DCO. A breach of a requirement of a DCO, or a plan<br>approved under it, is an offence pursuant to section<br>161 of the Planning Act 2008. If the Applicant were to<br>fail to decommission the Scheme, or decommission the<br>Scheme without preparing, submitting and having the<br>decommissioning plan approved, then this would<br>amount to a criminal offence which is sufficient<br>deterrent to ensure compliance.<br>Paragraph 5.4.7 of <b>7.10 Skills Supply Chain and<br/>Employment Plan [APP-319]</b> states that "Any<br>procurement of supplies internationally will comply<br>with both national and international law, and all policy<br>and safety measures will be adhered to in the |
|           |  |       |                         | with both national and international law, and all polic  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                       | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                             |  | A Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan is secured<br>through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| GEN-09    | RR-205; RR-257                             | Supply chain with<br>Russia | Comment that any oil used will<br>probably be Russian and<br>therefore support the Russian<br>invasion of Ukraine. | The Applicant is seeking consent for the West Burton<br>Solar Farm, a renewable solar scheme. As detailed<br>throughout the submission documents to the<br>Examining Authority, the Scheme seeks to contribute to<br>the UK's low carbon transition and removal of and<br>dependency upon fossil fuels, wherever these may be<br>sourced. |
| GEN-10    | RR-122                                     | Tillbridge Solar            | Registration to object to Tillbridge<br>Solar.   | The Applicant notes this comment.   |



## 3.8 Glint and Glare

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference                   | lssue           | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-----------------|--|---|
| GLI-01    | RR-021; RR-053; RR-067;<br>RR-106; RR-154; RR-156;<br>RR-312 | Glint and Glare | Concern that glint and glare from<br>the solar panels will cause issues.<br>Some comments refer to drivers<br>being blinded by the glare from<br>the solar panels.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>cumulative effect of all solar<br>schemes in the region causing<br>glint and glare risks on Lincoln<br>Cliff Road. | <ul> <li>6.3.16.1 Environmental Statement - Appendix 16.1</li> <li>Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study [APP-132]</li> <li>considers glint and glare effects upon receptors such as</li> <li>Public Rights of Way, dwellings, roads, railway</li> <li>infrastructure as well as aviation receptors (see the executive summary (pg.3 [APP-132]).</li> <li>Where glint and glare effects are predicted to be of</li> <li>"Moderate" or higher impact (paragraph 16.8.2 of 6.2.16</li> <li>Environmental Statement - Chapter 16 Glint and</li> <li>Glare [APP-054]) embedded mitigation has been</li> <li>implemented as part of 6.4.8.18.1_A-6.4.8.18.3_A</li> <li>Environmental Statement - Figures 8.18.1_A to 8.18.3</li> <li>_A - Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and</li> <li>Enhancement Measures [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to</li> <li>WB6.4.8.18.3_A]).</li> <li>6.3.16.1 Environmental Statement - Appendix 16.1</li> <li>Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study [APP-132]</li> </ul> |
|           |  |                 |  | considers the cumulative impacts of other solar<br>schemes. A Minor/Negligible Adverse impact is<br>predicted (see paragraph 16.10.3 of <b>6.2.16</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 16 Glint and</b><br><b>Glare [APP-054]</b> ). The Applicant infers that the<br>comment specifically is in relation to the B1398 road,   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | which has not been assessed due to it being located<br>more than 1km away from the panelled area at the<br>West Burton 1 Site.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to light<br>pollution causing adverse effects<br>to local wildlife e.g. owls. | The current guidance on this subject indicates that the<br>risk posed to birds from solar panels is low, having<br>regard to the conclusions of a 2016 Natural England<br>literature review (NEER012 – Evidence Review of the<br>Impact of Solar Farms on Birds, Bats and General<br>Ecology 2016). Furthermore, Natural England have<br>responded in Section 42 consultation to confirm that<br>impacts upon birds associated with the Humber Estuary<br>SPA are unlikely (see pg. 219-220 of <b>5.13 Consultation<br/>Report Appendix 5.13 – Section 42 Applicant</b><br><b>Response [APP-037]</b> . |
|           |  |       |   | The Applicant is not aware of any glint and glare issues<br>affecting local wildlife and captive animals. Solar<br>reflections originating from solar panels will be similar<br>to the reflections originating from a body of water.<br>Therefore, effects upon animals are likely to be similar<br>to those assessed in Appendix B of <b>6.3.16.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 16.1 Solar</b><br><b>Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study [APP-132]</b> .  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the risk<br>to aviation due to glare from the<br>panels.                   | <b>6.2.16 Environmental Statement - Chapter 16 Glint</b><br><b>and Glare [APP-054]</b> has considered the impact upon<br>aviation operations and infrastructure associated with<br>the nearby airfields through sections 3.1 to 3.3 of   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | 6.3.16.1 Environmental Statement - Appendix 16.1<br>Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study [APP-132]<br>and concludes through paragraph 16.8.3 of 6.2.16<br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 16 Glint and<br>Glare [APP-054] that "Minor/Negligible Adverse effects<br>are predicted in respect of aviation receptors."<br>Furthermore, consultation with local airports and<br>airfields has not resulted in any objection or concerns<br>regarding glint and glare impacts on their operations. |
|           |  |       | Some comments cite personal<br>experiences of eye pain following<br>living next to a solar farm. | Appendix B within 6.3.16.1 Environmental Statement<br>- Appendix 16.1 Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare<br>Study [APP-132] details studies assessing the intensity<br>of solar reflections. Solar reflections originating from<br>the Scheme will have a very similar intensity to that of<br>water and will not be unlike reflections experienced by<br>an individual in daily life.   |



## 3.9 Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue      | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|------------|---|---|
| HFD-01    | RR-010; RR-020; RR-022;<br>RR-054; RR-106; RR-139;<br>RR-154; RR-222; RR-251;<br>RR-262; RR-284; RR-312 | Flood risk | Comment that the Scheme and its<br>associated components could<br>cause a flood/drainage risk.<br>Some comments refer to the site<br>area as being within an existing<br>flood risk area. | As stated in paragraph 10.8.19 and 10.8.20 of <b>6.2.10</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 10 Hydrology<br>Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048] maintaining the<br>existing surface water run-off regime by utilising<br>permeable surfacing for the Site access, linear<br>infiltration trenches around any proposed<br>infrastructure (substations and batteries) and<br>wildflower planting at the leeward edge of solar panels<br>will ensure that the Scheme is unlikely to generate<br>surface water runoff rates beyond the baseline scenario<br>and will therefore not contribute to additional flooding<br>off-site.<br>The flood risk at the Sites and within the Cable Route<br>Corridor has been assessed and is detailed within<br><b>6.3.10.1-6.3.10.6 Environmental Statement -</b><br>Appendices 10.1-10.6 Flood Risk Assessment and<br>Drainage Strategy Reports [APP-089 to APP-<br>094]. Embedded mitigation to ensure the Sites are at an<br>acceptable risk of flooding is explained within section<br>10.7 of <b>6.2.10 Environmental Statement - Chapter 10</b><br>Hydrology Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048]. |
|           |   |            | Some comments refer to the lack of mitigation for a flood.  | The flood risk at the Sites and within the Cable Route<br>Corridor has been assessed and is detailed within<br><b>6.3.10.1-6.3.10.6 Environmental Statement –</b>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | Appendices 10.1-10.6 Flood Risk Assessment and<br>Drainage Strategy Reports [APP-089 to APP-<br>094]. Embedded mitigation to ensure the Sites are at an<br>acceptable risk of flooding is explained within section<br>10.7 of 6.2.10 Environmental Statement – Chapter 10<br>Hydrology Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048]  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>concern that the scheme will<br>transfer more water into the<br>dykes than previously and/or at a<br>faster rate, due to a perception<br>that the land will no longer hold<br>the water which could possibly<br>cause flooding further<br>downstream. | As stated in paragraph 10.8.19 and 10.8.20 of <b>6.2.10</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Chapter 10 Hydrology</b><br><b>Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048]</b> maintaining the<br>existing surface water run-off regime by utilising<br>permeable surfacing for the Site access, linear<br>infiltration trenches around any proposed<br>infrastructure (substations and batteries) and<br>wildflower planting at the leeward edge of solar panels<br>will ensure that the Scheme is unlikely to generate<br>surface water runoff rates beyond the baseline<br>scenario. |
|           |  |       |   | As stated in <b>6.3.10.1 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Appendix 10.1 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage</b><br><b>Strategy Report [APP-089]</b> , any runoff from<br>hardstanding/small buildings on the Sites will be<br>captured on site, to prevent increasing runoff from the<br>Sites.   |
|           |  |       |   | Provision of a full surface water drainage scheme is secured by Requirement 11 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | Draft Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the land<br>in the area as clay-like, which will<br>not absorb runoff water<br>efficiently. | The existing underlying geology is considered as the<br>baseline scenario and explained within 6.3.10.1 to<br>6.3.10.5 Environmental Statement – Appendix 10.1<br>to 10.5 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage<br>Strategy Report [APP-089 to APP-093],   |
|           |  |       |  | As stated in paragraph 10.8.19 and 10.8.20 of <b>6.2.10</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 10 Hydrology</b><br><b>Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048]</b> maintaining the<br>existing surface water run-off regime by utilising<br>permeable surfacing for the Site access, linear<br>infiltration trenches around any proposed<br>infrastructure (substations and batteries) and<br>wildflower planting at the leeward edge of solar panels<br>will ensure that the Scheme is unlikely to generate<br>surface water runoff rates beyond the baseline<br>scenario. |
|           |  |       |  | As stated in <b>6.3.10.1 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Appendix 10.1 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage</b><br><b>Strategy Report [APP-089]</b> , any runoff from<br>hardstanding/small buildings on the Sites will be<br>captured on site, to prevent increasing runoff from the<br>Sites.   |
|           |  |       |  | Provision of a full surface water drainage scheme is secured by Requirement 11 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | Draft Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to laying<br>new tracks and access routes<br>during the construction process<br>will cause compaction of the soil<br>and ongoing maintenance will<br>cause further compaction to the<br>soil, which is already less aerated,<br>reducing its ability to absorb<br>rainwater. | The compaction of soils is discussed in Section 4.0 of<br>6.3.10.1 Environmental Statement – Appendix 10.1<br>Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy<br>Report [APP-089]. Whilst compaction during the<br>construction stages is possible, it will be mitigated and<br>remediated through the measures set out in the soil<br>management plan 6.3.19.2 Environmental Statement<br>– Appendix 19.2 Outline Soil Management Plan [APP-<br>138], which is secured by Requirement 19 of Schedule 2<br>to 3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision<br>A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
|           |  |       | Concern that the Lincoln Flood<br>Scheme will be used more and<br>more going forward as<br>Lincolnshire battles with climate<br>change. Concern that a similar<br>issue will take place with this<br>Scheme.   | As stated in paragraph 10.8.19 and 10.8.20 of <b>6.2.10</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 10 Hydrology</b><br><b>Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-048]</b> maintaining the<br>existing surface water run-off regime by utilising<br>permeable surfacing for the Site access, linear<br>infiltration trenches around any proposed<br>infrastructure (substations and batteries) and<br>wildflower planting at the leeward edge of solar panels<br>will ensure that the Scheme is unlikely to generate<br>surface water runoff rates beyond the baseline<br>scenario.             |
|           |  |       |  | Maintaining the existing surface water run-off regime will ensure the Scheme will not contribute to additional  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | flooding off-site and therefore will not contribute to<br>additional or more frequent use of the River Till Flood<br>Storage Reservoir or any other flood infrastructure over<br>and above the existing baseline scenario. |



## 3.10 Landscape and Visual Impact

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue           | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-----------------|---|---|
| LAN-01    | RR-267                                     | Broxholme views | Concern that the flat landscape<br>means that the Scheme will be<br>clearly visible from Broxholme.<br>Further concern that three of the<br>four walking or driving routes<br>used by the respondent will be<br>blighted. | The Scheme will provide extensive areas of mitigation<br>along the existing sections of footpaths, bridleways and<br>driving routes to enhance their amenity value and<br>benefit the public as a whole, as demonstrated in <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Statement -Chapter 8 Landscape and</b><br>Visual Impact Assessment [APP-046] (the 'LVIA') (see<br>paragraphs 8.8.22 to 8.9.29). With regard to Broxholme,<br>the proposed mitigation would apply to receptors<br>PR006 (Brox/198/1), PR007 (Brox/197/1) and PR008<br>(Brox/196/1), which are shown on 6.4.8.10.1<br><b>Environmental Statement - Figure 8.10.1 PROW</b><br><b>Receptors - West Burton 1 [APP-180].</b><br>The landscape mitigation measures are shown on<br><b>EN010132/EX1/WB6.4.8.18.3_A</b> Figures 8.18.1-A to<br>8.18.3-A Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br>Enhancement Plans <b>[WB6.4.8.18.1_A to</b><br><b>WB6.4.8.18.3_A]</b> .<br>Please also refer to <b>EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A Outline</b><br><b>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | Development Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
|           |  |       |                         | The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> also considers the impacts and<br>effects on residential receptors as part of the<br>assessment process. The assessment has considered<br>residential receptors within the 1km Study Area for the<br>Scheme and the 0.5km Study Area from the outer<br>boundary of the Cable Route Corridor (para. 8.4.12).<br>The detailed analysis of these residential receptors is<br>set out at <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental Statement</b> -<br><b>Appendix 8.3 Assessment of Potential Visual Effects</b><br><b>[APP-074].</b> The relevant singular buildings in the vicinity<br>of Broxholme include R012, R013, R014, R015 and R096<br>and groups of buildings include R034 and R078. |
|           |  |       |                         | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and use of recreational facilities in the countryside, such as public rights of way, have been assessed in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest level of effect to access, desirability and use of public rights of way near Broxholme during construction is short-term moderate-minor adverse (see Table 18.15). This is not considered to be   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | lssue             | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------------------|---|--|
|           |  |                   |   | significant. Furthermore, during operation, the level of<br>impact on these public rights of way is anticipated to be<br>no greater than a long-term minor adverse effect (see<br>Table 18.20). These effects are not considered to be<br>significant.   |
| LAN-02    | RR-005; RR-007; RR-013;<br>RR-019; RR-022; RR-024;<br>RR-026; RR-040; RR-042;<br>RR-048; RR-053; RR-055;<br>RR-060; RR-061; RR-066;<br>RR-067; RR-070; RR-071;<br>RR-075; RR-078; RR-082;<br>RR-088; RR-097; RR-088;<br>RR-102; RR-113; RR-108;<br>RR-121; RR-130; RR-138;<br>RR-151; RR-165; RR-168;<br>RR-170; RR-171; RR-174;<br>RR-175; RR-176; RR-186;<br>RR-190; RR-194; RR-195;<br>RR-202; RR-203; RR-205;<br>RR-214; RR-215; RR-222;<br>RR-223; RR-226; RR-240;<br>RR-247; RR-248; RR-257;<br>RR-258; RR-260; RR-264;<br>RR-268; RR-273; RR-274; | Landscape quality | Comments that living in the<br>countryside is the choice of<br>residents and people do not want<br>to lose that amenity/way of life by<br>living with and around solar<br>infrastructure. | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and use of recreational facilities in the countryside, such as public rights of way, have been assessed in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest level of effect to access, desirability and use of recreational facilities is limited to short- to mediumterm moderate adverse effects on long distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way and National Byways) during construction (see Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is considered to be <b>significant adverse</b> . This is however the only significant adverse effect anticipated, with no greater than moderate-minor adverse anticipated to any other recreational receptor during construction (see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any recreational receptor during operation (see paras. 18.7.147 to 18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated to be significant. |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference                                    | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised                                    | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------|--|---|
|           | RR-275; RR-278; RR-279;<br>RR-283; RR-288; RR-292;<br>RR-293; RR-296; RR-301; |       |  | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].  |
|           | RR-307; RR-313; RR-316;<br>RR-325; RR-326; RR-329;<br>RR-334; RR-338; RR-346  |       | Some comments refer to a loss of<br>the rural environment. | The effects on the rural environment, countryside and<br>natural beauty have been taken into consideration in<br>the assessment of both the landscape and visual<br>effects, which is set out within the <b>6.2.8 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual Impact</b><br><b>Assessment [APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA'). The detailed<br>assessment information can be found within the<br>individual receptor sheets at <b>6.3.8.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.2 Assessment of Potential</b><br><b>Landscape Effects [APP-073]</b> and <b>6.3.8.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.3</b><br><b>Assessment of Potential Visual Effects [APP-074]</b> .<br>The assessment has taken account of the individual<br>elements of the Scheme such as the panels, fencing,<br>battery storage, substations and access arrangements.<br>Please refer to <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which is<br>secured by Requirement <b>7</b> in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b> |
|           |   |       |  | <b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. The mitigation measures are<br>also shown on the WB6.4.8.18.1_A- to WB6.4.8.18.3_A<br>Figures 8.18.1_A to 8.18.3_A Landscape and Ecology   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | Mitigation and Enhancement Plans [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A].  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>proximity of the Scheme in<br>relation to their property as an<br>issue. | The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> also considers the impacts and<br>effects on residential receptors as part of the<br>assessment process. The assessment has considered<br>residential receptors within the 1km Study Area for the<br>Scheme and the 0.5km Study Area from the outer<br>boundary of the Cable Route Corridor (para. 8.4.12).<br>The detailed analysis of these residential receptors is<br>set out at <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 8.3 Assessment of Potential Visual Effects</b><br><b>[APP-074]</b> . |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to personal<br>distress in regard to living next<br>to/around the Scheme.          | The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> considers that for some aspects of<br>the Scheme (the construction phase in particular), the<br>presence of the panels has been assessed to result in<br>an adverse effect. Where impacts and effects are<br>identified then landscape mitigation measures are<br>applied to avoid or reduce any adverse effects.   |
|           |  |       |  | Please refer to <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and</b><br><b>Ecological Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . The mitigation measures<br>are also shown on the WB6.4.8.18.1_A- to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A Figures 8.18.1_A to 8.18.3_A Landscape  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | and Ecology Mitigation and Enhancement Plans [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A].  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to living<br>with the consequences of the<br>Scheme / Applicant, with claims<br>the countryside will be damaged<br>beyond repair. | Where the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> has identified significant<br>adverse effects, extensive landscape mitigation is<br>proposed in the <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and</b><br><b>Ecological Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> and is also shown on<br><b>6.4.8.18.1_A -6.4.8.18.3_A Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Figures 8.18.1_A to 8.18.3_A - Landscape and Ecology</b><br><b>Mitigation and Enhancement Measures</b><br><b>[WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A]</b> , which are secured<br>by Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1 Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> The mitigation seeks to<br>visually enhance the landscape through the addition of<br>new planting and the positive management of the<br>existing tree and hedgerow stock. This mitigation also<br>seeks to reduce the visibility of the Scheme and help<br>with its assimilation into the landscape from public<br>vantage points including transport routes, public<br>footpaths, permissive footpaths and green lane<br>networks. This mitigation is aimed to benefit the<br>community as a whole as well as tourists, visiting<br>walkers, local residents, ornithologists and cyclists. The<br>landscape mitigation measures will provide new<br>planting, which will include new native hedgerows and |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue        | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|--------------|--|--|
|           |   |              |  | tree cover, and this will also include their management and maintenance.   |
|           |   |              | Some comments refer to a loss of<br>recreational activities, including<br>horse riding, dog walking, walking,<br>green spaces etc.<br>Some comments refer to the loss<br>of PRoW and bridleways. | Public Rights of Way may be subject to short-term<br>temporary diversions or closures to facilitate cable<br>laying as set out in para 3.13 of <b>6.3.14.3_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.3 Outline</b><br><b>Public Rights of Way Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> . All Public Rights of Way<br>on and surrounding the Sites are to remain open during<br>construction where feasible, and all existing Public<br>Rights of Way are to be retained during the Scheme's<br>operational lifetime. |
|           |   |              |  | A Public Rights of Way Management Plan that is<br>substantially in accordance with the outline PRoWMP<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A] will be implemented<br>during the construction phase of the Scheme, and will<br>be submitted and approved prior to the<br>commencement of development, as secured through<br>Requirement 18 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
| LAN-03    | RR-007; RR-019; RR-021;<br>RR-034; RR-045; RR-047;<br>RR-053; RR-056; RR-058;<br>RR-063; RR-072; RR-075;<br>RR-079; RR-082; RR-095; | View of site | Comments that the site will look<br>like an industrial site, and make<br>the surrounding area and villages<br>a part of this.  | <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement - Chapter 8</b><br><b>Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b><br>(the 'LVIA') considers the impacts and effects on the<br>surrounding area and villages with residential receptors<br>as part of the assessment process. The assessment has  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           | RR-097; RR-102; RR-103;<br>RR-104; RR-106; RR-107;<br>RR-110; RR-111; RR-114;<br>RR-116; RR-118; RR-119;<br>RR-121; RR-125; RR-126;<br>RR-138; RR-152; RR-153;<br>RR-156; RR-157; RR-165;<br>RR-175; RR-180; RR-186;<br>RR-187; RR-191; RR-194;<br>RR-196; RR-202; RR-205;<br>RR-206; RR-210; RR-205;<br>RR-206; RR-210; RR-214;<br>RR-217; RR-222; RR-223;<br>RR-225; RR-235; RR-240;<br>RR-247; RR-252; RR-256;<br>RR-257; RR-260; RR-262;<br>RR-263; RR-267; RR-268;<br>RR-271; RR-272; RR-273; |       |   | considered residential receptors within the 1km Study<br>Area for the Scheme and the 0.5km Study Area from<br>the outer boundary of the Cable Route Corridor (para.<br>8.4.12). The detailed analysis of these residential<br>receptors is set out at <b>6.3.8.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 8.3 Assessment of Potential</b><br><b>Visual Effects [APP-074]</b> .<br>The mitigation measures are shown on the<br>WB6.4.8.18.1- to WB6.4.8.18.3 Figures 8.18.1 to 8.18.3<br>Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and Enhancement<br>Plans <b>[WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A]</b> . Please also<br>refer to 7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological<br>Management Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]<br>which is secured by Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of<br>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
|           | RR-276; RR-278; RR-284;<br>RR-287; RR-290; RR-293;<br>RR-296; RR-307; RR-312;<br>RR-316; RR-325; RR-328;<br>RR-334; RR-343   |       | Some comments refer to this<br>opinion using differing language<br>and phrases e.g. a sea of glass /<br>industrial site / megawatt valley /<br>eyesore. | The Scheme will also provide extensive areas of<br>mitigation in the area surrounding the villages, for<br>example along the existing sections of footpaths,<br>bridleways and driving routes to enhance their amenity<br>value and benefit the public as a whole, as<br>demonstrated in the LVIA (see paragraphs 8.8.22 to<br>8.9.29) <b>[APP-046]</b> .<br>The mitigation measures are shown on the<br>WB6.4.8.18.1_A- to WB6.4.8.18.3_A Figures 8.18.1_A to   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | Enhancement Plans [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A]. Please also refer to 7.3_A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1 _A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>height of the solar panels (4.5m)<br>as a concern. | The landscape mitigation measures also seek to<br>provide new planting to mitigate the potential impacts<br>and effects of glint and glare for the Scheme's<br>operational lifetime. This mitigation includes new native<br>hedgerows and tree cover, and covers management<br>and maintenance.  |
|           |  |       |  | The mitigation measures are shown on the<br>WB6.4.8.18.1_A- to WB6.4.8.18.3_A Figures 8.18.1_A to<br>8.18.3_A Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br>Enhancement Plans [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A]. Please also refer to 7.3_A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the proposed Scheme as visually intrusive.                | The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> considers the visual effects of the Scheme and the assessment includes a suite of viewpoints that cover a wide range of visual receptors,  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | including public locations such as transport routes,<br>PRoW and residential properties. The assessment of<br>visual effects concludes that at some receptors, there<br>would be significant adverse effects at construction and<br>year 1 of operation, but with the implementation of<br>mitigation this is reduced across the majority of these<br>receptors to ' <b>Not Significant</b> ' (in EIA terms) at year 15<br>of operation.  |
|           |  |       |  | The mitigation measures are shown on the<br>WB6.4.8.18.1_A- to WB6.4.8.18.3_A Figures 8.18.1_A to<br>8.18.3_A Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br>Enhancement Plans [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A]. Please also refer to 7.3_A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1-A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to general<br>concern regarding how the<br>Scheme will look. | Public vantage points from in and around the villages<br>are also considered within the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> , for<br>example viewpoints VP01 and VP08 associated with the<br>settlement of Broxholme, VP18 and VP28 the<br>settlement of Ingleby and VP21, VP22 and VP23 with the<br>settlement of Saxilby. These public vantage points are<br>shown on <b>6.4.8.12.1-6.4.8.12.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Figures 8.12.1</b> to <b>8.12.3 - Augmented</b><br><b>ZTVs [APP-190</b> to <b>APP-192]</b> . |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>Scheme, or multiple Schemes,<br>dominating the local landscape. | In terms of appearance, the assessment has taken<br>account of the individual elements of the Scheme such<br>as the panels, fencing, battery storage, substations and<br>access arrangements to ensure the best possible fit<br>with the landscape. The photography and<br>photomontage information at <b>6.4.8.13.1-6.4.8.13.72</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Figures 8.13.1</b> to <b>8.13.72</b><br><b>[APP-194</b> to <b>APP-265]</b> shows how these elements are<br>comfortably accommodated within the existing<br>landscape.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to little or<br>no visual mitigation of the<br>Scheme.                    | Cumulative effects assessments have been prepared<br>for the Application including within the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b><br>where it is recognised that The Scheme comprises a<br>series of separate Sites (see Sections 3.3 to 3.6 of <b>6.2.3</b><br><b>Environmental Statement Chapter 3 The Order</b><br><b>Limits [APP-041]</b> ). These areas of land are set within an<br>extensive agricultural landscape and each is set apart<br>by their associated features such as robust hedgerows,<br>woodland and tree cover, intervening settlements and<br>road and rail infrastructure (see paragraphs 8.5.115,<br>8.5.132 and 8.5.148 of the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> ). |
|           |  |       |   | The mitigation measures are shown on the<br>WB6.4.8.18.1_A- to WB6.4.8.18.3_A Figures 8.18.1_A to<br>8.18.3_A Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br>Enhancement Plans [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A]. Please also refer to 7.3 _A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to length of<br>time (40 years) in which the site<br>will be an eyesore for. | The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> considers that for some aspects of<br>the Scheme (the construction phase in particular), the<br>presence of the panels has been assessed to result in<br>an adverse effect. Where impacts and effects are<br>identified then landscape mitigation measures are<br>applied to avoid or reduce any adverse effects.  |
|           |  |       |  | The mitigation measures are shown on the<br>WB6.4.8.18.1_A- to WB6.4.8.18.3_A Figures 8.18.1_A to<br>8.18.3_A Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br>Enhancement Plans [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A]. Please also refer to 7.3_A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A] |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to a lack of visual mitigation for the site.                                 | Mitigation, including offsets and planting, has been<br>proposed to address and minimise adverse effects on<br>the visual amenity of the landscape. This is in line with<br>the agreed methodology and the hierarchy of approach<br>advocated by the Guidelines for Landscape and Visual<br>Impact Assessment, 3rd Edition and was agreed with<br>Lincolnshire County Council at a series of workshops, as   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | set out in 6.3.8.4 Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 8.4 Consultation [APP-075].   |
|           |  |       |  | The mitigation measures are shown on the<br>WB6.4.8.18.1_A- to WB6.4.8.18.3_A Figures 8.18.1_A to<br>8.18.3_A Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br>Enhancement Plans [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A]. Please also refer to 7.3_A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A] |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the substation or BESS, at 13.5m, being an eyesore. | Effects associated with the Substations are included<br>within the assessment of each individual Site. In-<br>combination effects of the Substations were also<br>considered.  |
|           |  |       |  | The BESS is proposed alongside the substation on West<br>Burton 3 only and is included within the assessment of<br>the West Burton 3 Site.   |
|           |  |       |  | Where the LVIA has identified significant adverse<br>effects, extensive landscape mitigation is set out in<br><b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> and is<br>also shown on <b>6.4.8.18.1-6.4.8.18.3 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Figures 8.18.1</b> to <b>8.18.3 - Landscape and</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | <b>Ecology Mitigation and Enhancement Measures</b><br><b>[WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A]</b> . This mitigation<br>seeks to visually enhance the landscape through the<br>addition of new planting and the positive management<br>of the existing tree and hedgerow stock. This mitigation<br>also seeks to reduce the visibility of the Scheme and<br>help with its assimilation into the landscape (within<br>defined buffer zones) from public vantage points<br>including transport routes, public footpaths, permissive<br>footpaths and green lane networks. This mitigation is<br>aimed to benefit the community as a whole as well as<br>tourists, visiting walkers, local residents, ornithologists<br>and cyclists. The landscape mitigation measures will<br>provide new planting, which will include new native<br>hedgerows and tree cover, and this will also include<br>their management and maintenance. |
|           |  |       |                         | The mitigation measures are shown on the<br>WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A Figures 8.18.1_A to<br>8.18.3_A Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and<br>Enhancement Plans [WB6.4.8.18.1_A to<br>WB6.4.8.18.3_A]. Please also refer to 7.3_A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | lssue         | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|---------------|--|---|
| LAN-04    | RR-004; RR-005; RR-007;<br>RR-010; RR-015; RR-019;<br>RR-021; RR-022; RR-040;<br>RR-045; RR-047; RR-048;<br>RR-053; RR-055; RR-056;<br>RR-061; RR-066; RR-079;<br>RR-082; RR-097; RR-100;<br>RR-101; RR-102; RR-106;<br>RR-107; RR-110; RR-111;<br>RR-118; RR-119; RR-121;<br>RR-126; RR-130; RR-138;<br>RR-155; RR-156; RR-157;<br>RR-158; RR-164; RR-168;<br>RR-171; RR-174; RR-175;<br>RR-183; RR-187; RR-190;<br>RR-194; RR-196; RR-200;<br>RR-201; RR-202; RR-200;<br>RR-201; RR-202; RR-200;<br>RR-206; RR-211; RR-217;<br>RR-252; RR-255; RR-256;<br>RR-257; RR-260; RR-261;<br>RR-262; RR-269; RR-278;<br>RR-283; RR-288; RR-290;<br>RR-293; RR-296; RR-301;<br>RR-302; RR-305; RR-306;<br>RR-307; RR-312; RR-334; | Visual impact | Comments that there will be a loss<br>of local/traditional Lincolnshire<br>countryside views.<br>Some comments refer to the view<br>from the B1398/Lincoln cliff,<br>Middle street/Hemswell cliff and<br>Scampton viewpoint, and of<br>Lincoln cathedral being worsened.<br>Some comments refer to views of<br>historic value in Lincolnshire being<br>lost. | <ul> <li>6.2.8 Environmental Chapter - Chapter 8 Landscape<br/>and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-046] (the 'LVIA')<br/>takes account of countryside views and the<br/>intervisibility between the Scheme and Lincoln Castle<br/>and Lincoln Cathedral.</li> <li>6.3.13.5 Environmental Statement - Appendix 13.5<br/>Heritage Statement [APP-117 to APP-119], provides a<br/>detailed assessment of all Grade II Listed Buildings and<br/>Conservation Areas within 2km of the Scheme, and all<br/>Grade I and II* Listed Buildings and Scheduled<br/>Monuments within a 5km study area surrounding the<br/>Scheme. It was considered that at c.8.2km distant at its<br/>nearest point, and up to c.16km distant at its furthest<br/>point, there would be no significant impacts upon views<br/>towards Lincoln Cathedral as a result of the Scheme.</li> <li>Because of the overlap between the topics, detailed<br/>consultation with the Heritage topic leads has also been<br/>undertaken when developing the landscape and visual<br/>baseline and in identifying landscape and visual effects<br/>for the LVIA in the context of heritage receptors. This is<br/>set out within 6.3.8.4 Environmental Chapter -<br/>Appendix 8.4 Consultation [APP-075].</li> <li>The extent of the Study Area has been determined in<br/>accordance with recognised LVIA methodology to<br/>encompass all receptors that may experience<br/>significant effects. In light of the nature of the</li> </ul> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           | RR-335; RR-336; RR-338;<br>RR-340          |       |                         | surrounding terrain with some elevated viewpoints, the<br>assessment has considered the potential for landscape<br>and visual receptors to be affected that are close to but<br>outside the 5km buffer area.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Additional in the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> suggested by the Canal<br>& River Trust, Lincolnshire County Council and<br>Bassetlaw District Council that take account of locations<br>where heritage assets may be affected are taken into<br>account at Section 8.2. This includes viewpoint VP35<br>that is representative of views from the Fossdyke Canal<br>in addition to viewpoints VP35 and VP49 taken next to<br>water spaces. This assessment has included boaters as<br>a receptor at low speed as their users are likely to be<br>impacted as walkers and horse riders. In addition,<br>boaters mooring on the Fossdyke Canal, who may be in<br>situ for long term, are also taken into account in the<br>LVIA. |
|           |  |       |                         | The LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> has also included the River Trent as<br>a visual receptor with viewpoints VP49 and LCC-C-K,<br>which are representative viewpoints along this river<br>corridor. For the West Burton 3 Site, Bassetlaw District<br>Council also suggested adding Torksey Viaduct as<br>viewpoint LCC-C-N, given it sits at a higher elevation.<br>This viewpoint was included in the LVIA, please refer to<br>paragraph 8.5.214.   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                     | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                           | Some comments refer to the<br>Scheme being built in an area of<br>natural beauty, or AONB.   | The Scheme is not located within an AONB. The nearest<br>AONB to the Scheme is the Lincolnshire Wolds AONB<br>which lies some 25km to the east of the Scheme. The<br>Scheme is also not located within any locally designated<br>landscape such as Area of Great Landscape Value<br>(AGLV). The Ridge AGLV however is located<br>approximately 2.3km east of the West Burton 1 Site and<br>3.6km east of the West Burton 2 Site, and the Laughton<br>Wood AGLV is located approximately 350m to the<br>northeast of the West Burton 3 Site. In recognition of<br>the close proximity to the Laughton Wood AGLV and<br>the distinct landform of the Ridge AGLV leading north<br>from Lincoln, the LVIA <b>[APP-046]</b> takes account of these<br>two designations. |
| LAN-05    | RR-002                                     | Visual impact of<br>Solar | Belief that the view from solar<br>panels will be better than the view<br>of cooling towers. | The Applicant notes this comment.  |



## 3.11 Other Environmental Matters

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | Issue                      | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|----------------------------|--|---|
| OEM-01    | RR-021; RR-022; RR-025;<br>RR-034; RR-137; RR-139;<br>RR-142; RR-156; RR-180;<br>RR-182; RR-202; RR-205;<br>RR-220; RR-235; RR-247;<br>RR-257; RR-273; RR-284;<br>RR-316; RR-323; RR-340 | 9;<br>0;<br>5;<br>7;<br>4; | Concern that public health will be<br>detrimentally affected by the<br>Scheme.               | The Applicant seeks to assure the public that the only<br>identified significant adverse effect on human health<br>and wellbeing as a result of the Scheme is anticipated<br>to be a short- to medium-term temporary moderate<br>adverse effect on desirability and use of long-distance<br>recreation routes during construction (see Table 18.15<br>and para. 18.7.62 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement</b><br>- Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and<br>Recreation [APP-056]). No other significant adverse<br>effects to human health and well-being have been<br>identified in the Environmental Statement, as<br>summarised in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21 Environmental</b><br>Statement - Chapter 21 Other Environmental<br>Matters [APP-059]. |
|           |  |                            | Some comments refer to<br>increased claustrophobia due to<br>being surrounded by the panels. | The Scheme has been designed to enhance and retain<br>the open character of the landscape, where applicable,<br>including recognition of the existing landscape pattern<br>and features that give the Site/s and the 5km Study<br>Area its unique open character. Effects on landscape<br>character will be experienced at the local level and it is<br>recognised that some features will undergo change, but<br>the majority of the key characteristics that contribute to<br>openness will not be altered. For example, <b>6.2.8</b><br><b>Environmental Chapter - Chapter 8 Landscape and</b>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised                                     | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | <b>Visual Impact Assessment [APP-046]</b> (the 'LVIA') notes<br>in Table 8.49 that in respect to planting there will be<br>"The careful use of scattered tree and hedge planting to<br>avoid undue impacts on the open character of the area".<br>The open scenic aspect of the Unwooded Vales is also<br>acknowledged within the LVIA [ <b>APP-046</b> ] (para.8.9.13)<br>"Overall, the character of the Unwooded Vales is shaped by<br>the strong agricultural presence, with wide areas retaining<br>a strong sense of rural tranquility. In contrast, the low<br>levels of woodland cover create a relatively open and<br>expansive landscape comprising an arable land use within<br>a scattered pattern of settlement". With regard to views,<br>Table 8.76 of the LVIA notes in respect to Viewpoints<br>VP18 and VP28 and Transport Receptor T009 that "Views<br>of open arable farmland to the north of Saxilby would<br>remain reinforcing the rural location of this settlement". |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to light pollution effects as an issue. | As stated within paragraph 2.6.1 of <b>7.1_A Outline</b><br><b>Construction Environmental Management Plan</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> (CEMP), lighting<br>(during construction) will be required for safety reasons<br>but will be temporary in nature and predominately<br>limited to the core working hours.   |
|           |  |       |   | Provision of a detailed CEMP is secured by Requirement<br>13 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | Paragraph 2.5.1 of <b>7.14_A Outline Operational</b><br>Environmental Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A], secured by Requirement<br>14 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A], notes<br>that no part of the Scheme will be continuously lit and<br>that the use of motion detection security lighting will<br>avoid permanent lighting. |
|           |  |       |  | Lighting is not required within the solar arrays. Lighting<br>will be provided within substations and within the<br>Energy Storage site to be used only in the event of it<br>being required for maintenance and security purposes.<br>Down lighting would be used on lighting columns of a<br>maximum height of 3m.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to<br>construction noises causing<br>physical and mental health issues.    | The noise impact has been assessed in Section 15.7 of<br>6.2.15 Environmental Statement – Chapter 15 Noise<br>and Vibration [APP-053]. No significant adverse<br>impacts have been identified during both the<br>construction and operational phases.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to noise<br>pollution / low pitch humming<br>from substations as an issue. | The noise impact arising from the substations has been<br>assessed in Section 15.7 of <b>6.2.15 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement – Chapter 15 Noise and Vibration [APP-<br/>053]</b> . No significant adverse impacts have been<br>identified during both the construction and operational<br>phases. Octave band source data has been used<br>throughout the assessment and a tonal penalty has                      |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | been applied to account for any just perceptible tonal characteristics at the nearest receptors.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>health system not being able to<br>meet the influx of people during<br>the construction period. | The assessment of impact on access to primary<br>healthcare is based on GP Practice data from December<br>2022 (para. 18.5.20 in <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism</b><br><b>and Recreation [APP-056]</b> ), which shows an above<br>national average number of GPs per population in the<br>Local Impact Area.  |
|           |  |       |   | The impact of population uplift from construction<br>workers is set out in para. 18.7.28 <b>[APP-056]</b> which does<br>not assess there will be a significant adverse impact to<br>existing access to primary healthcare (GP practices).<br>Impacts on other healthcare providers, hospitals, and<br>emergency care have not been assessed as these have<br>not been identified as areas to be assessed by relevant<br>health consultees such as the UKHSA or Lincolnshire<br>Care Commissioning Group (refer to Tables 18.1 and<br>18.2 <b>[APP-056]</b> ). |
|           |  |       | Some comments referred to an<br>increased risk of cancer due to the<br>Scheme.  | The Applicant is not aware of any studies, findings or<br>empirical evidence which would suggest that the<br>construction, operation and decommissioning of the<br>Scheme would result in an increased risk of cancer. As<br>per paragraph 20.7.24 of <b>6.2.20 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 20_Waste [APP-058]</b> , "the Solar<br>PV arrays and related components, substations,   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | ancillary infrastructure, and the Energy Storage<br>compound will be removed, and recycled or disposed of<br>in accordance with good practice and market conditions<br>at that time". The Decommissioning of the Scheme is<br>secured by Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to solar<br>farms producing toxic materials<br>which pollute the water systems. | The assessment of the impact to controlled waters<br>including the surrounding surface waters is detailed in<br>Section 11.8 of <b>6.2.11 Environmental Statement –</b><br><b>Chapter 11 Ground Conditions and Contamination</b><br><b>[APP-049]</b> . No significant adverse impacts have been<br>identified during the construction, operational or<br>decommissioning phases.  |
|           |  |       |   | As addressed in paragraphs 10.8.11 – 10.8.16 of <b>6.2.10</b><br><b>ES Chapter 10 Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage</b><br><b>[APP-048]</b> , given the nature of the energy storage<br>within the Scheme, there is a potential risk of fire which<br>could result in the mobilisation of pollution within<br>surface water run-off. The Applicant has submitted <b>7.9</b><br><b>Outline Battery Storage Safety Management Plan</b><br><b>[APP-318]</b> and, through <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ,<br>has secured by Requirement 6 of Schedule 2 that "Work<br><i>No. 2 must not commence until a battery storage safety</i> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | management plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority."   |
|           |  |       |                         | Paragraph 4.1.18 of <b>7.9 Outline Battery Storage</b><br><b>Safety Management Plan [APP-318]</b> explains that the<br>design of the BESS has integrated fire detection and<br>suppression systems that will automatically operate to<br>contain battery fires. Paragraph 5.3 states that if fire<br>spreads to multiple units, external firefighting water<br>facilities are available by means of 228,000 litre water<br>storage tanks within the battery compounds.  |
|           |  |       |                         | It is proposed that runoff from the energy storage area<br>will be contained by local bunding and attenuated<br>within gravel subgrade of lined permeable SuDS<br>features prior to being passed forward to the local land<br>drainage network. In the event of a fire, a system of<br>automatically self-actuating valves at the outfalls from<br>the battery storage areas will be closed, isolating the<br>battery storage area's drainage from the wider<br>environment. The water contained by the valves will be<br>tested and either treated and released or tankered off-<br>site as necessary and in consultation with the relevant<br>consultees (including but not limited to Lincolnshire<br>County Council, as Lead Local Flood Authority, and the<br>Environment Agency) at the time. |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue            | Summary of Issue Raised                               | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|------------------|---|--|
| OEM-02    | RR-253                                     | Safety of Scheme | Comment that the safety of the<br>Scheme is worrying. | The Applicant seeks to assure the Interested Party that<br>no significant adverse effects to human health and<br>safety have been identified in the Environmental<br>Statement, as summarised in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other</b><br><b>Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> . Mitigation<br>measures to ensure safety is maintained throughout<br>the Scheme's construction, operation, and<br>decommissioning are secured through the control<br>documents secured by the requirements in Schedule 2<br>of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision</b><br><b>A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
| OEM-03    | RR-327                                     | TV Reception     | Concern that TV reception will be impacted.           | Direct impacts on television reception due to the height<br>of infrastructure are not anticipated. Assessment of the<br>impacts on television reception were scoped out of the<br>Environmental Statement on this basis (see Section 3.19<br>of <b>6.3.2.2 Environmental Statement - Appendix 2.2</b><br><b>EIA Scoping Opinion [APP-068]</b> ).   |
|           |  |                  |   | Electromagnetic fields attributed to power have a<br>frequency of ~50Hz. Any resultant interference is<br>therefore limited to this frequency and its harmonics,<br>all which fall into the category of extremely-low or<br>super-low frequency radio waves (<300Hz). Television<br>channel transmissions, are generally between 50MHz<br>and 800MHz and so will not be adversely affected the<br>Scheme. Furthermore, the propagation of  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue     | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-----------|---|---|
|           |   |           |   | electromagnetic fields attributed to power is likely to be<br>limited to within the Scheme extents and a narrow<br>corridor around the cable route.   |
| OEM-04    | RR-013; RR-021; RR-025;<br>RR-028; RR-034; RR-036;<br>RR-037; RR-040; RR-042;<br>RR-054; RR-055; RR-061;<br>RR-063; RR-067; RR-079;<br>RR-089; RR-102; RR-103;<br>RR-116; RR-121; RR-124;<br>RR-131; RR-142; RR-149;<br>RR-151; RR-153; RR-156;<br>RR-157; RR-168; RR-170;<br>RR-175; RR-191; RR-193;<br>RR-194; RR-196; RR-202;<br>RR-220; RR-222; RR-239;<br>RR-240; RR-246; RR-247;<br>RR-252; RR-257; RR-262;<br>RR-263; RR-267; RR-268;<br>RR-271; RR-312; RR-329;<br>RR-338; RR-340 | Wellbeing | Concern that there will be a loss of<br>collective or personal<br>wellbeing/mental health due to<br>the Scheme.<br>Some comments refer to a<br>general loss of wellbeing/mental<br>health due to the length of the<br>construction period.<br>Some comments refer to personal<br>or collective increased stress due<br>to the Scheme. | The Applicant seeks to assure the public that the only<br>identified <b>significant</b> adverse effect on human health<br>and wellbeing as a result of the Scheme is anticipated<br>to be as a result of potential closures and traffic<br>management measures on the Trent Valley Way and<br>National Byways. This impact is assessed to be a short-<br>to medium-term temporary moderate adverse during<br>construction (see Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62 of<br><b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio<br/>Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> ).<br>No other significant adverse effects to human health<br>and safety have been identified in the Environmental<br>Statement, as summarised in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br><b>Environmental Matters [APP-059]</b> . As such, it is not<br>considered that the Scheme will have any significant<br>adverse impact on personal health and wellbeing,<br>including mental health, as a result of the Scheme.<br>Mitigation measures to ensure safety, human health<br>and wellbeing are maintained throughout the Scheme's<br>construction period are secured through the following<br>control documents: |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>6.3.10.1 Environmental Statement - Appendix<br/>10.1 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage<br/>Strategy Report [APP-089];</li> </ul>   |
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement - Appendix<br/>14.2 Construction Traffic Management Plan<br/>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A];</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>6.3.14.3_A Environmental Statement - Appendix<br/>14.3 Outline Public Rights of Way Management<br/>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A];</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental<br/>Management Plan Revision A<br/>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]; and</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |                         | • 7.9 Outline Battery Storage Safety Management<br>Plan [APP-318].   |
|           |  |       |                         | These control documents are secured by the relevant<br>requirements in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. Schedule 2 furthermore<br>secured control documents for the mitigation of<br>operational and decommissioning impacts to health<br>and wellbeing. |
|           |  |       |                         | Those identified effects and mitigation measures<br>notwithstanding, the Scheme has sought to include<br>measures to enhance health and wellbeing.   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       | One of these measures is the provision of a new<br>permissive footpath to run from the Track off Sykes<br>Lane along the Codder Lane Belt and then south and<br>west to rejoin Sykes Lane opposite Hardwick Scrub. This<br>permissive path will be in place during the operational<br>phase of the Scheme, thus improving local amenity.<br>This is assessed to provide a localised moderate-minor<br>beneficial effect on recreational walking, creating a<br>resultant effect on health and wellbeing (see para.<br>18.7.110 in <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation</b><br><b>[APP-056]</b> ). |  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to a loss of<br>wellbeing being a result of a loss<br>of farmland.   | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing and, as such, likely impacts on the desirability and use of recreational facilities in the countryside, such as public rights of way, have been assessed in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The greatest level of effect to access, desirability and use of recreational facilities is limited to short- to mediumterm moderate adverse effects on long distance recreational routes (the Trent Valley Way and National Byways) during construction (see Table 18.15 and para. 18.7.62). This is a <b>significant adverse</b> effect. This is however the only significant adverse effect anticipated, with no greater than moderate-minor adverse |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | anticipated to any other recreational receptor during<br>construction (see paras. 18.7.60 to 18.7.69), or to any<br>recreational receptor during operation (see paras.<br>18.7.107 to 18.7.117) and decommissioning (see paras.<br>18.7.147 to 18.7.157). These effects are not anticipated<br>to be significant.  |
|           |  |       |   | This is re-iterated in Section 21.5 of <b>6.2.21</b><br>Environmental Statement - Chapter 21 Other<br>Environmental Matters [APP-059].   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to an<br>increase in anxiety due to<br>construction vehicles and workers<br>using single track road by the<br>railway, as workers will be<br>strangers and concern that they<br>will be accessing land without<br>permission. | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the application<br>within <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> which<br>is secured through Requirement 15 in Schedule 2 of the<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |  |       |   | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the management<br>of construction vehicle movements to and from the<br>Scheme, to ensure that the effects of the temporary<br>construction phase on the local highway network and<br>on the local community are minimised and made<br>acceptable.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to health departments encouraging green   | The Applicant is cognisant of the significance of the countryside for physical and mental wellbeing and, as  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       | spaces to help individuals, which<br>would be lost due to this Scheme.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>holistic/ psychological benefits of<br>green spaces which the Scheme<br>will reduce. | such, likely impacts on the desirability and use of<br>recreational facilities in the countryside, such as public<br>rights of way, have been assessed in Section 18.7 of<br><b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio</b><br><b>Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . It<br>should be noted that no Public Rights of Way are to be<br>permanently closed or rerouted as part of the Scheme,<br>as detailed in <b>6.3.14.3_A Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 14.3 Outline Public Rights of Way</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]</b> which is secured by<br>Requirement 18 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |  |       |  | The Applicant does however seek to clarify that the<br>understanding of green spaces by Public Health<br>England (in "Improving access to greenspace: A new<br>review for 2020"), and Natural England (January 2023<br>Green Infrastructure Framework), does not include<br>arable fields. As such, no publicly accessible green<br>spaces, such as recreation grounds, woodland, or open<br>access land is included in the Order Limits, and<br>therefore would be "lost" due to the Scheme. The<br>impact on the desirability and use of such spaces has<br>been assessed in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism</b><br><b>and Recreation [APP-056]</b> which demonstrates a no                               |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | greater than moderate-minor impact on children or<br>youth recreation facilities (para. 18.7.69). As such, these<br>impacts are not significant.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to their<br>being lives ruined just to reach<br>constantly moving government<br>targets. | Section 3.3 of document <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b><br>[APP-320], specifically paragraphs 3.3.5 and 3.3.11,<br>describes the Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be required to meet<br>increased demand and replace output from retiring<br>(fossil fuel) plants, and that " <i>a secure, reliable, affordable,</i><br><i>Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed</i><br><i>predominantly of wind and solar</i> ". This support for large<br>scale solar as part of the 'answer' to net zero and<br>energy security has been repeated in its recent draft<br>national policy statements EN-1 and EN-3 published in<br>March 2023. |



## 3.12 Principle of Development

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue                     | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| PRI-01    | RR-272                                     | Argentine Salt<br>Flats   | Comment that lithium for storage<br>will destroy the Argentine salt<br>flats.                                    | Paragraph 5.4.7 of <b>7.10 Skills Supply Chain and</b><br><b>Employment Plan [APP-319]</b> states that "Any<br>procurement of supplies internationally will comply<br>with both national and international law, and all policy<br>and safety measures will be adhered to in the<br>transportation of supplies."  |
|           |  |                           |  | A Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan is secured<br>through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
| PRI-02    | RR-250                                     | Benefits of the<br>Scheme | Comment that the Scheme will<br>cause harm to the environment<br>and benefits of the Scheme are<br>questionable. | Section 6.2 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> sets<br>out how the Scheme will meet the compelling need for<br>renewable energy in accordance with relevant national<br>planning policies. In summary, the Scheme would:   |
|           |  |                           |  | • Deliver a large amount of renewable generation<br>capacity (21,956,988 MWh over the estimated 40-<br>year assessed lifetime) to deliver the Government's<br>energy objectives and legally binding net zero<br>commitments in line with the requirements of<br>paragraph 1.1.1 of NPS EN-3, paragraph 3.3.20 of<br>draft NPS EN-1, section 3.4 of NPS EN-1 and the<br>National Infrastructure Strategy 2020 (para. 6.2.25); |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>Deliver a reduction of 3,981,049 tCO2e over the<br/>lifetime of the Scheme compared to if it did not go<br/>ahead which would make a significant contribution<br/>towards reducing carbon emissions as required by<br/>paragraph 1.1.1 of NPS EN-1, paragraph 2.3.3 of<br/>Draft NPS EN-1, the National Infrastructure Strategy<br/>2020 and the Energy White Paper: "Powering our<br/>net zero future" (para. 6.2.25);</li> </ul> |
|           |  |       |                         | • Deliver in a timescale that is short in the context of<br>the delivery of other forms of energy generation in<br>line with the urgent need to decarbonise set out in<br>paragraphs 3.3.5, 3.3.15 and 3.4.5 of NPS EN-1,<br>Paragraph 2.3.3 of Draft NPS EN-1 and the National<br>Infrastructure Strategy 2020 (paras. 6.2.25);  |
|           |  |       |                         | • Enable all consumers to benefit from the effect of low-marginal cost solar generation by reducing market prices, in line with the aim to provide affordable energy for consumers set out at Paragraph 2.3.3, Paragraph 2.3.6 and 3.3.20 of Draft NPS EN-1 (para 6.2.25); and  |
|           |  |       |                         | • Help ensure security and reliability of energy supply in line with Paragraph 2.3.3 and 2.3.6 of the Draft NPS EN-1 (para 6.2.25).   |
|           |  |       |                         | Whilst it has not been possible for the Scheme to avoid<br>all significant residual adverse impacts, these have been<br>identified within the <b>Environmental Statement [APP-</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference                           | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | <b>039 to APP-061]</b> and have been minimised, where possible, through careful and sensitive design and detailed mitigation strategies.  |
| PRI-03    | RR-075; RR-124; RR-139;<br>RR-220; RR-247; RR-273;<br>RR-284; RR-316 | CCTV  | Concern that CCTV and associated<br>works will be installed around the<br>site and on nearby roads.<br>Some comments refer to the lack<br>of privacy as a result of the CCTV<br>installed.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>visual impact of CCTV and<br>associated works.<br>One comment referred to CCTV in<br>congruence with perimeter lights<br>and fencing as a concentration<br>camp. | <ul> <li>The Applicant recognises the symbiotic relationship<br/>between safety and security. The security arrangements<br/>at the Sites will therefore contribute to the overall<br/>safety of all who will, or may, enter the Sites. The<br/>security arrangements will be Suitably Qualified and<br/>Experienced Personnel reviewed at identified times<br/>commensurate to the Security Risk rating and will<br/>further assess any changes in the Security Risk<br/>Management Threat Assessment.</li> <li>The security features that are proposed as part of the<br/>Scheme are set out within Section 4.5 of 6.2.4</li> <li>Environmental Statement Chapter 4 Scheme<br/>Description [APP-042] and set out in Section 2.8 of<br/>7.14_A Outline Operational Environmental<br/>Management Plan Revision A</li> <li>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A] (as secured by<br/>Requirement 14 of Schedule 2 in 3.1_A Draft<br/>Development Consent Order Revision A</li> <li>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]):</li> <li>Detection systems such as beam break, image<br/>detection etc. to raise alarm when fence breached;</li> </ul> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>Audio announcement when intruder detected to<br/>warn alarm triggered and police on way;</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |                         | • Barriers/locked gates at main entrances to the Sites;   |
|           |  |       |                         | • Steel doors on substation buildings;  |
|           |  |       |                         | • Buried cables as much as possible;  |
|           |  |       |                         | Remote monitoring; and  |
|           |  |       |                         | • Alarm response contract with keyholder/security company   |
|           |  |       |                         | For the solar arrays there will be a maximum of 2.5m<br>high deer wire mesh fencing, 3m high maximum pole<br>mounted CCTV systems. The substations and BESS is<br>proposed to have palisade fencing which is a maximum<br>height of 2.6m (see paragraphs 4.5.57 to 4.5.60 of <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042]</b> ). |
|           |  |       |                         | As per paragraph 4.5.61 <b>[APP-042]</b> , "Lighting is not<br>required within the solar arrays. Lighting will be provided<br>within substations and within the Energy Storage site to be<br>used only in the event of it being required for maintenance<br>and security purposes. Down lighting would be used on<br>lighting columns of a maximum height of 3m."                 |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference        | lssue                                 | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| PRI-04    | RR-112  | Compulsory<br>Purchase<br>Acquisition | Concern that the land for the<br>Scheme being procured via<br>compulsory purchase.                             | Where the Applicant is seeking powers of compulsory<br>acquisition such as along the cable route, the<br>Applicant's preference is to negotiate the acquisition of<br>land and / or interests in land and enter into voluntary<br>agreement with the landowner The Applicant is<br>seeking compulsory acquisition powers in the <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> to enable the Scheme to be<br>delivered. <b>4.1 Statement of Reasons: Compulsory</b><br><b>Acquisition Information [APP-019]</b> sets out the<br>reasons why the powers sought over land are<br>necessary and proportionate to deliver the Scheme.<br>Wherever possible, the Applicant is seeking to enter<br>voluntary agreements with landowners and only where<br>this is not possible will powers of compulsory<br>acquisition be exercised. |
| PRI-05    | RR-102; RR-262; RR-285;<br>RR-294; RR-325; RR-343 | Construction                          | Concern that construction will<br>cause damage and pollution, in<br>the forms of dirt, dust, and<br>chemicals. | <b>6.2.17 Environmental Statement - Chapter 17 Air</b><br><b>Quality [APP-055]</b> includes a full and detailed<br>assessment that deals with air quality impact and effect<br>at nearby sensitive receptors during construction,<br>operation and decommissioning phases. The<br>assessment concluded that there are not any likely<br>significant effects on air quality as a result of the<br>Scheme.  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | Following the implementation of the appropriate site-<br>specific mitigation measures, which are set out <b>in 7.1_A</b><br><b>Outline Construction Environmental Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A]</b> which is<br>secured through Schedule 2, Requirement 13 of the <b>3.1</b><br><b>dDCO [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , the significance of the<br>effects from dust and PM <sub>10</sub> emissions associated with<br>the construction works is considered to be 'negligible' at<br>all receptors, which is 'not significant' in EIA terms. This<br>is based on the IAQM Guidance. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the disruption and carbon impact of construction. | 6.2.7_A Environmental Statement - Chapter 7<br>Climate Change Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.2.7_A] contains a detailed<br>assessment of carbon emissions during the<br>construction phase.   |
|           |  |       |  | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the application<br>within 6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic Management<br>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] which<br>is secured through Requirement 15 in Schedule 2 of the<br>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
|           |  |       |  | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the management<br>of construction vehicle movements to and from the  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | Scheme, to ensure that the effects of the temporary construction phase on the local highway network are minimised and the movement of equipment is efficient.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to<br>ambiguity of the construction time<br>length. | As noted by Table 4.6 and paragraph 4.6.1 of <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042]</b> , "the construction programme for<br>the entire Scheme is anticipated to be 24 months with the<br>potential likelihood of overlapping construction works on<br>the different Scheme Sites."   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to<br>construction impacts in a general<br>manner.  | Environmental impacts resulting from construction<br>have been assessed in each of the constituent technical<br>chapters in the Environmental Statement [APP-039 to<br>APP-061]. Those effects assessed as being significant<br>are summarised in 6.2.23_A Environmental<br>Statement - Chapter 23 Summary of Significant<br>Effects Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.2.23_A].<br>Where mitigation or enhancement measures to avoid<br>or reduce construction impacts are proposed, these are<br>set out in 7.1_A Outline Construction Environmental<br>Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A] the contents of which are<br>secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A<br>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                         | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|
| PRI-06    | RR-088; RR-108; RR-132;<br>RR-276; RR-302  | Cost benefit of<br>the Scheme | Concern that the Scheme will not<br>generate a profit in comparison to<br>its cost.   | Section 3.3 of document <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b><br>[APP-320], specifically paragraphs 3.3.5 and 3.3.11,<br>describes the Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be required to meet<br>increased demand and replace output from retiring<br>(fossil fuel) plants, and that "a secure, reliable,<br>affordable, Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely<br>to be composed predominantly of wind and solar". This<br>support for large scale solar as part of the 'answer' to<br>net zero and energy security has been repeated in the<br>draft national policy statements EN-1 and EN-3,<br>published in March 2023.<br>Figure 10.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>shows that solar is already a leading low-cost<br>generation technology in the UK. Figure 10.4 of <b>7.11</b><br><b>Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> shows the<br>Government's view that the cost of solar generation will<br>continue to reduce in the future and will remain among<br>the lowest cost generation available to the UK over the<br>time period shown in the Figure. |
|           |  |                               | Some comments refer to sourcing<br>from China to be included into the<br>carbon neutrality and financial<br>analysis of this proposed Scheme. | The Applicant notes this comment and refers the Party<br>to paragraph 7.5.4 of <b>6.2.7_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 7 Climate Change Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.2.7_A]</b> where it is anticipated that<br>the PV panels will be sourced from China or a country<br>of similar distance from the UK. Therefore, the  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | Issue                | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|----------------------|---|--|
|           |  |                      |   | Applicant has noted and accounted for the sourcing of<br>panels within its assessment and that the manufacture<br>and transport of products will likely be the largest<br>sources of GHG emissions from the Scheme.  |
| PRI-07    | RR-003; RR-004; RR-005;<br>RR-010; RR-011; RR-015;<br>RR-016; RR-019; RR-020;<br>RR-021; RR-022; RR-034;<br>RR-037; RR-040; RR-042;<br>RR-045; RR-053; RR-054;<br>RR-055; RR-062; RR-063;<br>RR-065; RR-066; RR-067;<br>RR-072; RR-075; RR-078;<br>RR-079; RR-082; RR-083;<br>RR-079; RR-082; RR-083;<br>RR-086; RR-095; RR-097;<br>RR-098; RR-100; RR-102;<br>RR-106; RR-107; RR-110;<br>RR-115; RR-121; RR-129;<br>RR-130; RR-131; RR-133; | Cumulative<br>Impact | Opposition to the Scheme due to<br>the cumulative impact of solar<br>Schemes in the same area.  | A cumulative effects assessment has been prepared for<br>the Application within the <b>Environmental Statement</b><br><b>[APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> . Cumulative effects assessments<br>for each environmental topic are set out in each of the<br>ES Chapters and include the assessment of the impacts<br>of the Scheme cumulatively with other identified NSIPs<br>in the local area (see paragraph 2.5.9 of <b>6.2.2</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 2 EIA Process<br/>and Methodology [APP-040]</b> .<br>This assessment has been carried out in accordance<br>with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA Regulations and PINS<br>Advice Note 17. The mitigation measures set out across<br>the ES therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects. |
|           | RR-139; RR-146; RR-152;<br>RR-153; RR-154; RR-155;<br>RR-156; RR-157; RR-161;<br>RR-164; RR-165; RR-168;<br>RR-174; RR-175; RR-183;<br>RR-187; RR-192; RR-194;<br>RR-202; RR-205; RR-210;  |                      | Some comments cite this as being<br>a total of 10,000 acres of<br>development.<br>Some comments refer to the four<br>sites in close proximity to one<br>another creating the largest solar<br>farm in Europe. | A cumulative effects assessment has been prepared for<br>the Application within the <b>Environmental Statement</b><br><b>[APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> . Cumulative effects assessments<br>for each topic are set out in each of the ES Chapters<br>and include the assessment of the impacts of the<br>Scheme cumulatively with other identified NSIPs in the<br>local area (see paragraph 2.5.9 of <b>6.2.2 Environmental</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | lssue  | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|
|           | RR-211; RR-212; RR-217;<br>RR-220; RR-222; RR-223;  |  |  | Statement - Chapter 2 EIA Process and Methodology<br>[APP-040].   |
|           | RR-235; RR-239; RR-242;<br>RR-244; RR-247; RR-251;<br>RR-252; RR-257; RR-260;<br>RR-262; RR-265; RR-267;<br>RR-268; RR-270; RR-271;<br>RR-272; RR-274; RR-278;                            |  |  | This assessment has been carried out in accordance<br>with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA Regulations and PINS<br>Advice Note 17. The mitigation measures set out across<br>the ES therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.   |
|           | RR-282; RR-286; RR-287;<br>RR-290; RR-294; RR-301;<br>RR-303; RR-305; RR-306;<br>RR-307; RR-311; RR-312;<br>RR-313; RR-314; RR-315;<br>RR-323; RR-325; RR-327;<br>RR-334; RR-338; RR-339; | R-282; RR-286; RR-287;<br>R-290; RR-294; RR-301;<br>R-303; RR-305; RR-306;<br>R-307; RR-311; RR-312;<br>R-313; RR-314; RR-315;<br>R-323; RR-325; RR-327;<br>R-334; RR-338; RR-339; | Some comments question<br>whether the scale of development<br>in Lincolnshire is proportionate<br>compared to the rest of the UK.<br>Some comments refer to an<br>excessive concentration of solar<br>panels in one specific area. | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
|           | RR-343; RR-345  |  | Some comments refer to the<br>number of communities affected<br>by the Schemes.  | A cumulative effects assessment has been prepared for<br>the Application within the <b>Environmental Statement</b><br><b>[APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> . Cumulative effects assessments<br>for each topic are set out in each of the ES Chapters<br>and include the assessment of the impacts of the<br>Scheme cumulatively with other identified NSIPs in the<br>local area (see paragraph 2.5.9 of <b>6.2.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 2 EIA Process and Methodology</b><br><b>[APP-040]</b> |
|           |   |  |  | This assessment has been carried out in accordance with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA Regulations and PINS   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | Advice Note 17. The mitigation measures set out across<br>the ES therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>multiple Schemes multiplying the<br>detrimental impacts on the local<br>area.     | A cumulative effects assessment has been prepared for<br>the Application within the <b>Environmental Statement</b><br><b>[APP-039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> . Cumulative effects assessments<br>for each topic are set out in each of the ES Chapters<br>and include the assessment of the impacts of the<br>Scheme cumulatively with other identified NSIPs in the<br>local area (see paragraph 2.5.9 of <b>6.2.2 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 2 EIA Process and Methodology</b><br><b>[APP-040]</b> |
|           |  |       |   | This assessment has been carried out in accordance<br>with Schedule 4 of the 2017 EIA Regulations and PINS<br>Advice Note 17. The mitigation measures set out across<br>the ES therefore account for anticipated cumulative<br>effects.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>cumulative impact of multiple<br>Schemes on flora and fauna in the<br>local area. | Section 9.9 of <b>6.2.9 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-047]</b><br>assesses the potential for cumulative effects on ecology<br>arising from the combined impacts of similar large-<br>scale development in proximity to the Scheme.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to balancing the effect of the large  | Section 4.6 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>details the 'Other Benefits of the Scheme', beyond the  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised                 | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       | Schemes with what the communities need. | national benefits as described through Sections 4.2 to 4.5 <b>[APP-313]</b> .   |
|           |  |       |   | Paragraph 4.6.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>states that the Scheme will result in a significant Net<br>Gain for biodiversity (86.80% in habitat units, 54.71% in<br>hedgerow units and 33.25% in river units). This is<br>secured through Requirement 9 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , which states that "No part of<br>the authorised development may commence until a<br>biodiversity net gain strategy has been submitted to<br>and approved by the relevant planning authority, in<br>consultation with the relevant statutory nature<br>conservation body." |
|           |  |       |   | Paragraph 4.6.1 <b>[APP-313]</b> goes on to explain that a<br>new permissive footpath to run from Track off Sykes<br>Lane along the Codder Lane Belt and then south and<br>west to rejoin Sykes Lane opposite Hardwick Scrub will<br>be in place during the operational phase of the Scheme,<br>thus improving local amenity.   |
|           |  |       |   | Paragraph 4.6.1 <b>[APP-313]</b> goes on to explain that a<br>Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan, as secured<br>by Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , will be in place prior to<br>construction and will set out the measures that the  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | Issue                | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|----------------------|--|---|
|           |  |                      |  | Applicant will implement to advertise and promote<br>employment and training opportunities associated with<br>the Scheme in construction and operation locally.   |
|           |  |                      |  | Whilst not a part of the DCO Application, paragraph 4.8.1 <b>[APP-313]</b> explains that the Applicant is committed to providing a Community Benefit Fund.  |
| PRI-08    | RR-004; RR-010; RR-020;<br>RR-021; RR-022; RR-028;<br>RR-034; RR-040; RR-054;<br>RR-075; RR-079; RR-102;<br>RR-116; RR-119; RR-136;<br>RR-148; RR-156; RR-158;<br>RR-161; RR-189; RR-192;<br>RR-193; RR-194; RR-199;<br>RR-202; RR-205; RR-210;<br>RR-215; RR-205; RR-210;<br>RR-225; RR-252; RR-225;<br>RR-257; RR-264; RR-267;<br>RR-268; RR-269; RR-271;<br>RR-274; RR-278; RR-280;<br>RR-284; RR-285; RR-287;<br>RR-290; RR-293; RR-294; | Developer<br>Motives | Comments that the Applicant has<br>motives to develop the Scheme<br>that negatively impact the local<br>community. | <ul> <li>Section 6.2 of 7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313] sets out how the Scheme will meet the compelling need for renewable energy in accordance with relevant national planning policies. In summary, the Scheme would:</li> <li>Deliver a large amount of renewable generation capacity (21,956,988 MWh over the estimated 40-year assessed lifetime) to deliver the Government's energy objectives and legally binding net zero commitments in line with the requirements of paragraph 1.1.1 of NPS EN-3, paragraph 3.3.20 of draft NPS EN-1, section 3.4 of NPS EN-1 and the National Infrastructure Strategy 2020 (para. 6.2.25);</li> <li>Deliver a reduction of 3,981,049 tCO2e over the lifetime of the Scheme compared to if it did not go ahead which would make a significant contribution</li> </ul> |
|           | RR-303; RR-307; RR-312;  |                      |  | towards reducing carbon emissions as required by<br>paragraph 1.1.1 of NPS EN-1, paragraph 2.3.3 of<br>Draft NPS EN-1, the National Infrastructure Strategy   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           | RR-325; RR-334; RR-343;<br>RR-346          |       |   | 2020 and the Energy White Paper: "Powering our net zero future" (para. 6.2.25);   |
|           |  |       |   | • Deliver in a timescale that is short in the context of<br>the delivery of other forms of energy generation in<br>line with the urgent need to decarbonise set out in<br>paragraphs 3.3.5, 3.3.15 and 3.4.5 of NPS EN-1,<br>Paragraph 2.3.3 of Draft NPS EN-1 and the National<br>Infrastructure Strategy 2020 (paras. 6.2.25);      |
|           |  |       |   | • Enable all consumers to benefit from the effect of<br>low-marginal cost solar generation by reducing<br>market prices, in line with the aim to provide<br>affordable energy for consumers set out at<br>Paragraph 2.3.3, Paragraph 2.3.6 and 3.3.20 of Draft<br>NPS EN-1 (para 6.2.25); and   |
|           |  |       |   | • Help ensure security and reliability of energy supply in line with Paragraph 2.3.3 and 2.3.6 of the Draft NPS EN-1 (para 6.2.25).   |
|           |  |       |   | Whilst it has not been possible for the Scheme to avoid<br>all significant residual adverse impacts, these have been<br>identified within the <b>Environmental Statement [APP-<br/>039</b> to <b>APP-061]</b> and have been minimised, where<br>possible, through careful and sensitive design and<br>detailed mitigation strategies. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>Scheme being designed to suit the | Section 4.6 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>details the 'Other Benefits of the Scheme', beyond the  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       | commercial interests and profits<br>for the Applicant and<br>shareholders, and the community<br>lose out.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>community and the residential<br>villages suffering greatly from this<br>commercial interest and that<br>there are no community benefits<br>to the Scheme. | <ul> <li>national benefits as described through Sections 4.2 to 4.5 [APP-313].</li> <li>Paragraph 4.6.1 [APP-313] states that the Scheme will result in a significant Net Gain for biodiversity (86.80% gains provided in habitat, 54.71% gains in hedgerow and 33.25% gains in river units).</li> <li>The Applicant has also been in discussions with Saxilby Nature Project to agree inclusion of habitat management land for community use in the DCO application.</li> <li>A total of 0.8ha of land has been set aside as a habitat management area (Work No.10 in Schedule 1 of 3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]). This area has been set aside to assure it aligns with the objectives of Saxilby Nature Project and their neighbouring Hardwick Scrub site (see para. 4.5.90 of 6.2.4 Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-042]).</li> <li>Any financial contributions towards the use of this land by Saxilby Nature Project will be agreed outside the scope of the DCO through the Community Benefit Fund, as described in paragraph 4.8.1 of 7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313].</li> </ul> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | Paragraph 4.6.1 <b>[APP-313]</b> goes on to explain that a<br>new permissive path from Track off Sykes Lane along<br>the Codder Lane Belt and then south and west to rejoin<br>Sykes Lane opposite Hardwick Scrub will be in place<br>during the operational phase of the Scheme, thus<br>improving local amenity.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Paragraph 4.6.1 <b>[APP-313]</b> explains that a Skills, Supply<br>Chain and Employment Plan, as secured through<br>Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , will be in place prior to<br>construction and will set out the measures that the<br>Applicant will implement to advertise and promote<br>employment and training opportunities associated with<br>the Scheme in construction and operation locally. |
|           |  |       |                         | Separately to the Application, the Applicant is<br>committed to providing a Community Benefit Fund (see<br>paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> ).<br>This fund will be available for community-based<br>benefits such as (but not limited to) community-led<br>energy related projects.   |
|           |  |       |                         | The Scheme is anticipated to bring direct, indirect, and<br>induced employment and economic benefits to the<br>Local and Regional Impact Area, as set out in Section<br>18.7, 18.8, and 18.10 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | <b>and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The net changes to<br>employment, and to economic Gross Value Added in<br>the local area (defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw<br>districts) are:   |
|           |  |       |   | <ul> <li>For construction: +432 FTE jobs (para. 18.7.21),</li> <li>+£20.0 million per year (para. 18.7.52);</li> </ul>   |
|           |  |       |   | <ul> <li>For operation: -2 FTE jobs (para. 18.7.81),</li> <li>+£1.5million per year (para. 18.7.99);</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |   | <ul> <li>For decommissioning: +324 FTE jobs (para.<br/>18.7.129), minor beneficial impact to GVA (para.<br/>18.7.139).</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>Applicant stealing land and<br>properties for the development.  | The landowners hosting solar panels within the Scheme<br>have entered into voluntary agreements with the<br>Applicant to lease their land, and their freehold<br>ownership is retained.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the lack<br>of community benefit in relation<br>to lower electricity bills, as the<br>electricity is being supplied<br>straight to the grid. | Section 10.2 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b><br>describes how the deployment of solar generation<br>assets is anticipated to reduce the traded price of<br>power in the UK, and therefore result in economic<br>benefits by lowering the costs of power within the UK<br>energy system. |
|           |  |       | Some comments that the land has been chosen based on the interest   | The selection of the Scheme's location has followed a five-stage systematic step-by-step process where as set  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       | of the Applicant and suitability reasons, and not on merit.                                 | out in 6.3.5.1_A Environmental Statement - Appendix<br>5.1 Site Selection Assessment Revision A [AS-004]:  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the location of the Scheme being                                     | • Stage 1 - Identification of the Area of Search (see para. 2.1.6);  |
|           |  |       | decided on a basis of what land<br>can be purchased in bulk,<br>regardless of surroundings. | • Stage 2 - Exclusion of Planning, Environmental and Spatial Constraints (see para. 2.1.12);   |
|           |  |       |   | • Stage 3 - Identifying Potential Solar Development Areas (see 2.1.17);  |
|           |  |       |   | • Stage 4 - Evaluation of Potential Solar Development Areas (see 2.1.34); and  |
|           |  |       |   | • Stage 5 - Widening the Search to consider Grade 3 agricultural land (see 2.1.38).  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>Applicant committing to a breach<br>of human rights.          | The Applicant respectfully refutes this statement, as at<br>no point has there been any commitment or intention<br>to breach the human rights of any person or population<br>group.  |
|           |  |       |   | Paragraph 7.3.1 and 7.3.2 of <b>7.10 Outline Skills Supply</b><br><b>Chain and Employment Plan [APP-319]</b> sets out<br>information on the safeguarding measures taken to<br>prevent human rights abuses in the supply chain for<br>the Scheme. |
|           |  |       |   | Paragraph 5.4.7 of the <b>Outline Plan [APP-319]</b> states that "Any procurement of supplies internationally will comply with both national and international law, and all  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | policy and safety measures will be adhered to in the transportation of supplies."   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>Applicant potentially taking the<br>money and running, as Toucan<br>Energy did.  | The Applicant is not related to Toucan Energy. In<br>section 2.1 of <b>4.2 Funding Statement [APP-020]</b> the<br>ownership and expertise of Foresight Island GP Solar<br>Portfolio Limited (FIGP) is explained further. The origin<br>of the Applicant is not a planning matter.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>undemocratic nature of the<br>planning process/ bypassing of<br>local authority. | As stated in paragraph 5.2.2 of <b>7.5 Planning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-313]</b> , the Scheme is defined as an<br>"nationally significant infrastructure project" (NSIP)<br>under Sections 14(1)(a), 15(1) and 15(2) of the Planning<br>Act 2008.  |
|           |  |       |  | As such, under Section 103 of the Planning Act 2008,<br>the Secretary of State is the decision maker on an<br>application for an order granting development consent,<br>rather than the local planning authority.   |
|           |  |       |  | That notwithstanding, "Advice Note two: The role of<br>local authorities in the development consent process"<br>as published in February 2015 by the Planning<br>Inspectorate (Version 1) details the statutory role of<br>local authorities within the DCO application process.<br>"The role of local authorities" table set out in Section 1<br>of this advice note summarises these roles by the stage<br>of an application. |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | At this time and as per Section 60 (2) of the Planning Act<br>2008, the Secretary of State must give notice in writing<br>to the host local authorities to invite them to submit a<br>local impact report, where an application for an order<br>granting development consent has been accepted.<br>"Advice Note One: Local Impact Reports" which was<br>republished in April 2012 on the Planning Inspectorate's<br>website notes the importance of Local Impact Reports<br>and that "in coming to a decision, the Secretary of State<br>must have regard to any LIRs that are submitted by the<br>deadline".  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to<br>developer greed by overshooting<br>for too much land and eventually<br>having to compromise. | As per paragraph 2.1.10 of <b>6.3.5.1_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection Assessment</b><br><b>Revision A [AS-004]</b> , "a land area of approximately<br>75ha of solar panels and associated infrastructure (up<br>to 100ha including landscaping and ecology mitigation<br>land) is ideal to provide an NSIP solar scheme of 50MW.<br>For a grid connection of 480MW, a site size of<br>approximately 960 ha (excluding cable route) was<br>preferred. The Applicant generally seeks to find a site<br>which is around 10% larger than is needed for the grid<br>connection offer (up to 1100 ha). This larger site size<br>allows flexibility for the accommodation of additional<br>mitigation measures and other constraints that may<br>become known through the design development<br>process." |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue            | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|------------------|--|---|
|           |   |                  |  | The landscape mitigation measures are shown on<br><b>EN010132/EX1/WB6.4.8.18.1</b> - to<br>E <b>N010132/EX1/WB6.4.8.18.3</b> Figures 8.18.1-A to 8.18.3-<br>A Landscape and Ecology Mitigation and Enhancement<br>Plans <b>[WB6.4.8.18.1_A to WB6.4.8.18.3_A]</b> .   |
|           |   |                  |  | Please also refer to EN010132/EX1/WB7.3-A Outline<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A] which is secured by<br>Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft<br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
| PRI-09    | RR-021; RR-053; RR-054;<br>RR-059; RR-070; RR-072;<br>RR-075; RR-079; RR-083;<br>RR-088; RR-095; RR-102;<br>RR-106; RR-108; RR-110;<br>RR-115; RR-129; RR-132;<br>RR-142; RR-151; RR-152;<br>RR-155; RR-156; RR-157;<br>RR-168; RR-186; RR-194; | Ethical sourcing | Comment that the sourcing of<br>manufactured materials for the<br>Scheme raises ethical and moral<br>concerns.<br>Some comments refer to sourcing<br>from China/Xinjiang as an issue.<br>Some comments refer to media<br>articles substantiating moral and | Paragraph 7.3.1 of <b>7.10 Skills Supply Chain and</b><br><b>Employment Plan [APP-319]</b> confirms that the<br>Applicant is a signatory of the UK Industry Supply Chain<br>which states <i>"We, members of the UK solar energy</i><br><i>industry, condemn and oppose any abuse of human rights,</i><br><i>including forced labour, anywhere in the global supply</i><br><i>chain. We support applying the highest possible levels of</i><br><i>transparency and sustainability throughout the value</i><br><i>chain, and commit to the development of an industry-led</i> |
|           | RR-202; RR-205; RR-210;<br>RR-220; RR-257; RR-268;<br>RR-276; RR-338  |                  | ethical concerns.<br>Some comments refer to raw<br>materials being sourced from the<br>Congo, which raises ethical issues.   | traceability protocol to help to ensure our supply chain is<br>free of human rights abuses."<br>The Applicant refers the Party to paragraph 7.5.4 of<br><b>6.2.7_A Environmental Statement - Chapter 7</b><br><b>Climate Change Revision A</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | Issue           | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-----------------|--|---|
|           |  |                 | Some comments refer to the<br>carbon offset of sourcing<br>materials from abroad.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>precious materials needed to<br>produce the panels.<br>Some comments refer to a lack of<br>clarity from the Applicant in<br>regard to where the panels will be<br>designed and manufactured.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>environmental impact of using<br>silica sand for panel build. | [EN010132/EX1/WB6.2.7_A] which states that it is anticipated that the PV panels will be sourced from China or a country of similar distance from the UK. Therefore, the Applicant has noted and accounted for the sourcing of panels within its assessment and that the manufacture and transport of products will likely be the largest sources of GHG emissions from the Scheme. Paragraph 5.4.7 of [APP-319] states that "Any procurement of supplies internationally will comply with both national and international law, and all policy and safety measures will be adhered to in the transportation of supplies." The detailed Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan is secured through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the 3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. The Applicant notes the comment regarding the use of silica to produce panels. The embodied carbon of the production of mono-crystalline silicon for use in the PV panels has been included in the assessment in paragraph 7.8.12 of 6.2.7 Environmental Statement – Chapter 7 Climate Change [APP-045]. |
| PRI-10    | RR-021; RR-053; RR-060;<br>RR-088; RR-115; RR-156;<br>RR-157; RR-175; RR-211;<br>RR-222; RR-254; RR-262; | Grid connection | Comment that the grid<br>connection, by crossing the River<br>Trent, will cause issues.  | The Applicant notes the described parameters of the<br>Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) across the River<br>Trent, where the maximum depth of HDD has been set<br>out at 25m (see para. 4.5.44 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental</b>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           | RR-303; RR-312; RR-315;<br>RR-339          |       | Some comments refer to the<br>environmental damage cabling<br>infrastructure will cause. | Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-<br>042]).<br>As explained within paragraph 4.5.44 [APP-042], the<br>maximum HDD depth of 25m below ground level has<br>taken account of the water surface level being up to 6<br>metres below the river bank level; the surface water<br>level being up to 5 metres deep to the silt level and the<br>silt level likely being 1 metre deep before the river bed<br>level. With the average depths for a HDD being 3m<br>below the river bed level this leads to an assumed HHD<br>at 15 meters below river bank level. The maximum HDD<br>depth of 25 metres below ground level is considered to<br>offer some flexibility to account for variation in depths.<br>It has been agreed with the Canal and River Trust that<br>the HDD will be a minimum of 5m below the river bed.<br>This will be secured via an amendment to Requirement<br>5 of 3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order<br>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].<br>Notwithstanding the above and in noting the<br>Applicant's precautionary approach in relation to the<br>potential for sediment release, as detailed within<br>paragraphs 8.2.1 to 8.2.4 of 7.17 Outline Ecological<br>Protection and Mitigation Strategy [APP-326], the |
|           |  |       |  | Applicant confirms that the working parameters around crossing the River Trent will depend on the results of   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | ground investigations which will inform the detailed design process.   |
|           |  |       |   | The results of the proposed survey and ground<br>investigations will be reviewed by an ecologist to ensure<br>that they are appropriate for the minimisation of<br>potential disturbance to riparian wildlife. Furthermore,<br>the exact siting of the proposed cable installation<br>(specifically, the entry and exit pits and riverbank<br>beneath the crossing line) will be inspected for the<br>potential presence of protected species, such as otter,<br>water vole and nesting birds, as set out in Section 7 of<br><b>7.19 Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation</b><br><b>Strategy [APP-326]</b> . |
|           |  |       |   | The Outline Strategy is secured through Requirement 8 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .  |
|           |  |       | Some comments question why the<br>site requires a grid connection,<br>claiming that it should instead be<br>placed on the side of the River<br>Trent near the power stations. | The selection of the Scheme's location has followed a five-stage systematic step-by-step process where as set out in <b>6.3.5.1_A Environmental Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection Assessment Revision A [AS-004]</b> : Stage 1 - Identification of the Area of Search (see para. 2.1.6);   |
|           |  |       |   | Stage 2 - Exclusion of Planning, Environmental and Spatial Constraints (see para. 2.1.12);   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|---|---|
|           |  |       |   | Stage 3 - Identifying Potential Solar Development Areas (see 2.1.17);   |
|           |  |       |   | Stage 4 - Evaluation of Potential Solar Development<br>Areas (see 2.1.34); and  |
|           |  |       |   | Stage 5 - Widening the Search to consider Grade 3 agricultural land (see 2.1.38).   |
|           |  |       |   | Resultingly, as concluded through paragraph 4.1.8 of <b>[AS-004]</b> , it is considered that there are no obviously more suitable locations within the area of search than the proposed Sites for the Scheme.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the cable<br>route crossing an area designated<br>as an 'opportunity for ecological<br>improvement' on the biodiversity<br>mapping for this area, which was<br>drawn up by the County Council.<br>Consequential concern that the<br>Scheme goes against the vision<br>that West Lindsey District Council<br>set out for this area in their Green<br>Strategy document. | It is acknowledged that the cable installation route<br>crosses the Biodiversity Opportunity Area (BOA)<br>identified by the Greater Lincolnshire Nature<br>Partnership. These areas are identified not for their<br>current biodiversity value but because they occupy land<br>which is suitable for creating ecological links between<br>other, known locations of valuable ecological habitat.<br>The cable installation works would represent a<br>temporary impact on a largely arable system of low<br>inherent ecological value and any disturbed or removed<br>habitat would be re-seeded or replanted as set out in<br>the <b>7.3_A Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> (a detailed LEMP is secured<br>through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | <b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ), in order to ensure no long-term impact occurs.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments raise concern<br>regarding the extent of extra<br>infrastructure required due to the<br>length of the cable route to the<br>grid connection at the power<br>stations. | 6.2.5 Environmental Statement – Chapter 5<br>Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043] and its<br>associated 6.3.5.1_A Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 5.1 Site Selection Assessment Revision A<br>[AS-004] describes the site selection process that has<br>determined the siting of the Scheme, which has<br>necessitated the length of the cable route required to<br>facilitate this. A description of the infrastructure that is<br>required on the cable route is set out in paragraphs<br>4.5.40-4.5.56 of 6.2.4 Environmental Statement -<br>Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-042]. The<br>environmental impacts of the extent of works required<br>to facilitate the Scheme have been assessed in each of<br>the relevant topics in the Environmental Statement<br>[APP-039 to APP-061]. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the land<br>chosen and the associated cable<br>routes as being deliberate to avoid<br>each parcel of land falling within<br>local planning.                  | The Applicant is unclear as to what the Party means by<br><i>"the land chosen and the associated cable routes as being</i><br><i>deliberate to avoid each parcel of land falling within local</i><br><i>planning".</i> If by this the Party means to say that the<br>Application is purposefully not being determined by the<br>local authorities, then the Applicant points to paragraph<br>4.2.1 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental Statement - Chapter 4</b><br><b>Scheme Description [APP-042]</b> where it outlines that<br>the Scheme is defined as a "nationally significant   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                            | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|----------------------------------|---|---|
|           |  |                                  |   | infrastructure project" (NSIP) under Sections 14(1)(a)<br>and 15(2) of the Planning Act 2008, meaning that (in<br>accordance with section 103 of the 2008 Act) the<br>application must be determined by the Secretary of<br>State rather than the local planning authority.   |
|           |  |                                  | Some comments refer to other<br>buried services e.g. gas pipes that<br>need to be protected.  | The Applicant notes this comment, and is committed to<br>consultation and reaching agreement with operators<br>and/or owners of utility infrastructure that is likely to be<br>directly impacted by the location or design of the<br>Scheme.  |
|           |  |                                  |   | The Applicant has included protective provisions for the protection of various statutory undertakers within Schedule 16 to the <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
| PRI-11    | RR-317                                     | Neutral opinion of Scheme        | Comment stating no objection to the Scheme overall.   | The Applicant notes this comment.   |
| PRI-12    | RR-303                                     | Other Schemes<br>being developed | Concern that Scheme acceptance<br>will create a 'piggy back' situation<br>whereby other developers will<br>plan schemes in the area knowing<br>they will be accepted. | Decisions made on the acceptability of this Scheme,<br>nearby nationally significant infrastructure projects, and<br>other solar development that may come forward will be<br>made based on the merits of each individual<br>development, taking account of cumulative effects from<br>previous and concurrent developments. As such, the<br>approval of this Scheme would not therefore set a<br>principle or precedent for decisions on any future solar<br>developments. |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                  | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|------------------------|--|---|
| PRI-13    | RR-185                                     | Principle of<br>Scheme | Comment that the Scheme is a<br>quick fix and short-sighted, and is<br>not a long term solution.   | Section 3.3 of document <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b><br>[APP-350], specifically paragraphs 3.3.5 and 3.3.11,<br>describes the Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be required to meet<br>increased demand and replace output from retiring<br>(fossil fuel) plants, and that "a secure, reliable, affordable,<br>Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed<br>predominantly of wind and solar".   |
|           |  |                        |  | Furthermore, the Government has identified the need<br>for "sustained growth in the capacity of onshore wind and<br>solar in the next decade" (Paragraph 3.3.8 <b>[APP-320]</b> ).<br>Figure 7.1 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows National Grid Electricity<br>System Operator's projections of the capacity of solar<br>generation required to deliver a net-zero consistent<br>system, which, as stated in para. 7.2.10, are 25 – 42GW<br>by 2030, and 57 – 92GW by 2050, compared to just<br>14GW today (Section 7.2). |
| PRI-14    | RR-248; RR-339                             | Scheme feasibility     | Concern that the Scheme is not a<br>proven venture.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>Schemes in the area being rushed<br>through application without<br>knowing their effectiveness, which<br>may be too late for soil quality to<br>return to agricultural use. | Section 3.3 of document <b>7.11 Statement of Need</b><br>[APP-320], specifically paragraphs 3.3.5 and 3.3.11,<br>describes the Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be required to meet<br>increased demand and replace output from retiring<br>(fossil fuel) plants, and that "a secure, reliable,<br>affordable, Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely<br>to be composed predominantly of wind and solar".   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | This support for large scale solar as part of the 'answer'<br>to net zero and energy security has been repeated in<br>the draft national policy statements EN-1 and EN-3,<br>published in March 2023.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Figure 7.2 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows that future energy scenarios which are predicted to achieve Net Zero include solar capacities in 2030 of 25 – 42GW, and in 2050 of 57 – 92GW, up from circa 15GW in mid-2023.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Paragraph 5.5.8 <b>[APP-320]</b> states that solar generated 11.7TWh of energy in 2019, over 12.1TWh in 2020 and in 2021 generation was 11.2TWh. National Grid operational data shows that solar generated 12.6TWh in 2022.   |
|           |  |       |                         | The deployment of 15GW of solar in the UK to date, and<br>its consistent contribution towards meeting electricity<br>demand over recent years, demonstrates that solar<br>technology is proven in the UK.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Large-scale solar is also now technically and<br>economically feasible in the UK, as evidenced by the<br>increasing scale of projects which are coming forwards,<br>shown in the analysis included in Chapter 10 <b>[APP-320]</b> .<br>The Applicant cites three large-scale, nationally<br>significant solar developments which have been<br>consented, namely Cleve Hill Solar Park, Little Crow<br>Solar Park and Longfield Solar Farm, as well as a<br>number of projects which are progressing through the |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | DCO process, as evidence of the feasibility of large scale solar in the UK.  |
|           |  |       |                         | In relation to the effectiveness of the Scheme, Section<br>6.2 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> sets out how<br>the Scheme will meet the compelling need for<br>renewable energy in accordance with relevant national<br>planning policies. In summary, the Scheme would:  |
|           |  |       |                         | • Deliver a large amount of renewable generation<br>capacity (21,956,988 MWh over the estimated 40-<br>year assessed lifetime) to deliver the Government's<br>energy objectives and legally binding net zero<br>commitments in line with the requirements of<br>paragraph 1.1.1 of NPS EN-3, paragraph 3.3.20 of<br>draft NPS EN-1, section 3.4 of NPS EN-1 and the<br>National Infrastructure Strategy 2020 (para. 6.2.25); |
|           |  |       |                         | • Deliver a reduction of 3,981,049 tCO2e over the lifetime of the Scheme compared to if it did not go ahead which would make a significant contribution towards reducing carbon emissions as required by paragraph 1.1.1 of NPS EN-1, paragraph 2.3.3 of Draft NPS EN-1, the National Infrastructure Strategy 2020 and the Energy White Paper: "Powering our net zero future" (para. 6.2.25);                                |
|           |  |       |                         | • Deliver in a timescale that is short in the context of the delivery of other forms of energy generation in line with the urgent need to decarbonise set out in   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference                                    | Issue          | Summary of Issue Raised                  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|----------------|--|---|
|           |   |                |  | paragraphs 3.3.5, 3.3.15 and 3.4.5 of NPS EN-1,<br>Paragraph 2.3.3 of Draft NPS EN-1 and the National<br>Infrastructure Strategy 2020 (paras. 6.2.25);  |
|           |   |                |  | • Enable all consumers to benefit from the effect of<br>low-marginal cost solar generation by reducing<br>market prices, in line with the aim to provide<br>affordable energy for consumers set out at<br>Paragraph 2.3.3, Paragraph 2.3.6 and 3.3.20 of Draft<br>NPS EN-1 (para 6.2.25);and  |
|           |   |                |  | • Help ensure security and reliability of energy supply in line with Paragraph 2.3.3 and 2.3.6 of the Draft NPS EN-1 (para 6.2.25).   |
|           |   |                |  | With regard to soil quality, paragraph 19.9.14 of <b>6.2.19</b><br><b>Environmental Statement – Chapter 19 Soils and</b><br><b>Agriculture [APP-057]</b> references Defra R&D project<br>SP08016 (Best Practice for Managing Soil Organic<br>Matter in Agriculture). This project makes clear that the<br>reversion of arable land to pasture, as will occur across<br>the majority of the Sites, reliably delivers both soil<br>health and wider environmental benefits. As the<br>development is temporary and the land benefits from<br>an extended fallow, there is not anticipated to be any<br>loss of agricultural land extent or quality. |
| PRI-15    | RR-017; RR-019; RR-041;<br>RR-054; RR-058; RR-071;<br>RR-082; RR-088; RR-098; | Size of Scheme | Concern that the Scheme is too<br>large. | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> ,<br>specifically paragraphs 3.3.5 and 3.3.11, describes the<br>Government's view that large capacities of low-carbon  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------|---|---|
|           | RR-103; RR-109; RR-125;<br>RR-134; RR-138; RR-157;<br>RR-165; RR-167; RR-174;<br>RR-191; RR-200; RR-208;<br>RR-226; RR-247; RR-261;<br>RR-269; RR-273; RR-279;<br>RR-284; RR-287; RR-293;<br>RR-296; RR-335 |       | Some comments refer to solar<br>taking up too much land, in<br>comparison to alternative sources<br>of energy.<br>Some comments refer to statistics<br>to compare the size of the Scheme<br>to a number of football pitches,<br>for example.<br>Some comments refer to a few<br>hundred panels as not an issue,<br>but claim the Government is going<br>the wrong way with solar Schemes<br>of this size. | generation will be required to meet increased demand<br>and replace output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and<br>that "a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent<br>system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of<br>wind and solar". This support for large scale solar as<br>part of the 'answer' to net zero and energy security has<br>been repeated in the draft national policy statements<br>EN-1 and EN-3, published in March 2023.<br>Figure 7.1 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> shows<br>National Grid Electricity System Operator's projections<br>of the capacity of solar generation required to deliver a<br>net-zero consistent system, which are 25 – 42GW by<br>2030, and 57 – 92GW by 2050, compared to just 15GW<br>at the date of this written submission.<br>Table 7.1 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> shows<br>the electricity generated per hectare by different low-<br>carbon technologies. At the UK's average solar load<br>factor (11%), solar generation produces much more<br>energy per hectare than biogas, and generates a similar<br>amount of energy as onshore wind.<br>Furthermore, paragraph 7.6.8 of <b>7.11 Statement of</b><br><b>Need [APP-320]</b> states that: "Draft NPS EN-3 includes an<br>anticipated range of 2 to 4 acres for each MW of output<br>generally required for a solar farm along with its<br>associated infrastructure. The Scheme as proposed delivers<br>a large-scale solar generation asset which is consistent |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                | Summary of Issue Raised                   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|----------------------|---|---|
|           |  |                      |   | with this range. This demonstrates that the proposed<br>location is a suitable site which is consistent with<br>government's view of best practice ratios of land take and<br>installed capacity."  |
|           |  |                      |   | While smaller solar schemes also have an important<br>role to play in achieving Net Zero, Paragraph 8.5.7 of<br><b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> describes that<br>"Government does not believe that decentralised and<br>community energy systems are likely to lead to significant<br>replacement of large-scale infrastructure" |
|           |  |                      |   | Section 7.7 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> sets<br>out how the design of the Scheme seeks to maximise<br>utilisation of the grid connection capacity available at<br>the West Burton National Grid Substation.  |
| PRI-16    | RR-002                                     | Support of<br>Scheme | Comment providing support for the Scheme. | The Applicant notes this comment and welcomes such support for the Scheme.  |



## 3.13 Socio-economics, Tourism and Recreation

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue             | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------------------|--|--|
| STR-01    | RR-007; RR-021; RR-156                     | Criminal activity | Concern that the site will increase criminal activity in the area. | The Applicant is not aware of any evidence to suggest<br>that rural crime would be impacted as a result of the<br>Scheme's construction, operation and<br>decommissioning.   |
|           |  |                   |  | At the statutory consultation stage (Section 42), the<br>Lincolnshire Police provided comment regarding the<br>Scheme and raised no objection to the Scheme as a<br>whole and raised no concerns relating to public<br>security. This is set out in <b>5.13 Consultation Report -</b><br><b>Appendix 5.13 - Section 42 Applicant Response [APP-<br/>037]</b> . The only comments provided relate to the security<br>features of the Scheme itself. |
|           |  |                   |  | As set out in 7.1_A Outline Construction<br>Environmental Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.1_A] (secured through<br>requirement 13 of the 3.1_A Draft Development<br>Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]),<br>Section 2.11, there will be designated security staff<br>during construction who will manage the Order limits<br>and patrol the perimeter.  |
|           |  |                   |  | Section 2.8 of <b>7.14_A Outline Operational</b><br>Environmental Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A] (secured through   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | requirement 14 of the <b>3.1_A Draft Development</b><br><b>Consent Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ),<br>sets out that the Sites will receive several security risk<br>management threat assessments during the<br>development, construction, operation, and ultimately<br>decommissioning phases. These security risk<br>management threat assessments are conducted by<br>suitably qualified and experienced persons (SQEP) and<br>will determine security risks. |
|           |  |       |                         | The Applicant recognises the symbiotic relationship<br>between safety and security. The security arrangements<br>to be present at the Site will therefore contribute to the<br>overall safety of all who will, or may, enter the Sites. The<br>security arrangements will be SQEP reviewed at<br>identified times commensurate to the Security Risk<br>rating and will further assess any changes in the<br>Security Risk Management Threat Assessment.                         |
|           |  |       |                         | The security features that are proposed as part of the<br>Scheme are set out within Section 4.5 of <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042]</b> and set out in Section 2.8 of<br><b>7.14_A Outline Operational Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A]</b> (as secured by<br>Requirement 14 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]):  |
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>Detection systems such as beam break, image<br/>detection etc. to raise alarm when fence<br/>breached;</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |                         | • Audio announcement when intruder detected to warn alarm triggered and police on way;  |
|           |  |       |                         | • Barriers/locked gates at main entrances to the Sites;   |
|           |  |       |                         | • Steel doors on substation buildings;  |
|           |  |       |                         | • Buried cables as much as possible;  |
|           |  |       |                         | Remote monitoring; and  |
|           |  |       |                         | • Alarm response contract with keyholder/security company   |
|           |  |       |                         | For the solar arrays there will be a maximum of 2.5m<br>high deer wire mesh fencing, 3m high maximum pole<br>mounted CCTV systems. The substations and BESS is<br>proposed to have palisade fencing which is a maximum<br>height of 2.6m (see paragraphs 4.5.57 to 4.5.60 of <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042]</b> ). |
|           |  |       |                         | Paragraph 4.5.61 <b>[APP-042]</b> states that, "Lighting is not required within the solar arrays. Lighting will be  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue       | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------------|---|--|
|           |  |             |   | provided within substations and within the Energy<br>Storage site to be used only in the event of it being<br>required for maintenance and security purposes. Down<br>lighting would be used on lighting columns of a<br>maximum height of 3m."  |
| STR-02    | RR-021; RR-156                             | Deprivation | Concern that the Scheme has<br>been chosen in this particular area<br>as it is an area of high deprivation<br>and will result in little opposition. | The Applicant recognises that the Bassetlaw and West<br>Lindsey districts are relatively economically deprived<br>areas (see para. 18.5.29 in <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism</b><br><b>and Recreation [APP-056]</b> ). This has not been a<br>contributing factor to the site selection process.<br>The Scheme, through the measures set out in Section 5<br>of <b>7.10 Outline Skills Supply Chain and Employment</b><br><b>Plan [APP-319]</b> , is anticipated to improve local access to<br>employment, and improve local education and skills<br>attainment across the lifetime of the Scheme. These<br>measures are anticipated to bring significant beneficial<br>effects during the construction phase of the Scheme, as<br>assessed in para. 18.8.11-13 in <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b> |
|           |  |             |   | Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism<br>and Recreation [APP-056].<br>The Applicant confirms that a Skills, Supply Chain and<br>Employment Plan is secured by Requirement 20 of<br>Schedule 2 to 3.1_A Draft Development Consent<br>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue      | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|------------|--|---|
| STR-03    | RR-008; RR-020; RR-025;<br>RR-040; RR-053; RR-062;<br>RR-067; RR-083; RR-095;<br>RR-102; RR-104; RR-115;<br>RR-124; RR-139; RR-146;<br>RR-154; RR-168; RR-173;<br>RR-175; RR-191; RR-193;<br>RR-20; RR-222; RR-202;<br>RR-220; RR-222; RR-234;<br>RR-240; RR-246; RR-262;<br>RR-263; RR-265; RR-268;<br>RR-272; RR-307; RR-312;<br>RR-316; RR-334; RR-343 | Employment | Comment that jobs in agriculture<br>will be reduced/lost.<br>Some comments refer to farmers<br>losing their jobs in the immediate<br>future.<br>Some comments refer to the poor<br>situation tenant farmers will face.<br>Some comments refer to little to<br>no agricultural jobs in 40 years<br>time when the Scheme is<br>expected to decommission. | The Applicant recognises the significance of the agricultural industry in the local economy and has assessed the economic impact of the Scheme in Section 18.7 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> and the direct impacts on local agriculture in Sections 19.9 and 19.10 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> . The Scheme is anticipated to lead to a maximum (worst-case) loss of approximately 13 full-time equivalent agriculture jobs, as stated in paragraph 18.7.15 of document <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The Scheme is estimated to employ 8 full-time equivalent employees from the local area during operation; see Table 18.16. The net change in employment in the local area (defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw Districts) during the Scheme's operational life is a loss of approximately 2 full-time jobs, once consideration of direct, indirect and induced employment, and impacts on the tourism and recreation industry are considered (see para. 18.7.81). Overall, the economic benefit to the local area is estimated to be £1.5 million per year (see para. 18.7.99). The overall employment and economic benefit to the local area from the two-year construction period is |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue        | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|--------------|---|---|
|           |   |              |   | anticipated to be 432 full-time equivalent jobs (see para. 18.7.23), generating £20.0 million per year (see para. 18.7.52).   |
|           |   |              |   | The land included in the Scheme covers 4 farm<br>businesses, all of which are owner occupiers of the land<br>within the Sites. Each of the land owners has signed a<br>voluntary option agreement with the Applicant. This is<br>detailed in full in para. 7.1.1-29 of <b>6.3.19.1</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 19.1</b><br><b>Agricultural Land Quality, Soil Resources and</b><br><b>Farming Circumstances Report [APP-137]</b> . As a result,<br>there is no anticipated impact on tenant farmers<br>beyond potential short-term impacts as a result of<br>temporary works to lay cables between the Sites and<br>the Grid Connection Point. |
|           |   |              |   | Upon decommissioning, the Sites will be reinstated<br>(see paras. 3.3.20 to 3.3.26) after which arable<br>production can resume. This requirement to restore the<br>land to arable use is secured through Requirement 21<br>of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> .   |
| STR-04    | RR-005; RR-007; RR-015;<br>RR-019; RR-022; RR-037;<br>RR-040; RR-044; RR-055;<br>RR-060; RR-062; RR-063;<br>RR-103; RR-113; RR-118; | House prices | Opposition to the Scheme due to<br>anticipated devaluation of local<br>property values. | Property value is not a consideration for decision<br>making on development consent orders. As such,<br>impacts on property values have not been assessed as<br>part of the Application. Nonetheless, there is no strong<br>evidence to show solar farms negatively affect nearby   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | lssue        | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--------------|--|---|
|           | RR-126; RR-139; RR-142;<br>RR-170; RR-176; RR-235;<br>RR-246; RR-247; RR-268;<br>RR-275; RR-303; RR-316;<br>RR-335 |              | Some comments question who<br>will compensate for devaluation of<br>properties.<br>Some comments refer to<br>purchasing a property in the local<br>area and not knowing of the<br>Scheme, and subsequently being<br>unable to recoup the money spent<br>on the property.   | property values, and it is more likely that other factors<br>have more significant effects on property values.  |
| STR-05    | RR-284; RR-307; RR-344   | Levelling Up | Belief that solar schemes should<br>not be considered as levelling up.<br>Some comments claim that the<br>Scheme further raises north/south<br>divides and the Scheme would not<br>go ahead in the south. Further<br>concern that the Scheme is<br>designed to keep the East of<br>England a poor relation to the UK<br>and economically impoverished. | Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b> ,<br>describes the Government's view that large capacities<br>of low-carbon generation will be required to meet<br>increased demand and replace output from retiring<br>(fossil fuel) plants, and that "a secure, reliable,<br>affordable, Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely<br>to be composed predominantly of wind and solar". This<br>support for large scale solar as part of the 'answer' to<br>net zero and energy security has been repeated in the<br>draft national policy statements EN-1 and EN-3,<br>published in March 2023. |
|           |  |              |  | Section 7.5 <b>[APP-320]</b> describes how suitable locations<br>for large-scale solar are identified and assessed.<br>Paragraph 7.5.2 outlines the broad criteria for<br>determining site suitability.   |
|           |  |              |  | Figure 7.4 <b>[APP-320]</b> shows the level of photovoltaic power potential at the proposed locations. Section 9  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | describes the advantages of connecting large-scale<br>solar to the existing and robust National Electricity<br>Transmission System at the proposed Point of<br>Connection at West Burton Power Station, and<br>paragraph 9.4.4 concludes that the Scheme will<br>contribute to national system adequacy and<br>decarbonisation targets.                                       |
|           |  |       |                         | 6.2.5 Environmental Statement - Chapter<br>5_Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-043] and<br>its accompanying appendix 6.3.5.1_A Environmental<br>Statement - Appendix 5.1 Site Selection Assessment<br>Revision A [AS-004] explain how the Sites were chosen<br>in light of that need.  |
|           |  |       |                         | Specifically, paragraph 2.1.10 <b>[AS-004]</b> explains the reasons why sites of the size proposed are required to meet the 480MW grid connection offer. The methodology used for the site selection process is considered reasonable and proportionate and complies with the requirements of paragraph 4.4.3 of NPS EN-1. This is explained at Section 2.1 <b>[AS-004]</b> . |
|           |  |       |                         | The Scheme is anticipated to bring direct, indirect, and<br>induced employment and economic benefits to the<br>Local and Regional Impact Area as set out in Section<br>18.7, 18.8, and 18.10 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism</b><br><b>and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The net changes to                                  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue            | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|------------------|---|---|
|           |   |                  |   | employment, and to economic Gross Value Added in<br>the local area (defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw<br>districts) are:  |
|           |   |                  |   | For construction: +432 FTE jobs (para. 18.7.21), +£20.0 million per year (para. 18.7.52);   |
|           |   |                  |   | For operation: -2 FTE jobs(para. 18.7.81), +£1.5million per year (para. 18.7.99);   |
|           |   |                  |   | For decommissioning: +324 FTE jobs (para. 18.7.129),<br>minor beneficial impact to GVA (para. 18.7.139).  |
| STR-06    | RR-012; RR-059; RR-083;<br>RR-088; RR-095; RR-115;<br>RR-119; RR-133; RR-139;<br>RR-140; RR-154; RR-160;<br>RR-168; RR-171; RR-173;<br>RR-175; RR-205; RR-240;<br>RR-257; RR-265; RR-268;<br>RR-280; RR-334; RR-337 | Loss of business | Concern that personal business<br>will cease trading because of the<br>Scheme.<br>Some comments refer to personal<br>farm/cottage let businesses/<br>tourist spots being detrimentally<br>impacted by the Scheme. | Impacts on business, including those within the<br>accommodation sector, tourism and recreation have<br>been assessed as a whole across the Local Impact Area<br>(Bassetlaw and West Lindsey districts) in Section 18.7 of<br><b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio</b><br><b>Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056]</b> .<br>Individual cases of impacts on rural businesses nearby<br>to the Scheme, unless they are also identified as<br>residential receptor in <b>6.2.8 Environmental Statement</b><br><b>- Chapter 8 Landscape and Visual Impact</b><br><b>Assessment [APP-046]</b> , have not been assessed<br>separately. |
|           |   |                  | Some comments refer to a lack of<br>compensation for potential<br>economic loss.  | Businesses and landowners whose land is directly<br>impacted by works associated with the Scheme will be<br>subject to statutory protections that require the<br>payment of compensation in circumstances where their   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | land interests are affected by the Scheme. Details of these protections are set out in further detail in <b>4.1 Statement of Reasons [APP-019]</b> .   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to job<br>losses/ economic impacts across<br>the local area due to the Scheme.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>people becoming poor as a result<br>of the Scheme.<br>Concern that quality of life will be<br>reduced due to economic loss. | The Scheme is anticipated to bring direct, indirect, and<br>induced employment and economic benefits to the<br>Local and Regional Impact Area as set out in Section<br>18.7, 18.8, and 18.10 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism</b><br><b>and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The net changes to<br>employment, and to economic Gross Value Added in<br>the local area (defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw<br>districts) are: |
|           |  |       |   | <ul> <li>For construction: +432 FTE jobs (para. 18.7.21),<br/>+£20.0 million per year (para. 18.7.52);</li> <li>For operation: -2 FTE jobs (para. 18.7.81),<br/>+£1.5million per year (para. 18.7.99);</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |   | <ul> <li>For decommissioning: +324 FTE jobs (para.<br/>18.7.129), minor beneficial impact to GVA (para.<br/>18.7.139).</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |   | As a result of the uplift in GVA across the Scheme's lifetime, there is anticipated to be an uplift in economic prosperity in the Local Impact Area. This is assessed to be a medium-term moderate-minor beneficial effect during construction (18.7.53), a long-term minor beneficial effect during operation (18.7.100), and a   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                                | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                                      |  | medium-term temporary moderate-minor beneficial effect during decommissioning (18.7.141).  |
|           |  |                                      | Some comments refer to a missed<br>opportunity to support UK<br>business in relation to supply<br>chains.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>different skillset required for<br>construction work in comparison<br>to farming, and therefore the<br>Scheme being unlikely to<br>contribute job gains for the local<br>community. | Section 5 of <b>7.10 Outline Skills Supply Chain and</b><br><b>Employment Plan [APP-319]</b> describes the additional<br>measures which are being pursued as part of the<br>Scheme to provide local economic benefits. These<br>include providing additional skills training (paras. 5.2.1<br>to 5.2.12), maximising local recruitment and enhancing<br>opportunities for local procurement (paras. 5.3.1 to<br>5.4.6).<br>The Applicant confirms that a Skills, Supply Chain and<br>Employment Plan is secured by Requirement 20 of<br>Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
| STR-07    | RR-198                                     | Personal and<br>community<br>benefit | Concern that there is no<br>community benefit or<br>enhancement of their livelihoods<br>due to the Scheme.   | The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this<br>statement.<br>Section 4.6 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b><br>details the 'Other Benefits of the Scheme', beyond the<br>national benefits in Sections 4.2 to 4.5 <b>[APP-313]</b> .<br>Paragraph 4.6.1 <b>[APP-313]</b> states that the Scheme will<br>result in a significant Net Gain for biodiversity (86.80%<br>gains provided in habitat, 54.71% gains in hedgerow<br>and 33.25% gains in river units).<br>The Applicant has also been in discussions with Saxilby  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | management land for community use in the DCO application.  |
|           |  |       |                         | A total of 0.8ha of land has been set aside as a habitat<br>management area (Work No.10 in Schedule 1 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> ). This area has been set<br>aside to assure it aligns with the objectives of Saxilby<br>Nature Project and their neighbouring Hardwick Scrub<br>site (see para. 4.5.90 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-<br/>042]</b> ). |
|           |  |       |                         | Any financial contributions towards the use of this land<br>by Saxilby Nature Project will be agreed outside the<br>scope of the DCO through the Community Benefit Fund,<br>as described in paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>7.5 Planning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-313]</b> .   |
|           |  |       |                         | Paragraph 4.6.1 <b>[APP-313]</b> explains that a new<br>permissive path from Track off Sykes Lane along the<br>Codder Lane Belt and then south and west to rejoin<br>Sykes Lane opposite Hardwick Scrub will be in place<br>during the operational phase of the Scheme, thus<br>improving local amenity.   |
|           |  |       |                         | Paragraph 4.6.1 <b>[APP-313]</b> goes on to explain that a<br>Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan, as secured<br>through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | <b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , will be in place prior to construction and will set out the measures that the Applicant will implement to advertise and promote employment and training opportunities associated with the Scheme in construction and operation locally.  |
|           |  |       |                         | Separately to the Application, the Applicant is<br>committed to providing a Community Benefit Fund (see<br>paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>7.5 Planning Statement [APP-313]</b> ).<br>This fund will be available for community-based<br>benefits such as (but not limited to) community-led<br>energy related projects.   |
|           |  |       |                         | The Scheme is anticipated to bring direct, indirect, and<br>induced employment and economic benefits to the<br>Local and Regional Impact Area as set out in Section<br>18.7, 18.8, and 18.10 of <b>6.2.18 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism</b><br><b>and Recreation [APP-056]</b> . The net changes to<br>employment, and to economic Gross Value Added in<br>the local area (defined as West Lindsey and Bassetlaw<br>districts) are: |
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>For construction: +432 FTE jobs (para. 18.7.21),<br/>+£20.0 million per year (para. 18.7.52);</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>For operation: -2 FTE jobs (para. 18.7.81),<br/>+£1.5million per year (para. 18.7.99);</li> </ul>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference   | Issue   | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|---------|---|--|
|           |  |         |   | <ul> <li>For decommissioning: +324 FTE jobs (para.<br/>18.7.129), minor beneficial impact to GVA (para.<br/>18.7.139).</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |         |   | As a result of the uplift in GVA across the Scheme's<br>lifetime, there is anticipated to be an uplift in economic<br>prosperity in the Local Impact Area. This is assessed to<br>be a medium-term moderate-minor beneficial effect<br>during construction (18.7.53), a long-term minor<br>beneficial effect during operation (18.7.100), and a<br>medium-term temporary moderate-minor beneficial<br>effect during decommissioning (18.7.141).  |
|           |  |         |   | The Scheme, through the measures set out in Section 5<br>of <b>7.10 Outline Skills Supply Chain and Employment</b><br><b>Plan [APP-319]</b> , is anticipated to improve local access to<br>employment, and improve local education and skills<br>attainment across the lifetime of the Scheme. These<br>measures are anticipated to bring significant beneficial<br>effects during construction, as assessed in para.<br>18.8.11-13 in <b>6.2.18 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 18 Socio Economics Tourism and Recreation</b><br><b>[APP-056]</b> . |
| STR-08    | RR-021; RR-040; RR-062;<br>RR-079; RR-124; RR-156;<br>RR-168; RR-171; RR-263;<br>RR-267; RR-268; RR-316;<br>RR-337 | Tourism | Comment that the Scheme will<br>directly impact tourism.<br>Some comments refer to the loss<br>of tourism in Broxholme. | The Applicant recognises the significance of the tourism<br>industry in the local economy and has assessed the<br>employment and economic impact of the Scheme to<br>the tourism and recreation sector in Section 18.7 of  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|---|
|           |  |       |                         | 6.2.18 Environmental Statement - Chapter 18 Socio<br>Economics Tourism and Recreation [APP-056].  |
|           |  |       |                         | The impacts from the Scheme on the tourism and<br>recreation industry in the Local Impact Area (of which<br>West Lindsey is in Lincolnshire) have been assessed as<br>follows:  |
|           |  |       |                         | <ul> <li>During construction, both employment in (para.<br/>18.7.19) and economic performance of (para.<br/>18.7.50) the tourism and recreation industry are<br/>anticipated to experience a neutral effect.</li> </ul> |
|           |  |       |                         | • During operation, the worst case impact on employment in (para. 18.7.80) and economic performance of (para. 18.7.97) the tourism and recreation industry is anticipated to be a minor, long-term adverse effect.      |
|           |  |       |                         | • During operation, the worst case impact on employment in (para. 18.7.126) and economic performance of (para. 18.7.137) the tourism and recreation industry is anticipated to be a minor, medium-term adverse effect.  |
|           |  |       |                         | None of these effects are considered to be significant.   |



## 3.14 Soils and Agriculture

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | lssue             | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------------------|--|--|
| SOI-01    | RR-003; RR-004; RR-006;<br>RR-007; RR-008; RR-009;<br>RR-010; RR-013; RR-014;<br>RR-015; RR-019; RR-020;<br>RR-021; RR-022; RR-024;<br>RR-025; RR-028; RR-034;<br>RR-035; RR-036; RR-039;<br>RR-040; RR-041; RR-042;<br>RR-040; RR-041; RR-042;<br>RR-043; RR-045; RR-047;<br>RR-049; RR-050; RR-051;<br>RR-052; RR-050; RR-051;<br>RR-052; RR-053; RR-054;<br>RR-055; RR-056; RR-057;<br>RR-058; RR-059; RR-060;<br>RR-061; RR-062; RR-063;<br>RR-065; RR-066; RR-067;<br>RR-069; RR-070; RR-073;<br>RR-074; RR-075; RR-078;<br>RR-079; RR-080; RR-081;<br>RR-082; RR-083; RR-085;<br>RR-086; RR-088; RR-096;<br>RR-097; RR-099; RR-100; | Agricultural Land | Opposition to the Scheme due to<br>a loss of agricultural land.<br>Some comments refer to this loss<br>of land having a negative impact<br>on UK food security.<br>Some comments refer to the war<br>in Ukraine exacerbating food<br>security issues.<br>Some comments refer to personal<br>yield figures or cite other figures<br>from internet sources.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>rising UK population as a reason<br>to not lose agricultural land.<br>Some comments refer to the land<br>in between panels not being in a<br>suitable condition for animal<br>grazing due to no vegetation<br>growing in the shade created by | It is the Applicant's view that the concerns raised<br>regarding solar farm effects on food security and<br>sustainability are misplaced.<br>A large proportion of the agricultural land within the<br>solar farm development can be retained in agricultural<br>use during the operational phase of the Scheme for<br>uses such as grazing sheep, as stated in paragraph<br>19.3.3 of <b>6.2.19 Environmental Statement - Chapter</b><br><b>19 Soils and Agriculture [APP-057]</b> . The agricultural<br>land resource being used for the Scheme is not lost<br>permanently as set out in paragraph 19.9.3 of <b>6.2.19</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 19 Soils and</b><br><b>Agriculture [APP-057]</b> . Food security is not a material<br>planning consideration. Nonetheless, as outlined in<br>paragraph 19.5.2 <b>[APP-057]</b> a solar farm requires<br>considerably less land to produce a kWh of electricity<br>than energy crops such as miscanthus, biodiesel and<br>crops for anaerobic digestion. Defra's report on Food<br>Security for the UK <sup>7</sup> notes that trends in proportion of<br>food consumption grown in the UK, have remained<br>stable for several decades regardless of changes in |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> UK Food Security Report 2021, Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-------|--|---|
|           | Reference         RR-101; RR-102; RR-103;         RR-104; RR-105; RR-106;         RR-107; RR-109; RR-110;         RR-111; RR-112; RR-114;         RR-115; RR-116; RR-117;         RR-115; RR-116; RR-117;         RR-115; RR-116; RR-117;         RR-115; RR-116; RR-121;         RR-124; RR-125; RR-126;         RR-127; RR-128; RR-129;         RR-130; RR-131; RR-132;         RR-130; RR-131; RR-132;         RR-130; RR-136; RR-138;         RR-139; RR-140; RR-141;         RR-142; RR-143; RR-144;         RR-145; RR-148; RR-150;         RR-154; RR-152; RR-156;         RR-154; RR-155; RR-156;         RR-157; RR-159; RR-160;         RR-164; RR-162; RR-163;         RR-164; RR-165; RR-166;         RR-168; RR-169; RR-170;         RR-171; RR-173; RR-174; |       | the panels and the soil being too<br>dry.<br>Some comments refer to future<br>energy generation being high, but<br>the amount of food available<br>being lower as a consequence.<br>Some comments refer to the<br>Applicant failing to follow the<br>guidance included in the<br>government Policy paper British<br>Energy Security Strategy - Updated<br>7 April 2022 presented by the<br>Prime Minister Boris Johnson. | <ul> <li>population, and that the most serious risks to UK food security include climate change and soil degradation.</li> <li>Solar farms have existed on sites across the UK for several years now and are routinely grazed by livestock.</li> <li>Please see BRE (2014) Agricultural Good Practice Guidance for Solar Farms. Ed J Scurlock.</li> <li>Section 3.3 of <b>7.11 Statement of Need [APP-320]</b>, specifically paragraphs 3.3.5 and 3.3.11, describes the Government's view that large capacities of low-carbon generation will be required to meet increased demand and replace output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that "a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind and solar". This support for large scale solar as part of the 'answer' to net zero and energy security has been repeated in the draft national policy statements EN-1 and EN-3, published in March 2023.</li> <li>Paragraph 7.6.8 [APP-320] states that: "Draft NPS EN-3 includes an anticipated range of 2 to 4 acres for each MW</li> </ul> |
|           | RR-176; RR-177; RR-182;<br>RR-183; RR-184; RR-185;<br>RR-187; RR-189; RR-191;<br>RR-192; RR-193; RR-194;<br>RR-196; RR-197; RR-198;<br>RR-199; RR-200; RR-202;<br>RR-203; RR-205; RR-206;   |       |  | of output generally required for a solar farm along with its<br>associated infrastructure. The Scheme as proposed delivers<br>a large-scale solar generation asset which is consistent<br>with this range. This demonstrates that the proposed<br>location is a suitable site which is consistent with<br>government's view of best practice ratios of land take and<br>installed capacity."  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------|--|--|
|           | RR-207; RR-209; RR-211;<br>RR-212; RR-214; RR-215;<br>RR-216; RR-217; RR-219;<br>RR-220; RR-221; RR-222;<br>RR-223; RR-224; RR-225;<br>RR-226; RR-227; RR-228;<br>RR-229; RR-234; RR-237;<br>RR-239; RR-246; RR-237;<br>RR-248; RR-249; RR-251;<br>RR-252; RR-254; RR-255;  |       |  | Section 8.9 <b>[APP-320]</b> discusses the British Energy<br>Security Strategy and the support it offers to UK-based<br>ground mounted solar. At Paragraph 8.9.5, it is noted<br>that this support includes a "5-fold increase in<br>deployment of solar technology by 2035" and for ground-<br>mounted solar, a "future consultation on planning rules to<br>strengthen policy in favour of development on non-<br>protected land, while ensuring communities continue to<br>have a say and environmental protections remain in<br>place."  |
|           | RR-256; RR-257; RR-258;<br>RR-262; RR-263; RR-264;<br>RR-265; RR-266; RR-268;<br>RR-271; RR-272; RR-273;<br>RR-274; RR-275; RR-276;<br>RR-277; RR-278; RR-280;<br>RR-284; RR-287; RR-280;<br>RR-290; RR-291; RR-288;<br>RR-290; RR-291; RR-292;<br>RR-293; RR-294; RR-295;<br>RR-296; RR-301; RR-302;<br>RR-303; RR-304; RR-305;<br>RR-306; RR-307; RR-311; |       | Some comments refer to giving up<br>agricultural land for money being<br>incorrect.                        | The British Energy Security Strategy talks to<br>Government support for "the effective use of land by<br>encouraging large scale projects to locate on previously<br>developed, or lower value land, where possible, and ensure<br>projects are designed to avoid, mitigate, and where<br>necessary, compensate for the impacts of using greenfield<br>sites." The Strategy therefore foresees the need for<br>some solar schemes to be located on greenfield sites,<br>which will be required to compensate for any impacts<br>which are identified as a consequence of their<br>development. |
|           | RR-312; RR-313; RR-314;<br>RR-315; RR-316; RR-318;<br>RR-323; RR-325; RR-326;<br>RR-327; RR-328; RR-329;<br>RR-330; RR-332; RR-335;   |       | Some comments refer to replacing<br>agricultural land for solar as<br>swapping one problem for<br>another. | It is the Applicant's view that the concerns raised<br>regarding solar farm effects on food security and<br>sustainability, are misplaced.<br>Food security is not a material planning consideration.<br>Nonetheless, as outlined in paragraph 19.5.2 <b>[APP-057]</b>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference                           | Issue          | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|----------------|---|---|
|           | RR-336; RR-337; RR-338;<br>RR-339; RR-343; RR-346;<br>RR-348; RR-349 |                |   | a solar farm requires considerably less land to produce<br>a kWh of electricity than energy crops such as<br>miscanthus, biodiesel and crops for anaerobic<br>digestion. Defra's report on Food Security for the UK <sup>8</sup><br>notes that trends in proportion of food consumption<br>grown in the UK, have remained stable for several<br>decades regardless of changes in population, and that<br>the most serious risks to UK food security include<br>climate change and soil degradation.             |
| SOI-02    | RR-253   | Future farming | Concern that the Scheme will<br>block future farming in the area. | As set out in the <b>Outline Decommissioning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-310]</b> , the land within the Site will be<br>returned to the respective landowners and to its<br>original use after decommissioning, after which the<br>Applicant will have no control over its future use.   |
|           |  |                |   | The Applicant confirms that the following is secured<br>through Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> : "Within 12 months of the<br>date that the undertaker decides to decommission any<br>part of the authorised development, the undertaker<br>must submit to the relevant planning authority for that<br>part a decommissioning plan for approval" where "The<br>decommissioning plan must be substantially in |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> UK Food Security Report 2021, Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | lssue            | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                  |   | accordance with the outline decommissioning statement."  |
|           |   |                  |   | Details of the protection and restoration of soil during<br>and post-decommissioning are set out in Table 3.1 of<br><b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning Statement [APP-310]</b> .   |
| SOI-03    | RR-004; RR-021; RR-063;<br>RR-083; RR-151; RR-156;<br>RR-220; RR-247; RR-257;<br>RR-262; RR-284; RR-290 | Land Quality     | Comments that the land used is<br>not degraded/3B land.<br>Some comments refer to<br>inaccurate gradings of the land<br>taking place for the purpose of<br>getting planning consent.<br>Some comments refer to<br>independent soil surveys taking<br>place. | <ul> <li>6.3.19.1 Environmental Statement - Appendix 19.1<br/>Agricultural Land Quality, Soil Resources and<br/>Farming Circumstances Report [APP-137] presents a<br/>detailed and objective Agricultural Land Classification<br/>(ALC) assessment that follows the guidance given by<br/>Natural England.</li> <li>Initial results were reviewed by an ALC specialist not<br/>involved in the field survey work. Their<br/>recommendations for additional field work and<br/>laboratory sampling were implemented.</li> </ul> |
|           |   |                  |   | Natural England retain several ALC specialists, and will<br>appraise the Applicant's ALC assessment. Natural<br>England are the statutory consultee regarding<br>agricultural land in planning. In REP-098 Natural<br>England note the following : - "Natural England are<br>satisfied that the detailed ALC survey undertaken across<br>the order limits is appropriate."   |
| SOI-04    | RR-015; RR-019; RR-026;<br>RR-067; RR-070; RR-082;  | Land restoration | Concern regarding whether land<br>will be restored after<br>decommissioning.  | As stated in paragraph 4.8.1 of <b>6.2.4 Environmental</b><br>Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme Description [APP-   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference        | Issue           | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|---|-----------------|--|---|
|           | RR-102; RR-154; RR-247;<br>RR-255; RR-314; RR-329 |                 | Some comments refer to how the<br>land will be contaminated during<br>the operational phase of the<br>Scheme, and cannot be used for<br>agricultural use following<br>decommission.<br>Some comments refer to whether<br>there will be a future bill for the<br>Scheme, and if so, who will pay for<br>it (company or<br>taxpayers/councils).<br>Some comments refer to whether<br>there are reinstatement<br>obligations of the Applicant at<br>lease end.<br>Some comments refer to the lack<br>of commitment to land<br>restoration from the Applicant. | <ul> <li>042], decommissioning is expected to take between 12 and 24 months and will be undertaken in phases.</li> <li>As set out in the Outline Decommissioning</li> <li>Statement [APP-310], the land within the Scheme's</li> <li>Sites will be returned to the respective landowners and to its original use after decommissioning, after which the Applicant will have no control over its future use.</li> <li>The Applicant confirms that the following is secured through Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 of 3.1_A Draft</li> <li>Development Consent Order Revision A</li> <li>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]: "Within 12 months of the date that the undertaker decides to decommission any part of the authorised development, the undertaker must submit to the relevant planning authority for that part a decommissioning plan for approval" where "The decommissioning plan must be substantially in accordance with the outline decommissioning statement."</li> <li>Details of the protection and restoration of soil during and post-decommissioning Statement [APP-310].</li> </ul> |
| SOI-05    | RR-104  | Soil Compaction | Concern that once the Scheme is<br>constructed, soil compaction will<br>take place and ruin further chance<br>of agricultural use.   | As written into <b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-310]</b> , paragraph 2.1.8 confirms that<br>"where localised soil compaction occurs from the presence<br>of structures such as the substations or the BESS; or the<br>weight of mobile machinery used through construction,   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | operation and decommissioning, management measures<br>are identified to alleviate compaction (e.g., through<br>ploughing and aeration), to maintain soil structure and<br>enable reinstatement of the land to its original use."   |
|           |  |       |                         | The decommissioning mitigation and site restoration<br>measures set out in <b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning</b><br><b>Statement [APP-310]</b> are secured by Requirement 21<br>in Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |



## 3.15 Transport and Access

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue                                    | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
| TRA-01    | RR-094                                     | Church Lane                              | Specific concern regarding the<br>proposed access route of Church<br>Lane, Broxholme and that it is<br>currently unsuitable to be used as<br>an access route from an<br>engineering standpoint. Belief that<br>other routes to the east should be<br>used as an alternative.       | Church Lane, Broxholme has not been selected as a<br>construction or maintenance access point to the West<br>Burton 1 Site. Access to the fields to the east of<br>Broxholme village will be from the existing field access<br>and track approximately 400m northeast of Church<br>Lane. This is identified as access point 2 in Figure 3.1,<br>and access point 119 in Figure 3.2 of <b>6.3.14.1_A</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1 Transport</b><br><b>Assessment Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]</b> . Furthermore,<br>construction traffic will be routed to this access point<br>from the A1500 (see Figure 5.1<br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]</b> ) so that no construction<br>traffic routed through Broxholme village. |
| TRA-02    | RR-280                                     | Personal<br>disruption due to<br>traffic | Comment that the Scheme is<br>opposite the respondent's house<br>on a busy main road. Concern<br>regarding constant noise of lorries<br>and construction which will<br>disturb the respondent and family<br>member (who experiences<br>problems sleeping), and personal<br>horses. | Section 6 of <b>6.3.14.1_A Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 14.1 Transport Assessment Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A]</b> provides an overview of<br>the construction vehicle movements along the various<br>routes. HGV movement is generally low on a day to day<br>basis during the construction phase. These were<br>considered the most appropriate and direct routes for<br>construction vehicles. HGV movement will generally   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference                                    | Issue                  | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|------------------------|---|--|
|           |   |                        |   | take place between 09:30-16:30, avoiding overnight, early morning and evening periods.   |
|           |   |                        |   | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the application<br>within <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> which<br>is secured through Requirement 15 in Schedule 2 of the<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |   |                        |   | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the management<br>of construction vehicle movements to and from the<br>Scheme, to ensure that the effects of the temporary<br>construction phase on the local highway network are<br>minimised and made acceptable.  |
|           |   |                        |   | Additionally, the likely impacts of noise and vibration<br>from construction traffic for the Scheme have been<br>assessed in Section 15.7 of <b>6.2.15 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 15 Noise and Vibration [APP-<br/>053]</b> . The noise and vibration effects are not anticipated<br>to be significant.   |
| TRA-03    | RR-004; RR-005; RR-007;<br>RR-010; RR-019; RR-028;<br>RR-034; RR-054; RR-055; | Road<br>infrastructure | Some comments refer to the<br>length of time the detrimental<br>impact of HGV traffic will take | The anticipated construction period for the Scheme is 24 months, as set out in paragraph 4.3.6 of <b>6.2.4</b>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|-------|--|--|
|           | RR-061; RR-062; RR-068;<br>RR-071; RR-075; RR-080;  |       | place due to long construction times.  | Environmental Statement – Chapter 4 Scheme<br>Description [APP-042].   |
|           | RR-081; RR-082; RR-088;<br>RR-095; RR-098; RR-100;<br>RR-101; RR-102; RR-106;<br>RR-110; RR-115; RR-121;<br>RR-127; RR-131; RR-139;<br>RR-142; RR-149; RR-153;<br>RR-154; RR-158; RR-168;<br>RR-169; RR-173; RR-183;<br>RR-187; RR-190; RR-193; |       |  | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the application<br>within <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement</b> -<br><b>Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic Management</b><br><b>Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> which<br>is secured through Requirement 15 in Schedule 2 of the<br><b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           | RR-197, RR-190, RR-193,<br>RR-194; RR-196; RR-217;<br>RR-219; RR-220; RR-222;<br>RR-247; RR-252; RR-256;<br>RR-263; RR-274; RR-284;<br>RR-292; RR-303; RR-305;<br>RR-325; RR-329; RR-335;   |       |  | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the management<br>of construction vehicle movements to and from the<br>Scheme, to ensure that the effects of the temporary<br>construction phase on the local highway network are<br>minimised and made acceptable.  |
|           | RR-343  |       |  | 6.3.14.1_A Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.1<br>Transport Assessment Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A] provides an assessment<br>of the transport effects of the Scheme and concludes,<br>through paragraphs 11.1 to 11.11, that the Scheme is<br>acceptable from a transport perspective.   |
|           |   |       | Comments that country roads do<br>not have infrastructure to<br>facilitate construction traffic. | The routes HGVs will take to the Site are set out in<br>Section 6 of the Transport Assessment and Section 5 of<br>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2<br>Construction Traffic Management Plan Revision A  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to a<br>negative impact to local country /<br>single track roads and traffic by<br>HGV vehicles if the Scheme were<br>to go ahead.<br>Some comments refer to local<br>infrastructure being damaged / at<br>risk because of the Scheme and<br>associated traffic.<br>Some comments refer to road<br>verges outside houses being worn<br>away due to the traffic associated<br>with the Scheme.<br>Some comments refer to the lack<br>of council money to support<br>maintenance of roads during<br>construction. | <ul> <li>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]. The selected routes are the most direct and appropriate for HGV use, and seek to limit the number of HGVs passing through residential areas as much as possible. On a typical day, HGV use on individual routes will be relatively low during the construction period.</li> <li>A number of measures are set out in the CTMP [EN01032/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] to minimise the effect of HGVs on the local highway network. These include:</li> <li>Provision of temporary passing bays where required (CTMP Chapter 6 point iii);</li> <li>Signage (CTMP Chapter 6 point v);</li> <li>Restricting HGV movements to certain hours outside of the network peak hours of 08:00-09:00 and 17:00-18:00 (CTMP Chapter 6 point vii);</li> <li>Use of a booking system (CTMP Chapter 6 point xi);</li> <li>The provision of banksmen (CTMP Chapter 6 point vii);</li> <li>A commitment to a road condition survey (CTMP Chapter 6 point xii); and</li> </ul> |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | • A commitment to rectify any defects to the local highway network caused by HGV movement CTMP Chapter 6 point xxiv) and Requirement 15 of DCO).  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to cable<br>route works causing damage to<br>local roads.<br>Some comments refer to road<br>closures due to the Scheme.  | Where cable is installed within the highway or highway<br>verges, traffic management measures will be<br>implemented. This will be agreed with the local highway<br>authority prior to taking place. Safeguards will be in<br>place to ensure that any damage to the local highway<br>network are rectified to the satisfaction of the local<br>highway authority as set out in paragraph 6.14 of<br><b>6.3.14.2 Environmental statement Appendix 14.2</b><br><b>Construction Traffic Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN01032/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A].</b> |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the<br>narrow nature of the local roads<br>meaning access will cause an<br>accident.  | The assessment of effects of construction traffic on<br>non-motorised users is set out in the <b>6.2.14</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 14 Transport</b><br><b>and Access [APP-052]</b> .   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to the risk<br>of increased traffic to human<br>health due to potential increased<br>accidents.<br>Some comments refer to a lack of<br>information regarding the effects<br>of traffic on pedestrian, runners, | <ul> <li>The proposals for management of Public Rights of Way during the lifetime of the scheme are set out in the</li> <li>6.3.14.3 Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.3</li> <li>Public Rights of Way Management Plan</li> <li>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.3_A]. Measures include:</li> <li>Widened access tracks to ensure vehicles can pass PRoW users safely;</li> </ul>   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       | dog walkers and other recreational activities.  | • The provision of banksmen at either end of the PRoW, to hold vehicles if a PRoW user is present and advise PRoW users of the potential for construction vehicles to be present;  |
|           |  |       |   | • Speeds to be limited to 10mph;   |
|           |  |       |   | <ul> <li>Drivers will stop and give-way to any PRoW user<br/>that they encounter;</li> </ul>   |
|           |  |       |   | • Appropriate signage will be installed along the<br>PRoW to make PRoW users aware of the<br>construction activity. This will include information<br>on construction times and contact details for a<br>public liaison officer;  |
|           |  |       |   | • The PRoW will be kept clear of construction vehicles<br>and apparatus outside of permitted construction<br>hours so far as is practicable to do so;  |
|           |  |       |   | • Any damage to the surface of the footpath will be repaired as soon as practicable. The surface will be returned to its original condition following completion of construction.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to<br>abnormal loads vehicles (ALVs)<br>causing a risk of accidents and will<br>need widening of narrow country<br>roads. | Information on abnormal load movements is set out in<br>Section 7 of 6.3.14.1_A Environmental Statement -<br>Appendix 14.1 Transport Assessment Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A], and Section 6 of<br>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2<br>Construction Traffic Management Plan Revision A |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | <b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> . Abnormal load specialists 'Wynns' developed the abnormal load movement strategy.   |
|           |  |       |  | Traffic management will be in place for all abnormal<br>load movement, which will be agreed with the police<br>and local highway authority prior to the movement<br>taking place as set out in paragraph 6.10 of <b>6.3.14.2</b><br><b>Environmental statement Appendix 14.2</b><br><b>Construction Traffic Management Plan</b><br><b>[EN01032/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A].</b>   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to funding<br>for road improvements at<br>decommissioning stage. | The Applicant is committed to undertaking a road<br>condition survey and rectifying any defects to the local<br>highway network caused by HGV movement during<br>construction. These are set out in paragraph 7.2, point<br>xx of <b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement - Appendix</b><br><b>14.2 Construction Traffic Management Plan Revision</b><br><b>A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> , and secured through<br>Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |  |       |  | Likewise, any identified highways defects resulting from<br>decommissioning activities associated with the Scheme<br>will be corrected to the satisfaction of the local highway<br>authority as part of the proposed Decommissioning<br>Plan as secured by Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 to  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | 3.1_A Draft Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to<br>disturbance to the Roman Road<br>due to the Scheme and associated<br>vehicles. | Till Bridge Lane is a Roman Road that forms part of the<br>construction vehicle route to West Burton 1 and West<br>Burton 3. It is an A-Road that already accommodates<br>HGV movement.   |
|           |  |       |  | In addition, Stow Park Road will be used for a small<br>number of HGVs associated with the cable route<br>installation. Full details of movements on these roads<br>are set out in the <b>6.3.14.1_A Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Appendix 14.1 Transport Assessment</b><br><b>Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.1_A].</b>   |
|           |  |       |  | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the application<br>within <b>6.3.14.2 Environmental Statement – Appendix</b><br><b>14.2 Construction Traffic Management Plan</b><br>[EN01032/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] which is secured through<br>Requirement 15 in Schedule 2 of the <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br>Development Consent Order Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]. |
|           |  |       |  | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the management<br>of construction vehicle movements to and from the<br>Scheme, to ensure that the effects of the temporary  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | construction phase on the local highway network are minimised and made acceptable.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to concerns<br>that the HGV's associated with the<br>Scheme will increase pollution in<br>the local area.<br>Some comments refer to the dust<br>and dirt caused by construction | Noise reduction and air quality measures associated<br>with HGV movements are set out in <b>6.3.14.2_A</b><br>Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2<br>Construction Traffic Management Plan Revision A<br>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] (CTMP). Measures<br>include:   |
|           |  |       | and associated vehicles.  | <ul> <li>When on site and when not in use, vehicle engines<br/>will be switched off;</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |   | <ul> <li>Vehicles carrying material off-site will be sheeted to<br/>prevent the spread of dust;</li> </ul>   |
|           |  |       |   | <ul> <li>In dry conditions, areas near to the site accesses<br/>will be sprayed with water supplied to prevent the<br/>spread of dust.</li> </ul>  |
|           |  |       |   | <b>6.2.17 Environmental Statement - Chapter 17 Air</b><br><b>Quality [APP-055]</b> includes a full and detailed<br>assessment that deals with air quality impact and effect<br>at nearby sensitive receptors during the construction,<br>operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme.<br>The assessment concluded that there are not any likely<br>significant effects on air quality as a result of the<br>Scheme. |
|           |  |       |   | Following the implementation of the appropriate site-<br>specific mitigation measures, the significance of the   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | effects from dust and PM <sub>10</sub> emissions associated with<br>the construction works is considered to be 'negligible' at<br>all receptors, which is 'not significant' in EIA terms. This<br>is based on the IAQM Guidance.  |
|           |  |       |  | As outlined within <b>6.2.17 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 17 Air Quality [APP-055]</b> the number of<br>vehicle and HGV movements associated with the<br>construction phase of the Scheme have been<br>determined to be below the industry standard<br>screening thresholds for detailed assessment. Where<br>the screening thresholds are not exceeded, the impact<br>on air quality is determined to be negligible, and not<br>significant.  |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to soil<br>compaction due to the use of<br>HGV's on the roads. | The Scheme design includes temporary access tracks<br>within the Sites that will be retained until<br>decommissioning. Paragraph 4.5.65 of <b>6.2.4</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 4 Scheme</b><br><b>Description [APP-042]</b> provides a description of the<br>internal access tracks. Topsoil from the access track<br>routes will be recovered and placed in storage bunds<br>before the track materials are laid. This topsoil will be<br>retained to be replaced once the track material is<br>recovered at decommissioning. |
|           |  |       |  | These access tracks will prevent degradation of soils by passage of HGVs and will prevent road vehicles becoming bogged down within the Sites.  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue  | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| TRA-04    | RR-088 Incr<br>Traf<br>Con<br>Ope          | Increase in HGV<br>Traffic During<br>Construction,<br>Operation and<br>Decommissioning | outputnoise, personal safety concerns,nstruction,and distress to horses as a resulteration andof increased HGV traffic on rural | An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan<br>(CTMP) has been prepared to support the application in<br><b>6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2</b><br><b>Construction Traffic Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A]</b> . <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> , provides (in Requirement 15<br>of Schedule 2) that "No part of the authorised<br>development may commence until a construction traffic<br>management plan for that part must be submitted to<br>and approved by the relevant planning authority or,<br>where the part falls within the administrative areas of<br>multiple relevant planning authorities, each of the<br>relevant planning authorities". It further provides that<br>"The construction traffic management plan must be<br>substantially in accordance with the outline<br>construction traffic management plan." |
|           |  |  |   | The outline CTMP submitted as part of the DCO<br>application provides a framework for the management<br>of construction vehicle movements to and from the<br>Scheme, to ensure that the effects of the temporary<br>construction phase on the local highway network are<br>minimised and made acceptable.  |
|           |  |  |   | Additionally, the likely impacts of noise and vibration<br>during each phase of the Scheme have been assessed<br>in Section 15.7 of <b>6.2.15 Environmental Statement -</b><br><b>Chapter 15 Noise and Vibration [APP-053]</b> . The noise   |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | lssue                   | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                         |  | and vibration effects are not anticipated to be significant.   |
| TRA-05    | RR-088                                     | Access to the<br>Scheme | Comment refers to increased<br>safety and security risk to owned<br>animals as a result of an<br>additional access gate being put<br>in. | <ul> <li>The Applicant notes this comment and has identified that the West Burton Solar Project does not impact directly upon the Party's land.</li> <li>Nevertheless, 6.3.14.2_A Environmental Statement - Appendix 14.2 Construction Traffic Management Plan Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB6.3.14.2_A] provides a framework for the management of construction vehicle movements to and from the Scheme, to ensure that the effects of the temporary construction phase are minimised, and to ensure the safe movement of all users.</li> <li>A detailed Construction Traffic Management Plan is secured through Requirement 15 in 3.1_A Draft Development Conset Order Revision A</li> </ul> |



## 3.16 Waste

| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference  | lssue    | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|---|----------|---|--|
| WAS-01    | RR-011; RR-021; RR-037; Landfill<br>RR-044; RR-053; RR-070;<br>RR-129; RR-131; RR-156;<br>RR-157; RR-193; RR-205;<br>RR-257; RR-272; RR-327 | Landfill | Concern that the panels cannot be recycled and will be put in landfill. | The panels are predominantly made from recyclable<br>materials. The Applicant refers the parties to Table 20.7<br>in <b>6.2.20 Environmental Statement - Chapter 20</b><br><b>Waste [APP-058]</b> which identifies the estimated<br>volumes of waste that will arise from decommissioning.<br>Approximately 95% of the panel weight is made from<br>glass and metal frames, which can easily be reused and<br>recycled. The remaining materials and electrical waste<br>can be partially recycled at Waste Electrical and<br>Electronic Equipment (WEEE) facilities.                 |
|           |   |          | recycling with claims that it isn't possible.                           | Handling and recycling of waste electrical or electronic<br>equipment (WEEE) is legislated by the Waste Electrical<br>and Electronic Equipment Regulations 2013. Batteries,<br>such as lithium-ion batteries "are required to be<br>separated from WEEE so that they can be recovered,<br>recycled, or disposed of in accordance with the Waste<br>Batteries and Accumulators Regulations 2009. This is most<br>likely to be undertaken by the battery manufacturer or<br>supplier" (para. 20.3.4 of <b>6.2.20 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 20 Waste [APP-058]</b> ). |
|           |   |          |   |  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response  |
|-----------|--|-------|--|---|
|           |  |       |  | storage is likely to generate an increase in recycling<br>capacity and improvements to recycling and reuse<br>capabilities in the lifetime of the Scheme. That<br>notwithstanding, the worst-case scenario for Waste<br>Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) recycling<br>from the Scheme during decommissioning is assessed<br>in paragraph 20.7.34-20.7.36 <b>[APP-058]</b> as being no<br>greater than a slight or moderate adverse effect on<br>hazardous (including WEEE) waste handling, which is<br>not considered significant in EIA terms.   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to general<br>questions regarding what<br>happens to the panels at<br>decommissioning stage- disposal,<br>recycling etc. | <b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning Statement [APP-310]</b><br>sets out the principles of decommissioning and<br>environmental considerations (see paras. 2.1.1 to 2.1.9)<br>and provides a summary of potential mitigation and<br>management measures during decommissioning in<br>Table 3.1. It also sets out how roles, responsibilities and<br>actions required in respect of implementation of the<br>mitigation measures will be managed, along with<br>principles for monitoring and reporting. By way of<br>example and as contained within Table 3.1, provision is<br>made that <i>"Infrastructure such as PV panels and battery<br/>storage units will be removed and recycled as far as</i><br><i>practical and in accordance with legislation and guidance</i><br><i>applicable at the time"</i> . |
|           |  |       |  | Further details will be provided in the final decommissioning plan submitted for approval prior to  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|---|--|
|           |  |       |   | decommissioning. The commitment for the final<br>decommissioning plan to be prepared and to be<br>substantially in accordance with the Outline<br>Decommissioning Statement is secured by<br>Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].  |
|           |  |       |   | For the purpose of assessment in the ES, it is assumed 75-82.6% of the materials from the Scheme will be recycled, as set out in paragraphs 20.5.5 and 20.5.10 of <b>6.2.20 Environmental Statement – Chapter 20 Waste [APP-058]</b> .   |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to<br>unmaintained panels if the<br>Scheme is not looked after. | The Scheme is required to be maintained in the manner<br>set out in <b>7.14_A Outline Operational Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A]</b> (OOEMP). This Plan<br>requires there to be a regular schedule of visual<br>inspection of all equipment (Tables 3.4 and 3.11) and<br>requires unusable equipment to be replaced, removed,<br>and recycled as far as practical (Table 3.13). These<br>measures therefore will ensure that the Scheme is<br>adequately maintained, and that unusable equipment<br>is removed from site. The measures set out in the<br>OOEMP are secured through Requirement 14 of<br>Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue                    | Summary of Issue Raised  | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
|           |  |                          | Some comments refer to<br>hazardous waste becoming an<br>issue for landfill. | The worst-case scenario for hazardous waste as a result<br>of handling Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment<br>(WEEE) from the Scheme during decommissioning is<br>assessed in paragraph 20.7.34-20.7.36 <b>6.2.20</b><br><b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 20 Waste [APP-<br/>058]</b> as being no greater than a slight or moderate<br>adverse effect on hazardous (including WEEE) waste<br>handling, which is not considered significant in EIA<br>terms.  |
| WAS-02    | RR-021; RR-156; RR-157                     | Maintenance of<br>Scheme | Concern that maintenance of panels needs to be considered.                   | The Scheme is required to be maintained in the manner<br>set out in <b>7.14_A Outline Operational Environmental</b><br><b>Management Plan Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB7.14_A]</b> (OOEMP). This Plan<br>requires there to be a regular schedule of visual<br>inspection of all equipment (Tables 3.4 and 3.11) and<br>requires unusable equipment to be replaced, removed,<br>and recycled as far as practical (Table 3.13). These<br>measures therefore will ensure that the Scheme is<br>adequately maintained, and that unusable equipment<br>is removed from site. The measures set out in the<br>OOEMP are secured through Requirement 14 of<br>Schedule 2 to <b>3.1_A Draft Development Consent</b><br><b>Order Revision A [EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |
|           |  |                          | Some comments refer to the panels not lasting the 40 year operational phase. | Waste impacts arising from the maintenance and replacement of broken or faulty equipment on the Scheme has been considered in Section 20.7 of <b>6.2.20</b>  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised                    | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|--|--|
|           |  |       |  | <b>Environmental Statement - Chapter 20 Waste [APP-058]</b> and concludes that there is no greater than a slight adverse effect (para. 20.7.19) on waste handling as a result of the Scheme. This is not considered to be significant.   |
|           |  |       |  | Based on current technology, the lifespan of the solar<br>panels to be used for the Scheme is estimated to be<br>approximately 40 years, with a "worst-case" estimated<br>failure rate of 0.4% per year. This is shown in Table 20.6<br><b>[APP-058]</b> which identifies an estimated volume of<br>replacement PV modules of 130 tonnes per annum, the<br>vast majority (approx. 95%) of which consists glass and<br>metal frames, which are inert, and can easily be reused<br>and recycled. However, it is considered likely that the<br>majority of the solar panels used for the Scheme will be<br>able to continue operating for longer than 40 years and<br>therefore a 60 year time period has been proposed as<br>the maximum time the Scheme can be in operation<br>prior to being decommissioned. |
|           |  |       | Some comments refer to washing the panels. | The Applicant confirms that panels will need to be<br>washed periodically throughout their operational<br>lifetime. Table 20.6 <b>[APP-058]</b> identifies an estimated<br>requirement of 5,000m <sup>3</sup> of water per annum for<br>washing panels across the entire Scheme. This is not<br>considered to be a significant quantity, nor is it<br>considered to have an impact on waste management as  |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue     | Summary of Issue Raised   | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-----------|---|--|
|           |  |           |   | this water will run off panels and drain in the same manner as rainwater.  |
|           |  |           | Some comments refer to the land<br>below the panels becoming<br>barren on purpose to ease<br>maintenance.                                       | Section 4.7 of <b>7.3-A Outline Landscape and Ecological</b><br><b>Management Plan [EN010132/EX1/WB7.3_A]</b> sets out<br>the habitat management methods for the grassland to<br>be created beneath the panels. In the Applicant's<br>experience monitoring over 100 solar farms, given<br>appropriate management, diverse grassland habitats<br>can be created in these areas. Delivery of the detailed<br>Landscape and Ecological Management Plan is secured<br>through Requirement 7 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A Draft</b><br><b>Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A].</b>  |
| WAS-03    | RR-157                                     | Materials | Concern that Solar panels contain<br>rare earth minerals, some of<br>which are classified by the<br>Environment Agency as<br>"hazardous waste". | The Applicant recognises the waste from electrical<br>equipment including energy storage units and PV<br>modules has the potential to contain toxic or hazardous<br>materials that could be of risk to electrical recycling<br>handlers (see para. 20.9.6 of <b>6.2.20 Environmental</b><br><b>Statement - Chapter 20 Waste [APP-058]</b> ). As a result,<br><b>7.2 Outline Decommissioning Statement [APP-310]</b><br>sets out the principles of decommissioning and<br>environmental considerations (see paras. 2.1.1 to 2.1.9)<br>and provides a summary of potential mitigation and<br>management measures during decommissioning<br>through Table 3.1. It also sets out how roles,<br>responsibilities and actions required in respect of |



| Reference | Relevant<br>Representation(s)<br>Reference | Issue | Summary of Issue Raised | Applicant's Response   |
|-----------|--|-------|-------------------------|--|
|           |  |       |                         | implementation of the mitigation measures will be<br>managed, along with principles for monitoring and<br>reporting. By way of example and as contained within<br>Table 3.1, provision is made that <i>"Infrastructure such as<br/>PV panels and battery storage units will be removed and<br/>recycled as far as practical and in accordance with<br/>legislation and guidance applicable at the time"</i> .        |
|           |  |       |                         | Further details will be provided in the final<br>decommissioning plan submitted for approval prior to<br>decommissioning. The commitment for the final<br>decommissioning plan to be substantially in accordance<br>with the Outline Decommissioning Statement is<br>secured by Requirement 21 of Schedule 2 of <b>3.1_A</b><br><b>Draft Development Consent Order Revision A</b><br><b>[EN010132/EX1/WB3.1_A]</b> . |